

JGR Solid Earth

RESEARCH ARTICLE

10.1029/2023JB028195

Key Points:

- We investigate the upper mantle discontinuities below Canary and Madeira using P receiver functions
- Deeper 410 in both, shallower 660 in Canaries, normal in Madeira: suggests Canary plume crosses MTZ, Madeira plume only affects upper MTZ
- Detection of X discontinuity suggests a deep-rooted thermochemical plume

Supporting Information:

Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

Correspondence to:

L. Bonatto,
albonatto@gmail.com

Citation:

Bonatto, L., Schlaphorst, D., Silveira, G., Mata, J., Civiero, C., Piromallo, C., & Schimmel, M. (2024). Unveiling the distinct structure of the upper mantle beneath the Canary and Madeira hotspots, as depicted by the 660, 410, and X discontinuities. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 129, e2023JB028195. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2023JB028195>

Received 3 NOV 2023

Accepted 1 MAY 2024

Corrected 1 JUN 2024

This article was corrected on 1 JUN 2024. See the end of the full text for details.

Author Contributions:

Conceptualization: Luciana Bonatto,

David Schlaphorst, Chiara Civiero

Formal analysis: Luciana Bonatto,

David Schlaphorst

Funding acquisition: Graça Silveira

Investigation: Luciana Bonatto,

David Schlaphorst

Methodology: Luciana Bonatto

Software: Luciana Bonatto

Writing – original draft:

Luciana Bonatto, David Schlaphorst

Unveiling the Distinct Structure of the Upper Mantle Beneath the Canary and Madeira Hotspots, as Depicted by the 660, 410, and X Discontinuities

Luciana Bonatto¹ , David Schlaphorst² , Graça Silveira^{2,3} , João Mata² , Chiara Civiero^{4,5} ,
Claudia Piromallo⁶ , and Martin Schimmel⁷ 

¹Departamento de Física de la Universidad de La Serena, Av. Juan Cisternas, Coquimbo, Chile, ²Faculdade de Ciências, Instituto Dom Luiz (IDL), Universidade de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal, ³Instituto Superior de Engenharia de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal, ⁴Institut de Ciències del Mar, ICM-CSIC, Barcelona, Spain, ⁵Dipartimento di Matematica, Informatica e Geoscienze, Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy, ⁶Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Rome, Italy, ⁷GeoSciences Barcelona, Geo3Bcn, CSIC, Barcelona, Spain

Abstract The Canary and Madeira Islands are two distinct hotspots in the Central-East Atlantic that are close to each other. Their volcanism is generally attributed to underlying mantle plumes, but the detailed structure of these plumes is still not well understood. The thermal and compositional structure of the plume introduces complexities in the phase transitions of the mantle, which impact the depth and magnitude of seismic discontinuities. We use 1,268 high-quality receiver functions from stations located at the two hotspots to detect P-to-s converted phases through a common-conversion point stacking approach and conduct a detailed analysis of mantle seismic discontinuities. The results show that both hotspots are characterized by a thin mantle transition zone (MTZ), with sharp 410 and 660 discontinuities at depths of 428–421 km and 647–664 km, beneath the Canaries and Madeira respectively. The results indicate that the Canary plume crosses the MTZ, whereas the Madeira plume mainly influences the upper portion of the MTZ. Furthermore, we find reliable detections of a sharp X discontinuity beneath the Canaries at 287 km. Its presence suggests the accumulation of silica-rich recycled eclogite at these depths. We also use the amplitudes of *P410s* and *PXs* to derive velocity jumps at corresponding discontinuities. Based on these measurements, we estimate that the basalt proportion is 60%–80%, with accumulation being more significant in the Canaries than in Madeira. The MTZ thickness, the presence of the X discontinuity, and the high basalt proportion provide compelling evidence for a deep-rooted thermochemical plume beneath the study area.

Plain Language Summary We examine the Canary and Madeira Islands, two separate groups of islands in the Atlantic Ocean, close to each other. While it is generally accepted that volcanic activity in these regions is driven by underlying mantle plumes, the precise characteristics of these plumes remain relatively unknown. Tomography studies have revealed distinct structures of the plumes, with the Canary plume extending vertically throughout the upper mantle, while the Madeira plume is visible up to a depth of 300 km, beyond which the resolution of the images deteriorates. We use receiver functions, derived from the analysis of seismic waveforms, particularly the conversion of compressional waves to shear waves at seismic discontinuities within the Earth. Receiver functions offer a higher resolution compared to tomographic images, primarily due to their ability to directly measure seismic wave conversions at specific depths, reflecting where mantle minerals change their crystal structure. This analysis provides insights into the variations in temperature and mineral chemistry in the mantle, as both factors influence the depths of crystal rearrangements. Our research provides evidence supporting the existence of a deep-seated thermochemical plume beneath the study area. However, beneath Madeira, the plume may be less vigorous or presently disconnected from its deep source.

1. Introduction

The Canary and Madeira archipelagos are part of two intraplate volcanic provinces in the Central-East Atlantic Ocean close to the African coast (Figure 1). Both provinces consist of linear chains of volcanic islands and seamounts that display a general SW-NE progression of increasing volcanism age, aligning with the movement of the African plate. They are both marked by relatively low-magnitude seismic activity, which on the Canaries is mainly associated with volcanic processes (Carracedo & Troll, 2021). Although still under debate, the volcanism

© 2024. The Authors.

This is an open access article under the

terms of the [Creative Commons](#)

[Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs](#)

License, which permits use and

distribution in any medium, provided the

original work is properly cited, the use is

non-commercial and no modifications or

adaptations are made.

Writing – review & editing:

Luciana Bonatto, David Schlaphorst,
Graça Silveira, João Mata, Chiara Civiero,
Claudia Piromallo, Martin Schimmel

of both archipelagos is attributed to the interaction between the African Plate and underlying mantle plumes (Civiero et al., 2021; Geldmacher et al., 2001, 2005; Mata et al., 1998; Negrodo et al., 2022).

The most recent travel-time tomography models image slow anomalies under both archipelagos, attributed to mantle upwellings (Civiero, Custódio, et al., 2019; Civiero et al., 2018). In a recent comprehensive analysis employing tomography and paleo-reconstruction models, Civiero et al. (2021) proposed that both the Madeira and Canary hotspots are fed by plume-like structures overlying the seismically slow Central-East Atlantic Anomaly (CEAA). This anomaly emerges from depths below 700 km and maintains a connection with the African LLSVP (Large Low-Shear-Velocity Province). However, the two hotspot systems seem to be in different stages of development. According to this model, while the Canary plume-like structure is rooted in the CEAA, tomography results hint that the small Madeira plumelet (100 km width) appears detached from it. The plumelet extends from the surface down to at least 300 km, below which the resolution degrades. The presence of mantle upwellings beneath the Canary and Madeira archipelagos is also supported by new shear-wave splitting measurements (Schlaphorst et al., 2022). However, in the Canary Province many of the predictions of plume theory (Morgan, 1972) are not met, for example, volcano ages do not follow a consistent linear age–distance relationship, with coeval volcanism occurring across several hundreds of kilometres (Geldmacher et al., 2001, 2005). Insights from numerical modelling of mantle flow highlight the potential role of edge-driven convection in deflecting the mantle plume beneath the Canary archipelago, providing a plausible explanation for the complex age progression of the magmatism and widespread volcanism (Manjón-Cabeza Córdoba & Ballmer, 2022; Negrodo et al., 2022).

Studying the seismic discontinuities beneath both hotspots can provide new insights into the mantle thermal and compositional properties of these regions, thus allowing the assessment of the origin of these hotspots. Seismic discontinuities mark discernible changes in the density, shear and compressional wave speeds of the mantle as depth increases. The occurrence, depth and seismic visibility of these changes are dependent on the temperature and composition variability of the mantle. The upper mantle has predominantly peridotite composition, where the dominant mineral is olivine, usually corresponding to more than 50% volume, accompanied by orthopyroxene and, in most fertile compositions, by clinopyroxene and an aluminous phase that changes with pressure (e.g., Ziberna et al., 2013, and references therein). This dominant peridotite composition contains dispersed eclogite domains, resulting from recycling altered oceanic crust into the mantle via subduction (e.g., Allègre & Turcotte, 1986; Helffrich & Wood, 2001). Such predominant olivine-rich parageneses change in depth as a result of several phase transitions (PT) that explain the observations of sharp seismic discontinuities at the base of the upper mantle: at a depth of 410 km olivine transforms to wadsleyite (ol → wa) with a positive Clapeyron slope, at 520 km wadsleyite transforms to ringwoodite (wa → ri) with a positive Clapeyron slope and at 660 km ringwoodite dissociates into bridgmanite ((Mg, Fe)-perovskite) and magnesiowustite (ri → br + mw) with a negative Clapeyron slope (e.g., Helffrich, 2000; Helffrich & Wood, 2001). The corresponding seismic discontinuities are named 410, 520, and 660, after the approximate depth at which the PT occur. The region of the mantle bounded by the 410 and 660 is known as the Mantle Transition Zone (MTZ).

The thermal and compositional structure of the mantle introduces complexities in the PT. Temperature anomalies in the mantle move the PT to different pressures (depths) according to their respective Clapeyron slopes (Bina & Helffrich, 1994). Due to the opposite sign in the Clapeyron slopes of the PT responsible for the 410 and 660, the depth changes of these discontinuities are anti-correlated in response to a thermal anomaly. Therefore, while the 410 becomes shallower in colder regions and deeper in hotter ones, the depth changes of the 660 are opposite. Consequently, the MTZ is expected to be thicker when crossed by subducted slabs and thinner in high temperature regions (Collier & Helffrich, 2001; Helffrich, 2000; Lawrence & Shearer, 2006; Vidale & Benz, 1992). This expected behavior of the MTZ has been used as an indication of thermal anomalies, akin to a mantle thermometer (e.g., Helffrich, 2000).

