Comparisons of fascio-cutaneous anterolateral thigh and sandwich fascial ALT free flap in the distal extremity reconstruction
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Abstract

Introduction: The anterolateral thigh (ALT) flap is considered a workhorse reconstructive option; however, it is encumbered by its bulkiness that can result in poor final outcome and need for revision surgery. The aim of the present study was to compare the standard cutaneous ALT free flap and sandwich fascial ALT (SALT) free flap, raised harvesting between the Scarpa's fascia and the crural fascia, for distal extremity soft tissue reconstruction, including pre- intra- and post-operative considerations and outcomes.

Patients and methods: A retrospective review of medical records from 2013 to 2018 of 24 patients who underwent distal extremity reconstruction with standard fascio-cutaneous ALT flap (13 patients) and SALT flap (11 patients) was performed. The mean defect dimensions were 12 × 6.5 cm in group 1 and 12 × 6 in group 2. Surgical outcomes and quality of life were assessed (through the upper extremity functional scale and the lower extremity functional scale questionnaires).

Results: The mean flap dimensions were 13.1 × 7.1 in group 1 and 14.1 × 7.8 in group 2, the overall flap success rate was 100% (one microvascular venous thrombosis occurred in group 1), no statistically significant difference was recorded regarding microvascular thrombosis (one patient in group p = .369) and infections (one patient in group p = .36) while a statistically significant difference was presence regarding the number of secondary/debulking procedures (6 patients vs. 0) (p = .0076) and the quality of life perception showed an overall better perception in group 2 with statistical significant difference (p = .03).

Conclusion: The SALT flap represents a valid option, as showed through our preliminary data, when a thin and robust reconstruction is required when dealing with distal extremities soft tissue reconstruction.

1 | INTRODUCTION

The anterolateral thigh (ALT) is a widely used flap and it represents the workhorse option in the reconstruction of heterogeneous anatomic regions (Lee, Chiu, & Shieh, 2011); it can be harvested as cutaneous, fascio-cutaneous, adipo-fascial or chimeric flap with a variable portion of vastus lateralis muscle. This versatility allows for a tailored reconstructive option based on the specific needs of every case.

On the other hand, it is extensively known that its bulkiness often results in poor aesthetic outcome and need for revision surgery.
A retrospective review of medical records at the Microsurgery and Hand Surgery unit, ASST "Sette Laghi." University of Insubria of patients who underwent distal extremity reconstruction with standard ALT flap and SALT flap performed by the same surgeon (MC) from 2013 to 2018 was made.

Patients demographics, pre-operative and operative characteristics, surgical complications need for secondary procedures and follow-up were recorded.

Twenty-four consecutive microsurgical ALT flap reconstructions were performed on 24 distal extremities after tumor excision/trauma: from 2013 to 2016 13 standard fascio-cutaneous ALT flap reconstructions performed on 24 distal extremities after tumor excision/trauma: from 2013 to 2018 was made.

Among the type of flaps from the thigh that can be considered when a thin flap is required, the suprafascial ALT flap, the suprafascial TFL flap or the suprafascial AMT (antero-medial thigh) flap can be considered. However, they are burdened by a certain amount of fat tissue/bulkiness that cannot overcome the need for a thin but reliable flap.

In addition to these reconstructive options, the sandwich fascial ALT (SALT) (Cherubino, Berli, et al., 2017) flap, which is raised including the superficial fascia, fat and crural fascia, can be considered as it can be harvested as a super-thin flap, helping to overcome the bulkiness of the anatomic region.

Historically the standard fascio-cutaneous ALT flaps were defined as those flaps elevated including all layers from epidermis to the muscular fascia (incorporating the crural fascia) while the SALT flaps were defined as those flaps elevated from the Scarpa's fascia to the muscular fascia, overturned and then skin grafted.

The aim of the present study was to review our experience comparing the standard fascio-cutaneous ALT free flap and SALT free flap reconstruction for distal extremity soft tissue reconstruction, including pre-intra- and post-operative considerations and outcomes especially from a functional/aesthetic point of view, with particular focus on the number of complications and number of second procedures needed.

