


# THE EVOLUTION *of* LANGUAGE

Proceedings of the 16th  
International Conference



## *Editors*

Stefan Hartmann  
Marta Sibierska  
Marlen Fröhlich  
Mathilde Josserand  
Yannick Jadoul  
Katie Mudd  
Theresa Matzinger  
Jonas Nölle  
Michael Pleyer  
Sławomir Waciewicz  
Przemysław Żywicznyński



# The Evolution of Language

Proceedings of the 16<sup>th</sup> International Conference on  
the Evolution of Language (EVOLANG XVI)

Editors:

|                    |                        |
|--------------------|------------------------|
| Stefan Hartmann    | Katie Mudd             |
| Marta Sibierska    | Jonas Nölle            |
| Marlen Fröhlich    | Michael Pleyer         |
| Yannick Jadoul     | Sławomir Wacewicz      |
| Mathilde Josserand | Przemysław Żywiczyński |
| Theresa Matzinger  |                        |

EVOLANG XVI Scientific Committee  
April 7, 2026

**Published by:**

The Evolution of Language Conferences

Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics  
Wundtlaan 1  
6525 XD Nijmegen  
The Netherlands

**The Evolution of Language**

Proceedings of the 16<sup>th</sup> International Conference on the Evolution of Language (EVLANG XVI)

Copyright status of individual papers is indicated on their first page. All other sections are licensed under a Creative Commons BY-SA 4.0 license.

Cover and back photography and figures by Sasha Donnier (licensed under CC-BY 4.0), priatna788 and artkovalev (licensed via DepositPhotos, CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0), Yannick Becker/Alfred Anwander (CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0), Roman Miletitch (CC0, public domain), David Gil (CC0, public domain), Franziska Wedgell/Lola ya Bonobo (CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0), Alexa Kelly (specimen featured from the University of Florence, used with permission, CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0), Stephen Ferrigno (CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0), Marco Maiolini (CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0), Patrick C. Trettenbrein (CC-BY-NC-SA 4.0), Gareth Roberts (CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0), Wacewicz et al. 2025 (doi:10.18150/L2RHIA, CreativeML Open RAIL-M licence).

ISSN 2666-917X

doi:10.17617/2.3696655

A digital copy of this volume will remain available for download at:

[https://evolang.org/2026/proceedings/evolang16\\_proceedings.pdf](https://evolang.org/2026/proceedings/evolang16_proceedings.pdf)

# Panel of Reviewers

|                         |                        |                           |
|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Marc Allasonnière-Tang  | Rui He                 | Joel Parthemore           |
| Rémi Anselme            | Dieter Hillert         | Louise Peckre             |
| Inbal Arnon             | Toshiki Iwabuchi       | François Pellegrino       |
| Mark Aronoff            | Rachael E. Jack        | Marcus Perlman            |
| Rie Asano               | Yannick Jadoul         | Simone Pika               |
| Mark Atkinson           | Yingqi Jing            | Marek Placiński           |
| Jaume Baixeries         | Sverker Johansson      | Michael Pleyer            |
| Andreas Baumann         | Tamar Johnson          | Monika Pleyer             |
| Teresa Bejarano         | Anna Jon-And           | Piotr Podlipniak          |
| Antonio Benítez-Burraco | Mathilde Josserand     | Ljiljana Progovac         |
| Mélissa Berthet         | Gerhard Jäger          | Monika Pukli              |
| Katrien Beuls           | Šárka Kadavá           | Limor Raviv               |
| Cedric Boeckx           | Koji Kawahara          | Hosein Rezaei             |
| Monika Boruta           | Dimitar Kazakov        | Nikolaus Ritt             |
| Hannah Brown            | Vera Kempe             | Gareth Roberts            |
| Bastian Bunzeck         | Say Young Kim          | Sean G Roberts            |
| Alessandra Chiera       | Robert Kluender        | Evelina Daniela Rodrigues |
| Chris Code              | Hiroki Koda            | Kazutoshi Sasahara        |
| Jennifer Culbertson     | Svetlana Kuleshova     | Sebastian Sauppe          |
| Chris Cummins           | Shun Kurokawa          | Ollie Sayeed              |
| Emily Davis             | Travis LaCroix         | Adam Schembri             |
| Bart de Boer            | Dan Lassiter           | Marieke Schouwstra        |
| Chiara De Gregorio      | Khuyen N. Le           | Edward Ruoyang Shi        |
| Marianne de Heer Kloots | Maël Leroux            | Marta Sibierska           |
| Dan Dediu               | Shiri Lev-Ari          | Rose Stamp                |
| Valentina Deriu         | Hannah Lutzenberger    | Sabine Stoll              |
| Lois Dona               | Heidi Lyn              | Stefanie Sturm            |
| Nataliia Drozhashchikh  | Anna Mai               | Reiji Suzuki              |
| Axel G. Ekström         | Alexander Martin       | Shira Tal                 |
| Andrew Feeney           | Federico Masini        | Monica Tamariz            |
| Hannes A. Fellner       | Theresa Matzinger      | Peeter Tinitis            |
| Ramon Ferrer-i-Cancho   | Jérôme Michaud         | Valeria Torti             |
| Vojtěch Fiala           | Marie Montant          | Patrick C. Trettenbrein   |
| Molly Flaherty          | Steven Moran           | Tobias Ungerer            |
| Marlen Fröhlich         | Olivier Morin          | Sławomir Waciewicz        |
| Richard Futrell         | Masahito Morita        | Andrew B. Wedel           |
| Sonja Gipper            | Tetsuharu Moriya       | Søren Wichmann            |
| Cedric Girard-Buttoz    | Katie Mudd             | Hiromu Yakura             |
| Chris Golston           | Danielle Naegeli       | Anna Zanoli               |
| Tao Gong                | Takanobu Nakamura      | Elizabeth Qing Zhang      |
| Felix Haiduk            | Savithry Namboodiripad | Aleksandra Ćwiek          |
| Harald Hammarström      | Jonas Nölle            | Przemysław Żywicznyński   |
| Stefan Hartmann         | Gerardo Ortega         |                           |
| Takashi Hashimoto       | Richard Parncutt       |                           |