Variations in composition and temperature may lead to other mineral phase transformations introducing further complexities in the structure of the MTZ and the mantle above. For example, at extremely high temperatures, perovskite may appear at 660–700 km by a PT involving majorite garnet, which is thought to be, at those conditions, the dominant mineral phase at the MTZ (Hirose, 2002; Liu et al., 2018; Weidner & Wang, 1998; Yu et al., 2011). The majorite garnet PT has a positive Clapeyron slope, which contrasts with the positive slope of the ringwoodite PT. As a result, in a hot mantle, the 660 would occur at greater depths. This could lead to a positively correlated depressed topography on the 410 and 660 discontinuities with a minor overall impact on the thickness of the MTZ (Deuss, 2007; Deuss et al., 2006). Furthermore, this PT occurs across a broad depth interval,




Figure 1. Map of the Canary and Madeira archipelagos displaying station locations (left), with yellow and red triangles representing stations in Madeira and the Canaries, respectively. On the right, the global distribution of all teleseismic earthquakes recorded in the seismic stations (top) and the ones used in the final analysis (bottom) after rigorous quality controls, with yellow triangles marking the positions of the Madeira archipelago and red for the Canary archipelago. Events follow the same color coding as the triangles, with red indicating events analyzed for the Canaries and yellow for those related to Madeira.

significantly broadening the 660 discontinuity. Moreover, the role of aluminum on the stability fields of ringwoodite and majorite garnet has been a subject of debate (Weidner & Wang, 1998; Yu et al., 2011).

Additionally, mantle upwellings rooted deep in the mantle not only exhibit high temperatures but also different composition from the surrounding mantle. As part of mantle convection, cold, ancient oceanic crust is transformed into eclogite in subduction zones, transported to the lower mantle and then is brought back up into the upper mantle once entrained in hot mantle plumes. Because of their unique chemical signature, these plumes are known as thermochemical plumes rather than purely thermal plumes (see Koppers et al. (2021) for a review). The presence of a consistent seismic discontinuity approximately 300 km beneath various hotspots is considered strong evidence of the thermochemical nature of deep-seated plumes (Kemp et al., 2019; Pugh et al., 2021; Schmerr, 2015). This discontinuity named the 300 or X discontinuity, is attributed to the coesite-to-stishovite (co \rightarrow st) phase transition in regions of the mantle enriched in eclogite (Williams & Revenaugh, 2005), as expected for a thermochemical plume.

Receiver functions and precursor studies have examined the MTZ beneath the Canary Islands at a regional scale, while those from Madeira are contributions from global studies. In the Canaries, studies indicate a thinner MTZ, possibly due to hot mantle material (Deuss, 2007; Martinez-Arevalo et al., 2013; Saki et al., 2015). For Madeira, studies show a moderately thinner MTZ, supporting the plume theory (Deuss, 2009; Houser et al., 2008; Lawrence & Shearer, 2008). However, a detailed analysis of MTZ discontinuities is lacking in both regions, especially for Madeira.

In this study, we investigate the fine structure of the upper mantle and MTZ beneath both archipelagos using receiver functions. In particular, we estimate the time, amplitude and frequency content of P-to-s converted phases from which we derived the depth, magnitude and width of velocity jumps of mantle discontinuities. Through a comprehensive interpretation of our findings in the context of mineral physics and geodynamics, we inferred the thermochemical nature of the Canary mantle plume. Beneath Madeira, our findings confirm the observations from Civiero et al. (2021) suggesting that the plume appears to be disconnected from the lower mantle and primarily affects the upper portion of the MTZ.

2. Data

For the Canary archipelago, we use data from 23 stations of the Spanish Digital Seismic Network (operated by the *Instituto Geográfico Nacional*) and one station from the Global Seismograph Network. These stations were active in varying periods, ranging from 2008 to 2017. For Madeira, we use data from 16 stations from the temporary DOCTAR network that was active during 2011/2012 (Matos et al., 2015), as well as two permanent stations of the




Figure 2. Processing workflow of (a) Receiver functions and (b) Common conversion point stacking of RFs.

Portuguese National Seismic Network. Four of those stations are short-period, so we removed the instrument response before the processing. See Figure 1 for station deployment and Table S1 in Supporting Information S1 for names and geographic coordinates of stations.

We selected the waveforms of 1,241 events for the Canary archipelago and 1,268 events for Madeira, of Mw between 5.5 and 7 and from epicentral distances between 30° and 95°. After discarding incomplete ZNE triplets, we obtain 13,578 three-component waveforms for the Canary archipelago and 6,481 for Madeira.

3. Method

To investigate the structure of the upper mantle, we employ receiver functions (RFs) and common conversion point stacking techniques.

3.1. Receiver Functions

We use teleseismic *Pds* phases, that is, the phases resulting from the direct *P* wave converting to an *S* wave at a seismic discontinuity at a depth *d*. Because of their polarization and their almost vertical incidence, *Pds* conversions are recorded on the radial (*R*) component of teleseismic earthquakes. The direct detection of *Pds* phases in individual seismograms is challenging due to their weak amplitude and their arrival during the coda of the *P* phase, which is marked by numerous other phases, including multiple reflections and scattered waves. However, converted phases are expected to be coherent with the waveform of the main *P* arrival for conversion at discontinuities which are thinner than one-half of the *P*-wavelength (Bostock, 1999; Paulssen, 1988; Richards, 1972). Therefore, it is possible to extract them by waveform similarity. This can be done using deconvolution of the *P* phase in the vertical component (*P_Z*) from *R*, which is known as the receiver function (RF) technique (Ammon, 1991; Langston, 1979; Phinney, 1964; Vinnik, 1977). The deconvolution process eliminates the source component and isolates the P-to-s conversion peaks from discontinuities beneath the station.

We compute RFs using the processing methods outlined in Bonatto et al. (2015, 2020). The processing workflow is illustrated in Figure 2a and described in detail in Text S1 in Supporting Information S1. After the processing

and the quality controls, we obtain 948 RFs for the Canaries and 320 RFs for the Madeira archipelago in five frequency bands: 0.02–0.12 Hz, 0.02–0.2 Hz, 0.02–0.32 Hz, 0.02–0.48 Hz, 0.02–0.64 Hz. Table S1 in Supporting Information S1 summarizes the number of teleseismic records per station and the corresponding final number of good-quality RFs.

3.2. Stacking of Receiver Functions With Moveout Correction

During the stacking process, we apply a linear moveout correction, also known as a slowness stack, to account for the time delay between the arrival of seismic waves at different distances from the source. This correction ensures that the seismic signals are accurately aligned and stacked, resulting in improved signal detection and identification.

To perform the stacking process with a linear moveout correction, we use two techniques: the phase weighted stack (PWS) (Schimmel & Paulssen, 1997) and the linear stack (LS). The PWS is a non-linear stacking technique that incorporates the coherence of the instantaneous phase as a weight in the linear stack, enhancing the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and improving the accuracy of signal detection.

The stacking process with moveout correction, accounting for both stacking techniques, is applied as follows:

$$S(s_j, t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N RF_i(t + s_j(\Delta_i - \Delta_{REF})) c_i \quad (1)$$

where s_j refers to a specific relative slowness with respect to the P phase, t is relative time with respect to the P phase, Δ_i is the epicentral distance for RF_i , Δ_{REF} is the reference epicentral distance, and N is the total number of stacked RFs. The reference distance, Δ_{REF} , of 75° is chosen for all the slowness stacks to account for the distribution of epicentral distances which are mainly concentrated between 60° and 90° .

The weight c_i in Equation 1 is the phase stack and it accounts for the instantaneous phase similarity:

$$c_i = \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N e^{i\phi_k(t+s_j(\Delta_i-\Delta_{REF}))} \right|^\nu \quad (2)$$

ϕ_k is the instantaneous phase for the k -th RF, and the parameter ν controls the weighting of phase similarity and dissimilarity. The move-out corrected LS is defined with $\nu = 0$ ($c_i = 1$), while for the PWS we choose a value of $\nu = 2$.

3.2.1. Identification of Converted Phases in Global Stacks

The average fine structure of the upper mantle in the study area is obtained by stacking all the RFs that passed the quality controls in the lowest frequency band (0.02 – 0.12 Hz). This global stack is useful for identifying converted phases from major discontinuities and from minor reflectors that are prevalent throughout the area.

Figures 3a and 3b display relative time-distance stacks of RFs for the Canaries and Madeira data sets, respectively, with the P phase set as time zero. Each trace at a given epicentral distance is computed from the stack (PWS) of RFs with epicentral distances within a 5° interval and uses a fixed relative slowness parameter of -0.1 s° to perform the move-out correction within that distance interval. Since converted phases have a steeper angle of incidence than the direct P phase, Pds phases are always expected to align along a negative slope line (i.e., negative relative slowness), as shown with the continuous black lines. Conversely, multiples have a shallower angle of incidence and a corresponding positive slope (i.e., positive relative slowness), as shown with the dashed lines. Slowness stacks, also referred to as vespagrams or relative time-slowness stacks, are a useful tool for unambiguously identifying Pds phases. Figures 3c and 3e show the slowness stacks for the Canary data set and Figures 3d and 3f show the slowness stack for the Madeira data set. Here, all RFs are stacked applying a linear move-out correction for a range of slowness values and the result is plotted in the relative time-slowness domain, where the reference at zero is the P phase. Conversions from discontinuities in the upper mantle are expected with negative relative slowness, while multiples are expected with positive relative slowness.




Figure 3.

3.2.2. Common Conversion Point Stacking and Signal Detection

The lateral variations of the detected discontinuities are investigated using a common-conversion-point (CCP) stacking approach as in Bonatto et al. (2020). The processing workflow for the CCP stacking and signal detection is illustrated in Figure 2b. We first retrieve station and event coordinates for each RF (step 1, Figure 2b) and compute piercing points of converted phases P_{300s} , P_{410s} and P_{660s} at their respective conversion depths: 300, 410, and 660 km (step 2, Figure 2b). The CCP stacking is performed for these three conversion depths, which correspond to the reference depth of our target discontinuities. For each conversion depth, we stack the RFs with conversion point coordinates in the same circular area or bin (step 3, Figure 2b). To ensure reliable measurements, we set the bin radius at each conversion depth to match the size of the first Fresnel Zone at an intermediate frequency of 0.2 Hz: $r_{P_{300s}, P_{410s}} = 0.5^\circ$ and $r_{P_{660s}} = 0.75^\circ$. The centers of these bins are spaced equally at intervals of 0.7° to enable an overlap of the sampled areas. Notice that even with the smallest bin radius of 0.5° , the 0.7° interval permits an intentional overlap of 0.3° . If the number of RFs in the bin is less than 25, we increase the bin radius to $r_2 = 1.5r_{P_{ds}}$, or to $r_3 = 2r_{P_{ds}}$ if numbers remain low. After stacking the RFs in each bin and conversion depth, we perform a visual quality assessment of individual slowness stacks within the lowest frequency band of 0.02–0.12 Hz (step 4, Figure 2b). We allocate a quality level from 1 to 3 for each stack, based on these criteria:

1. The signal identification is very clear, without other signals near the target phase.
2. Other coherent signals near the target phase, but the signal is still clear.
3. Multiple coherently stacked signals of similar amplitude near the target signal or non-detection.

