



Letter to the editor. RE: Piezoelectric surgery versus conventional drilling for implant site preparation: A meta-analysis

This is the peer reviewed (post-print) version of the following article:

Original

Letter to the editor. RE: Piezoelectric surgery versus conventional drilling for implant site preparation: A meta-analysis / Berton, F., Pratella, U., Motta Jones, J., Lombardi, T., Verardi, S., Stacchi, C.. - In: JOURNAL OF PROSTHODONTIC RESEARCH. - ISSN 1883-1958. - STAMPA. - 64:4(2020), pp. 517-518. [10.1016/j.jpor.2020.06.001]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11368/3073659 since: 2024-04-23T20:48:23Z

Publisher:

Published

DOI:10.1016/j.jpor.2020.06.001

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. More details in the PostPrint rights section below.

License:

Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International

Publisher copyright

--

(Article begins on next page)

Letter to the editor. RE: Piezoelectric surgery versus conventional drilling for implant site preparation: A meta-analysis

Dear Editor,

We read with great interest the meta-analysis by Amghar-Maach and coworkers [1], recently published on your journal. This paper aimed to investigate the implant stability achieved with piezoelectric surgery compared to conventional drilling for implant site preparation during early healing period. Three similar meta-analyses by Atieh et al. [2], Sendyk et al. [3] and Garcia-Moreno et al. [4] have been published in 2018, ending up with different outcomes. Although the present study has been conducted with sound scientific methodology following PRISMA statement [5], some pitfalls, leading to results misinterpretation, are present.

First minor observation is that, in the present meta-analysis, all included studies [6-10] were classified as randomized clinical trials: conversely, at a deeper analysis, the study by Di Alberti et al. [6] appears to be a case-control study. Moreover, all the included publications were reported to be studies with split-mouth design: in our opinion only one split-mouth trial was present [10], with the others presenting a cross-over design [11].

Second and most important consideration: an evident discrepancy is present between implant stability values of individual studies (Table 1) and meta-analysis forest plots (Fig. 3,4,5). Implant stability quotient (ISQ) values in individual studies [7,8,9] are always higher in test group (piezoelectric) than in control group (drilling) at each time point, except at implant positioning in two studies [7,9]. Forest plots of the present meta-analysis totally inverted all the ISQ values, showing significant superiority of drilling group. These outcomes are clearly in contrast with the aforementioned recent meta-analyses [2,3,4], based on the same studies included by Amghar-Maach and coworkers.

Therefore, the discussion section of the present study appears to be misleading for the reader, since it is stated as conclusion that “Piezoelectric surgery has shown no superiority to conventional drilling for implant stability during the healing period. In fact, higher implant stability values for conventional drilling were found at 2 and 3 months”.

Piezoelectric implant site preparation has been reported as a suitable alternative to conventional drilling systems [12,13]. Even if possible superiority of one of the two techniques is still a matter of debate, a growing body of evidence suggests enhanced bone healing response after piezoelectric surgery, with reduced production of pro-inflammatory cytokines and faster release of bone morphogenetic proteins [10,14,15]. In particular, early increase of ISQ values in piezoelectric sites could be related to lower surgical trauma, with a shorter inflammatory phase and little bone resorption, when compared with drilled sites.

In conclusion, results of meta-analysis by Amghar-Maach and coworkers [1] appear incorrect and bring to misleading conclusions, which are neither sound nor supported by literature. We believe this important information should be noted and, if possible, corrected in the abovementioned journal article.