# Contents

|                                                                                                          |          |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Preface by the Chairs of the Local Organizing Committee Chairs                                           | iii      |
| Preface by the Chairs of the Scientific Committee .....                                                  | vi       |
| <b>Plenary Talks</b>                                                                                     | <b>1</b> |
| The cognitive functions of language .....                                                                | 2        |
| <i>Gary Lupyan</i>                                                                                       |          |
| Primate communication and social cognition: Roots of human language? .....                               | 3        |
| <i>Katie E. Slocombe</i>                                                                                 |          |
| Modelling the evolution of syntax as a disordered physical system                                        | 4        |
| <i>Alessandro Treves</i>                                                                                 |          |
| <b>Refereed Contributions</b>                                                                            | <b>5</b> |
| Semantic systematicity: A new perspective on compressibility and expressivity in iterated learning ..... | 6        |
| <i>Jai Aggarwal, Jaehyeon Park, Suzanne Stevenson, Barend Beekhuizen</i>                                 |          |
| What is needed for stable synonymy? .....                                                                | 14       |
| <i>Aja Altenhof, Gareth Roberts</i>                                                                      |          |
| How modality shapes numerical representation: Insights from sign languages .....                         | 17       |
| <i>Chiara Anceschi</i>                                                                                   |          |
| Conceptual similarity, but not pragmatics, shapes evidential systems during learning .....               | 20       |
| <i>Benedek Bartha, Eva Wittenberg, Christophe Heintz, Jennifer Culbertson</i>                            |          |

|                                                                                                                           |    |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Historical evolution of lexical concreteness re-revisited . . . . .                                                       | 23 |
| <i>Andreas Baumann, Michelle van de Bilt, Stefan Hartmann</i>                                                             |    |
| The evolution of the brain for language: Impact of socio-ecology? . . . . .                                               | 31 |
| <i>Yannick Becker, Cedric Girard-Buttoz, Alfred Anwander</i>                                                              |    |
| New words are assumed to have specific and atypical meanings . . . . .                                                    | 33 |
| <i>Claire Augusta Bergey, Aja Altenhof, Robert D. Hawkins</i>                                                             |    |
| Do humans have an internal drive to share what is on our minds?<br>Testing Mitteilungsbedürfnis . . . . .                 | 37 |
| <i>Sabine Blütgen, Simon Kirby, Jennifer Culbertson</i>                                                                   |    |
| First observe then perform – Children’s changing gestural comprehension between the ages of 2 and 5 . . . . .             | 40 |
| <i>Monika Boruta, Antoni Żyndul, Marek Placiński</i>                                                                      |    |
| Rock with me: How social interaction shapes spontaneous motor tempo in baboons’ stone rubbing . . . . .                   | 43 |
| <i>Siham Bouziane, Anne Robin-Bègue, Jennifer T. Coull, Adrien Meguerditchian</i>                                         |    |
| A tradeoff explanation of quantificational conservativity . . . . .                                                       | 46 |
| <i>Fausto Carcassi</i>                                                                                                    |    |
| Grambank data offers some support for the view that sociopolitical complexity impacts on grammatical complexity . . . . . | 50 |
| <i>Siyan Chen, David Gil, Antonio Benítez-Burraco</i>                                                                     |    |
| What evolutionary linguistics could learn from generative multimodal AI: Simulating the Paleo-Ekphrasis . . . . .         | 54 |
| <i>Jaesang Cho, Sung Do Kim</i>                                                                                           |    |
| Do humans imitate generative AI? Evidence from Korean conversation and news corpora . . . . .                             | 58 |
| <i>Seungjoon Cho, Jaesang Cho, Sung Do Kim</i>                                                                            |    |
| Natural statistics of uni- vs. multimodal utterances in a referential communication task . . . . .                        | 62 |
| <i>Aleksandra Ćwiek, Šárka Kadavá, Wim Pouw, Susanne Fuchs</i>                                                            |    |
| Female vocal tracts are optimized for speech . . . . .                                                                    | 66 |
| <i>Tiena Danner, Steven Moran</i>                                                                                         |    |
| The evolution of center-embedding: Emergence, ephemerality, and processing constraints . . . . .                          | 72 |
| <i>Emily Davis, Robert Kluender</i>                                                                                       |    |