Representative examples of stacks classified as quality 1, 2, and 3 for P_{300s} are shown in Figures S1–S11 and Text S2 in Supporting Information S1. Stacks with quality 1 and 2 are considered as good quality stacks and stacks of quality 3 are not considered in the analysis.

We use the good quality stacks to estimate the relative slowness at which each phase is detected. Then, for each frequency band (0.02–0.12 Hz, 0.02–0.2 Hz, 0.02–0.32 Hz, 0.02–0.48 Hz, 0.02–0.64 Hz), we compute the stacking with move-out correction (step 5, Figure 2b) using this slowness value; that is, fixed s_j in Equation 1. The final stacks are calculated using a bootstrap resampling algorithm of 100 repetitions with replacement (i.e., a waveform may be chosen multiple times).

The bootstrap method (Efron & Tibshirani, 1986) enhances our statistical analysis. This approach involves constructing a distribution of stacked RFs for each CCP bin by resampling and stacking numerous subsets of data. This approach fulfills two key objectives: first, it mitigates the influence of outliers by diluting the effect of any individual RF with an anomalously large amplitude across multiple bootstrap iterations. Second, it promotes the reliable detection of any consistent feature within the stacks, regardless of its magnitude. The recurrence of any distinctive pattern within the bootstrap samples strongly indicates the presence of a genuine geophysical feature, rather than merely being the result of data variability. Moreover, this method enables us to perform a thorough evaluation of the statistical significance of the RF amplitude observations.

We measure the relative travel time values from the PWS and the amplitude of converted phases from the LS. We only include signals in the analysis that meet the 95% confidence criteria, which requires the amplitude of a detected signal to be at least twice its amplitude standard error. Finally, we retain only those detected signals from good-quality stacks (quality levels 1 and 2) with more than 25 stacked RFs. These detections should also show negative slowness and positive amplitude, as expected for the target-converted phases.

3.3. Time-To-Depth Conversion

To convert relative times to depth, we use tomography-derived velocities. Velocity anomalies are extracted from two tomography models: the global model MIT08 (Li et al., 2008) and the regional model IBEM-P18 (for P waves)/IBEM-S19 (for S waves) (Civiero, Custódio, et al., 2019; Civiero et al., 2018). While the regional model

Figure 3. Record sections for (a) the 948 RFs from the Canary Island data set and (b) the 320 RFs from the Madeira data set. Each trace in the record sections results from stacking (PWS) the RFs with epicentral distances within a 5° interval around a given epicentral distance in the horizontal axis. Blue and red colors represent positive and negative amplitudes. Black lines show the theoretical travel time curves for a slightly modified ak135 reference model that includes imperceptible density increase at 300 and 900 km. Dashed yellow lines represent travel time curves for potentially interfering phases (PcP, PmP, PP). The number of RFs is indicated at the top of the record section. (c)–(f) Stacking (PWS) in the relative time-slowness domain for the Canaries and Madeira data set in different time intervals. Crosses mark the expected time and slowness of different phases for positive (gray) and negative polarities (orange) in the modified ak135 reference model.

has a higher resolution, it does not cover the entire area of interest. However, we include it in the analysis to assess the consistency of the results in the overlapping region with both models. Since MIT08 only accounts for P-wave anomalies, we approximate corresponding S-wave anomalies to be 1.3 times P-wave anomalies at the surface, increasing linearly to 3 times at the base of the mantle, following Ritsema and Van Heijst (2002). To account for possible resolution overestimation given by noiseless synthetic tests (Civiero, Armitage, et al., 2019; Li et al., 2008), we assume that the amplitudes of anomalies recovered by the inversion could be about 50% than those of unknown real anomalies. Therefore, we compute the corrections using MIT08 and IBEM-P18/S19 anomalies multiplied by a factor of 2.

For each tomography model, we compute time corrections for each CCP bin and converted phase. We use TauP toolkit (Crotwell et al., 1999) to create a modified *ak135* velocity model for each bin which includes the anomalies. We use this new velocity model to compute the theoretical relative travel times of converted phases (for a reference distance of 75°). Then, we use these relative travel times to compute time corrections with respect to *ak135*, that is, the difference between travel times obtained with *ak135* and with the modified *ak135*. Finally, we add the correction to the observed relative times of detected signals and the corrected time values are converted to depth using the reference velocity model *ak135* for a reference distance of 75°. Although the tomographic models used to compute the corrections have large uncertainties, it should be noted that the overall effect of the corrections is to locate the discontinuities closer to their actual depth.

3.4. Temperature Variations in the MTZ

The thickness of the MTZ at each location is calculated by subtracting the depths of the 410 and 660 discontinuities ($z_{660} - z_{410}$). Variations in the MTZ thickness can be attributed to temperature variations, as thermal anomalies affect the depths of the 410 and 660 discontinuities differently.

The conversion of anomalous MTZ thickness to temperature is accomplished through the use of Clapeyron slopes associated with the olivine phase transitions (refer to Table S2 in Supporting Information S1). Clapeyron slopes (dP/dT or γ) can be written as

$$\left(\frac{dP}{dT}\right)_H \approx \frac{dP}{dz} \frac{\delta z_H}{\delta T} \quad (3)$$

where H stands for 410 or 660, δz_H corresponds to $\delta z_{410} = 410 - z_{410}$ or $\delta z_{660} = 660 - z_{660}$ and dP/dz is the upper-mantle pressure increase with depth which is about 100/3 MPa km⁻¹ in *PREM* (Dziewonski & Anderson, 1981).

As proposed by Helffrich (2000), we adopt the assumption that the deflection of discontinuities is due to vertically consistent temperature changes. Therefore, we can convert the difference in MTZ thickness to temperature variation using the equation below

$$\delta T = \left[\left(\frac{dP}{dT}\right)_{660} - \left(\frac{dP}{dT}\right)_{410} \right]^{-1} \frac{dP}{dz} \delta MTZ \quad (4)$$

where $\delta MTZ = MTZ_{thickness} - 250$ km or $\delta MTZ = MTZ_{thickness} - 242$ km, if we consider the reference value for the MTZ thickness from the global RFs study of Lawrence and Shearer (2006).

3.5. Frequency and Amplitude Analysis of Converted Phases

Studying the seismic visibility and the amplitude of seismic phases provides important constraints on the sharpness and velocity contrast of the velocity gradients associated with mantle discontinuities (Bonatto et al., 2020; Juliá, 2007; Pugh et al., 2021; Shearer & Flanagan, 1999; van der Meijde et al., 2003). These parameters and the discontinuity depth are directly linked to the underlying mechanisms that give rise to the seismic boundaries and play an important role in interpreting our observations.

3.5.1. Sharpness of Discontinuities

Seismic discontinuities are generally approximated as linear velocity gradients, which act as a low pass filter to converted waves. In particular, the amplitudes of the converted waves at the discontinuity are significant when the

gradient transition interval, Δz , is smaller than half of the wavelength, λ_P , of the incident P -wave (Bostock, 1999; Paulssen, 1988). This is

$$\Delta z < \frac{\lambda_P}{2} = \frac{v_P}{2f} \quad (5)$$

where v_P is the P -wave velocity at the corresponding discontinuity depth. Therefore, to constrain the thickness of discontinuities, we need to find the highest frequency f^{\max} for which the amplitude of converted phases is visible. We estimate this frequency value by analyzing the amplitudes of converted phases in the CCP linear stacks across the different frequency bands that we use to compute the RFs. We use the following criteria: f^{\max} is the frequency above which the amplitude drops below 50% of the amplitude at the lowest frequency. Finally, the upper bound for the thickness of the discontinuity is obtained by substituting f with f^{\max} in Equation 5. This rule of thumb has been used before to constrain the thickness of mantle discontinuities (e.g., Bonatto et al., 2020; Bostock, 1999; Jenkins et al., 2017; Paulssen, 1988; van der Meijde et al., 2003).

3.5.2. Velocity Contrast

Reflection and transmission coefficients describe how the energy of a seismic wave is partitioned when it encounters a boundary between two elastic media. They are obtained as the amplitude ratio of the incoming wave and the reflected or transmitted waves. The coefficients depend on several factors, including the velocities and densities of the seismic waves on both sides of the interface (the velocity and density contrasts), and the angle of incidence of the incoming wave. The elementary formulas to compute the coefficients can be found in Equations 5.39 and 5.40 of Aki and Richards (2002).

To estimate the velocity contrast across each detected discontinuity, we manually compare the theoretical coefficients of converted phases, assuming different velocity contrasts, with the estimated amplitudes of the Pds phases in our stacked RFs. This comparison allows us to obtain a rough assessment of the velocity jump. To minimize attenuation effects due to the finite thickness of discontinuities, we perform this analysis for RFs in the lower frequency band: 0.02–0.2 Hz. In this approach, we assume that Pds phases are primarily sensitive to S-wave velocity contrasts, as demonstrated by Juliá (2007).

4. Results

We investigate the upper mantle and MTZ structure using 948 high-quality RFs around the Canaries and 320 around Madeira. We first create time-distance stacks and vespagrams for the entire data set of each archipelago to identify converted phases of possible discontinuities prevalent throughout the area.

The number of events observed at stations in the Canary archipelago that pass the quality controls are higher across almost the entire distance range, resulting in stronger signals of the major converted phases in the time-distance stacks (Figures 3a and 3b). The vespagrams confirm that we obtain clear converted phases from the globally detected 410 and 660 discontinuities beneath both volcanic provinces (Figures 3c and 3d). However, a clear converted phase at a reflector near 300 km depth is only observed beneath the Canaries. Based on the robust detections of the 410 and 660, we have confidence that this measurement of the X discontinuity is not an anomaly caused by data coverage. In contrast to the Canary archipelago, no strong multiples ($Pp300s$ and $Pp410s$) from the reflectors at the X and 410 discontinuities can be observed around the Madeira archipelago (Figures 3e and 3f). Likewise, a converted phase at a discontinuity of approximately 800–900 km depth can be observed around the Canaries but is not present around Madeira. This phenomenon will be subject to further investigation in a future study.

4.1. Topography of Discontinuities and MTZ Thickness

To investigate the spatial distribution of the converted phases, we apply the CCP stacking method. Figure 4 shows the CCP bins where converted phases were reliably detected. Then, we use the relative times of the converted phases to estimate the depth of the discontinuities from tomography-derived velocities with the global model MIT08 (Li et al., 2008).




Figure 4.

