



Understanding thiopurine methyltransferase polymorphisms for the targeted treatment of hematologic malignancies

This is the peer reviewed (post-print) version of the following article:

Original

Understanding thiopurine methyltransferase polymorphisms for the targeted treatment of hematologic malignancies / Franca, R., Braidotti, S., Stocco, G., Decorti, G.. - In: EXPERT OPINION ON DRUG METABOLISM & TOXICOLOGY. - ISSN 1742-5255. - STAMPA. - 17:10(2021), pp. 1187-1198. [10.1080/17425255.2021.1974398]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11368/3004731 since: 2021-12-27T17:14:24Z

Publisher:

Published

DOI:10.1080/17425255.2021.1974398

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. More details in the PostPrint rights section below.

License:

Attribution 4.0 International

Publisher copyright

--

(Article begins on next page)

1 **Review (Invited), Expert Opinion On Drug Metabolism and Toxicology**

2

3 **Understanding thiopurine methyltransferase polymorphisms for the targeted**
4 **treatment of hematologic malignancies.**

5

6 Franca R¹, Braidotti S², Stocco G³ and Decorti G^{1,4}

7

8 1. Department of Medical, Surgical and Health Sciences, University of Trieste. Via Fleming 22, 34127 Trieste,
9 Trieste, Italy.

10 2. Graduate School in Reproduction and Developmental Sciences, University of Trieste. Via Fleming 22, 34127
11 Trieste, Trieste, Italy

12 3. Department of Life Sciences, University of Trieste. Via Fleming 22, 34127 Trieste, Trieste, Italy.

13 4. Institute for Maternal & Child Health (I.R.C.C.S) Burlo Garofolo, Via dell'Istria 65/1, 34137 Trieste, Italy.

14

15 Correspondence: Giuliana Decorti, Via Fleming 22, 34127 Trieste: giuliana.decorti@burlo.trieste.it

16

ABSTRACT

Introduction

Thiopurine methyltransferase (TPMT) catalyses the S-methylation of thiopurines, such as mercaptopurine and tioguanine (TG), fundamental chemotherapeutic agents used in the treatment of acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL). Polymorphisms in *TPMT* gene encode diminished activity enzyme, thus enhancing accumulation of active metabolites, and partially explaining the inter-individual differences in patients' clinical response.

Areas covered

This review gives an overview on *TPMT* gene and function, and discusses the well-established pharmacogenomic implications of *TPMT* variants in the prevention of severe thiopurine-induced hematological toxicities and the less known implication on TG-induced sinusoidal obstruction syndrome. Additional genetic and non-genetic factors impairing TPMT activity are considered. Literature search was done in PubMed for English articles published between 1990 and June 2021, and on PharmGKB for thiopurine drugs.

Expert opinion

In order to titrate thiopurines safely and effectively, achieve the right degree of lymphotoxic effect and avoid excessive myelosuppression, the optimal management will combine a pre-emptive *TPMT* genotyping to establish a safe initial dose to a close phenotypic monitoring of the TPMT activity and/or of the active metabolites during the long-term treatment. Compared to current ALL protocols, replacement of TG by MP during reinduction phase in *TPMT* heterozygotes as well as novel individualized TG regimens in maintenance for *TPMT* wild type subjects could be investigated to further improve outcomes while avoiding the risk of severe hepatotoxicity.

Keywords: acute lymphoblastic leukemia, genetic polymorphisms, myelosuppression, sinusoidal obstruction syndrome, thiopurines, TPMT.

Article highlights box:

- Thiopurine S-methyltransferase (TPMT) is an important phase II enzyme, known for its role in the metabolic transformation of thiopurine drugs (mercaptopurine (MP) and tioguanine (TG)); the TPMT activity is inversely related to the cytoplasmic accumulation of active thiopurine metabolites.
- *TPMT* is a polymorphic gene; the majority of identified single nucleotide polymorphism encode for a diminished activity enzyme
- *TPMT* pharmacogenetic guidelines to prevent severe thiopurine-induced myelosuppression are currently available; prescribing recommendations apply primarily to starting doses.
- Measurement of thiopurine metabolites in patients' red blood cells is generally considered a surrogate marker for thiopurines efficacy and toxicity during a long-term therapy; however, in hematological diseases, clinical applicability of this therapeutic drug monitoring is still limited.
- TG, but not MP, is associated with an enhanced incidence of sinusoidal obstruction syndrome (SOS) in patients affected by acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL). The role of *TPMT* polymorphisms or

57 thiopurine metabolites' levels in the prediction of TG-induced SOS in ALL patients needs to be better
58 characterized.
59

1. INTRODUCTION

Thiopurine S-methyltransferase (TPMT, EC2.1.1.67) is an important phase II enzyme, known for its role in the metabolic transformation of thiopurine drugs. Thiopurines, such as mercaptopurine (MP) and tioguanine (TG), are antineoplastic agents with immunosuppressant properties commonly used in the clinics for the treatment of acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), the most common hematological malignancy diagnosed in children. MP is a cornerstone drug in long-lasting ALL therapy, being extensively employed to induce, consolidate and maintain remission, whereas the use of TG is generally limited to short periods of therapy re-intensification (e.g.: clinical trial NCT03643276 www.clinicaltrial.gov). Azathioprine (AZA), the MP prodrug, is not used in ALL but has a valuable role to maintain remission in non-malignant conditions that require prolonged immunosuppression such as Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis, the two major forms of inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) [1]. In IBD patients, AZA and MP are interchangeable, whereas TG is an alternative for those patients failing to tolerate or respond to AZA/MP, but with safety concerns due to its disadvantageous toxicity profile. Other purine analogues such as cladribine, fludarabine phosphate, nelarabine and clofarabine are employed in hematological malignancies; however, their metabolism is TPMT-independent and therefore they are not taken into consideration here.

This review will first give a general overview on *TPMT* gene and function, and then will discuss in detail the pharmacogenomic implication of *TPMT* variants affecting the enzymatic function in the optimization of thiopurines in ALL. Additional genetic and non-genetic factors impairing TPMT activity will be considered.

1.1 *TPMT* gene and polymorphisms

TPMT is encoded by *TPMT*, a 34 kb long gene composed of 10 exons and 9 introns that encodes for a 245 amino acid long protein and is located in the short arm of chromosome 6 (6p22.3) [2]; a pseudogene is also located on the chromosome 18 [3,4]. *TPMT* is a polymorphic gene; currently, more than 40 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) have been described, and are listed in public available updated databases (<https://liu.se/en/research/tpmt-nomenclature-committee>; https://api.pharmgkb.org/v1/download/file/attachment/TPMT_allele_definition_table.xlsx). *TPMT* variant alleles present mostly non-synonymous (40 out of 43, e.g.: *TPMT**2, *3A, *3B, *3C), leading to amino acid substitutions; other variant alleles contain SNPs that lead to the formation of a premature stop codon (e.g.: *TPMT**42) or to destruction of a splice site (e.g.: *TPMT**4 and *TPMT**15). Most of these variants exhibit low or intermediate enzymatic activity toward thiopurine substrates [5]. Four of these variants (*TPMT**2, *3A, *3B, *3C) account for 80-95% of cases with decreased TPMT activity in Caucasians, whereas the rest of the variant alleles are very rare [4]. The *TPMT**2 allele (SNP rs1800462) is defined by the 238G>C transversion in exon 5 and the p.Ala80Pro amino acid substitution, whereas the *TPMT**3 alleles are defined by the SNPs 460G>A in exon 7 (rs1800460, p.Ala154Thr, *3B) and 719A>G in exon 10 (rs1142345, p.Tyr240Cys, *3C). *TPMT**3A is the haplotype characterized by both 460G>A and 719A>G transitions, and is the most common variant present in Europeans (frequency approximately 5%) [6,7]. *TPMT**3C is the most common allele in African-American and East Asian populations (frequency approximately 2%). In Africans there is also another common allele with reduced TPMT activity, *TPMT**8, identified by the SNP rs56161402 (p.Arg215His, frequency of approximately 2%) [8].

100 TPMT levels in human tissues are affected by these genetic polymorphisms. Approximately 1 in 300 individuals
101 show a full deficiency in TPMT and 6–11% a partial impairment of the TPMT activity *versus* 89–94% who have
102 a normal/high measurable enzymatic function [9]. This trimodal distribution of phenotype can be traced back
103 to genotypes that influence enzyme levels: subjects carrying homozygous variant alleles are those lacking the
104 TPMT function, heterozygous individuals show decreased but not completely abolished enzyme activity, and
105 homozygous *wild-type* have normal TPMT phenotype [4]. It was demonstrated *in vitro* that variant *TPMT* is
106 associated to post-translational modifications. Heterologous expression of human cDNAs in cells
107 demonstrated that *TPMT*2* and *TPMT*3A* mRNA levels were comparable to *wild type*, although markedly
108 lower TPMT protein levels and catalytic activity were measured. Indeed, variant and wild type TPMT proteins
109 were synthesized at similar rates, however, the formers were less stable over time and more susceptible to
110 proteolysis [10].