|                                                                                                                                |     |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Reliance on compositionality may fluctuate over time: The Ecclesiastes Principle and the Linguistic Niche Hypothesis . . . . . | 81  |
| <i>Robbert De Troij, Freek Van de Velde</i>                                                                                    |     |
| The role of extravagance for language change: The case of the progressive . . . . .                                            | 85  |
| <i>Astrid De Wit, Margot Vancauwenbergh, Peter Petré, Frank Brisard</i>                                                        |     |
| Evolutionary dynamics of maximal syllable complexity . . . . .                                                                 | 88  |
| <i>Laura C. Dees, Shelece Easterday, Paul Widmer, Balthasar Bickel, Chundra Cathcart</i>                                       |     |
| Toward a comprehensive database of romance cognate and borrowing pairs for historical linguistics . . . . .                    | 91  |
| <i>Liviu P Dinu, Ana Sabina Uban, Ioan-Bogdan Iordache, Simona Georgescu, Anca Daniela Dinu</i>                                |     |
| Facial visibility and the emergence of communication systems: Insights from behavioral measures and facial signals . . . . .   | 95  |
| <i>Lois Dona, Aslı Özyürek, Judith Holler, Limor Raviv</i>                                                                     |     |
| Horses flexibly adjust their multimodal communication across social contexts . . . . .                                         | 99  |
| <i>Sasha Donnier, Jérôme Micheletta, Kate Lewis, Leanne Proops</i>                                                             |     |
| Reverse engineering macaque formant transitions . . . . .                                                                      | 109 |
| <i>Axel G. Ekström, Risma Illa Maulany, Federica Amici</i>                                                                     |     |
| Dual agreement patterns in Palestinian Arabic pseudo-partitives . . . . .                                                      | 112 |
| <i>Haneen Elias</i>                                                                                                            |     |
| Beautiful and hideous words: The effect of cross-linguistic phonesthetics and iconicity on word formation . . . . .            | 115 |
| <i>Niklas Erben Johansson, Andrey Anikin</i>                                                                                   |     |
| Phylogeny as a proxy for structural linguistic diversity: A reasonable approach? . . . . .                                     | 118 |
| <i>Hannes Essfors</i>                                                                                                          |     |
| Crosslinguistic gestures are highly optimized with respect to the swap distance minimization principle . . . . .               | 126 |
| <i>Ramon Ferrer-i-Cancho</i>                                                                                                   |     |
| Do adults, children, or monkeys use push-down stacks when producing center-embedded sequences? . . . . .                       | 130 |
| <i>Stephen Ferrigno, Elijah Tramm, Samuel J Cheyette</i>                                                                       |     |