Our analysis indicates that the X discontinuity is situated at a mean depth of 287 ± 6 km ($\bar{x} \pm 1\sigma_x$) beneath the Canaries (Figure 5), with a range spanning from 253 to 316 km. It is prominent around the western and central part of the Canaries with depths shallower than 300 km toward the south and deeper than 300 km toward the north (Figure 6a), likely stemming from a larger azimuthal coverage from the west and south. For Madeira, we have only retained one reliable detection of this discontinuity in the west, with a depth of 313 km.

On average, the 410 is located deeper beneath the Canaries (Figure 5) with a mean depth of 428 ± 5 km and a range of 404–448 km, in comparison to a mean depth of 421 ± 4 and a range of 407–428 km beneath Madeira. Whereas in the Canaries, it is located deeper toward the western part of the archipelago with values larger than 410 km southwest of the islands of La Palma, Tenerife and Gran Canaria, and smaller values to the northeast, a lateral trend is not as evident around the Madeira archipelago (Figure 6b). This difference can be attributed to Madeira's smaller geographical extent compared to the Canaries, as well as the fact that the stations collectively cover a significantly smaller area. We observe, larger values in the northwest and a shallower value toward the south, making this pattern less pronounced.

In contrast, the 660 is shallower toward the east of the Canary archipelago with a mean depth of 647 ± 3 km and ranges of 625–676 km, in comparison to a mean depth of 664 ± 3 km and a range of 637–687 km beneath Madeira. Based on the piercing point distribution, nearly all results can be found toward the west of Madeira and around the western and central part of the Canaries (Figure 6c). In Madeira, the 660 is detected at larger depths toward the west and shallower toward the east. The opposite color scales for the 410 and 660 km depth maps were deliberately selected to represent the relationship between depth and temperature visually.

The general trend of a deeper 410 and a shallower 660 in the Canaries results in a thinner MTZ there at 217 ± 2 km compared to 237 ± 2 km around Madeira (Figure 6d). These MTZ thickness values are derived from depth estimates of the 410 and 660 discontinuities, constrained to regions where observations for both discontinuities are available.

4.2. Sharpness and Velocity Contrast of Detected Discontinuities

Figure 7 shows the average amplitudes of the P300s, P410s, and P660s phases relative to *P* across five frequency bands for both archipelagos. As expected for linear velocity gradients, the amplitude of all converted phases decreases with higher upper-frequency boundaries. We apply the criteria defined in Section 3.5 to constrain the thickness of the discontinuities. In the Canary archipelago, P300s, P410s, and P660s exhibit an amplitude reduction of $\sim 50\%$ (w.r.t the lowest frequency band) at 0.64 Hz. Therefore, we consider $f^{\max} = 0.64$ Hz for all converted phases, indicating that the detected discontinuities are sharp. Using Equation 5 and corresponding values of v_p in ak135, we obtain $\Delta z_{300,Can} < 7$ km, $\Delta z_{410,Can} < 7$ km, and $\Delta z_{660,Can} < 8$ km. In Madeira, P300s exhibits an amplitude drop of $\sim 50\%$ at 0.64 Hz, P410s is still

Figure 4. Location of common conversion point bins with robust detections (with PWS and LS) of the converted phases: (a) P300s, (b) P410s, and (c) P660s. Circles correspond to CCP bins with signals that satisfy the 95% confidence criteria. The size of the circles are proportional to the radius of the CCP bin; from the smallest to the largest, the radii of the circular sectors are: 0.5°, 0.75°, 1.0°, 1.25°, 1.5°. Orange dots indicate the piercing points of corresponding phases.




Figure 5. Histograms of estimated depth values, after time corrections, for the X, 410, and 660 discontinuities beneath the Canary and Madeira. Vertical solid lines (blue, pink, and green) correspond to mean depth values for both archipelagos (given in the legend, where M stands for Madeira and C for the Canaries), dashed lines correspond to standard errors of mean values and black dash-dotted lines are reference depth values in a modified ak135 model that incorporates a discontinuity at 300 km.

over 50% at 0.64 Hz. These results lead to sharp discontinuities: $\Delta z_{300, Mad} < 7$ km, $\Delta z_{410, Mad} < 7$ km (or even sharper). For P660s, the maximum frequency for which significant energy is converted is 0.48 Hz, which corresponds to $\Delta z_{660, Mad} < 11$ km.

In both archipelagos, the amplitude of P300s, P410s, and P660s relative to *P* decreases gradually with increasing frequencies, shifting from average values of approximately 0.09 to 0.04 (Figure 7). Amplitude variability is evident within individual bins across different frequencies, illustrating a consistent decreasing trend in amplitude (Figure S12 in Supporting Information S1). However, whereas the amplitude of P300s is close to the amplitude of P410s across all frequency bands in the Canaries (0.09 ± 0.01 at 0.02–0.12 Hz to 0.04 ± 0.01 at 0.02–0.64 Hz), it is the weakest around the Madeira archipelago (0.06 ± 0.02 at 0.02–0.12 Hz to 0.03 ± 0.01 at 0.02–0.64 Hz). This discrepancy is further emphasized by the fact that only one robust measurement of this discontinuity could be obtained there. Our observed relative amplitudes at 0.02–0.20 Hz for the Canary and Madeira archipelagos are displayed in Table 1. This specific frequency band was chosen because, at lower frequencies, the effects of the transmission coefficient response due to a velocity gradient on amplitudes are minimized. The table also shows the estimated velocity jumps and their corresponding theoretical transmission coefficients.

5. Discussion

5.1. Uncertainties in Time Observations

Uncertainties in our time detections are related to the smearing of the signal, which is attributed to several factors. First, it is related to the resolution in time, which is frequency-dependent. Moreover, additional complexities arise from random noise, the application of the linear move-out approximation, and the non-homogeneous distribution of epicentral distances. Each of these elements contributes to the smearing of signals in vespagrams.

To assess and quantify time uncertainty in individual bins, we use the bootstrap method (Efron & Tibshirani, 1986) to estimate a time standard deviation for each detection. According to Efron and Tibshirani (1986), 50–200 bootstrap realizations are enough to yield meaningful results. However, Schnaidt and Heinson (2015) suggests that just 20 bootstrap sets can provide qualitatively useful results. While additional repetitions do not increase the information (or time resolution) in the original data, they enhance the reliability of the calculated statistic. In our analysis, we choose 100 bootstrap repetitions to ensure robustness, aligning with a compromise solution employed by others (e.g., Schnaidt & Heinson, 2015). We also verified that in our case, similar to the findings of Schnaidt and Heinson (2015), the results obtained with 100 repetitions mirror those obtained with 20 repetitions, showing no significant changes.




Figure 6. Maps showing discontinuity depth (a–c) and MTZ thickness surfaces (d), derived from depth estimates of the 410 and 660 discontinuities, constrained to regions where observations for both discontinuities are available. Circles correspond to robust detections in the PWS and LS, that is, signals that satisfy the 95% confidence criteria.

We found that on average the time uncertainty for the frequency band 0.02–0.2 Hz is 1.1 ± 0.2 s. This corresponds to an average depth uncertainty of about 10 ± 1 km. These values are not surprising when considering that seismic discontinuities are not strictly first-order discontinuities, as evidenced in the amplitude-frequency analysis. The thickness of the 410 and 660 discontinuities (typically on the order of 10 km) imposes limitations on our time and depth resolution. Moreover, a velocity gradient in the Earth's upper mantle modulates seismic wave travel times across different frequencies (Bostock, 1999; Helffrich & Bina, 1994), with high-frequency converted waves experiencing more pronounced delays due to the gradual transition in wave speed (Bostock, 1999).




Figure 7. Change of relative amplitude of converted phases for different higher cut-off frequencies for the Canary (left) and Madeira (right) archipelagos. Values are given as a fraction of the initial *P*-phase amplitude. The shaded areas show uncertainties. Note, that P300s in Madeira consists of only one measurement.

5.2. Robustness Analysis of Observed 420 and 660 Topography

The absolute depth of discontinuities is determined by the velocity model used to correct the observed times. Incorrect determination of time corrections could lead to an inaccurate estimation of the depth of discontinuities. In Text S3 in Supporting Information S1, we examine the time corrections and the depth correlations between the topography of the 410 and 660 discontinuities for both tomographic models, IBEM-P18/S19 and MIT08, to assess the accuracy of our time-to-depth conversions. Based on this analysis, we conclude that MIT-P08 likely places the 410 and 660 discontinuities slightly deeper than their true depths. Conversely, the MTZ thickness remains unaffected by the mantle structure above it. The MTZ thickness is primarily influenced by the internal structure of the MTZ, characterized by smaller anomalies and consequently smaller errors. This is evident in Figure S14 in Supporting Information S1, showing similar time corrections for the P410s and P660s.

5.3. Mantle Transition Zone in the Canary and Madeira Archipelagos

5.3.1. Previous Studies

A thinned MTZ has been found beneath the Canary and Madeira archipelagos in previous studies using RFs and SS/PP precursors. The effect is more pronounced (~15 – 20 km thinner than the global average) beneath the Canaries (e.g., Deuss, 2007; Gu et al., 2009; Li et al., 2003; Martinez-Arevalo et al., 2013; Saki et al., 2015), but still evident beneath Madeira (e.g., Deuss, 2009; Houser et al., 2008; Lawrence & Shearer, 2008). There are different interpretations for the thinning of the MTZ. Whereas some studies explain those anomalies through the presence of hot mantle material, thus supporting the theory of existing plumes (e.g., Gu et al., 2009), other studies

Table 1
Averaged Observed Amplitudes and Theoretical Coefficients Used to Constrain the Velocity Jumps (v_S Jump) at Target Discontinuities

Phase	Averaged observed amplitude ± 2 st err at 0.2 Hz	Theoretical coefficients	v_S jump [%]	Relative v_S Jump	
				410/300	410/660
<i>Madeira</i>				1.6	1.1
<i>P300s</i>	0.047 \pm 0.012	0.047 \pm 0.001	5.1		
<i>P410s</i>	0.076 \pm 0.026	0.076 \pm 0.002	8.1		
<i>P660s</i>	0.072 \pm 0.012	0.072 \pm 0.002	7.6		
<i>Canaries</i>				1.04	1.39
<i>P300s</i>	0.070 \pm 0.017	0.070 \pm 0.002	7.5		
<i>P410s</i>	0.071 \pm 0.032	0.072 \pm 0.002	7.8		
<i>P660s</i>	0.052 \pm 0.011	0.052 \pm 0.002	5.6		

Note. Theoretical coefficients are computed by averaging the transmission coefficients across a range of epicentral distances from 60° to 90°.

find that the thinning is similar to the widespread thinning of the MTZ beneath oceans observed from SS precursor studies; this thinning is not solely restricted to plumes (e.g., Li et al., 2003; Martinez-Arevalo et al., 2013).

