Design of a Simple Feeding Network for 5G Multidirectional Antennas
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Abstract—Multidirectional antennas are one of the enabling technologies for the widespread diffusion of intelligent vehicles, which are expected to fill smart cities in the near future characterized by the fifth generation cellular system (5G). In this context, this paper proposes a simple and versatile design procedure for a feeding network, that realizes the beam steering of linear antenna arrays. The developed procedure is intended for a feedline, which must meet some requirements typical in a 5G scenario, such as, for example, easy mass production, low cost, easy integration with other system components and compactness. Precisely, a Blass matrix using the microstrip technology and identical branch line directional couplers is implemented, so that the multidirectional antenna system can be entirely printed on a compact and cheap printed circuit board (PCB). However, when the coupling value is fixed in advance, some pointing directions may be not realizable. So, this paper proposes an algorithm, which evaluates a desired number of beams, in such a way to have a simple design procedure of a feeding network for a multidirectional linear antenna array. The effectiveness of the developed solution is validated by numerical results.

Index Terms—5G, Antenna array, beamforming network, Blass-matrix, branch line directional coupler.

I. INTRODUCTION

Compared to previous communication standards, the fifth generation (5G) system has revolutionized the concept of the mobile network. In fact, 5G is a new global wireless standard, which has been developed to enable a new type of networks, designed not only to improve communication standards, but also to offer new services, such as, for example, remote healthcare, precision agriculture, digitalized logistics, factory automation. In the smart cities of the near future, the goal is to connect everyone and everything together, including machines, vehicles, objects and devices [1]. Of course, the feasibility of such a challenging goal depends on technological aspects. To deliver the desired services, the new technology must support a higher data transmission rate, an increased spectral and energy efficiency, an improved signal-to-interference-plus-noise ratio. So, the evolution of 5G wireless systems has pushed to adopt new frequencies in the millimeter wave (mm-Wave) spectrum. But, at these frequencies, along with the advantages (mainly related to the broad spectrum available), there are also many limitations, such as greater path losses, possible shadowing, rain attenuation and molecular absorption. Moreover, as new frequencies are explored, new path loss models and channel characterizations are required [2]–[4]. In addition, a fundamental change is expected in 5G systems, where the coexistence of two types of path is foreseen, namely a macro-based mobile telecommunication path and a local-based mobile telecommunication path. Along with the challenges of the need for adequate coordination, this change should allow a significant cost relaxation [5].

Within this context, antenna arrays with beam steering capabilities have become increasingly important, thanks to the potential that makes them the ideal candidate to ensure the desired performance, such as, for example, high throughput, high capacity and low latency [6]–[24]. Multibeam antennas capable of generating a number of beams are the key hardware to enable the diffusion of 5G systems. In particular, passive multibeam antennas are interesting, as they perform beamforming in the radio frequency (RF) domain without any active component. Of course, passive multibeam antennas require
an adequate feeding technique. The most common solutions found in the literature are based on the Butler matrix [25], [26], on the Blass matrix [27]–[29], on the Nolen matrix [30], [31] and on the Rotman lens [32], [33]. Interestingly, [34], [35] offer detailed reviews of different feeding techniques specifically adopted in 5G applications.

This paper proposes a new design method for a passive beamforming network that implements a Blass matrix, which is intended to be fully integrated with a linear antenna array on a single substrate. This is very attractive for future 5G applications, as the resulting structure is easy to manufacture, inexpensive, compact, has a very low profile and can be easily integrated with other devices. The proposed feeding network implements the phase distribution through phase shifting microstrip transmission lines and directional couplers. In particular, here, the branch line is assumed as directional coupler, which makes the structure very simple and versatile. However, it is shown in the paper that, when the coupling value is fixed in advance, the beam feasibility is not ensured and an algorithm is proposed to find a number of feasible pointing directions.