|                                                                                                                                                                  |     |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| The human evolved preference for faces and Youtube thumbnails aesthetics . . . . .                                                                               | 133 |
| <i>Vojtěch Fiala, Anna Szala, Sławomir Wacewicz</i>                                                                                                              |     |
| Seeing more morphology: Are form-meaning relations in British Sign Language grammar iconic for hearing non-signers? . . . . .                                    | 137 |
| <i>Neil Fox, Heidi Proctor, Matt Brown, Josefina Safar, Hannah Lutzenberger, Adam Schembri</i>                                                                   |     |
| Evolutionary pragmatics from a normative point of view . . . . .                                                                                                 | 141 |
| <i>Bart Geurts</i>                                                                                                                                               |     |
| Use of sign languages by the general hearing population was more widespread at an earlier stage in the evolution of language: Evidence from Kode Papua . . . . . | 144 |
| <i>David Gil</i>                                                                                                                                                 |     |
| On parallels between distributions of genetic and structural linguistic diversity . . . . .                                                                      | 152 |
| <i>Anna Graff, Chiara Barbieri, Balthasar Bickel</i>                                                                                                             |     |
| Both human infants and great apes use iconic gestures . . . . .                                                                                                  | 157 |
| <i>Kirsty R. Green, Marcus Perlman</i>                                                                                                                           |     |
| Guinea baboons adapt their multimodal communicative interactions to the social context . . . . .                                                                 | 160 |
| <i>Lise Habib-Dassetto, Mathilde Adet, Julie Gullstrand, Jules Cauzinille, Cristel Portes, Marie Montant, Alban Lemasson</i>                                     |     |
| Operationalising predictive dynamics of song and speech with information-theoretic models . . . . .                                                              | 164 |
| <i>Felix Haiduk, Nicholas Harley, John M. McBride, Tudor Popescu</i>                                                                                             |     |
| Individual preferences during classification align with kinship semantics across languages . . . . .                                                             | 167 |
| <i>Maisy Hallam, Simon Kirby, Fiona M. Jordan, Kenny Smith</i>                                                                                                   |     |
| Ecogeographic diversity in maxillary palate morphology: Early explorations with a new database . . . . .                                                         | 170 |
| <i>Erica Harris, Alexa Kelly, Caleb Everett</i>                                                                                                                  |     |
| Underspecification prevents linguistic structure in cultural evolution . . . . .                                                                                 | 177 |
| <i>Ibuki Iwamura, Takashi Hashimoto</i>                                                                                                                          |     |

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |     |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Exploring structural phase transitions in language with assembly theory . . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | 185 |
| <i>Estelle Janin, Hannah Dromiack, Lee Cronin, Sara Walker</i>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |     |
| Folk dance as an ancient semiotic system and cultural replicator . . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | 189 |
| <i>Yuxi Jiang, Peeter Tinitis, Monica Tamariz, Jonas Nölle</i>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |     |
| The influence of speaker goals on the interpretation of negation in gradable adjectives . . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | 193 |
| <i>Tamar Johnson, Fausto Carcassi, Jakub Szymanik, Robert Van Rooij</i>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |     |
| Emergent categories in usage-based language learning . . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | 196 |
| <i>Anna Jon-And, Jérôme Michaud</i>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |     |
| Large language models as assistants for the parametric comparison method . . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | 204 |
| <i>Dimitar Kazakov, Thamer Aljohani, Andari Karina Anom, Maria Anastasova, David Carrasco Coquillat, Rositsa Dekova, Ingrid Nascimento Fernandes, Sofia Ferroni, Marco Longhin, Ruslana Margova, Lidiya Milković, Nunung Nurul Qomariyah, Reshmi Roy, Gaia Sorge, Jiabao Wang, Hediye Yarahmadi, Paola Crisma, Giuseppe Longobardi</i> |     |
| Participants in a communication game balance production effort and comprehension accuracy (but not always optimally) . . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                         | 211 |
| <i>Aislinn Keogh, Jennifer Culbertson, Simon Kirby</i>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |     |
| Experimental manipulations of production effort: Methodological challenges in studying communicative efficiency . . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                              | 214 |
| <i>Aislinn Keogh, Kenny Smith</i>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |     |
| The coevolution of language and art in prehistoric cave sites . . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 218 |
| <i>Sung Do Kim, Seungjoon Cho</i>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |     |
| The role of communication systems in abstract knowledge transmission . . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | 232 |
| <i>Svetlana Kuleshova, Sławomir Wacewicz</i>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |     |
| Rhotics as recent consonants in language evolution . . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | 236 |
| <i>Laurence Labrune</i>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |     |
| Image, word and thought: A more challenging language task for the iterated learning model . . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | 245 |
| <i>Hyoyeon Lee, Seth Bullock, Conor Houghton</i>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |     |