The study of Civiero et al. (2021), based on results from high-resolution seismic tomography (Civiero, Custódio, et al., 2019; Civiero et al., 2018), shear-wave splitting (Schlaphorst et al., 2022) and gravity (Sandwell et al., 2014) along with plate reconstruction showed that the Madeira and Canary volcanism is fed by plume-like structures overlying the seismically slow Central-East Atlantic Anomaly (CEAA) developing as a dome-like instability beneath 700 km depth in connection to the African LLSVP. However, the two hotspot systems seem to be in different stages of development. While the Canary plume-like structure is still rooted in the CEAA, tomography results suggest that the Madeira plumelet is presently detached from it, extending from the surface down to at least 300 km depth (below which the resolution degrades), which was interpreted as a result of the Madeira plumelet being probably at a dying stage.

5.3.2. Our Study

Our results support and reinforce the presence of a hot rising upwelling beneath the Canary Islands that extends through the MTZ in agreement with the interpretation of Civiero et al. (2021). We find that the MTZ is thinner in the Canary archipelago (Figure 6). This is attributed to a depressed 410 and an uplifted 660, which is consistent with the MTZ being crossed by a hot mantle upwelling. The negative correlation observed between the depths of the 410 and 660 discontinuities beneath the Canary Islands confirms that the polymorphs olivine and ringwoodite are the dominant minerals influencing the topography of both discontinuities.

In Madeira, the MTZ is also thinner, primarily due to a depressed 410 and a standard 660, suggesting that the thermal anomaly predominantly affects the 410 discontinuity. This is compatible with a low-velocity anomaly elongating downward to the top of the MTZ, that is, extending more than 100 km deeper than the bulk of the anomaly imaged in the model by Civiero et al. (2021). The hypothesis of a possible deeper extension of the Madeira plumelet was admitted by the authors, based on considerations about the size of the anomaly and the coarser model resolution below this area. Our results provide complementary information that reinforces the hypothesis that the plumelet extends deeper than 300 km, but does not fully cross the MTZ beneath Madeira and is detached from the CEAA.

Additionally, we observe a lateral trend in the topography of discontinuities, which is more pronounced in the Canary archipelago. The 660 is shallower toward the east, while the 410 is deeper toward the west (Figure 6). Beneath Madeira, the 660 is shallower toward the east; however, this trend is less reliable here due to only one detection to the east of the archipelago. This lateral trend might indicate a lateral deflection of the plume. Numerical modeling of mantle flow highlights the potential role of edge-driven convection in the African craton in deflecting the mantle plume beneath the Canary archipelago toward the west (Manjón-Cabeza Córdoba & Ballmer, 2022; Negrodo et al., 2022). While this scenario is plausible and compatible with Civiero's model, further study with expanded coverage of the study area using RFs is necessary to thoroughly test it.

By utilizing the Clapeyron slope of the olivine and ringwoodite PT, it becomes possible to estimate the total temperature variations across the MTZ beneath both archipelagos. If we substitute $\gamma_{660} = -2.0$ MPa/K, $\gamma_{410} = +4.00$ MPa/K and a reference MTZ thickness of 242 km from Lawrence and Shearer (2006) global RFs study in Equation 4, the average MTZ thickness beneath the Canary archipelago (217 ± 2 km) translates to a temperature difference of $+138 \pm 11$ K. Similarly, the average MTZ thickness beneath the Madeira archipelago (237 ± 2 km) translates to a temperature difference of $+28 \pm 11$ K. These estimates provide insights into the thermal structure of the mantle anomalies beneath these volcanic regions. Excess temperatures within the mantle beneath these volcanic islands were independently confirmed using the chemical composition of the olivines, the liquidus phase of the alkaline magmas erupting on them (Putirka, 2008).

5.4. Evidences of a Thermochemical Plume Beneath the Canaries

The detection of *PXs* (also named *P300s* throughout the article) serves as compelling evidence for the existence of chemical heterogeneities within the plume material.

5.4.1. The X Discontinuity

The X discontinuity has been observed in a variety of tectonic environments: subduction zones (Schmerr, 2015; Zhang & Lay, 1993), stable continents (Pugh et al., 2023; Wajeman, 1988), several hotspots (Bagley & Revenaugh, 2008; Courtier et al., 2007; Kemp et al., 2019; Pugh et al., 2021, 2023; Schmerr, 2015), and ridges (Schmerr, 2015). However, it seems not to be omnipresent throughout the planet (e.g., Schmerr et al., 2013; Williams & Revenaugh, 2005). This suggests that such discontinuity is probably linked to some form of mantle heterogeneity(ies) promoting a specific PT. These observations over a broad range of possible mantle temperatures have led to several explanations for the X (summarized in Table S3 in Supporting Information S1). Out of the different mechanisms that have been proposed to explain the X discontinuity, the silica phase transition from coesite to stishovite has been suggested as the most plausible explanation for the X in hot mantle upwellings, such as the Canary archipelago, where it was previously observed (Pugh et al., 2021). The presence of this discontinuity implies the presence of polymorphic silica-rich phases beneath the study region at these depths. Within a plume setting, this material might correspond to recycled eclogite, more silica-rich than the dominant peridotite, aligning with thermochemical plume models (Kemp et al., 2019; Pugh et al., 2021, 2023).

The presence of eclogite beneath hotspots may be explained by bidirectional mass transfer in the dynamic Earth's interior, in agreement with a general model first proposed by Hofmann and White (1982). The basaltic oceanic crust is recycled in the mantle through subduction, leading to the production of eclogitic rocks, denser than the dominant ultrabasic compositions (peridotites in the upper mantle) (Niu, 2018). This process contributes to the formation of chemical heterogeneities that gradually accumulate near the base of the lower mantle, forming what are known as slab graveyards (e.g., Jones et al., 2021; van der Meer et al., 2018). These heterogeneities partially explain the origin of LLSVPs (Koppers et al., 2021), which are located beneath Africa and the Pacific (e.g., French & Romanowicz, 2015). LLSVPs are believed to serve as nurseries for major deep-mantle-sourced hotspots like the Canary hotspot (Koppers et al., 2021). These upwellings, rooted in LLSVPs, transport recycled oceanic crust, including eclogite-type materials, to upper levels of the mantle (Hofmann & White, 1982; Koppers et al., 2021; Tackley, 2000). Owing to uranium enrichment of oceanic crust during sea-water alteration and lead depletion during subduction-induced dehydration, high $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ is considered the best geochemical proxy for the presence in the mantle of ancient recycled oceanic crust, which is considered the cause for the HIMU mantle component (e.g., White, 2015). Here, the term HIMU refers to a mantle component characterized by a secular evolution under "High μ ," where $\mu = U/\text{Pb}$; it is a typical geochemical signature found in some volcanic rocks, particularly those associated with hotspots and oceanic island basalts (OIBs). Lavas from both Canary (Day et al., 2010; Gurenko et al., 2006, 2009) and Madeira (e.g., Geldmacher & Hoernle, 2000; Gurenko et al., 2013; Mata et al., 1998) show evidence for the contribution of the HIMU mantle component, translated in moderately high $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ (up to 20.270 and up to 19.989, respectively). These isotopic signatures independently support the geophysical evidence for the presence of eclogites in the mantle upwellings associated with those volcanic provinces. Recent numerical modeling indicates that mantle plumes can entrain about 20% basalt component as recycled eclogite, which leads to the formation of an eclogitic reservoir at depths of around 400 to 300 km (Ballmer et al., 2013; Dannberg & Sobolev, 2015). Since an eclogite proportion larger than 40% is required to seismically observe the X discontinuity (Kemp et al., 2019; Pugh et al., 2021; Schmerr, 2015), it has been suggested that with time the accumulation of eclogite above the MTZ would increase to the levels required to detect the *PXs* phase (Pugh et al., 2021).

The absence of a consistent *PXs* phase beneath Madeira suggests that, if a basalt reservoir is present, it likely contains less than 40% basalt. Conversely, localized detections of the *PXs* phase beneath Madeira and the Canaries can be interpreted as indications of localized accumulations of basalt.

Furthermore, it is plausible to hypothesize that the accumulation of the basalt reservoir beneath the Canary Islands is facilitated by the long-lasting and continuous hotspot feeding up to the present day. In contrast, if Madeira is in a declining phase, the accumulation of this reservoir may have been comparatively less efficient and/or may have already diminished after the downward retreat of the denser eclogite material, after the decrease of the plume positive buoyancy.

5.4.2. Compositional Constraints From Amplitude Analysis

A mechanical mixture (MM) of basalt (MORB) and harzburgite, with basalt increasing with depth, accurately replicates the seismic data for realistic thermal structures of the upper mantle (Cammarano et al., 2009). First




Figure 8. Predicted shear wave velocity jumps (in %) at depths of 300, 410, and 660 km, observed in a mechanical mixture (MM) of harzburgite and basalt with varying basalt proportions (f_b), obtained using the formula $V_{Sjump} = (v_2 - v_1)/2(v_1 + v_2)$, where v_1 and v_2 represent the velocities of the upper and lower layers, respectively.

Generally, these signals are most reliably detected when a large number of RFs from small CCP areas are stacked but even then converted phases can be weak, thus making it challenging to robustly identify them. For example, for P300s, we only obtained 18 robust detections out of 34 good-quality CCP stacks. Moreover, the amplitude of converted phases in stacked RFs exhibits variability due to factors such as noise, focusing, and defocusing by small-scale topography variations. Consequently, constraints on absolute velocity jumps based on these amplitudes may not be accurate. Therefore, rather than interpreting the absolute values of velocity jumps, we focus on the relative values of the estimated velocity jumps (last two columns of Table 1). The corresponding theoretical values (relative velocity jumps w.r.t the 410) for varying proportions of basalt are displayed in the last two columns of Table 2. This theoretical analysis reveals that the relative velocity jump between the 410 and 660 remains relatively constant for varying fractions of basalt. However, the relative velocity jump between the 410 and X decreases as the proportion of basalt increases. In other words, the strength of the X discontinuity becomes more prominent for higher values of f_b , while the opposite trend is observed for the 410 and 660 discontinuities.