Declaration of Competing Interest

None

References

- [1] Amghar-Maach S, Sánchez-Torres A, Camps-Font O, Gay-Escoda C. Piezoelectric surgery versus conventional drilling for implant site preparation: a meta-analysis. *J Prosthodont Res* 2018;62:391–6.
- [2] Atieh MA, Alsabeeha NHM, Tawse-Smith A, Duncan WJ. Piezoelectric versus conventional implant site preparation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2018;20:261–70.
- [3] Sendyk D, de Oliveira NK, Pannuti CM, da Graça Naclério-Homem M, Wennerberg A, Deboni MCZ. Conventional drilling versus piezosurgery for implant site preparation: a meta-analysis. *J Oral Implantol* 2018;44:400–5.
- [4] García-Moreno S, González-Serrano J, López-Pintor RM, Pardal-Peláez B, Hernández G, Martínez-González JM. Implant stability using piezoelectric bone surgery compared with conventional drilling: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2018;47:1453–64.
- [5] Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DGPRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2009;62:1006–12.
- [6] Di Alberti L, Donnini F, Di Alberti C, Camerino M. A comparative study of bone densitometry during osseointegration: piezoelectric surgery versus rotary protocols. *Quintessence Int* 2010;41:639–44.
- [7] Stacchi C, Vercellotti T, Torelli L, Furlan F, Di Lenarda R. Changes in implant stability using different site preparation techniques: twist drills versus piezosurgery. A single-blinded, randomized, controlled clinical trial. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2013;15:188–97.
- [8] da Silva Neto UT, Joly JC, Gehrke SA. Clinical analysis of the stability of dental implants after preparation of the site by conventional drilling or piezosurgery. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2014;52:149–53.
- [9] Canullo L, Peñarrocha D, Peñarrocha M, Rocio AG, Penarrocha-Diago M. Piezoelectric vs. conventional drilling in implant site preparation: pilot controlled randomized clinical trial with crossover design. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2014;25:1336–43.
- [10] Peker Tekdal G, Bostanci N, Belibasakis GN, Gürkan A. The effect of piezoelectric surgery implant osteotomy on radiological and molecular parameters of peri-implant crestal bone loss: a randomized, controlled, split-mouth trial. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2016;27:535–44.
- [11] Antczak-Bouckoms AA, Tulloch JF, Berkey CS. Split-mouth and cross-over designs in dental research. *J Clin Periodontol* 1990;17:446–53.
- [12] Vercellotti T, Stacchi C, Russo C, Rebaudi A, Vincenzi G, Pratella U, et al. Ultrasonic implant site preparation using piezosurgery: a multicenter case series study analyzing 3,579 implants with a 1- to 3-year follow-up. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent* 2014;34:11–18.
- [13] Stacchi C, Lombardi T, Baldi D, Bugea C, Rapani A, Perinetti G, et al. Immediate loading of implant-supported single crowns after conventional and ultrasonic implant site preparation: a multicenter randomized controlled clinical trial. *Biomed Res Int* 2018;2018:6817154.
- [14] Preti G, Martinasso G, Peirone B, Navone R, Manzella C, Muzio G, et al. Cytokines and growth factors involved in the osseointegration of oral titanium implants positioned using piezoelectric bone surgery versus a drill technique: a pilot study in minipigs. *J Periodontol* 2007;78:716–22.
- [15] Zizzari VL, Berardi D, Congedi F, Tumedei M, Cataldi A, Perfetti G. Morphological aspect and inos and bax expression modification in bone tissue around

dental implants positioned using piezoelectric bone surgery versus conventional drill technique. *J Craniofac Surg* 2015;26:741–4.

F. Berton*

Department of Medical, Surgical and Health Sciences, University of Trieste, Trieste, Italy

U. Pratella

President-Elect of International Piezoelectric Surgery Academy and Private Practice, Bologna, Italy

J. Motta Jones

Vice-President of International Piezoelectric Surgery Academy and Humanitas University, Milano, Italy

T. Lombardi

Secretary of International Piezoelectric Surgery Academy and Private Practice, Cassano allo Ionio, Italy

S. Verardi

Treasurer of International Piezoelectric Surgery Academy and University of Washington, Seattle, United States

C. Stacchi

President of International Piezoelectric Surgery Academy and Department of Medical, Surgical and Health Sciences, University of Trieste, Trieste, Italy

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: fberton@units.it (F. Berton)