111
112 In addition to the variability caused by the alleles just described, the variability at the promoter region of the
113 *TPMT* gene needs also to be considered. Within the *TPMT* promoter, there is a variable number tandem repeat
114 (VNTR) region, particularly enriched in G/C, whose function and mechanism of action are still unknown. This
115 DNA region has a three-element architecture in its internal structure, named A, B and C with two of them being
116 variable in their copy number (AmBnC) [11]. The overall length of repeats ranges from three to nine motifs. To
117 date, 19 different alleles have been described and the most frequent VNTR alleles in Caucasians are *VNTR*4a*
118 (*A2BC*), *VNTR*5a* (*A2B2C*) and *VNTR*6a* (*A2B3C*) with an overall frequency of more than 90% [12,13]. In
119 terms of enzymatic activity, a reduced TPMT function was associated to the presence of VNTR genotypes
120 containing more than five repeats in total, although the exact number of A or B motif has not been specifically
121 defined [14]. Recently, Kotur and collaborators have negatively correlated the number of A motifs in VNTR
122 with the transcriptional rate of *TPMT*, suggesting an important aspect for clinical application in which *TPMT*
123 gene promoter VNTR architecture can be used as a pharmacogenomic marker [15]. Urbancic and
124 collaborators have also investigated the association of VNTR architecture with TPMT activity and its
125 connection to common clinically relevant TPMT polymorphisms (*TPMT*3* allele); VNTR pattern AB2C is
126 associated with *TPMT*3C*, while ABnC patterns containing more than 3 B element are associated with
127 *TPMT*3A* allele, suggesting these VNTR sequences as indirect pharmacogenomic markers [13].

128

129 **1.2 TPMT enzyme and function**

130 TPMT is a 28 kDa cytoplasmic transmethylese expressed ubiquitously in cells, including kidney, liver and red
131 blood cells (RBC). TPMT catalyzes the S-methylation of aromatic and heterocyclic nucleophilic sulfhydryl
132 compounds; the enzyme substrate specificity is low and binding of smaller as well as bulkier molecules is
133 allowed [16]. In its active site, TPMT can accommodate simultaneously both the thio-substrate and the methyl
134 donor S-adenosylmethionine (SAM), which participates in methyl group transfer [17]. During the TPMT-
135 mediated reaction, SAM is converted to S-adenosyl-L-homocysteine (SAH) which is released together with the
136 methylated derivate. Despite the well-characterized function of TPMT on thiopurine xenobiotics, the
137 endogenous substrates of TPMT are not clearly identified yet, and the physiological role of TPMT still needs
138 to be defined. Lack of TPMT enzyme has not been correlated with no disease, so far. Genotype-phenotype
139 relationships could not be established in animal models. Indeed, without thiopurine exposure, no apparent
140 phenotype was observed in homozygous deficient *Tpmt* (*Tpmt*–/–) mice: life span and reproductive capacity

141 were indistinguishable compared to their wild-type Tpm^t (*Tpmt*^{+/+}) or heterozygous Tpm^t (*Tpmt*^{+/-})
142 littermates, as was the histology of liver, lung, kidney, stomach, duodenum, small and large intestine, spleen,
143 thymus, lymph nodes, heart, adrenals, reproductive organs, bone marrow, and brain [18]. Only recently, in
144 2018, a report provided for the first time experimental and computational evidences of a direct interaction
145 between TPMT and a natural-occurring ligand present in biological systems, the selenocysteine (Sec) [19].
146 Sec is an analogue of the more common amino acid cysteine, with the essential trace element selenium in
147 place of the sulfur, and is now considered as the 21st “naturally occurring” amino acid encoded by the a non-
148 canonical translation of the UGA codon (as revised by Labunskyy VM and collaborators) [20]. By investigating
149 *in vitro* the activity of recombinant TPMT on selenium-containing amino acids through saturation transfer
150 difference and ⁷⁷Se nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy, fluorescence measurements, as well as
151 computational molecular docking simulations, Urbančič and coworkers demonstrated that methylation of Sec
152 occurred in a SAM-dependent manner. A direct interaction between Sec and SAM in the active site of
153 recombinant TPMT was observed, and both methylated-Sec and SAH were detected as reaction products
154 [19]. Sec is utilized for the selenoprotein synthesis in organs. The human proteome contains 25 known
155 selenoprotein genes [21], including glutathione peroxidase, iodothyronine deiodinase and thioredoxin
156 reductase, methionine-R-sulfoxide reductase, selenophosphate synthetase 2 and various selenoproteins of
157 unknown function [20,22]. With few exceptions, Sec is located in active sites of these enzymes and is involved
158 in catalyzing the redox reactions, because of the highly the nucleophilic nature of the selenol group [23].
159 Presumably, TPMT could be an important player in regulating selenoprotein activity. Other studies supported
160 the role of TPMT in the methylation of selenium-containing compounds. Deninger and collaborators conducted
161 a systematic research on purine analogs, identifying markedly increased methylation kinetics of selenopurines
162 in the reaction with purified human kidney TPMT [24]. In environmental studies, methylation of selenium
163 compounds has been correlated with the presence of bacterial strains containing highly active TPMT
164 orthologue [25-27]. Fukumoto and collaborators has demonstrated that TPMT acts in a concerted reaction with
165 indolethylamine N-methyltransferase for the formation of trimethylselenonium ions, which are formed and
166 excreted into urine when animals ingest a toxic amount of selenium beyond the nutritional level [28].
167

168 2. TPMT AND THIOPURINES: TARGETED TREATMENT OF HEMATOLOGIC 169 MALIGNANCIES.

170

171 2.1 TPMT and thiopurine pathway

172 Thiopurines are a group of agents that structurally resemble naturally occurring purine bases; in particular, MP
173 is a thiolic analogue of hypoxanthine and TG of guanine. They act as antimetabolites, replacing purine
174 nucleotides in nucleic acids and inhibiting *de novo* purine synthesis. MP and TG are inactive prodrugs that
175 require intracellular activation, catalyzed by multiple enzymes, to exert their cytotoxic action after being
176 converted to thioguanine nucleotides. A summary of the activation pathway is provided in Figure 1.

177 MP and TG enter the cells via sodium-coupled nucleoside transporters (SLC28A2, SLC28A3, SLC29A1 and
178 SLC29A2). AZA is converted into MP by glutathione S-transferases (GSTs) and spontaneously after reaction
179 with thiols (e.g., glutathione) [29]. After the uptake, MP is converted into thioinosine monophosphate (TIMP)
180 by the enzyme hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyl transferase (HPRT1) and subsequently into
181 thioguanosine monophosphate (TGMP) in two enzymatic steps by inositol monophosphate dehydrogenase

182 (IMPDH) and guanosine monophosphate synthetase (GMPS) [30]. Finally, TGMP can be converted into active
183 metabolites including thioguanosine di-, tri-phosphate (TGDP, TGTP) and deoxythioguanosine mono-, di-, tri-
184 phosphate (tdGMP, tdGDP, tdGTP). Tri-phosphate thionucleotides (referred to as TGN in this review) compete
185 with the incorporation of dGTP/GTP into nucleic acids (DNA, RNA) and determine the inhibition of DNA-
186 processing enzymes, such as topoisomerase and DNA ligase, which maintain base-pair stability and DNA
187 dynamics, causing cell cycle arrest and apoptosis [31]. Moreover, thioguanosine triphosphate (TGTP) inhibits
188 the activity of the GTPase Rac1, which regulates T-lymphocyte proliferation, and represses immune responses
189 [32]. In contrast to AZA and MP, TG is directly metabolized to TGN: HPRT converts TG into TGMP and, by
190 subsequent kinase activity, TGDP and TGTP are produced [33]. Thiopurines mechanisms of action could be
191 different between ALL and IBD therapy (e.g.: Rac-1 modulation in IBD context) [34]; explaining these
192 differences in details is beyond the purpose of this review.

193 In addition to anabolic pathways described above, thiopurines undergo catabolic routes mediated by the
194 enzyme xantine oxidase/dehydrogenase (XO/XDH) and by TPMT [4]. The oxidation and methylation of
195 thiopurines and their derivates are competing events with the formation of TGN, as they lead to the production
196 of secondary metabolites. XO/XDH catalyzes the conversion of thiopurines into thiouric acid, which is then
197 excreted by the kidneys [35]. TPMT converts free MP into an inactive metabolite, methylmercaptopurine
198 (MMP), thanks to the methylation of the thiol group performed by the enzyme. The TPMT enzyme also acts
199 on reaction intermediates such as TIMP and TGMP leading to the formation of methyl thioinosine
200 monophosphate (meTIMP) and methyl thioguanine monophosphate (meTGMP), respectively.
201 Methylmercaptopurine is not converted to nucleotides, as it is a poor HPRT substrate and has no
202 antileukemic activity [30]. Nonetheless, methylmercaptopurine nucleotides, mainly meTIMP, could inhibit the
203 purine *de novo* biosynthesis pathway, thus indirectly contributing to antiproliferative effects. [31,36]. Indeed,
204 the balance between TGNs and MMPNs has been related to thiopurines response and cytotoxicity [37].

205
206 Inherited variations in TPMT activity are an important factor in patients' inter-individual differences in clinical
207 efficacy and toxicity during thiopurine therapy. As stated above, TPMT enzyme activity is inversely related to
208 the cytoplasmic accumulation of active TGNs. Thus, when patients with lower TPMT enzyme activity are
209 treated with standard thiopurine doses, they exhibit moderate to high concentrations of TGN metabolites and
210 low to missing concentrations of MMPN, becoming more prone to severe thiopurines-induced side effects.
211 Among these, severe hematologic toxicity can be life-threatening and can lead to early drug withdrawal. [4].