Table 2

Predicted Shear Wave Velocity Jumps at Depths of 300, 410, and 660 km, Observed in a Mechanical Mixture of Harzburgite and Basalt With Varying Proportions of Basalt, f_b and a Potential Temperature of 1,800 K

Basalt [%]	v_s Jump [%]			Relative v_s Jump	
	300	410	660	410/300	410/660
0	0.18	9.94	7.37	–	1.34
20	0.82	7.97	5.96	9.72	1.34
40	1.46	6.04	4.55	4.14	1.33
60	2.08	4.15	3.11	1.99	1.33
80	2.70	2.25	1.65	0.83	1.35

Note. The last two columns display the relative velocity jumps between 410 and 300, and 410 and 660 as the proportion of basalt increases.

principle calculations indicate that the depth variations of the MTZ discontinuities are primarily influenced by temperature, whereas the magnitude of the corresponding velocity jumps is mainly affected by composition (e.g., Xu et al., 2008). Applying a simplified theoretical analysis to constrain the basalt proportion above the MTZ, we utilize the velocity contrast obtained in the amplitude analysis of the detected phases and the velocity contrast in a MM model of the mantle.

Theoretical velocity contrasts for the $co \rightarrow st$, $ol \rightarrow wa$ and $ri \rightarrow br + mw$ phases are computed from the velocity models of Xu et al. (2008) for a potential temperature of 1800 K and basalt proportions ranging from 0% to 80%. Notably, the 410 discontinuity in these models aligns with the estimated depth beneath the Canaries, where a consistent PX_s phase is detected. The predicted shear wave velocity jumps at depths of 300, 410, and 660 km, corresponding to the mentioned PT, are depicted in Figure 8 and summarized in the first four columns of Table 2. The analysis reveals that as the proportion of basalt increases, the velocity jumps for the 410 and 660 decrease, whereas the trend is opposite for the X discontinuity.

Our estimated velocity jumps (column five in Table 1) are obtained from the amplitude analysis of detected converted phases. These phases can be difficult to detect on individual RFs as arrivals are often relatively weak and close to the noise level. Occasionally, some phases show anomalously large amplitudes, in which case they can be identified individually.

Our estimations for the relative velocity jumps between the 410 and X are 1.04 and 1.6 (Table 1), for the Canary and Madeira archipelagos, respectively. These values correspond to a proportion of basalt between 60% and 80% (Table 2). Based on the reliability of the X discontinuity in the Canaries data set, we conclude that the accumulation of basalt is more significant there. For Madeira, the accumulation of basalt appears to be very localized.

6. Conclusions

Using P RFs, we conduct a systematic regional study to map out mantle seismic discontinuities beneath the Madeira and Canary archipelagos. We identify converted phases beneath both archipelagos for transition zone discontinuities, namely the 410 and 660. In the Canary Islands, the 410 is deeper (428 ± 5 km) and the 660 is shallower (647 ± 3 km). The MTZ is thinner (217 ± 2 km) compared to the global average suggesting the presence of a hot mantle upwelling characterized by excess temperatures that we estimate of $+138 \pm 11$ K w.r.t the surrounding mantle. Furthermore, the dominant minerals influencing the topography of the 410 and 660 are, respectively,




Figure 9. (a) Vote cross-section created with the SubMachine tool (Hosseini et al., 2018) using 34 P- and S-wave tomographic models and illustrating the most robust long-wavelength low-velocity anomalies in the mantle beneath Canaries (C) and Madeira (M). (b) Cartoon illustrating the Canary Plume's extension through the upper mantle and the detached Madeira upwelling, incorporating constraints from our analysis (X discontinuity, eclogite above the MTZ, topography of MTZ discontinuities and temperature of MTZ).

olivine and its polymorph ringwoodite. The discontinuity sharpness analysis provides additional support to this conclusion, as it reveals clear and well-defined 660 discontinuity features, which is in contrast to a broad 660 that would arise due to garnet being the dominant mineral. Beneath Madeira, the 660 discontinuity is close to the reference value and the 410 discontinuity is found at greater depths (421 ± 4 km). Here the MTZ is cooler than below the Canaries, with estimated excess temperatures of only $+28 \pm 11$ K w.r.t the surrounding mantle. Furthermore, beneath the Canaries, we have reliable detections of the X discontinuity, attributed to the coesite-to-stishovite PT in regions of the upper mantle enriched in eclogite (as expected for thermochemical plumes). The X discontinuity is in the range of 253–316 km depth in a broad area beneath the Canaries, but it is observed at only one isolated location beneath Madeira at 313 km. We estimate that the basalt proportion in the plume, between 300 and 400 km, is 60%–80%, with accumulation being more significant in the Canaries than in Madeira. The MTZ thickness, the presence of the X discontinuity, and the high basalt fraction provide compelling evidence for a deep-seated thermochemical plume beneath the study area, which feeds an active upwelling that presently crosses the MTZ beneath the Canaries. Beneath Madeira, it appears that only the upper portion of the MTZ is slightly affected. This suggests that the upwelling in this area might be less vigorous or currently disconnected from its deeper source. This interpretation is in line with tomographic images. We have summarized our findings in the conceptual model presented in Figure 9.

Data Availability Statement

The data from the Portuguese National Seismic Network with Federation of Digital Seismograph Networks (FDSN) network identifier PM are available from Instituto Português do Mar e da Atmosfera, I.P. (2006). Data from DOCTAR experiment with FDSN network identifier Y7 are available on request at (Krüger et al., 2011). Data from the Global Seismograph Network with FDSN identifier IU are available from the IRIS Data Management Center (IRISDMC) (2003). Data from the Spanish Digital Seismic Network with FDSN identifier ES are available on request from the Instituto Geografico Nacional, Spain (1999).

Acknowledgments

We thank the associate editor and two anonymous reviewers for thoughtful comments and suggestions that helped improving the paper. This work is a contribution to projects FCT I.P./MCTES through national funds, SIGHT (Ref. PTDC/CTA-GEF/30264/2017) and GEMMA (PTDC/CTA-GEO/2083/2021, DOI 10.54499/PTDC/CTA-GEO/2083/2021). This work was also supported by the Portuguese Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) I.P./MCTES through national funds (PIDDAC)—UIDB/50019/2020 (<https://doi.org/10.54499/UIDB/50019/2020>), UIDP/50019/2020 (<https://doi.org/10.54499/UIDP/50019/2020>) and LA/P/0068/2020 (<https://doi.org/10.54499/LA/P/0068/2020>). L.B. would like to express sincere gratitude to the University of La Serena's Research Incentive Program for Academics (PIA) for their generous support. C.C. acknowledges the Programma Per Giovani Ricercatori "Rita Levi Montalcini" (Grant D86-RALMI23CIVIE_01 awarded by the Italian Ministry of University and Research).

References

- Aki, K., & Richards, P. (2002). *Quantitative seismology*. University Science Books.
- Allègre, C. J., & Turcotte, D. L. (1986). Implications of a two-component marble-cake mantle. *Nature*, 323(6084), 123–127. <https://doi.org/10.1038/323123a0>
- Ammon, C. J. (1991). The isolation of receiver effects from teleseismic P waveforms. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 81(6), 2504–2510. <https://doi.org/10.1785/BSSA0810062504>
- Bagley, B., & Revenaugh, J. (2008). Upper mantle seismic shear discontinuities of the Pacific. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 113(B12). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JB005692>
- Ballmer, M. D., Ito, G., Wolfe, C. J., & Solomon, S. C. (2013). Double layering of a thermochemical plume in the upper mantle beneath Hawaii. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 376, 155–164. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2013.06.022>
- Bina, C. R., & Helffrich, G. (1994). Phase transition Clapeyron slopes and transition zone seismic discontinuity topography. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 99(B8), 15853–15860. <https://doi.org/10.1029/94JB00462>
- Bonato, L., Piromallo, C., & Cottaar, S. (2020). The transition zone beneath West Argentina-Central Chile using P-to-S converted waves. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 125(8), e2020JB019446. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JB019446>
- Bonato, L., Schimmel, M., Gallart, J., & Morales, J. (2015). The upper-mantle transition zone beneath the Ibero-Maghrebian region as seen by teleseismic Pds phases. *Tectonophysics*, 663, 212–224. (Special issue on Iberia geodynamics: An integrative approach from the Topo-Iberia framework). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2015.02.002>
- Bostock, M. G. (1999). Seismic waves converted from velocity gradient anomalies in the Earth's upper mantle. *Geophysical Journal International*, 138(3), 747–756. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-246X.1999.00902.x>
- Cammarano, F., Romanowicz, B., Stixrude, L., Lithgow-Bertelloni, C., & Xu, W. (2009). Inferring the thermochemical structure of the upper mantle from seismic data. *Geophysical Journal International*, 179(2), 1169–1185. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2009.04338.x>
- Carracedo, J. C., & Troll, V. R. (2021). North-East Atlantic Islands: The Macaronesian Archipelagos. In D. Alderton & S. A. Elias (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of geology* (2nd ed., pp. 674–699). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-102908-4.00027-8>
- Civiero, C., Armitage, J. J., Goes, S., & Hammond, J. O. S. (2019). The seismic signature of upper-mantle plumes: Application to the northern East African rift. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 20(12), 6106–6122. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GC008636>
- Civiero, C., Custódio, S., Neres, M., Schlaphorst, D., Mata, J., & Silveira, G. (2021). The role of the seismically slow central-East Atlantic anomaly in the genesis of the Canary and Madeira volcanic provinces. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 48(13), e92874. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL092874>
- Civiero, C., Custódio, S., Rawlinson, N., Strak, V., Silveira, G., Arroucau, P., & Corela, C. (2019). Thermal nature of mantle upwellings below the Ibero-Western Maghreb region inferred from teleseismic tomography. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 124(2), 1781–1801. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JB016531>
- Civiero, C., Strak, V., Custódio, S., Silveira, G., Rawlinson, N., Arroucau, P., & Corela, C. (2018). A common deep source for upper-mantle upwellings below the Ibero-Western Maghreb region from teleseismic P-wave travel-time tomography. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 499, 157–172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2018.07.024>
- Collier, J. D., & Helffrich, G. R. (2001). The thermal influence of the subducting slab beneath South America from 410 and 660 km discontinuity observations. *Geophysical Journal International*, 147(2), 319–329. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-246X.2001.00532.x>