2 | PATIENTS AND METHODS

A retrospective review of medical records at the Microsurgery and Hand Surgery unit, ASST "Sette Laghi." University of Insubria of patients who underwent distal extremity reconstruction with standard ALT flap and SALT flap performed by the same surgeon (MC) from 2013 to 2018 was made.

Patients demographics, pre-operative and operative characteristics, surgical complications need for secondary procedures and follow-up were recorded.

Twenty-four consecutive microsurgical ALT flap reconstructions were performed on 24 distal extremities after tumor excision/trauma: from 2013 to 2016 13 standard fascio-cutaneous ALT flap reconstructions were performed (group 1) and, since its first application in distal extremity reconstruction in 2016, the SALT flaps were chosen and performed (when no weight bearing areas were involved) in 11 patients (group 2). Therefore, in this case series from 2013 to 2016 standard ALT flaps while from 2016 to 2018 SALT flaps were performed (in non-weight-bearing areas and in patients with the same surgical indication).

Patients' mean age was 52 years (range 21–82 years) in group 1 and 55 years (range 24–77 years) in group 2 (Table 1), the mean defect dimensions were 12 × 6.5 cm in group 1 and 12 × 6 in group 2.

2.1 | Surgical technique

Preoperative markings of ALT perforators were performed using a hand-held Doppler, following the standard anatomical landmarks (Song, Chen, & Song, 1984). Intraoperatively, the area to be treated was excised or debrided and the recipient vessels prepared. A template of the defect was drawn and transposed to allow for a tailored ALT designed on the thigh. The plane of the flap elevation was performed either at the muscular fascial plane (ALT flap) or between the Scarpa and muscular fascia (SALT flap) (in both techniques the crural fascia was included in the harvesting of the flap); flaps were harvested based on a single dominant perforator that was carefully dissected (Figure 1). The SALT flaps were inset in a "upside-down" fashion with the undersurface of the deep fascia outwards; the SALT flaps were then skin grafted using the cutaneous projection of the standard ALT flaps, allowing for a donor site excision and direct closure, with no additional scarring on the donor site (Figure 2).

At 1 year follow up, all patients were given the upper extremity functional scale (UEFS) and lower extremity functional scale (LEFS) questionnaires.

2.2 | Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were analyzed by Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney test, median, first, and third quartile; discrete variables analysis was performed through percentage, Chi-square and Fisher test. A p-value of less than .05 was considered significant. All statistical studies were conducted using R software version 3.3.3.

3 | RESULTS

The mean size of the flap was similar and comparable. In group 1 the mean ALT dimensions were 13.1 cm × 7.1 cm (range 9–18 × 6–8 cm) and in group 2 the mean SALT dimensions 14.1 cm × 7.8 cm (range 15.5–12.5 × 5.5–12 cm). No major bones involvement in hands and lower legs reconstruction was recorded; in two cases there was a first toe fracture that was stabilized (1 in each group) and in one case (group 1) an amputation of the first three toes was performed.

The mean body mass index (BMI) between the two groups were comparable: 27 in group 1 (range 25–28) and 28 in group 2 (range 26–29) with no statistical significant difference.

The fascio-cutaneous ALT flap thickness was between 2 and 3.8 cm and the SALT flaps between 1.1 and 2.4 cm.

The overall free flap success rate was 100%; In group 1, one vascular complication was recorded (one venous thrombosis) while none in group 2; 1 deep infection was recorded in group 1 and treated with targeted antibiotic therapy in addition to surgical debridement (with the spare of the flap) and a total of 6 patients needed secondary debulking procedures (6 in group 1 and 0 in group 2) in order to improve the functionality or aesthetics. In group 2, in all cases a skin graft was used (it was meshed in three cases) and it healed...
TABLE 1  Patients' demographics, flap characteristics/complications/secondary procedures and questionnaire results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Group 1 (adipofascial ALT flap)</th>
<th>Group 2 (SALT flap)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defect location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand, n (%)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower leg/ft, n (%)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (range)</td>
<td>52 (21–82)</td>
<td>55 (24–77)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etiology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burn scarring</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, mean (range)</td>
<td>27 (25–28)</td>
<td>28 (26–29)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defect dimension cm (mean, range)</td>
<td>12 × 6.5 (8–16 × 5–7.5)</td>
<td>12 × 6 (7–13 × 4–10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flap dimension cm (mean, range)</td>
<td>13.1 × 7.1 (9–18 × 6–8 cm)</td>
<td>14.1 × 7.8 (8.5–15.5 × 5.5–12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flap thickness cm (mean, range)</td>
<td>3 (2–3.8)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.1–2.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donor site primary closure, n (%)</td>
<td>13 (100%)</td>
<td>11 (100%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total flap loss, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial flap loss, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin graft failure, n (%)</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>2 partial (18.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular complications, n (%)</td>
<td>1 (7.6%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>.369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infections, n (%)</td>
<td>1 (7.6%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>.369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary debulking procedures, n (%)</td>
<td>6 (46.15%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>.0076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-operative outcomes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UEFS (mean, range)</td>
<td>60.25 ± 3.8</td>
<td>70.75 ± 3.6</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEFS (mean, range)</td>
<td>58.0 ± 4.3</td>
<td>73.75 ± 4.2</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ALT, anterolateral thigh; BMI, body mass index; LEFS, lower extremity functional scale; SALT, sandwich fascial ALT; UEFS, upper extremity functional scale.