|                                                                                                                         |     |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Rethinking categorical perception as an evolutionary prerequisite for speech .....                                      | 252 |
| <i>Li Lei, Tao Gong</i>                                                                                                 |     |
| Sound symbolism highlights relative distinctiveness: Evidence from English vocabulary .....                             | 263 |
| <i>Shiri Lev-Ari</i>                                                                                                    |     |
| Communication challenges between individuals with low and high autistic traits: An experimental semiotic approach ..... | 266 |
| <i>Rui Liu, Jan R. Wiersema, Lara Bardi</i>                                                                             |     |
| Crossparametric dependencies and Social Network Analysis ...                                                            | 272 |
| <i>Marco Longhin, Stefano Ghinai, Gaia Sorge, Andrea Artioli, Cristina Guardiano</i>                                    |     |
| The development of turn-taking in Kata Kolok through social interaction .....                                           | 280 |
| <i>Hannah Lutzenberger</i>                                                                                              |     |
| Logic and (language) evolution .....                                                                                    | 283 |
| <i>Erkki Luuk</i>                                                                                                       |     |
| What cross-species interactions can tell us about the communication of emotion.....                                     | 287 |
| <i>Heidi Lyn, Joclyn Villegas</i>                                                                                       |     |
| Evolving grammatical systems: The basque–romance interface as a model of morphological evolution.....                   | 290 |
| <i>Gonzalo Maestro Paredes</i>                                                                                          |     |
| Do we hear the same? A comparative study on pitch-tempo biases in a songbird.....                                       | 293 |
| <i>Marco Maiolini, Michelle J. Spierings</i>                                                                            |     |
| Anchoring and the learnability advantage of Zipfian distributions                                                       | 297 |
| <i>Tamar Matas, Inbal Arnon, Noam Siegelman</i>                                                                         |     |
| The effect of aesthetic appeal on language evolution .....                                                              | 301 |
| <i>Theresa Matzinger, Shiri Lev-Ari</i>                                                                                 |     |
| Did language emerge as a contagious cognitive technology? .....                                                         | 304 |
| <i>Jérôme Michaud</i>                                                                                                   |     |
| The emergence of numerical representations in communicating artificial agents .....                                     | 308 |
| <i>Daniela Mihai, Francesca Franzon, Lucas Weber</i>                                                                    |     |

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |     |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Prosociality under pressure: How ecology shapes communication and cooperation in robot swarms . . . . .                                                                                                                                                  | 316 |
| <i>Roman Miletitch, Nicolas Cambier, Antonio Benítez-Burraco, Limor Raviv</i>                                                                                                                                                                            |     |
| How do environmental pressures shape emerging vocal communication systems? . . . . .                                                                                                                                                                     | 319 |
| <i>Danielle Naegeli, Oxana Grosseck, Kotryna Motiekaitytė, Remi van Casteren, Hans Rutger Bosker, Gerardo Ortega, Marcus Perlman, David Peeters, Limor Raviv</i>                                                                                         |     |
| Conventionalization of graphic representations of abstract concepts and metaphors in an experimental-semiotic communication game . . . . .                                                                                                               | 323 |
| <i>Darya Namednikava, Marek Placiński, Michael Pleyer</i>                                                                                                                                                                                                |     |
| The pan-simian geocentric bias revisited: Novel spatial communication systems show a sagittal egocentric bias . . . . .                                                                                                                                  | 333 |
| <i>Jonas Nölle, Simon Kirby, Jennifer Culbertson, Kenny Smith</i>                                                                                                                                                                                        |     |
| Evolution of brain connectivity for language: Indirect components of the arcuate fascicle of chimpanzees . . . . .                                                                                                                                       | 336 |
| <i>Simeon Peikert, Yannick Becker, Cornelius Eichner, Michael Paquette, Christian Bock, Carsten Jaeger, Tobias Gräßle, Tobias Deschner, EBC Consortium, Roman M. Wittig, Catherine Crockford, Markus Morawski, Angela D. Friederici, Alfred Anwander</i> |     |
| Context-dependent advantages in primate eye morphology . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                           | 338 |
| <i>Juan Olvido Perea-García, Fumihiko Kano, Marta Sibierska, Vojtěch Fiala, Marta K. Skrok, Dariusz Danel, Ewa Katarzyna Ratajczak, Przemysław Żywicznyński, Sławomir Wacewicz</i>                                                                       |     |
| The intentionality and function of spinning in apes and monkeys                                                                                                                                                                                          | 341 |
| <i>Nero Peters, Marcus Perlman</i>                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |     |
| Communication modality influences neighborhood density . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                           | 345 |
| <i>Anna Petrova, Kathleen Rastle, Shiri Lev-Ari</i>                                                                                                                                                                                                      |     |
| Modeling metaphor evolution on cancer narratives . . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                               | 347 |
| <i>Lucia Pitarch, Gemma Bel-Enguix</i>                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |     |
| Learning to communicate across modalities: Perceptual heterogeneity in multi-agent systems . . . . .                                                                                                                                                     | 351 |
| <i>Naomi Pitzer, Daniela Mihai</i>                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |     |