- Courtier, A. M., Bagley, B., & Revenaugh, J. (2007). Whole mantle discontinuity structure beneath Hawaii. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *34*(17). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL031006>
- Crotwell, H. P., Owens, T. J., & Ritsema, J. (1999). The TauP Toolkit: Flexible seismic travel-time and ray-path utilities. *Seismological Research Letters*, *70*(2), 154–160. <https://doi.org/10.1785/gssrl.70.2.154>
- Dannberg, J., & Sobolev, S. V. (2015). Low-buoyancy thermochemical plumes resolve controversy of classical mantle plume concept. *Nature Communications*, *6*(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7960>
- Day, J. M. D., Pearson, D. G., Macpherson, C. G., Lowry, D., & Carracedo, J. C. (2010). Evidence for distinct proportions of subducted oceanic crust and lithosphere in HIMU-type mantle beneath El Hierro and La Palma, Canary Islands. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, *74*(22), 6565–6589. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2010.08.021>
- Deuss, A. (2007). Seismic observations of transition-zone discontinuities beneath hotspot locations. In *Special paper 430: Plates, plumes and planetary processes* (pp. 121–136). [https://doi.org/10.1130/2007.2430\(07\)](https://doi.org/10.1130/2007.2430(07))
- Deuss, A. (2009). Global observations of mantle discontinuities using SS and PP precursors. *Surveys in Geophysics*, *30*(4–5), 301–326. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-009-9078-y>
- Deuss, A., Redfern, S. A. T., Chambers, K., & Woodhouse, J. H. (2006). The nature of the 660-kilometer discontinuity in Earth's mantle from global seismic observations of PP precursors. *Science*, *311*(5758), 198–201. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1120020>
- Dziewonski, A. M., & Anderson, D. L. (1981). Preliminary reference Earth model. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, *25*(4), 297–356. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9201\(81\)90046-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9201(81)90046-7)
- Efron, B., & Tibshirani, R. (1986). Bootstrap methods for standard errors, confidence intervals, and other measures of statistical accuracy. *Statistical Science*, *1*(1), 54–75. <https://doi.org/10.1214/ss/1177013815>
- French, S., & Romanowicz, B. (2015). Broad plumes rooted at the base of the Earth's mantle beneath major hotspots. *Nature*, *525*(7567), 95–99. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14876>
- Geldmacher, J., & Hoernle, K. (2000). The 72 Ma geochemical evolution of the Madeira hotspot (eastern North Atlantic): Recycling of Paleozoic (500 Ma) oceanic lithosphere. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *183*(1), 73–92. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X\(00\)00266-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X(00)00266-1)
- Geldmacher, J., Hoernle, K., Bogaard, P., Duggen, S., & Werner, R. (2005). New ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar age and geochemical data from seamounts in the Canary and Madeira volcanic provinces: Support for the mantle plume hypothesis. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *237*(1), 85–101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2005.04.037>
- Geldmacher, J., Hoernle, K., van den Bogaard, P., Zankl, G., & Garbe-Schönberg, D. (2001). Earlier history of the 70-Ma-old Canary hotspot based on the temporal and geochemical evolution of the Selvagen Archipelago and neighboring seamounts in the eastern North Atlantic. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, *111*(1), 55–87. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0273\(01\)00220-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0273(01)00220-7)
- Gu, Y. J., An, Y., Sacchi, M., Schultz, R., & Ritsema, J. (2009). Mantle reflectivity structure beneath oceanic hotspots. *Geophysical Journal International*, *178*(3), 1456–1472. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2009.04242.x>
- Gurenko, A. A., Geldmacher, J., Hoernle, K. A., & Sobolev, A. V. (2013). A composite, isotopically-depleted peridotite and enriched pyroxenite source for Madeira magmas: Insights from olivine. *Lithos*, *170*, 224–238. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2013.03.002>
- Gurenko, A. A., Hoernle, K. A., Hauff, F., Schmincke, H. U., Han, D., Miura, Y. N., & Kaneoka, I. (2006). Major, trace element and Nd-Sr-Pb-O-He-Ar isotope signatures of shield stage lavas from the central and western Canary Islands: Insights into mantle and crustal processes. *Chemical Geology*, *233*(1–2), 75–112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2006.02.016>
- Gurenko, A. A., Sobolev, A. V., Hoernle, K. A., Hauff, F., & Schmincke, H.-U. (2009). Enriched, HIMU-type peridotite and depleted recycled pyroxenite in the canary plume: A mixed-up mantle. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *277*(3–4), 514–524. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.11.013>
- Helffrich, G. (2000). Topography of the transition zone seismic discontinuities. *Reviews of Geophysics*, *38*(1), 141–158. <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999RG000060>
- Helffrich, G., & Bina, C. R. (1994). Frequency dependence of the visibility and depths of mantle seismic discontinuities. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *21*(24), 2613–2616. <https://doi.org/10.1029/94GL02341>
- Helffrich, G. R., & Wood, B. J. (2001). The Earth's mantle. *Nature*, *412*(6846), 501–507. <https://doi.org/10.1038/35087500>
- Hirose, K. (2002). Phase transitions in pyrolytic mantle around 670-km depth: Implications for upwelling of plumes from the lower mantle. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *107*(B4), ECV3-1–ECV3-13. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JB000597>
- Hofmann, A. W., & White, W. M. (1982). Mantle plumes from ancient oceanic crust. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *57*(2), 421–436. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X\(82\)90161-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X(82)90161-3)
- Hosseini, K., Matthews, K. J., Sigloch, K., Shephard, G. E., Domeier, M., & Tsekhmistrenko, M. (2018). SubMachine: Web-based tools for exploring seismic tomography and other models of Earth's deep interior. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, *19*(5), 1464–1483. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GC007431>
- Houser, C., Masters, G., Flanagan, M., & Shearer, P. (2008). Determination and analysis of long-wavelength transition zone structure using SS precursors. *Geophysical Journal International*, *174*(1), 178–194. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2008.03719.x>
- Instituto Português do Mar e da Atmosfera, I.P. (2006). Portuguese national seismic network [Dataset]. *International Federation of Digital Seismograph Networks*. <https://doi.org/10.7914/SN/PM>
- Instituto Geografico Nacional, Spain. (1999). Spanish digital seismic network [Dataset]. *International Federation of Digital Seismograph Networks*. <https://doi.org/10.7914/SN/ES>
- IRIS Transportable Array. (2003). USArray transportable array [Dataset]. *International Federation of Digital Seismograph Networks*. <https://doi.org/10.7914/SN/TA>
- Jenkins, J., Deuss, A., & Cottaar, S. (2017). Converted phases from sharp 1000 km depth mid-mantle heterogeneity beneath Western Europe. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *459*, 196–207. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2016.11.031>
- Jones, T. D., Sime, N., & van Keken, P. E. (2021). Burying Earth's primitive mantle in the slab graveyard. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, *22*(3), e2020GC009396. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GC009396>
- Juliá, J. (2007). Constraining velocity and density contrasts across the crust—Mantle boundary with receiver function amplitudes. *Geophysical Journal International*, *171*(1), 286–301. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.03502.x>
- Kemp, M., Jenkins, J., Maclennan, J., & Cottaar, S. (2019). X-discontinuity and transition zone structure beneath Hawaii suggests a heterogeneous plume. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *527*, 115781. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.115781>
- Koppers, A. A. P., Becker, T. W., Jackson, M. G., Konrad, K., Müller, R. D., Romanowicz, B., et al. (2021). Mantle plumes and their role in Earth processes. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, *2*(6), 382–401. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-021-00168-6>
- Krüger, F., Dahm, T., Dias, N. A., Matias, L., Silveira, G., Kriegerowski, M., & Bülow, J. (2011). Deep OCEAN test ARray experiment (DOCTAR) [Dataset]. *GFZ Data Services*. <https://doi.org/10.14470/L27566556506>