The remaining of this paper is organized as follows. Next section introduces the Blass matrix concept and the principle of operation. Then, Section III describes the developed design procedure, which is validated by a numerical example proposed in Section IV. Finally, Section V summarizes the most relevant conclusions.

II. BLASS MATRIX

The Blass matrix is a simple multiplex transmission line network, firstly introduced in [27] as a new approach to the radiation of stacked beams by multidirectional antennas. Basically, the Blass matrix is a series feeding technique, to excite the radiating elements of a linear array, which are connected to the feedline by directional couplers. Fig. 1 shows a scheme representing the principle of operation of a Blass matrix designed to radiate \( M \) beams (corresponding to the \( M \) input terminals on the rows) with a linear array consisting of \( N \) antennas (corresponding to the \( N \) output terminals on the columns). The remaining terminals of each row and of each column are connected to adapted loads, as it is depicted in Fig. 1. So, the Blass matrix consists of \( M \times N \) phase shifters and of \( M \times N \) directional couplers. The directional coupler is a four-port component, as it is depicted in Fig. 2. Each port has a coupled port, with coupling value \( C_{mn} \), a direct port, with coupling value \( \sqrt{1 - C_{mn}^2} \), and an isolated port [36]. Referring to Fig. 2 and assuming port 1 as the input port, port 2 is the coupled port, port 3 is the direct port and port 4 is the isolated port. In the same way, if port 4 is assumed as the input port, port 3 is the coupled port, port 2 is the direct port and port 1 is the isolated port.

Now, given \( M \) desired beams, the Blass matrix design consists in determining the \( M \times N \) coupling values \( C_{mn} \) and the \( M \times N \) phase shifter values \( \varphi_{mn} \), in such a way that the \( n \)-th array element is excited with a proper excitation \( a_{mn} \) to radiate the \( m \)-th desired beam.

In this work, the problem of beam steering is addressed, thus only the direction of the main beam is considered. Moreover, in order to have a very simple and versatile structure, which is a mandatory issue in 5G systems, the branch line is used as directional coupler. Thus, \( C_{mn} = C = 1/\sqrt{2} \), for \( m = 1, \ldots, M, n = 1, \ldots, N \). So, here, the design objective is to determine all the \( M \times N \) phase shifter values \( \varphi_{mn} \), which allow the array to point the beam toward the desired direction \( \theta_m \), for \( m = 1, \ldots, M \).

III. DESIGN PROCEDURE

The first step of the design procedure consists in evaluating all the transmission coefficients \( T_{mn} \), from the generic input port \( m \) to the generic output port \( n \). Now, it is worth spending some more words on the principle of operation of the Blass matrix. In fact, it is evident that the wave traveling from an input port \( m > 1 \) is affected by the presence of the feedline(s) placed above it, and may reach the \( n \)-th radiating element through different paths. The design procedure proposed in this paper rigorously takes into account all the secondary paths from input \( m \) to output \( n \) to correctly evaluate the transmission coefficient \( T_{mn} \), which is used to derive the final values of the phase shifters, as it is described in details below.

Consider a uniform linear antenna array (ULA) consisting of \( N \) isotropic elements placed on the \( z \) axis at the positions \( z_n = nd, n = 1, \ldots, N \), being \( d \) the inter-element distance. The radiation pattern of the ULA can be expressed as [37]:

\[
F_m(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_{mn} \exp(j nk d \cos \theta),
\]

where \( k = 2\pi/\lambda \) is the wave number and \( \lambda \) is the wavelength, \( \theta \) is the angle from the array axis and \( a_n = [a_{m1}, a_{m2}, \ldots, a_{mN}] \) is the excitation vector. Now, it is well known that in order to point the beam toward a desired
Then, consider the transmission coefficient with $m\phi$ allows one to derive the phase shifter values $\Im[\exp(j\phi C)]$, which can always be expressed as the following summation:

$$T_{mn} = t_k + t_u,$$

(7)

where $t_k$ is the transmission coefficient of all the paths passing through phase shifters which have already been evaluated, whereas $t_u$ is the transmission coefficient of the only path passing through the unknown phase shifter $\varphi_{mn}$, which can be identified by imposing condition (3) to (7).