212

213 **2.2 TPMT pharmacogenetic guidelines to prevent thiopurine-induced myelosuppression**

214 The role of *TPMT* polymorphisms on severe hematological toxicity during therapy with thiopurines is clearly
215 established in the clinics. All the most important protocols for pediatric ALL therapy, such as those released
216 by the European and Canadian-US clinical societies (e.g.: Associazione Italiana Emato-Oncologia Pediatrica
217 Berlin Frankfurt Munster (AIEOP-BFM) Group, Nordic Society of Paediatric Haematology and Oncology
218 (NOPHO), Children's Oncology Group (COG) or the Saint Jude Children research Hospital (SJCRH)) are
219 concordant on the need of pre-emptive *TPMT* genotyping before initiation of MP and TG pharmacotherapy in
220 order to tailor thiopurine doses to the *TPMT* genetic status of the patients. So far, *TPMT**2 and *TPMT**3 are
221 the most clinically relevant variants of interest for thiopurine metabolism and represent a well-validated
222 example of pharmacogenomic research and clinical translation of pharmacogenetic data [4]. Biochemical,

functional as well as clinical evidences based on several criteria (including replication of the association in independent cohorts, statistical parameters and population size) were collected over years with coherent results, so that the *TPMT* variants - thiopurine drugs combination was assigned as level 1A (highest strength) by PharmGKB (www.pharmgkb.org/page/clinAnnLevels), one of the most comprehensive online resources established to collect, curate, and disseminate knowledge about the impact of human genetic variation on drug responses [38]. A strong gene-drug association stands at the basis of development of clinical guidelines, and is necessary, but not enough, to release a prescribing recommendation. For clinical translation into practice, the gene-drug combination should be actionable, meaning that other factors need to be considered such as the drug therapeutic index, the severity of the disease, the consequence of suboptimal prescribing, the availability of genetic testing and the availability of alternative drugs [39]. The Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) developed and classifies guidelines, accordingly. In level A CPIC guidelines, the gene-drug considered is actionable, recommendations for a gene-based prescribing action are moderate-to-strong, and safe and efficient alternative drugs are available. In level B guidelines, recommendations become “optional” whereas in level C and D, no recommendation is given, however CPIC level C and D can also be useful to the clinicians (<https://cpicpgx.org/guidelines>). Guidelines to correctly interpret *TPMT* genetic tests and guide genotype-based dosing of thiopurines were first published by the CPIC in 2011 [40], and then updated in 2013 [41] and 2018 [42]. At first, focus was mainly on the *TPMT* gene alone, in particular on the most frequent *2, *3A, *3B, *3C and *4 alleles, and on the assignment of the correct genotype-phenotype correlation. Patient diplotype (*TPMT* wild type, heterozygous and homozygous variant) were translated into a predicted *TPMT* metabolizer phenotype (normal (NM), intermediate (IM) or low/deficient (PM), respectively) which was linked to a clinical recommendation. With respect to an exemplificative standard MP dose of 75 mg/m²/day for malignant (pediatric ALL) conditions, only NM *TPMT* subjects should receive the full dose. A 30–70% reduction was instead suggested for IM patients and a drastic reduction of both dose (10-fold or MP 10 mg/m²/d) and frequency (thrice weekly instead of daily) for PM individuals; similar adjustments were proposed for TG, although TG effectiveness is less affected by *TPMT* activity. A similar approach should be followed for IBD patients, as highlighted by the TOPIC-trial, a prospective study to determine whether dose selection based on the results of preemptive *TPMT* genotype analysis affects the outcome of patients with IBD. In the intervention group, heterozygous carriers received 50% of the standard dose of AZA or MP, whereas homozygous variant carriers received 0%-10% of the full dose. Although incidence of hematological complications was similar between controls and intervention group after 20 weeks of treatment, a smaller proportion of carriers of the *TPMT* variants (2.6%) developed hematologic adverse effects in the intervention group compared to those in the control group (22.9%, relative risk, 0.11; 95% confidence interval, 0.01-0.85) [43]. Prescribing recommendations applied primarily to starting doses and were not meant to substitute the clinical monitoring of myelosuppression or disease-specific guidelines generally used for optimizing thiopurines dosing during the long-term therapy. In the 2013 version, CPIC recommendations did not change; however, further studies were provided in support of the *TPMT*-based thiopurine dosing scheme and the applicability of these guidelines in both the adult and pediatric populations was highlighted. Novel genetic evidences on thiopurine tolerance arose in 2014 by a genome-wide association study (GWAS) on susceptibility to thiopurine-induced leukopenia in IBD patients [44]. Then, a GWAS on two independent cohorts of 657 and 371 ALL children confirmed rs116855232 (C>T, p.Arg139Cys) in the gene *nudix (nucleoside diphosphate linked moiety X)-type motif 15 (NUDT15)* as a locus associated with MP tolerance during maintenance therapy, finding that

TT carriers received 8.3% of the planned MP dose on average, compared to 63% of the TC individuals and 83.5% of CC [45]. Subsequent studies confirmed the role of this new candidate gene in thiopurine tolerance [46-49] and/or toxicity [47,48,50-52]. NUDT15 is a nucleoside diphosphatase and degrades cytotoxic tGTP metabolites into less toxic tGMP; thus, even a partially impaired NUDT15 function results in an enhanced accumulation of tGTP into the hematopoietic cells and in their increased incorporation into DNA, thus prompting severe myelosuppression at standard full dosages [46,47]. Pre-clinical data demonstrate the efficacy of NUDT15-guided doses of thiopurines decreases on murine leukemias [53, 54]. Moreover, patients harboring *NUDT15* variants demonstrated similar DNA-TGN concentrations even at low doses of MP [55], supporting prospective dose adjustments of MP in the context of *NUDT15* genetic variations to reduce adverse effects without compromising efficacy also in patients with ALL. In the latest published guideline, CPIC defined the *NUDT15* genotype-phenotype conversion and provided *NUDT15*-based recommendations that parallel those of *TPMT*. Full starting doses of thiopurines can be given to NM *NUDT15* subjects whereas the thiopurine dose should be reduced to a varying extent in *NUDT15* IM, particularly when starting doses of thiopurines are high (e.g., 30-80% of MP 75 mg/m²/day). Strongly reduced dose or the use of alternative agents should be considered for *NUDT15* PM. Dosing recommendation for *TPMT* phenotypes were thus changed according to the presence of *NUDT15* loss-of function alleles. In particular, dose reductions could be considered also for *TPMT* NM/ *NUDT15* IM or PM and should be recommended for *TPMT* IM, particularly in those who are *NUDT15* PM. Pre-emptive genotyping testing for *TPMT* and *NUDT15* is now recommended also by the Royal Dutch Pharmacists Association - Pharmacogenetics Working Group (DPWG, May 2020 update Annotation of DPWG Guideline for MP and *TPMT* (pharmgkb.org)), another leading scientific consortium for the pharmacogenetic implementation in clinics.

285

286 **2.3 *TPMT* polymorphism and thioguanine-induced sinusoidal obstruction syndrome**

287 Sinusoidal obstruction syndrome (SOS, previously known as hepatic veno-occlusive disease) is a rare but
288 potentially life-threatening condition in which sinusoids in the liver become obstructed, leading progressively to
289 tender enlargement of the liver, abdominal pain and swelling, ascites, weight gain, increased liver enzymes,
290 hyperbilirubinemia and jaundice, portal hypertension and, in most severe cases, multi-organ failure. SOS
291 occurs almost exclusively after excessive exposure to hepatotoxic drugs and *stimuli* and/or after high-dose
292 chemotherapy given before a bone marrow transplant. The sinusoidal fibrosis and necrosis of hepatocytes
293 observed in SOS are consequences of the oxidative, pro-inflammatory and pro-coagulant processes triggered
294 by these damaging exposures [56].