FIGURE 1  SALT flap. In picture (a), the superficial (black arrows) and deep fascia were identified. Picture (b,c) shows the two sides of the SALT flap. SALT, sandwich fascial ALT.
satisfactorily with an aesthetic appearance acceptable to the patient. Some representative cases are shown in Figures 3–6.

No significant statistical difference was recorded between the two groups regarding the rate of vascular thrombosis (one patient experienced venous thrombosis in group 1, while none were recorded in group 2, \( p = .36 \)) and infections (one in group 1 and 0 in group 2, \( p = .36 \)) while a statistically significant difference was found regarding the secondary/debulking procedures (\( p = .0076 \)) (Table 1).

At one-year post-operative follow-up visit, all patients were given the UEFS or the LEFS evaluation questionnaires. Three patients were not reachable; hence, 21 patients were available for quality of life questionnaire assessment (10 from group 1 and 11 from group 2). The questionnaires scores displayed a statistically significant difference between the two groups: group 1 UEFS \( 60.25 \pm 3.8 \), group 2 UEFS = 70.75 \( \pm 3.6 \) (\( p = .05 \)) group 1 LEFS = 58.0 \( \pm 4.3 \), group 2 LEFS = 73.75 \( \pm 4.2 \), showing an overall better perception in group 2 with statistical significant difference (\( p = .03 \)).

4 | DISCUSSION

The ALT flap is widely considered a workhorse flap for several anatomic region reconstruction due to its versatility and reliability (Ali,
Nevertheless, the ideal distal extremity reconstruction with an ALT free flap can be hard to achieve, as the fascio-cutaneous ALT flap can be too thick to allow a definitive one stage reconstruction (Wei et al., 2002). Initially described as a fascio-cutaneous full-thickness flap, the anterolateral thigh flap can be designed and harvested in different fashions such as full-thickness fascio-cutaneous, suprafascial, adipofascial, chimeric etc. The decision of the elevation plane varies.
depending on the site of reconstruction, on the bulkiness needed and on the patient’s habitus, sex, BMI, and thigh pliability (Hong et al., 2014).

In delicate areas such as the distal extremities, the correct selection of flap in combination with an appropriate indication is required; the reconstruction must rely on a robust, reliable well-vascularized thin flap, to allow patients to maintain the function and walk without restriction wearing regular shoes (Dayan, Lin, & Wei, 2009).

In cases when a thinner flap is needed, a suprafascial ALT flap can be considered a valid option (Maruccia et al., 2017) and it may be raised and debulked at the time of harvest (Seth & Iorio, 2017). This procedure can be technically challenging and in obese patients the flap can still be too bulky (Kim, Lee, & Mun, 2018). Indeed, in certain cases, an immediate flap debulking can be performed (before the flap inset) but this could lead to subdermal plexus/microcirculation impairment, contour and thickness irregularities, and partial flap loss increased rate (Cuomo et al., 2018; Kimura, Saitoh, Hasumi, Sumiya, & Itoh, 2009; Sharabi et al., 2010); a delayed thinning of the flap can be performed at a later stage through liposuction/flap re-elevation and excision but this will increase the number of hospital admissions, the health care system costs and patients’ level of stress (Hui-Chou, Sulek, Bluebond-Langner, & Rodriguez, 2011; Ross et al., 2003).