|                                                                                                                            |     |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Beyond Hockett's 'design features of language' . . . . .                                                                   | 359 |
| <i>Michael Pleyer, Marcus Perlman, Gary Lupyan, Koen de Reus, Limor Raviv</i>                                              |     |
| The role of experimental interdisciplinary inferences in assessing extinct minds . . . . .                                 | 363 |
| <i>Michael Pleyer, Elizabeth Qing Zhang, Svetlana Kuleshova</i>                                                            |     |
| The influence of functional differences on the evolution of speech and music transmission range . . . . .                  | 367 |
| <i>Piotr Podlipniak</i>                                                                                                    |     |
| Using predictive dynamics as a window into the evolution of language and music . . . . .                                   | 372 |
| <i>Tudor Popescu, Felix Haiduk</i>                                                                                         |     |
| Regularity and processing complexity in recursive numeral systems . . . . .                                                | 375 |
| <i>Ponrawee Prasertsom, Andrea Silvi, Jennifer Culbertson, Devdatt Dubhashi, Moa Johansson, Kenny Smith</i>                |     |
| Co-evolution of face-recognition and naming: Unifying various functions of the fusiform gyrus brain area . . . . .         | 379 |
| <i>Ljiljana Progovac</i>                                                                                                   |     |
| Insights into the biological bases of modern human brain shape . . . . .                                                   | 389 |
| <i>Carmen Ramoser, Barbara Molz, Philipp Gunz, Gökberk Alagöz, Dick Schijven, Clyde Francks, Else Eising, Simon Fisher</i> |     |
| The pivotal role of metaphor – Word order routinization from proto- to modern language . . . . .                           | 393 |
| <i>Uta Reinöhl, T. Mark Ellison</i>                                                                                        |     |
| Iconicity has benefits for bootstrapping, but they're not only for the audience . . . . .                                  | 401 |
| <i>Gareth Roberts, Robert Snider, Petros Kaklamanis</i>                                                                    |     |
| Labels as lenses: Learning partially overlapping labels enhances perceived visual similarity . . . . .                     | 404 |
| <i>Brian Rocca, Sayuri Hayakawa, Sigi Ning, Viorica Marian</i>                                                             |     |
| Prosody-driven emergence of phonological iconicity . . . . .                                                               | 407 |
| <i>Timo B. Roettger</i>                                                                                                    |     |
| Beyond efficiency: The non-linear dynamics in the evolution of the manual communicative signals . . . . .                  | 411 |
| <i>Gillian Rosenberg, Aleksandra Ćwiek, Susanne Fuchs, Wim Pouw, Šárka Kadavá</i>                                          |     |

|                                                                                                                                          |     |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| No way to linguistic compositionality without Duality of Patterning.....                                                                 | 415 |
| <i>Joana Rossello</i>                                                                                                                    |     |
| The learnability of sign language morphology: An artificial language learning study.....                                                 | 420 |
| <i>Adam Schembri, Katie Mudd, Neil Fox, Kenny Smith</i>                                                                                  |     |
| Modeling language emergence with coordination games and learning .....                                                                   | 423 |
| <i>James W. Shearer, Stephen Wechsler</i>                                                                                                |     |
| What exactly is aligned in the case of alignment in whole-body communication? Case studies of pantomimic enactments ....                 | 428 |
| <i>Marta Sibierska, Klaudia Karkowska, Aleksandra Ćwiek, Marek Placiński, Sławomir Waciewicz, Antoni Żyndul, Przemysław Żywicznyński</i> |     |
| Iconicity is no silver bullet for meaning access: Insights from the guessing accuracy paradigm .....                                     | 432 |
| <i>David M. Sidhu, Greg Woodin, Shigeto Kawahara, Marcus Perlman, Bodo Winter</i>                                                        |     |
| An emergent communication framework for honeybee waggle dance .....                                                                      | 435 |
| <i>Nabila Siregar, Phong Le, Raquel G. Alhama</i>                                                                                        |     |
| Modelling shared context in language emergence .....                                                                                     | 443 |
| <i>Hedvig Skirgård, Jérôme Michaud, Anna Jon-And</i>                                                                                     |     |
| Optimized, not lost: The evolutionary trajectory of iconicity as a linguistic property .....                                             | 451 |
| <i>Anita Slonimska, Olga Capirci, Ash Özyürek</i>                                                                                        |     |
| Simultaneity in communication: Another dimension heard from?                                                                             | 454 |
| <i>Daniel W. Smith</i>                                                                                                                   |     |
| Communicative efficiency develops with age .....                                                                                         | 457 |
| <i>Shira Tal, Kenny Smith, Inbal Arnon, Jennifer Culbertson</i>                                                                          |     |
| Biocultural push-and-pull shapes phonemic repertoires.....                                                                               | 460 |
| <i>Claudio Tennie, Steven Moran, Anna Jon-And, Axel G. Ekström</i>                                                                       |     |
| Ontogenesis of 'language-like' brain specialization in baboons and its links to gesture .....                                            | 463 |
| <i>Elea Thebault Andersen, Adrien Meguerditchian</i>                                                                                     |     |