295 TG, but not MP, is associated with an enhanced incidence of SOS in ALL patients [57-59]; there are also
296 strong indications that SOS and nodular regenerative hyperplasia (NRH) of the liver are TG dose or TGN-level
297 dependent phenomena in IBD patients [60]. *In vitro* studies have suggested several mechanisms underneath
298 the different impact of TG and MP on hepatocytes and endothelial cells. TG interferes with bilirubin excretion
299 pathway and failure to excrete bilirubin leads to jaundice and liver toxicity. Oxidative metabolites of TG are
300 potent inhibitors of UDP-glucose dehydrogenase, an enzyme that is responsible for the formation of UDP-
301 glucuronic acid, an essential substrate used in the liver detoxification processes [61]. In contrast to TG, MP
302 showed specific angiogenetic and angioprotective properties, favoring endothelial integrity and attenuating
303 monocytes attraction/adhesion/activation on vascular cells [62,63]. Mouse model demonstrated that
304 thionucleotides stand at the basis of TG-induced SOS, since hypoxanthine-phosphoribosyl transferase-

deficient mice were protected from SOS after exposure to lethal doses of TG; SOS is a dose-dependent consequence of TG administration since splitting the daily dose of TG markedly attenuated SOS [64]. In patients, TG doses at or below 12 mg/m²/day are rarely associated with severe hepatotoxicity [65]. In IBD, the use of TG at low doses (~20 mg/ m²/day) for many years was proven to be safe, in particular if guided by therapeutic drug monitoring [60]. In ALL patients, higher doses of thiopurines (~40-60 mg/ m²/day) for prolonged periods are needed to be effective, and acute hepatotoxicity in the form of SOS occurred in 9-25% of patients whereas long-term hepatotoxicity in the form of NRH was reported in ~2-3% [65]. All together, the *in vitro* and *in vivo* evidences limit the use of TG to short periods to consolidate remission in ALL therapy (generally in the reinduction phase lasting few months) and support the choice of MP for continued use in association with methotrexate (MTX) during the long-term maintenance (generally lasting between one and two years) [58,66]. Nonetheless, the risk of SOS remains also in short-term setting of TG administration, with an incidence of mild episodes ranging from 0.43% to 0.57%, as reported recently by Stanulla and coworkers in the context of AIEOP-BFM ALL 2000 and 2009 protocols (clinical trials: NCT00430118 and NCT01117441, respectively) [67]. Of note, severe manifestations of SOS could also occur, although very rarely, after a 14-days course of TG, even with fatal outcome [68,69]. Stanulla and coworkers confirmed in large cohorts (3983 patients enrolled in the AIEOP-BFM ALL 2000, 1566 in AIEOP-BFM ALL 2009) that SOS occurred more frequently in TG-containing regimens compared to other treatment phases, and was virtually absent when MP was used instead of TG. Moreover, authors reported that risk of SOS was increased in heterozygous *TPMT* patients compared to *wild-type* subjects (AIEOP-BFM ALL 2000, 813 patients with *TPMT* genotype information available: 22.4-fold, 95% confidence interval 7.1–70.7; $P \leq 0.0001$; AIEOP-BFM ALL 2009 1566 patients with *TPMT* genotype information available: 6.73-fold, 95% confidence interval 1.71–26.53; $P = 0.007$), highlighting the genetic contribution of *TPMT* in the TG-induced SOS [67]. Impaired *TPMT* activity could result in the accumulation of active TGN metabolites in hepatocytes, triggering their necrosis and pro-inflammation processes. However, in the Stanulla's article, *TPMT* activity and/or TGN metabolites were not assessed, so it can not be elucidated whether patients developing SOS were those with higher TGN levels (regardless of *TPMT* genetic status). Previous studies showed controversial results on the association between *TPMT* genotypes or *TPMT* activity/TGN levels and SOS in ALL therapy, but smaller cohorts of patients were analyzed and SOS definition criteria were not uniformly assessed across studies [59,70]. Clinicians should be aware that besides guiding the thiopurine-dose adjustment to avoid severe myelosuppression, replacement of TG by MP during reinduction phase as well as a closer monitoring for early signs of hepatotoxicity when TG is given in *TPMT* heterozygotes, could represent a strategy to reduce SOS incidence in ALL protocols.

2.4 *TPMT* enzymatic activity and thiopurine effects: other contributing factors

Enzymatic activity of *TPMT* is inherited as an autosomal monogenic codominant trait, as highlighted by genome-wide association studies, in which only variants in *TPMT* gene were associated with *TPMT* activity measured in RBC of healthy individuals and pediatric ALL patients [71,72]. In another GWAS study conducted on HapMap human lymphoblastoid cell lines, the intronic SNP rs2413739 (-511C>T) in the gene *PACSL1* (C kinase protein and casein kinase protein 2) was identified as an additional modulator of *TPMT* enzymatic activity [73]. The mechanisms by which *PACSL1* influences *TPMT* are still unclear. Members of the *PACSL1* family generally regulate intracellular vesicular transit and biological processes such as endocytosis and

autophagy, through protein-protein interactions. Indeed, in *NALM-6* leukemic cell lines, the knock-down of *PACSLN2* affects autophagy and reduces the expression of the heterologous mRNA of *TPMT*1* (wild type), resulting in a reduction in measurable enzymatic activity, while having no influence on heterologous expression and the functionality of the *TPMT*3A*. The role of *PACSLN2* SNP rs2413739 was investigated in independent cohorts of ALL patients enrolled in SJCRH Total XXXIIB, AIEOP-BFM ALL 2000 and AIEOP-BFM ALL 2009 protocols. Homozygous variant TT individuals showed consistently a reduced TPMT activity compared to CC subjects; however, when TGN and methylmercaptapurine ribonucleotides (MMPR's) were measured in patients' erythrocytes, no significant variation was observed according to genotypes [73,74]. Interestingly, TT carriers experienced an increased incidence of severe gastrointestinal adverse effects (grade III-IV mucositis and diarrhea) during consolidation therapy across all protocols analyzed [73,74]. Other authors found that the TT genotype increased the risk of MP-induced hematotoxicity in ALL pediatric patients presenting wild type *TPMT* genotype [75]. Therefore, *PACSLN2* SNP rs2413739 could represent an example of a low penetrance genetic factor affecting TPMT activity, with a potential clinical significance as biomarker of adverse drug reactions, in particular on gastrointestinal tissues. Further studies are needed to validate these results, to understand the mechanism beneath and clarify the potential impact of *PACSLN2* SNP rs2413739 in the optimization of target therapy with thiopurines.

In the treatment of leukemia, MTX is often used alongside MP [76]. A synergistic effect between MTX and MP has been shown *in vitro* and *in vivo*, and is believed to be associated to the inhibitory effect of MTX on purine *de novo* synthesis and pyrimidine synthesis [77,78]. As folate analogue, MTX and its polyglutamate metabolites (MTX-PG) interfere with enzymes of the folate pathway, which are interconnected to many other synthetic pathways. The main intracellular target is the dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) enzyme, which converts dihydrofolate (DHF) into tetrahydrofolate (THF). DHF is generated by thymidylate synthetase (TYMS) in a reaction coupled with the formation of dTMP from dUMP. The enzyme TYMS is also inhibited by MTX and MTX-PG, leading to the impairment of the pyrimidine synthesis. This mechanism of action synergizes with that of thiopurines and prompts the desired antileukemic effects. THF is essential for *de novo* purine synthesis; its active form, 5-methyltetrahydrofolate (5-CH₃-THF), is an important cofactor in one-carbon metabolism. Indeed, impairment of the folate cycle results in a decreased availability of 5-CH₃-THF, among other intermediates. 5-CH₃-THF is required by methionine synthase to catalyze the conversion of homocysteine to methionine that, in turn, is required to generate SAM, the TPMT cofactor (Figure 2). Milek and collaborators provided evidences that SAM is involved in the post-translational stabilization of TPMT in live cells, and that erythrocyte SAM levels impact basal TPMT activity in healthy wild-type individuals [79]. To authors' knowledge, SAM availability had never been measured in ALL patients undergoing polychemotherapy with MTX and thiopurines. It is reasonable to hypothesize that fluctuations in MTX dosages, particularly those occurring during the long-term phase of maintenance, when immunosuppressive ALL therapy is continuously adjusted to target white blood cells (WBC) counts of 2.0-3.0 x 10⁹/L (clinical trials: NCT01117441 and NCT03643276), could affect SAM levels, thus altering TPMT activity in hematopoietic cells. Whether such oscillations are of clinical interest for thiopurines management remains to be demonstrated.

Despite these pharmacogenetic-based guidelines, thiopurines severe hematological toxicity and/or poor tolerance may still occur in patients because of other genetic contribution unrelated to *TPMT* or to *NUDT15*.

387 Genes encoding for inosine triphosphatase (*ITPA*) and the ATP binding cassette subfamily C member 4
388 (*ABCC4*) received great attention. Both proteins are involved in the thiopurines pharmacokinetic pathway:
389 *ITPA* degrades tITP into tIMP, regenerating an intermediate of reaction required for synthesizing TGNs;
390 *ABCC4* is a nucleoside transporter, extruding thiopurines and their metabolites from the cell. Candidate SNPs
391 in these genes (e.g.: *ITPA* rs1127354 (94C>A, p. Pro32Thr) [37,47,80,81], and *ABCC4* rs3765534 (C>T, p.
392 Glu757Lys) [82-84], were shown to influence thiopurine metabolism, dose, efficacy and toxicity; however,
393 results across studies have been contradictory and level of evidence is still low
394 (<https://www.pharmgkb.org/pathway/PA2040>). Moreover, genetic profiles might favor the skewing of the
395 thiopurine into a methylation way metabolism, producing more MMPR at the expense of TGN. Only a small
396 percentage of patients (~3%) was found to have ultrahigh TPMT enzyme activity; high TPMT enzyme activity
397 is not the major cause of preferential MMPR production [85]. Recently a novel gain-of-function noncoding
398 variant in *TPMT* associated with increased MP tolerance (rs12199316) was described [86]. Variation in genes
399 other than *TPMT* may contribute to the preferential MMPR metabolizer status. Roberts and collaborators
400 performed a whole-exome sequencing (WES) in a cohort of IBD patients refractory to thiopurine therapy,
401 finding that non-synonymous variants rs747629729 and rs61750370 in *GMPS*, leading to *GMPS* impaired
402 activity, were associated to MMPR/TGN ratios >100 [87]. In the gastrointestinal practice, higher levels of
403 MMPR are associated to increased risk of hepatotoxicity and higher MMPR/TGN ratio to ineffectiveness of
404 thiopurine therapy. In ALL, such associations were not established.