However, it is here demonstrated that condition (3) is sometimes not realizable, when the coupling value $C$ is fixed in advance. In fact, consider Fig. 3, where two possible situations are depicted on the complex plane for the transmission coefficient $T_{mn}$ in (7). The vectors $t_k'$ and $t_u'$ represent two possible values of the known component of the summation in (7), whose unknown component has an amplitude ($t_u'$) and $t_u''$, respectively) which is determined by the coupling value $C$. Thus, the circumscribed $C_k'$ and $C_u'$ represent all the possible values for the transmission coefficient $T_{mn}$ in the two considered cases. The straight line $s$ contains all the points that satisfy condition (3). So, it is evident that in the blue case a solution for $\varphi_{mn}$ can be chosen, which is given by the intersection point between $s$ and $C_u'$, which maximizes the transmission coefficient (represented by an asterisk in Fig. 3). On the contrary, in the red case, $C_u'' \cap s = \emptyset$. This means that equation (7) has no solution when condition (3) is imposed. This implies that the pointing angle $\theta_m$ can not be realized. Of course, as it is evident from Fig. 3, this non-feasibility
also depends on the known component of the transmission coefficient, thus on the previous rows of the Blass matrix. This observation suggests that a proper design procedure might exist to find a set of $M$ feasible pointing directions, eventually by simply changing the order of the matrix rows.

Now, suppose that an ULA consisting of $N$ elements with assigned inter-element distance $d$ and working frequency $f$ is required to point the beam toward $M$ directions belonging to the sector $[\theta_{\text{start}}, \theta_{\text{end}}]$. In order to find a set of $M$ feasible pointing directions $\theta_m$ when the coupling value $C$ is fixed in advance, the following design procedure is proposed, which is graphically depicted in Fig. 4 and validated in the next section.

**Step 1** Set $\theta_m = \theta_{\text{start}}$ for $m = 1, \ldots, M$, $m = 1$.

**Step 2** For $n = 1, \ldots, N$ evaluate $T_{mn}$ and solve (7) imposing (3). If a solution exists, go to Step 3, else go to Step 4.

**Step 3** If $m = M$ all the phase values have been successfully evaluated and the Blass matrix design has been completed. Stop. Else set $m = m + 1$ and go to Step 4.

**Step 4** Set $\theta_m = \theta_m + \theta_{\text{step}}$. If $\theta_m \leq \theta_{\text{end}}$ go to Step 5, else go to Step 6.

**Step 5** If there is $i = 1, \ldots, m - 1$ such that $\theta_m = \theta_i$, go to Step 4. Else go to Step 2.

**Step 6** If $m = 1$ the problem is unsolvable. Stop. Else, set $\theta_m = \theta_{\text{start}}$, $m = m + 1$, go to Step 4.

In words, the proposed procedure is developed to find a predefined number $M$ of feasible pointing angles, which belong to the desired angular sector. With the developed algorithm, the angular sector $[\theta_{\text{start}}, \theta_{\text{end}}]$ is discretized with the user-defined step $\theta_{\text{step}}$. In comparison with the algorithm in [29], the proposed procedure is less flexible, as here the pointing directions can not be arbitrarily chosen, and they finally belong to a discrete set of directions. As explained above, this limitation is due to the choice of adopting the same directional coupler in the entire feeding network. On the other hand, the algorithm proposed in [29] optimizes not only the phases, but also all the coupling values of the Blass matrix. Of course, this choice offers more degrees of freedom and thus improves the results, but at the expenses of a more complex structure. To conclude, in the authors’ opinion, both the algorithm and the resulting Blass matrix structure proposed in this paper are simpler and cheaper than those in [29]. Next section shows an example of application.