- Langston, C. A. (1979). Structure under Mount Rainier, Washington, inferred from teleseismic body waves. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 84(B9), 4749–4762. <https://doi.org/10.1029/JB084iB09p04749>
- Lawrence, J. F., & Shearer, P. M. (2006). A global study of transition zone thickness using receiver functions. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 111(B6). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JB003973>
- Lawrence, J. F., & Shearer, P. M. (2008). Imaging mantle transition zone thickness with SdS-SS finite-frequency sensitivity kernels. *Geophysical Journal International*, 174(1), 143–158. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2007.03673.x>
- Li, C., van der Hilst, R. D., Engdahl, E. R., & Burdick, S. (2008). A new global model for P wave speed variations in Earth's mantle. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 9(5), Q05018. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GC001806>
- Li, X., Kind, R., & Yuan, X. (2003). Seismic study of upper mantle and transition zone beneath hotspots. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 136(1–2), 79–92. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9201\(03\)00021-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9201(03)00021-9)
- Liu, H., Wang, W., Jia, X., Leng, W., Wu, Z., & Sun, D. (2018). The combined effects of post-spinel and post-garnet phase transitions on mantle plume dynamics. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 496, 80–88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2018.05.031>
- Manjón-Cabeza Córdoba, A., & Ballmer, M. D. (2022). The role of edge-driven convection in the generation of volcanism—Part 2: Interaction with mantle plumes, applied to the Canary Islands. *Solid Earth*, 13(10), 1585–1605. <https://doi.org/10.5194/se-13-1585-2022>
- Martínez-Arevalo, C., Mancilla, F. d. L., Helffrich, G., & García, A. (2013). Seismic evidence of a regional sublithospheric low velocity layer beneath the Canary Islands. *Tectonophysics*, 608, 586–599. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2013.08.021>
- Mata, J., Kerrich, R., MacRae, N. D., & Wu, T. W. (1998). Elemental and isotopic (Sr, Nd, and Pb) characteristics of Madeira Island basalts: Evidence for a composite HIMU—EM I plume fertilizing lithosphere. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 35(9), 980–997. <https://doi.org/10.1139/e98-046>
- Matos, C., Silveira, G., Matias, L., Caldeira, R., Ribeiro, M. L., Dias, N. A., et al. (2015). Upper crustal structure of Madeira Island revealed from ambient noise tomography. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, 298, 136–145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2015.03.017>
- Morgan, W. J. (1972). Plate motions and deep mantle convection. In *Studies in Earth and space sciences*. Geological Society of America. <https://doi.org/10.1130/MEM132-p7>
- Negredo, A. M., van Hunen, J., Rodríguez-González, J., & Fulla, J. (2022). On the origin of the Canary Islands: Insights from mantle convection modelling. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 584, 117506. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2022.117506>
- Niu, Y. (2018). Origin of the LLSVPs at the base of the mantle is a consequence of plate tectonics—A petrological and geochemical perspective. *Geoscience Frontiers*, 9(5), 1265–1278. (SPECIAL ISSUE: Frontiers in geoscience: A tribute to Prof. Xuanxue Mo). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsf.2018.03.005>
- Paulssen, H. (1988). Evidence for a sharp 670-km discontinuity as inferred from P-to-S converted waves. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 93(B9), 10489–10500. <https://doi.org/10.1029/JB093iB09p10489>
- Phinney, R. (1964). Structure of the Earth's crust from spectral behavior of long-period body waves. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 69(14), 2997–3017. <https://doi.org/10.1029/JZ069i014p02997>
- Pugh, S., Boyce, A., Bastow, I. D., Ebinger, C. J., & Cottaar, S. (2023). Multigenetic origin of the X-discontinuity below continents: Insights from African receiver functions. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 24(3), e2022GC010782. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GC010782>
- Pugh, S., Jenkins, J., Boyce, A., & Cottaar, S. (2021). Global receiver function observations of the X-discontinuity reveal recycled basalt beneath hotspots. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 561, 116813. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2021.116813>
- Putirka, K. (2008). Excess temperatures at ocean islands: Implications for mantle layering and convection. *Geology*, 36(4), 283–286. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G24615A.1>
- Richards, P. (1972). Seismic waves reflected from velocity gradient anomalies within the Earth's upper mantle. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 77, 517–527.
- Ritsema, J., & Van Heijst, H. J. (2002). Constraints on the correlation of P- and S-wave velocity heterogeneity in the mantle from P, PP, PPP and PKP travel times. *Geophysical Journal International*, 149(2), 482–489. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-246X.2002.01631.x>
- Saki, M., Thomas, C., Nippres, S. E. J., & Lessing, S. (2015). Topography of upper mantle seismic discontinuities beneath the North Atlantic: The Azores, Canary and Cape Verde plumes. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 409, 193–202. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2014.10.052>
- Sandwell, D. T., Müller, R. D., Smith, W. H. F., Garcia, E., & Francis, R. (2014). New global marine gravity model from CryoSat-2 and Jason-1 reveals buried tectonic structure. *Science*, 346(6205), 65–67. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1258213>
- Schimmel, M., & Paulssen, H. (1997). Noise reduction and detection of weak, coherent signals through phase-weighted stacks. *Geophysical Journal International*, 130(2), 497–505. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.1997.tb05664.x>
- Schlaphorst, D., Silveira, G., Mata, J., Krüger, F., Dahm, T., & Ferreira, A. M. G. (2022). Heterogeneous seismic anisotropy beneath Madeira and Canary archipelagos revealed by local and teleseismic shear wave splitting. *Geophysical Journal International*, 233(1), 510–528. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggac472>
- Schmerr, N. (2015). Imaging mantle heterogeneity with upper mantle seismic discontinuities. In A. Khan & F. Deschamps (Eds.), *The Earth's heterogeneous mantle: A geophysical, geodynamical, and geochemical perspective* (pp. 79–104). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-15627-9_3
- Schmerr, N. C., Kelly, B. M., & Thorne, M. S. (2013). Broadband array observations of the 300 km seismic discontinuity. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40(5), 841–846. <https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50257>
- Schnaidt, S., & Heinson, G. (2015). Bootstrap resampling as a tool for uncertainty analysis in 2-D magnetotelluric inversion modelling. *Geophysical Journal International*, 203(1), 92–106. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggv264>
- Shearer, P. M., & Flanagan, M. P. (1999). Seismic velocity and density jumps across the 410- and 660-kilometer discontinuities. *Science*, 285(5433), 1545–1548. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.285.5433.1545>
- Tackley, P. J. (2000). Mantle convection and plate tectonics: Toward an integrated physical and chemical theory. *Science*, 288(5473), 2002–2007. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.288.5473.2002>
- van der Meer, D. G., van Hinsbergen, D. J., & Spakman, W. (2018). Atlas of the underworld: Slab remnants in the mantle, their sinking history, and a new outlook on lower mantle viscosity. *Tectonophysics*, 723, 309–448. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2017.10.004>
- van der Meijde, M., Marone, F., Giardini, D., & van der Lee, S. (2003). Seismic evidence for water deep in Earth's Upper Mantle. *Science*, 300(5625), 1556–1558. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1083636>
- Vidale, J. E., & Benz, H. M. (1992). Upper-mantle seismic discontinuities and the thermal structure of subduction zones. *Nature*, 356(6371), 678–683. <https://doi.org/10.1038/356678a0>
- Vinnik, L. (1977). Detection of waves converted from P to SV in the mantle. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 15(1), 39–45. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9201\(77\)90008-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9201(77)90008-5)
- Wajeman, N. (1988). Detection of underside P reflections at mantle discontinuities by stacking broadband data. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 15(7), 669–672. <https://doi.org/10.1029/GL015i007p00669>

- Weidner, D. J., & Wang, Y. (1998). Chemical- and Clapeyron-induced buoyancy at the 660 km discontinuity. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 103(B4), 7431–7441. <https://doi.org/10.1029/97JB03511>
- White, W. M. (2015). Isotopes, DUPAL, LLSVPs, and Anekantavada. *Chemical Geology*, 419, 10–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2015.09.026>
- Williams, Q., & Revenaugh, J. (2005). Ancient subduction, mantle eclogite, and the 300 km seismic discontinuity. *Geology*, 33(1), 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G20968.1>
- Xu, W., Lithgow-Bertelloni, C., Stixrude, L., & Ritsema, J. (2008). The effect of bulk composition and temperature on mantle seismic structure. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 275(1), 70–79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.08.012>
- Yu, Y. G., Wentzcovitch, R. M., Vinograd, V. L., & Angel, R. J. (2011). Thermodynamic properties of MgSiO₃ majorite and phase transitions near 660 km depth in MgSiO₃ and Mg₂SiO₄: A first principles study. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 116(B2), B02208. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JB007912>
- Zhang, Z., & Lay, T. (1993). Investigation of upper mantle discontinuities near Northwestern Pacific Subduction Zones using precursors to sSH. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 98(B3), 4389–4405. <https://doi.org/10.1029/92JB02050>
- Zibera, L., Klemme, S., & Nimis, P. (2013). Garnet and spinel in fertile and depleted mantle: Insights from thermodynamic modelling. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology*, 166(2), 411–421. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00410-013-0882-5>

References From the Supporting Information

- Akaogi, M., & Akimoto, S.-I. (1980). High-pressure stability of a dense hydrous magnesian silicate Mg₂₃Si₈O₄₂H₆ and some geophysical implications. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 85(B12), 6944–6948. <https://doi.org/10.1029/JB085iB12p06944>
- Akaogi, M., Takayama, H., Kojitani, H., Kawaji, H., & Atake, T. (2007). Low-temperature heat capacities, entropies and enthalpies of Mg₂SiO₄ polymorphs, and α – β – γ and post-spinel phase relations at high pressure. *Physics and Chemistry of Minerals*, 34(3), 169–183. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00269-006-0137-3>
- Allen, R. V. (1978). Automatic earthquake recognition and timing from single traces. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 68(5), 1521–1532. <https://doi.org/10.1785/BSSA0680051521>
- Boyce, A., & Cottaar, S. (2021). Insights into deep mantle thermochemical contributions to African magmatism from converted seismic phases. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 22(3), e2020GC009478. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GC009478>
- Chen, T., Gwanmesia, G. D., Wang, X., Zou, Y., Liebermann, R. C., Michaut, C., & Li, B. (2015). Anomalous elastic properties of coesite at high pressure and implications for the upper mantle X-discontinuity. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 412, 42–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2014.12.025>
- Clayton, R. W., & Wiggins, R. A. (1976). Source shape estimation and deconvolution of teleseismic body waves. *Geophysical Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 47(1), 151–177. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.1976.tb01267.x>
- Dueker, K. G., & Sheehan, A. F. (1998). Mantle discontinuity structure beneath the Colorado Rocky Mountains and High Plains. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 103(B4), 7153–7169. <https://doi.org/10.1029/97JB03509>
- Ganguly, J., & Frost, D. J. (2006). Stability of anhydrous phase B: Experimental studies and implications for phase relations in subducting slab and the X discontinuity in the mantle. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 111(B6). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JB003910>
- Katsura, T., Yamada, H., Nishikawa, O., Song, M., Kubo, A., Shinmei, T., et al. (2004). Olivine-wadsleyite transition in the system (Mg,Fe)₂SiO₄. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 109(B2), B02209. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JB002438>
- Litasov, K. D., Ohtani, E., Sano, A., Suzuki, A., & Funakoshi, K. (2005). Wet subduction versus cold subduction. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 32(13). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL022921>
- Liu, J., Topor, L., Zhang, J., Navrotsky, A., & Liebermann, R. C. (1996). Calorimetric study of the coesite-stishovite transformation and calculation of the phase boundary. *Physics and Chemistry of Minerals*, 23(1), 11–16. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00202988>
- Revenaugh, J., & Jordan, T. H. (1991). Mantle layering from ScS reverberations: 3. The upper mantle. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 96(B12), 19781–19810. <https://doi.org/10.1029/91JB01487>
- Woodland, A. B. (1998). The orthorhombic to high-P monoclinic phase transition in Mg-Fe Pyroxenes: Can it produce a seismic discontinuity? *Geophysical Research Letters*, 25(8), 1241–1244. <https://doi.org/10.1029/98GL00857>
- Yu, Y., Wu, Z., & Wentzcovitch, R. (2008). Transformations in Mg₂SiO₄ in Earth's transition zone. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 273(1–2), 115–122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.06.023>

Erratum

The originally published version of this article omitted some funding sources. The acknowledgments have been revised to read as follows: “We thank the associate editor and two anonymous reviewers for thoughtful comments and suggestions that helped improve the paper. This work is a contribution to projects FCT I.P./MCTES through national funds, SIGHT (Ref. PTDC/CTA-GEF/30264/2017) and GEMMA (PTDC/CTA-GEO/2083/2021, DOI 10.54499/PTDC/CTA-GEO/2083/2021). This work was also supported by the Portuguese Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) I.P./MCTES through national funds (PIDDAC)—UIDB/50019/2020 (<https://doi.org/10.54499/UIDB/50019/2020>), UIDP/50019/2020 (<https://doi.org/10.54499/UIDP/50019/2020>) and LA/P/0068/2020 (<https://doi.org/10.54499/LA/P/0068/2020>). L.B. would like to express sincere gratitude to the University of La Serena's Research Incentive Program for Academics (PIA) for their generous support. C.C. acknowledges the Programma Per Giovani Ricercatori “Rita Levi Montalcini” (Grant D86-RALMI23CIVIE_01 awarded by the Italian Ministry of University and Research).” The errors have been corrected, and this may be considered the authoritative version of record.