405

406 **2.5 TPMT genotypes versus TPMT enzymatic activity: Pros-and-cons**

407 So far, *TPMT**2 and *TPMT**3 are the most clinically relevant variants of interest for thiopurines metabolism,
408 and are those routinely assessed by the majority of medical laboratories, through cost-effective low-throughput
409 polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based techniques. Concordance between genotyping for common *TPMT*
410 alleles and phenotyping is ~95% in Caucasians, as previously reported [7,88], and recently confirmed in a
411 large Swedish cohort of 12,663 patients sampled before or during thiopurine treatment [89]. Although there is
412 a good degree of concordance, genotyping the most common *TPMT* variants could be misleading in a minority
413 of patients who would be wrongly identified as *wild type*/ *TPMT* NM and thus will be prescribed with a full dose
414 of thiopurine. To diagnose *TPMT* deficiency, another method is the measurement of *TPMT* activity in
415 erythrocytes by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) [90]. Clear advantage is the direct
416 assessment of the *TPMT* phenotype (NM; IM, PM), regardless the genetic and non-genetic factors affecting it.
417 However, a number of limitations can be acknowledged in this method compared to *TPMT* SNP genotyping.
418 Concerns are both technical and practical. HPLC assays are less standardized and more time-consuming
419 compared to standard genotyping assays [91]. Moreover, time-sampling is relevant for results, in particular in
420 leukemic patients. Clinicians should be aware that sufficient time must be allowed to elapse in patients who
421 have received blood transfusions for correctly measuring *TPMT* in patient erythrocytes and not in donor RBC.
422 Moreover, *TPMT* enzymatic activity could vary with patient age (although this association is controversial in
423 the literature [92,93] and could vary during ALL therapy according to RBC age, as younger erythrocytes
424 developed after early stages of chemotherapy (i.e.: induction and consolidation of remission, when stronger
425 immunosuppression is achieved), could have a higher *TPMT* activity [94-96]. Then, in contrast to *TPMT* genetic
426 data that provide physicians with a therapy-long reliable information on the patient, *TPMT* phenotype
427 assessment could be more punctual, and conditioned by the contingencies that are currently happening. While

preemptive *TPMT* genotyping could be useful for recommending initial dosage decreases, ultimate phenotype in patients' erythrocytes is more useful to manage correctly thiopurines during long-term treatment [30].

430

431 3. EXPERT OPINION

432 The role of *TPMT* polymorphisms on severe hematological toxicity during MP therapy is clearly established in
433 the clinics. Nowadays, there is widespread confidence among healthcare professionals about the usefulness
434 of preemptive *TPMT* genotyping to identify patients at risk of severe hematological adverse effects for sparing
435 them from excessive exposure to thiopurines. Although treatment goal and pharmacodynamics of thiopurine
436 use differ between haematological and gastrointestinal practice, awareness has greatly improved the safety
437 and effectiveness of thiopurine treatment, in both conditions. Pharmacogenetic pre-therapeutic diagnostic
438 genotyping assay on patient clinical outcome are mostly limited to the investigation of common candidate
439 *TPMT**2 and *TPMT**3 SNP, thus losing the therapeutic implication given by additional uncharacterized *TPMT*
440 variants. The upcoming use of next generation sequencing (NGS) approaches will likely overcome this
441 challenge in the future. By analyzing thousands of individuals, NGS techniques can identify both rare mutations
442 predicted to cause functional alterations and genes strongly enriched in rare variants, thus prioritizing genes
443 that need to be sequenced in detail for boosting precision therapy [97]. For *TPMT* gene, 154 variant alleles
444 with MAF less than 3% were identified by whole-genome sequencing (WGS) and WES data from 138,842
445 individuals across eight different populations [98]. Only for 26 (17%) of them, the impact on methyl-transferase
446 activity was demonstrated *in vitro* (23 with deleterious impact); functional assessment of the other alleles was
447 only predicted by computational tools with no experimental characterization in support [98]. It is interesting to
448 note how few variants of *TPMT* gene are being found; this suggests that the endogenous, still unknown,
449 physiological process(es) in which *TPMT* is involved need to be tightly regulated. Consequently, although the
450 potential role that rare genetic variation can play in explaining the missing heritability in drug response is now
451 recognized [99,100] and although NGS services are becoming more and more feasible, rare variants in
452 preemptive setting remains of difficult interpretation for clinicians. Moreover, NGS techniques may not be
453 accessible to all healthcare providers, in particular in low-income countries, because of the associated costs
454 (i.e.: purchase of facilities, time-consuming technologies, personnel specialization required to conduct and
455 interpret data). Policies of reimbursement by insurance companies and/or National Health System represent
456 also a limiting issue to the clinical implementation of pharmacogenetics. In the near future, candidate
457 pharmacogenetic panel tests will likely remain the preferential option in most medical centers. When these
458 panels need to be chosen, attention should be paid on SNPs of interest, in *TPMT* or other genes, according
459 to patients' ancestry. In particular, initial dosages of thiopurines should be assessed on *NUDT15* risk alleles
460 rather than *TPMT* in Asiatic patients. Importantly, clinicians should be also aware that some of *TPMT*
461 heterozygous ALL patients could tolerate conventional standard doses without severe myelosuppression [101]
462 and that in these cases, thiopurine undertreatment for prolonged periods would be unnecessary and harmful
463 for the final outcome. Thus, the optimal management of thiopurines will combine a pre-emptive *TPMT*
464 genotyping to a therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) of TGN/MMPR metabolites in order to titrate dosages to
465 the right degree. Although commonly assumed as surrogate marker for therapeutic efficacy and toxicity,
466 measurement of TGN levels in RBC are not entirely representative of pharmacodynamic effects of thiopurines
467 in target cells (leucocytes). Indeed, the range of RBC-TGNs is higher in patients treated with TG compared to
468 those treated with MP (~7 times, at equipotent doses [102]); nonetheless, TGNs accumulate at comparable

469 levels in target WBC [103]. Depending on the method used, TDM assays might not be measuring all the
470 relevant metabolites; RBC-TGN values should be interpreted with caution and caution should be adopted
471 comparing results of different laboratories. In the IBD context, there is general consensus on the reference
472 TGN range to be achieved (i.e.: 250-450 pmol/8×10⁸ RBC). TGN above 450 pmol/8×10⁸ RBC were associated
473 with an increased risk of myelotoxicity, while MMPR levels above 5700 pmol/8 × 10⁸ RBC were related to a
474 higher risk of hepatotoxicity [104]. To authors' knowledge, effective target ranges of TGN/ MMPR metabolites
475 are not well defined in ALL therapy. It is generally acknowledged that TGN values above 1000 pmol/8×10⁸
476 RBC correlate with a greater incidence of hematological adverse events [37], but MMPR limiting hepatotoxicity
477 has not been reported so far in hemato-oncological diseases.

478 Recent studies of the Children's Oncology Group (COG) and of the Nordic Society of Pediatric Hematology
479 and Oncology (NOPHO) demonstrated that a higher therapeutic success in childhood ALL is associated to an
480 adequate and constant thiopurine exposure during maintenance [105,106] and that increased thionucleotides
481 incorporated per leucocyte DNA (DNA-TGN) reduced the risk of relapse [107]. On the basis of these novel
482 findings on the need of a stable exposure to TGN over time, the question arises whether it's possible to
483 redesign clinical applicable strategies based on the use of TG for prolonged treatment. Attempts to obtain
484 higher DNA-TGN by implementing MP doses would generally be difficult, because of the complex
485 pharmacokinetics of MP and the increase risk of serious toxicities. Due to its direct intracellular activation, TG
486 could be more advantageous than MP in terms of predictable TGN levels but might not yield sufficient DNA-
487 TGN because of the lack of inhibition of purine *de-novo* synthesis: the presence of natural purine nucleotides
488 compete with TGN for DNA incorporation. Individualized TG regimens in maintenance, with the addition of
489 very low and titratable doses of TG to conventional MP have been investigated [108], and could be an option
490 particularly for *TPMT wild type* subjects to further improve outcome while avoiding the risk of severe
491 hepatotoxicity. Dedicated randomized studies will be needed to establish the validity of such therapeutic
492 approach or the superiority of standard maintenance therapy of daily MP combined to weekly low-doses of
493 MTX. A comprehensive evaluation of end-points of interest such as the thiopurine metabolites (TGN and
494 MMPR) and their intra-individual variation in RBC over time, the DNA-TGN concentration and survival, should
495 be undertaken.

496 Nowadays, the role of *TPMT* polymorphisms or TGN levels in the prediction of TG-induced SOS needs to be
497 better characterized. Compared to current ALL protocols, replacement of TG by MP during reinduction phase
498 in *TPMT* heterozygotes as well as novel individualized TG regimens in maintenance for *TPMT wild type*
499 subjects could be investigated to further improve outcomes while avoiding the risk of severe hepatotoxicity.