**IV. RESULTS**

In order to prove the effectiveness of the proposed synthesis method, a Blass matrix with $M = N = 4$ is here considered. More precisely, the ULA is composed by $N = 4$ isotropic radiators having $d = 0.6\lambda$, which corresponds to $d = 30$ mm at the carrier frequency $f = 6$ GHz. The branch line is assumed as directional coupler, having $C = 1/\sqrt{2}$. Finally, $M = 4$ pointing directions are calculated using the algorithm presented in Fig. 4 with $\theta_{\text{start}} = 50^\circ$, $\theta_{\text{step}} = 5^\circ$ and $\theta_{\text{end}} = 180^\circ$.

The obtained pointing angles are listed in the second column of Table I, along with the element phases $\alpha_{mn}$, which allow to realize the beam pointing and are evaluated by (2). It is to be noted that if the same pointing angles are sequentially considered (i.e., if $\theta_m < \theta_{m+1}$, $m = 1, 2, 3$), the procedure to evaluate the Blass matrix phase shifters fails to find a solution for $\varphi_{43}$ and $\varphi_{44}$ (which corresponds to the red case of Fig. 4). But, when the algorithm described in the previous section is applied, the problem can be solved for all the phase shifters of the Blass matrix, which are here reported in Table II.

Finally, Table III lists the amplitudes of the $M \times N$ transmission coefficients $T_{mn}$ obtained for the designed Blass matrix, and Fig. 5 shows the normalized patterns obtained by setting $a_{mn} = |T_{mn}| \exp(j\alpha_{mn})$ in (1). As it can be seen from Fig. 5, the desired pointing angles are properly realized, so proving the effectiveness of the proposed design procedure. The high sidelobes arising at the array axis for the pointing angles which are furthest from broadside are due to the high element spacing ($d > \lambda/2$), which has been chosen to simulate a real situation, where patch antennas must be physically located on the PCB substrate, for example. However, when real radiators are used instead of ideal isotropic antennas, these high pattern sidelobes are lowered thanks to the element patterns.

**V. CONCLUSION**

Passive multibeam antennas are one of the key technological aspects to enable the capabilities required to 5G systems. The algorithm proposed in this paper has the aim of designing a Blass matrix, which can be fully integrated with the elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m$</th>
<th>$\theta_m$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{mn}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{mn}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{mn}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{mn}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0$^\circ$</td>
<td>0$^\circ$</td>
<td>0$^\circ$</td>
<td>0$^\circ$</td>
<td>0$^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0$^\circ$</td>
<td>90$^\circ$</td>
<td>233$^\circ$</td>
<td>148$^\circ$</td>
<td>148$^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0$^\circ$</td>
<td>263$^\circ$</td>
<td>220$^\circ$</td>
<td>190$^\circ$</td>
<td>190$^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0$^\circ$</td>
<td>180$^\circ$</td>
<td>217$^\circ$</td>
<td>0$^\circ$</td>
<td>0$^\circ$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of an ULA into a single substrate of a common PCB. The Blass matrix phase shifters are simply implemented as delay microstrip transmission lines having suitable lengths. Identical branch lines are used as directional couplers, which are extremely simple microstrip four-port components.

Then, it is shown that, fixing the coupling values in advance, may fail to realize some specific beams, thus reducing the effectiveness of the overall design procedure. The effectiveness of the overall design procedure is proved by a numerical example, involving an ULA consisting of four radiating elements and realizing four different beams.
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### TABLE III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m</th>
<th>n = 1</th>
<th>n = 2</th>
<th>n = 3</th>
<th>n = 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7071</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>0.3536</td>
<td>0.2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.7071</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>0.3536</td>
<td>0.2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3536</td>
<td>0.2193</td>
<td>0.6773</td>
<td>0.4267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2500</td>
<td>0.3752</td>
<td>0.4386</td>
<td>0.6506</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>