|                                                                                                                                |     |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| The death of Latin: Detecting the demographic drivers of European vernacularization 1450-1900 .....                            | 466 |
| <i>Peeter Tunits, Oleg Sobchuk, Iiro Tiihonen, Eetu Mäkelä, Mikko Tolonen, Bret Beheim</i>                                     |     |
| The evolution of visual versus auditory communication when produced with the same articulatory apparatus .....                 | 469 |
| <i>Qingfeng Tong, Gerardo Ortega, Marcus Perlman</i>                                                                           |     |
| Recursive statistical learning in adults, children, and monkeys .                                                              | 473 |
| <i>Elijah Tramm, Stephen Ferrigno</i>                                                                                          |     |
| The core of language is modality-independent: Evidence from functional and diffusion MRI with deaf signers .....               | 476 |
| <i>Patrick C. Trettenbrein, Angela D. Friederici</i>                                                                           |     |
| The role of social biases in linguistic innovation: An artificial language learning study .....                                | 480 |
| <i>Tobias Ungerer, Michael Pleyer, Theresa Matzinger, Stefan Hartmann, Blair C. Armstrong</i>                                  |     |
| On the prevalence of different types of lexical semantic changes: Preliminary findings .....                                   | 483 |
| <i>Ecesu Ürker, Gemma Boleda</i>                                                                                               |     |
| Signal soup: Sharedness vs. code alignment .....                                                                               | 487 |
| <i>Andrea Valle</i>                                                                                                            |     |
| Sequence organisation of mother-infant interactions in chimpanzees ( <i>Pan troglodytes schweinfurthii</i> ) in the wild ..... | 496 |
| <i>Bas van Boekholt, Alexandra Bosshard, Simone Pika</i>                                                                       |     |
| Does iconicity emerge through phonetic variation? – An iterated learning experiment .....                                      | 499 |
| <i>Elena Varona, Timo B. Roettger, Bodo Winter</i>                                                                             |     |
| The evolution of blocking: A reinforcement learning account...                                                                 | 502 |
| <i>Stephen Wechsler, James W. Shearer, Katrin Erk</i>                                                                          |     |
| The transmission of meaning shapes sound systems in natural language .....                                                     | 510 |
| <i>Andrew B. Wedel, T. Florian Jaeger, Elizabeth Hume, Kathleen Currie Hall</i>                                                |     |
| Plasticity in vocal communication: Wild-captive contrasts in bonobos.....                                                      | 513 |
| <i>Franziska Wegdell, Martin Surbeck, Marlen Fröhlich</i>                                                                      |     |

|                                                                                                                                       |     |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| From birds to words: Quantifying the wordiness continuum of onomatopoeias . . . . .                                                   | 517 |
| <i>Bodo Winter, Jessica Beale, Marcus Perlman</i>                                                                                     |     |
| Children’s narrow learning bottleneck accelerates the emergence of the statistical properties of language . . . . .                   | 519 |
| <i>Lucie Wolters, Simon Kirby, Inbal Arnon</i>                                                                                        |     |
| A bird’s-eye review: How do we decide who is a vocal learner? .                                                                       | 523 |
| <i>Yulia Yakubenkova, Alejandro García-Matarredona, Dan Dediu, Thomas Brochhagen</i>                                                  |     |
| Language on the move: Migration and linguistic diversity . . . . .                                                                    | 527 |
| <i>Katharina Zeh</i>                                                                                                                  |     |
| Digitalization interacts with linguistic diversity—but in which way? . . . . .                                                        | 535 |
| <i>Katharina Zeh, Hannes Essfors, Juliane Benson, Julia Neidhardt, Andreas Baumann, Hannes A. Fellner</i>                             |     |
| A field-based framework to model multimodal value-governed exchange in human social interaction . . . . .                             | 539 |
| <i>Chen Zhou, Przemysław Żywicznyński, Jonas Nölle</i>                                                                                |     |
| How bodily affordances shape the evolution of a novel communication system: A motion-capture study of pantomime and gesture . . . . . | 542 |
| <i>Przemysław Żywicznyński, Jonas Nölle, Klaudia Karkowska, Marta Sibierska, Bartłomiej Kiljanek, Marek Placiński</i>                 |     |

|                     |            |
|---------------------|------------|
| <b>Author Index</b> | <b>547</b> |
|---------------------|------------|