500

501

502 **Figure Legend**

503 **Figure 1: Intracellular conversion pathway of thiopurines.** Orange hexagons represent drugs, yellow
504 circles represent derivatives from catabolic pathway; blue diamonds represent derivatives from anabolic
505 pathway. Red square boxes are used for enzymes whose genetic polymorphisms are used in
506 pharmacogenomic-based dosing guidelines. AO: aldehyde oxidase; AZA: azathioprine; dTGDP:
507 deoxythioguanosine diphosphate; dTGMP: deoxythioguanosine monophosphate; dTGTP:

508 deoxythioguanosine triphosphate; GMPS: GMP synthase; GST: glutathione-transferase; HPRT1:
 509 hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyl transferase; IMPDH: Inosine-5'-monophosphate dehydrogenase; MP:
 510 mercaptopurine; MMP: methyl-mercaptopurine; MeTIMP: methyl-thioinosine monophosphate; MeTGNs: 6-
 511 methyl thioguanine nucleotides; NUDT15: nucleotide triphosphate diphosphatase gene; TIMP: thioinosine
 512 monophosphate; TG: tioguanine; TGDp: thioguanine diphosphate; TGMP: thioguanine monophosphate;
 513 TGTP: thioguanine triphosphate; TGNs: thioguanine nucleotides; 2; TPMT: thiopurine methyltransferase; XO:
 514 xanthine oxidase; XDH: xanthine dehydrogenase; 8-OHTG: 8-hydroxythioguanine.

515

516 **Figure 2: Schematic representation of mercaptopurine and methotrexate SAM-mediated interplay.**

517 Additional synergic mechanisms of action at the level of inhibition of *de novo* purine synthesis and pyrimidine
 518 synthesis are possible, but not highlighted in this figure. Hcy: homocysteine; Met: L-methionine; MP:
 519 mercaptopurine; MMP: methylmercaptopurine; MS: methionine synthase; MTX: methotrexate; SAH: S-
 520 adenosyl-L-homocysteine; SAM: S-adenosyl-L-methionine; TPMT: thiopurine S-methyltransferase, 5-CH₃-
 521 THF: 5-methyltetrahydrofolate; dUMP: deoxyuridine monophosphate; dTMP: deoxythymidine
 522 monophosphate; dTTP: deoxythymidine triphosphate; TYMS: thymidylate synthase.

523

524 **References**

525 **** – of interest, or “***” – of considerable interest**

- 526 1. Lucafò M, Franca R, Selvestrel D, et al. Pharmacogenetics of treatments for inflammatory bowel
 527 disease. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol.* 2018;14(12):1209-1223.
- 528 2. Szumlanski C, Otterness D, Her C, et al. Thiopurine Methyltransferase Pharmacogenetics: Human
 529 Gene Cloning and Characterization of a Common Polymorphism. *DNA Cell Biol.* 1996;15(1):17-30.
- 530 3. Lee D, Szumlanski C, Houtman J, et al. Thiopurine methyltransferase pharmacogenetics. Cloning of
 531 human liver cDNA and a processed pseudogene on human chromosome 18q21.1. *Drug Metab*
 532 *Dispos.* 1995;23(3):398.
- 533 4. Asadov C, Aliyeva G, Mustafayeva K. Thiopurine S-Methyltransferase as a Pharmacogenetic
 534 Biomarker: Significance of Testing and Review of Major Methods. *Cardiovasc Hematol Agents Med*
 535 *Chem.* 2017;15(1):23-30.
- 536 5. Chadli Z, Kerkeni E, Hannachi I, et al. Distribution of Genetic Polymorphisms of Genes Implicated in
 537 Thiopurine Drugs Metabolism. *Ther Drug Monit.* 2018;40(5).
- 538 6. Franca R, Rebora P, Bertorello N, et al. Pharmacogenetics and induction/consolidation therapy
 539 toxicities in acute lymphoblastic leukemia patients treated with AIEOP-BFM ALL 2000 protocol. *The*
 540 *Pharmacogenomics J.* 2017;17(1):4-10.
- 541 7. Schaeffeler E, Fischer C, Brockmeier D, et al. Comprehensive analysis of thiopurine S-
 542 methyltransferase phenotype–genotype correlation in a large population of German-Caucasians and
 543 identification of novel TPMT variants. *Pharmacogenetics.* 2004;14(7).
- 544 8. Oliveira E, Quental S, Alves S, et al. Do the distribution patterns of polymorphisms at the thiopurine
 545 S-methyltransferase locus in sub-Saharan populations need revision? Hints from Cabinda and
 546 Mozambique. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol.* 2007;63(7):703-706.
- 547 9. Lennard L. Implementation of TPMT testing. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 2014;77(4):704-714.
- 548 10. Tai HL, Krynetski EY, Schuetz EG, et al. Enhanced proteolysis of thiopurine S-methyltransferase
 549 (TPMT) encoded by mutant alleles in humans (TPMT*3A, TPMT*2): mechanisms for the genetic
 550 polymorphism of TPMT activity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1997;94(12):6444-6449.
- 551 11. de la Moureyre CS-V, Debuysère H, Fazio F, et al. Characterization of a variable number tandem
 552 repeat region in the thiopurine S-methyltransferase gene promoter. *Pharmacogenetics.* 1999;9(2).

- 553 12. Zukic B, Radmilovic M, Stojiljkovic M, et al. Functional analysis of the role of the TPMT gene
554 promoter VNTR polymorphism in TPMT gene transcription. *Pharmacogenomics*. 2010;11(4):547-
555 557.
- 556 13. Urbančič D, Šmid A, Stocco G, et al. Novel motif of variable number of tandem repeats in TPMT
557 promoter region and evolutionary association of variable number of tandem repeats with TPMT*3
558 alleles. *Pharmacogenomics*. 2018;19(17):1311-1322.
- 559 14. Alves S, Amorim A, Ferreira F, et al. Influence of the variable number of tandem repeats located in
560 the promoter region of the thiopurine methyltransferase gene on enzymatic activity. *Clin Pharmacol*
561 *Ther*. 2001;70(2):165-174.
- 562 15. Kotur N, Stankovic B, Kassela K, et al. 6-mercaptopurine influences TPMT gene transcription in a
563 TPMT gene promoter variable number of tandem repeats-dependent manner. *Pharmacogenomics*.
564 2012;13(3):283-295.
- 565 16. Wu H, Horton JR, Battaile K, et al. Structural basis of allele variation of human thiopurine-S-
566 methyltransferase. *Proteins*. 2007;67(1):198-208.
- 567 17. Peng Y, Feng Q, Wilk D, et al. Structural basis of substrate recognition in thiopurine s-
568 methyltransferase. *Biochemistry*. 2008;47(23):6216-6225.
- 569 18. Hartford C, Vasquez E, Schwab M, et al. Differential Effects of Targeted Disruption of Thiopurine
570 Methyltransferase on Mercaptopurine and Thioguanine Pharmacodynamics. *Cancer Res*.
571 2007;67(10):4965.
- 572 19. Urbančič D, Kotar A, Šmid A, et al. Methylation of selenocysteine catalysed by thiopurine S-
573 methyltransferase. *Biochim Biophys Acta Gen Subj*. 2019;1863(1):182-190.
574 * A report providing for the first time a direct interaction between TPMT and a natural-occurring
575 ligand present in biological systems, the selenocysteine.
- 576 20. Labunskyy VM, Hatfield DL, Gladyshev VN. Selenoproteins: molecular pathways and physiological
577 roles. *Physiol Rev*. 2014;94(3):739-777.
- 578 21. Kryukov GV, Castellano S, Novoselov SV, et al. Characterization of Mammalian Selenoproteomes.
579 *Science*. 2003;300(5624):1439.
- 580 22. Hariharan S, Dharmaraj S. Selenium and selenoproteins: it's role in regulation of inflammation.
581 *Inflammopharmacology*. 2020;28(3):667-695.
- 582 23. Arnér ESJ. Selenoproteins—What unique properties can arise with selenocysteine in place of
583 cysteine? *Exp Cell Res*. 2010;316(8):1296-1303.
- 584 24. Deininger M, Szumlanski CL, Otterness DM, et al. Purine substrates for human thiopurine
585 methyltransferase. *Biochem Pharmacol*. 1994;48(11):2135-2138.
- 586 25. Ranjard L, Nazaret S, Cournoyer B. Freshwater bacteria can methylate selenium through the
587 thiopurine methyltransferase pathway. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2003;69(7):3784-3790.
- 588 26. Ranjard L, Prigent-Combaret C, Nazaret S, et al. Methylation of inorganic and organic selenium by
589 the bacterial thiopurine methyltransferase. *J Bacteriol*. 2002;184(11):3146-3149.
- 590 27. Favre-Bonté S, Ranjard L, Champier L, et al. Distribution and genetic diversity of bacterial thiopurine
591 methyltransferases in soils emitting dimethyl selenide. *Biochimie*. 2006;88(11):1573-1581.
- 592 28. Fukumoto Y, Yamada H, Matsushashi K, et al. Production of a Urinary Selenium Metabolite,
593 Trimethylselenonium, by Thiopurine S-Methyltransferase and Indolethylamine N-Methyltransferase.
594 *Chem Res Toxicol*. 2020;33(9):2467-2474.
- 595 29. Eklund BI, Moberg M, Bergquist J, et al. Divergent Activities of Human Glutathione Transferases in
596 the Bioactivation of Azathioprine. *Mol Pharmacol*. 2006;70(2):747.
- 597 30. Franca R, Zudeh G, Pagarin S, et al. Pharmacogenetics of thiopurines. *Cancer Drug Resist*.
598 2019;2(2):256-270.
- 599 31. Cara C, Peña AS, Sans M, et al. Reviewing the mechanism of action of thiopurine drugs: Towards a
600 new paradigm in clinical practice. *Med Sci Monit*. 2004;10(11):RA247-54.
- 601 32. Shin J-Y, Wey M, Umutesi HG, et al. Thiopurine Prodrugs Mediate Immunosuppressive Effects by
602 Interfering with Rac1 Protein Function. *J Biol Chem*. 2016;291(26):13699-13714.
- 603 33. Jharap B, de Boer N, Vos R, et al. Biotransformation of 6-thioguanine in inflammatory bowel disease
604 patients: a comparison of oral and intravenous administration of 6-thioguanine. *Br J Pharmacol*.
605 2011;163(4):722-731.