The complication rate related to the thinning techniques, demonstrated that the subfascial plane revealed to be the safest harvesting modality, compared to the suprafascial plane or the thinning microdissection. In addition, while performing the suprafascial dissection, it is unavoidable that nearby the chosen perforator a variable amount of fat will remain, giving the flap itself the aspect of an inverted pyramid (Figure 6).

In addition, when providing coverage to exposed tendons with the SALT flap, the superficial fascia and the adipose tissue are specifically in contact with the tendons and nerves allowing for an improved chance to give a gliding surface for the tendons and a good option of overcoming the fascial adhesion if a secondary procedure (like a tendon reconstruction) is needed (Crosio et al., 2014). Moreover, a better graft take occurs on the deep fascia surface, comparing to the adipose tissue propose with the standard adipo-fascial flap.

Having made these considerations, the SALT free flap finds one of its most appropriate indications when dealing with distal extremities since it proved to have most of the characteristics needed as it is thin, reliable, and safe.

In this study, we demonstrated that the SALT flap, elevated between the Scarpa’s fascia and the Crural fascia is a valid option in distal extremity soft tissue reconstruction; in our report, all the flaps were raised on a single dominant perforator but it is possible to harvest the SALT flap based on multiple perforators if needed. In our opinion, the appropriate surgical indication is represented by non-weight bearing areas (i.e., ankle, foot dorsum) or areas where there is no need for a sensate reconstruction; furthermore, it represents the only choice when an ALT flap is indicated and we are dealing with

**FIGURE 6** a 37-year-old man that suffered from a bike accident and received a first metatarsophalangeal joint arthrodesis and a soft tissue 5.5 × 8.5 cm SALT flap reconstruction. (a,b) Intraoperative details; (c,d) anterior and lateral views at 1 year. The LEFS score was 71.25. LEFS, lower extremity functional scale; SALT, sandwich fascial ALT.
overweight/obese patients or female “pear shaped” patients (Karastergiou, Smith, Greenberg, & Fried, 2012) (Figure 7).

Analyzing our SALT reconstructions, the functional and aesthetic success, both objective and subjective, was evident thanks to the capability of patients to wear normal shoes and to perform everyday life movements without any kind of function impairment. Moreover, in group 2, patients received only one reconstruction procedure, with no need for secondary flap refinements/debulking, thus leading to a faster return to everyday life, which should not be underestimated. Furthermore, the UEFS and LEFS questionnaires showed a better, and statistically significant, scores in the SALT group of patients. The SALT flaps overcome the main questions present in the introduction, especially regarding the need for secondary surgeries as “touch-up” for the bulkiness of the Fascial ALT.

The limits of this flap are represented by the need for a skin graft which makes it more difficult to be monitored, as the post-operative vascular complications are more arduous to be detected (monitor executable with the hand-held Doppler) with delayed clinical signs of vascular compromise, the treated region needs to be immobilized for 7 days and the skin graft might not take (Cuomo, Grimaldi, Brandi, Nisi, & D’Aniello, 2017); in addition, as previously stated, it cannot be used in weight-bearing areas and where a sensate flap is needed.

In our experience, none of the SALT flaps had a total or partial necrosis, even though the surface reconstruct was fairly extended (Table 1). However, clear anatomy of the blood supply of this flap is unclear. We believe that studies, with the use of the indocyanine green, could add important information on the physiology of these flaps.

The limits of the present report are represented by the retrospective nature of the data and the small cohort of patients (24 patients over 5 years’ time) which is a small volume of patients. However, despite the small cohort of patients, in this study we present the first and largest comparing series of patients published to date, analyzing the standard ALT and adipo-fascial ALT flap in distal extremities reconstruction. Further studies and different comparison between SALT and other design of ALT flap should be needed.

5 | CONCLUSION

Distal extremity soft tissue reconstruction remains a demanding issue, as it requires a careful pre-operative planning with specific focus on both function and aesthetic outcome.

The preliminary data obtained from this case series showed that the SALT flap represents a valid option when dealing with distal extremities soft tissue reconstruction and a thin and robust reconstruction is required.
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