34. Tiede I, Fritz G, Strand S, et al. CD28-dependent Rac1 activation is the molecular target of azathioprine in primary human CD4+ T lymphocytes. *Journal Clin Invest*. 2003;111(8):1133-1145.
35. Melachuri S, Gandrud L, Bostrom B. The association between fasting hypoglycemia and methylated mercaptopurine metabolites in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2014;61(6):1003-1006.
36. Karim H, Ghalali A, Lafolie P, et al. Differential role of thiopurine methyltransferase in the cytotoxic effects of 6-mercaptopurine and 6-thioguanine on human leukemia cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2013;437(2):280-286.
37. Adam de Beaumais T, Fakhoury M, Medard Y, et al. Determinants of mercaptopurine toxicity in paediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia maintenance therapy. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2011;71(4):575-584.
38. Massimino M, Stella S, Tirro E, et al. ABL1-Directed Inhibitors for CML: Efficacy, Resistance and Future Perspectives. *Anticancer Res*. 2020;40(5):2457-2465.
39. Caudle KE, Gammal RS, Whirl-Carrillo M, et al. Evidence and resources to implement pharmacogenetic knowledge for precision medicine. *Am J Health Syst Pharm*. 2016;73(23):1977-1985.
40. Relling MV, Gardner EE, Sandborn WJ, et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium Guidelines for Thiopurine Methyltransferase Genotype and Thiopurine Dosing. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2011;89(3):387-391.
41. Relling MV, Gardner EE, Sandborn WJ, et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium Guidelines for Thiopurine Methyltransferase Genotype and Thiopurine Dosing: 2013 Update. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2013;93(4):324-325.
42. Relling MV, Schwab M, Whirl-Carrillo M, et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium Guideline for Thiopurine Dosing Based on TPMT and NUDT15 Genotypes: 2018 Update. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2019;105(5):1095-1105.
- ** Detailed pharmacogenetic guidelines to prevent thiopurine-induced myelosuppression, published by the Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC).
43. Coenen M, Jong D, van Marrewijk C, et al. Identification of patients with variants in TPMT and dose reduction reduces hematologic events during thiopurine treatment of inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterology*. 2015 06/10;149.
44. Yang S-K, Hong M, Baek J, et al. A common missense variant in NUDT15 confers susceptibility to thiopurine-induced leukopenia. *Nat Genet*. 2014;46(9):1017-1020.
45. Yang JJ, Landier W, Yang W, et al. Inherited NUDT15 variant is a genetic determinant of mercaptopurine intolerance in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *J Clin Oncol*. 2015;33(11):1235-1242.
46. Moriyama T, Nishii R, Perez-Andreu V, et al. NUDT15 polymorphisms alter thiopurine metabolism and hematopoietic toxicity. *Nat Genet*. 2016;48(4):367-373.
47. Chiengthong K, Ittiwut C, Muensri S, et al. NUDT15 c.415C>T increases risk of 6-mercaptopurine induced myelosuppression during maintenance therapy in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Haematologica*. 2016;101(1):e24-e26.
48. Tanaka Y, Kato M, Hasegawa D, et al. Susceptibility to 6-MP toxicity conferred by a NUDT15 variant in Japanese children with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Br J Haematol*. 2015;171(1):109-115.
49. Liang DC, Yang CP, Liu HC, et al. NUDT15 gene polymorphism related to mercaptopurine intolerance in Taiwan Chinese children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Pharmacogenomics J*. 2016;16(6):536-539.
50. Lee YJ, Hwang EH, Park JH, et al. NUDT15 variant is the most common variant associated with thiopurine-induced early leukopenia and alopecia in Korean pediatric patients with Crohn's disease. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2016;28(4).
51. Asada A, Nishida A, Shioya M, et al. NUDT15 R139C-related thiopurine leukocytopenia is mediated by 6-thioguanine nucleotide-independent mechanism in Japanese patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *J Gastroenterol*. 2016;51(1):22-29.
52. Kakuta Y, Naito T, Onodera M, et al. NUDT15 R139C causes thiopurine-induced early severe hair loss and leukopenia in Japanese patients with IBD. *Pharmacogenomics J*. 2016;16(3):280-285.

53. Nishii R, Moriyama T, Janke LJ, et al. Preclinical evaluation of NUDT15-guided thiopurine therapy and its effects on toxicity and antileukemic efficacy. *Blood*. 2018;131(22):2466-2474.
54. Moriyama T, Yang Y-L, Nishii R, et al. Novel variants in NUDT15 and thiopurine intolerance in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia from diverse ancestry. *Blood*. 2017;130(10):1209-1212.
55. Ju HY, Lee JW, Cho HW, et al. DNA-thioguanine nucleotide as a treatment marker in acute lymphoblastic leukemia patients with NUDT15 variant genotypes. *PLoS One*. 2021;16(1):e0245667-e0245667.
56. Bruneau JC, O'Marcaigh A, Smith OP. Pro-inflammatory and pro-coagulant properties of 6-thioguanine and 6-mercaptopurine: implications for their potential role in the development of sinusoidal obstruction syndrome. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2010;51(1):164-167.
57. Vora A, Mitchell CD, Lennard L, et al. Toxicity and efficacy of 6-thioguanine versus 6-mercaptopurine in childhood lymphoblastic leukaemia: a randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2006;368(9544):1339-1348.
58. Stork LC, Matloub Y, Broxson E, et al. Oral 6-mercaptopurine versus oral 6-thioguanine and veno-occlusive disease in children with standard-risk acute lymphoblastic leukemia: report of the Children's Oncology Group CCG-1952 clinical trial. *Blood*. 2010;115(14):2740-2748.
59. Stoneham S, Lennard L, Coen P, et al. Veno-occlusive disease in patients receiving thiopurines during maintenance therapy for childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia *Br J Haematol*. 2003;123(1):100-102.
60. Derijks LJJ, Gilissen LPL, de Boer NKH, et al. 6-Thioguanine-related hepatotoxicity in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: Dose or level dependent? *J Hepatol*. 2006;44(4):821-822.
61. Weeramange CJ, Binns CM, Chen C, et al. Inhibition of UDP-glucose dehydrogenase by 6-thiopurine and its oxidative metabolites: Possible mechanism for its interaction within the bilirubin excretion pathway and 6TP associated liver toxicity. *J Pharm Biomed Anal*. 2018;151:106-115.
62. Yoo YG, Na TY, Yang WK, et al. 6-Mercaptopurine, an activator of Nur77, enhances transcriptional activity of HIF-1 α resulting in new vessel formation. *Oncogene*. 2007;26(26):3823-3834.
63. Pols TWH, Bonta PI, Pires NMM, et al. 6-Mercaptopurine Inhibits Atherosclerosis in Apolipoprotein E*3-Leiden Transgenic Mice Through Atheroprotective Actions on Monocytes and Macrophages. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2010;30(8):1591-1597.
64. Oancea I, Png CW, Das I, et al. A novel mouse model of veno-occlusive disease provides strategies to prevent thioguanine-induced hepatic toxicity. *Gut*. 2013;62(4):594.
65. Toksvang LN, Schmidt MS, Arup S, et al. Hepatotoxicity during 6-thioguanine treatment in inflammatory bowel disease and childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: A systematic review. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(5):e0212157-e0212157.
66. Escherich G, Richards S, Stork LC, et al. Meta-analysis of randomised trials comparing thiopurines in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Leukemia*. 2011;25(6):953-959.
67. Stanulla M, Schaeffeler E, Möricke A, et al. Hepatic sinusoidal obstruction syndrome and short-term application of 6-thioguanine in pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leukemia*. 2021.
**A large multicenter European observational study on frequencies and characteristics of hepatic SOS in a treatment protocol of pediatric ALL.
68. McAtee CL, Schneller N, Brackett J, et al. Treatment-related sinusoidal obstruction syndrome in children with de novo acute lymphoblastic leukemia during intensification. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol*. 2017;80(6):1261-1264.
69. Gruhn B, Brodt G, Mentzel H-J, et al. Two Cases of Veno-occlusive Disease/Sinusoidal Obstruction Syndrome After Thioguanine Treatment for Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol*. 2021.
70. Franca R, Rebora P, Bertorello N, et al. Pharmacogenetics and induction/consolidation therapy toxicities in acute lymphoblastic leukemia patients treated with AIEOP-BFM ALL 2000 protocol. *Pharmacogenomics J*. 2017;17(1):4-10.
71. Liu C, Yang W, Pei D, et al. Genomewide Approach Validates Thiopurine Methyltransferase Activity Is a Monogenic Pharmacogenomic Trait. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2017;101(3):373-381.
72. Tamm R, Mägi R, Tremmel R, et al. Polymorphic variation in TPMT is the principal determinant of TPMT phenotype: A meta-analysis of three genome-wide association studies. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2017;101(5):684-695.

- 712 73. Stocco G, Yang W, Crews KR, et al. PACSIN2 polymorphism influences TPMT activity and
713 mercaptopurine-related gastrointestinal toxicity. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2012;21(21):4793-4804.
- 714 74. Franca R, Stocco G, Favretto D, et al. PACSIN2 rs2413739 influence on thiopurine
715 pharmacokinetics: validation studies in pediatric patients. *Pharmacogenomics J.* 2020;20(3):415-
716 425.
- 717 75. Smid A, Karas-Kuzelicki N, Jazbec J, et al. PACSIN2 polymorphism is associated with thiopurine-
718 induced hematological toxicity in children with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia undergoing
719 maintenance therapy. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6:30244-30244.
- 720 76. Zarou MM, Vazquez A, Vignir Helgason G. Folate metabolism: a re-emerging therapeutic target in
721 haematological cancers. *Leukemia.* 2021;35(6):1539-1551.
- 722 77. Zimdahl Kahlin A, Helander S, Wennerstrand P, et al. Pharmacogenetic studies of thiopurine
723 methyltransferase genotype-phenotype concordance and effect of methotrexate on thiopurine
724 metabolism. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol.* 2021;128(1):52-65.
- 725 78. Liliemark J, Pettersson B, Peterson C. On the biochemical modulation of 6-mercaptopurine by
726 methotrexate in murine WEHI-3b leukemia cells in vitro. *Leuk Res.* 1992;16(3):275-280.
- 727 79. Milek M, Smid A, Tamm R, et al. Post-translational stabilization of thiopurine S-methyltransferase by
728 S-adenosyl-L-methionine reveals regulation of TPMT*1 and *3C allozymes. *Biochem Pharmacol.*
729 2012;83(7):969-976.
- 730 80. Hareedy MS, El Desoky ES, Woillard J-B, et al. Genetic variants in 6-mercaptopurine pathway as
731 potential factors of hematological toxicity in acute lymphoblastic leukemia patients.
732 *Pharmacogenomics.* 2015;16(10):1119-1134.
- 733 81. Stocco G, Cheok MH, Crews KR, et al. Genetic polymorphism of inosine triphosphate
734 pyrophosphatase is a determinant of mercaptopurine metabolism and toxicity during treatment for
735 acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2009;85(2):164-172.
- 736 82. Matimba A, Li F, Livshits A, et al. Thiopurine pharmacogenomics: association of SNPs with clinical
737 response and functional validation of candidate genes. *Pharmacogenomics.* 2014;15(4):433-447.
- 738 83. Ban H, Andoh A, Imaeda H, et al. The multidrug-resistance protein 4 polymorphism is a new factor
739 accounting for thiopurine sensitivity in Japanese patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *J*
740 *Gastroenterol.* 2010;45(10):1014-1021.
- 741 84. Tanaka Y, Manabe A, Fukushima H, et al. Multidrug resistance protein 4 (MRP4) polymorphisms
742 impact the 6-mercaptopurine dose tolerance during maintenance therapy in Japanese childhood
743 acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Pharmacogenomics J.* 2015;15(4):380-384.
- 744 85. van Egmond R, Chin P, Zhang M, et al. High TPMT enzyme activity does not explain drug resistance
745 due to preferential 6-methylmercaptopurine production in patients on thiopurine treatment. *Aliment*
746 *Pharmacol Ther.* 2012;35(10):1181-1189.
- 747 86. Moriyama T, Yang W, Smith C, et al. Comprehensive characterization of pharmacogenetic variants
748 in TPMT and NUDT15 in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Pharmacogenet Genomics.*
749 2021.
- 750 87. Roberts RL, Wallace MC, Seinen ML, et al. Nonsynonymous polymorphism in guanine
751 monophosphate synthetase is a risk factor for unfavorable thiopurine metabolite ratios in patients
752 with inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2018;24(12):2606-2612.
- 753 88. Hindorf U, Appell ML. Genotyping should be considered the primary choice for pre-treatment
754 evaluation of thiopurine methyltransferase function. *J Crohns Colitis.* 2012;6(6):655-659.
- 755 89. Zimdahl Kahlin A, Helander S, Skoglund K, et al. Comprehensive study of thiopurine
756 methyltransferase genotype, phenotype, and genotype-phenotype discrepancies in Sweden.
757 *Biochem Pharmacol.* 2019;164:263-272.
- 758 90. Anglicheau D, Sanquer S, Lorient M-A, et al. Thiopurine methyltransferase activity: new conditions for
759 reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatographic assay without extraction and genotypic-
760 phenotypic correlation. *J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci.* 2002;773(2):119-127.
- 761 91. Krynetski EY, Evans WE. Genetic Polymorphism of Thiopurine S-Methyltransferase: Molecular
762 Mechanisms and Clinical Importance. *Pharmacology.* 2000;61(3):136-146.
- 763 92. Wu F, Melis R, McMillin GA, et al. Retrospective Data Analysis of the Influence of Age and Sex on
764 TPMT Activity and Its Phenotype-Genotype Correlation *J Appl Lab Med.* 2019;3(5):827-838.

765 93. Gibson J, Russ TC, Clarke T-K, et al. A meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies of
766 epigenetic age acceleration. *PLoS Genet.* 2019;15(11):e1008104-e1008104.

767 94. de Boer NKH, van Bodegraven AA, de Graaf P, et al. Paradoxical Elevated Thiopurine S-
768 Methyltransferase Activity After Pancytopenia During Azathioprine Therapy: Potential Influence of
769 Red Blood Cell Age. *Ther Drug Monit.* 2008;30(3).

770 95. Lennard L, Chew TS, Lilleyman JS. Human thiopurine methyltransferase activity varies with red
771 blood cell age. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 2001;52(5):539-546.

772 96. Rostami-Hodjegan A, Lennard L, Lilleyman JS. The accumulation of mercaptopurine metabolites in
773 age fractionated red blood cells. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 1995;40(3):217-222.

774 97. Ingelman-Sundberg M, Mkrtchian S, Zhou Y, et al. Integrating rare genetic variants into
775 pharmacogenetic drug response predictions. *Hum Genomics.* 2018;12(1):26-26.

776 98. Zhou Y, Dagli Hernandez C, Lauschke VM. Population-scale predictions of DPD and TPMT
777 phenotypes using a quantitative pharmacogene-specific ensemble classifier. *Br J Cancer.*
778 2020;123(12):1782-1789.

779 *First population-scale profile of TPMT metaboliser phenotypes that consider the entire repertoire of
780 coding genetic variation using a novel pharmacogenetically trained ensemble classifier.

781 99. Lauschke VM, Ingelman-Sundberg M. Precision Medicine and Rare Genetic Variants. *Trends*
782 *Pharmacol Sci.* 2016;37(2):85-86.

783 100. Lauschke VM, Ingelman-Sundberg M. How to Consider Rare Genetic Variants in Personalized Drug
784 Therapy *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2018;103(5):745-748.

785 101. Relling MV, Hancock ML, Rivera GK, et al. Mercaptopurine Therapy Intolerance and Heterozygosity
786 at the Thiopurine S -Methyltransferase Gene Locus. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 1999;91(23):2001-2008.

787 102. Erb N, Harms DO, Janka-Schaub G. Pharmacokinetics and metabolism of thiopurines in children
788 with acute lymphoblastic leukemia receiving 6-thioguanine versus 6-mercaptopurine. *Cancer*
789 *Chemother Pharmacol.* 1998;42(4):266-272.

790 103. Lancaster D, Patel N, Lennard L, et al. Leucocyte versus erythrocyte thioguanine nucleotide
791 concentrations in children taking thiopurines for acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Cancer Chemother*
792 *Pharmacology.* 2002;50(1):33-36.

793 104. Dubinsky MC, Lamothe S, Yang HY, et al. Pharmacogenomics and metabolite measurement for 6-
794 mercaptopurine therapy in inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterology.* 2000;118(4):705-713.

795 105. Bhatia S, Landier W, Hageman L, et al. Systemic Exposure to Thiopurines and Risk of Relapse in
796 Children With Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia: A Children's Oncology Group Study. *JAMA oncology.*
797 2015;1(3):287-295.

798 106. Landier W, Hageman L, Chen Y, et al. Mercaptopurine Ingestion Habits, Red Cell Thioguanine
799 Nucleotide Levels, and Relapse Risk in Children With Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia: A Report
800 From the Children's Oncology Group Study AALL03N1. *J Clin Oncol.* 2017;35(15):1730-1736.

801 107. Nielsen SN, Grell K, Nersting J, et al. DNA-thioguanine nucleotide concentration and relapse-free
802 survival during maintenance therapy of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (NOPHO
803 ALL2008): a prospective substudy of a phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2017;18(4):515-524.

804 108. Larsen RH, Utke Rank C, Grell K, et al. Increments in DNA-thioguanine level during thiopurine
805 enhanced maintenance therapy of acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Haematologica.* 2021.