# Refereed Contributions

## Large language models as assistants for the parametric comparison method

Dimitar Kazakov<sup>\*1</sup>, Thamer Aljohani<sup>1</sup>, Andari Karina Anom<sup>5</sup>, Maria Anastasova<sup>4</sup>, David Carrasco Coquillat<sup>10</sup>, Rositsa Dekova<sup>4</sup>, Ingrid Nascimento Fernandes<sup>6</sup>, Sofia Ferroni<sup>14</sup>, Marco Longhin<sup>7</sup>, Ruslana Margova<sup>8</sup>, Lidija Milković<sup>9</sup>, Nurul Qomariyah<sup>5</sup>, Reshmi Roy<sup>13</sup>, Gaia Sorge<sup>7</sup>, Jiabao Wang<sup>11</sup>, Hediye Yarahmadi<sup>12</sup>, Paola Crisma<sup>3</sup>, and Giuseppe Longobardi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author: [dlk2@york.ac.uk](mailto:dlk2@york.ac.uk)

<sup>1</sup>Computer Science, University of York, York, UK

<sup>2</sup>Language and Linguistic Sciences, University of York, York, UK

<sup>3</sup>Humanistic Studies, University of Trieste, Trieste, Italy

<sup>4</sup>Plovdiv University “Paisii Hilendarski”, Plovdiv, Bulgaria

<sup>5</sup>Bina Nusantara University, Jakarta, Indonesia

<sup>6</sup>Institute of Language Studies, University of Campinas, Campinas, Brazil

<sup>7</sup>University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Reggio Emilia, Italy

<sup>8</sup>Big Data for Smart Society Institute (GATE), Sofia, Bulgaria

<sup>9</sup>Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia

<sup>10</sup>Faculty of Philology, Complutense University of Madrid, Madrid, Spain

<sup>11</sup>School of Arts, English and Languages, Queen’s University, Belfast, UK

<sup>12</sup>Cognitive Neuroscience, SISSA, Trieste, Italy

<sup>13</sup>Institute of Applied Linguistics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

<sup>14</sup>Department of Linguistic and Literary Studies, University of Padua, Padua, Italy

The Parametric Comparison Method (PCM) offers a principled way to encode syntactic variation across languages in terms of binary parameters that can subsequently be used for phylogenetic reconstruction. Collecting the relevant data, however, requires trained linguists to elicit grammaticality judgements from native speakers—a process that is slow, expensive, and prone to cross-linguistic inconsistency. We explore the use of large language models (LLMs) as preliminary assistants in this workflow, generating examples or counter-examples for parameter questions. Early experiments have shown that such models have the potential to accelerate knowledge elicitation but also to expose ambiguities in the definitions of parameter manifestations, helping PCM evolve into a more explicit and replicable scientific framework. We introduce a prototype software platform that automates this process, as illustrated on Eastern and Western Armenian. This study is designed as a registered report aiming to quantify the potential benefits of such automation by manually evaluating the LLM output accuracy and recording the proportion of parameter manifestation definitions that required editing, if such were encountered.

### 1. Introduction

The *Parametric Comparison Method* (PCM) (Longobardi, 2003; Longobardi & Guardiano, 2009) is a framework for analysing cross-linguistic syntactic variation through a fixed inventory of binary parameters. Each parameter captures discrete settings representing cross-linguistically recurrent grammatical distinctions, whose value, once set for a language, contributes to a vector of features compara-

ble across languages. These vectors serve as linguistic metadata for reconstructing phylogenetic relationships, in much the same way that shared genetic or lexical traits reveal historical connections among populations and languages.

Over the past two decades PCM has enabled the construction of a dataset that has been used to infer family trees, measure linguistic distances, and test hypotheses about population history and contact. However, obtaining parameter values remains a time-consuming and expertise-heavy process. Setting each parameter involves answering a certain number of carefully formulated yes/no diagnostic questions and providing supporting examples. Linguists must elicit these examples from native speakers, often in languages they do not themselves speak, requiring elaborate instructions and metalinguistic clarification (Schütze, 1996; Gibson & Fedorenko, 2013; Sprouse, Schütze, & Almeida, 2013). These issues hinder the scale and reproducibility of historical linguistics approaches based on comparative syntax and motivate the search for more efficient, standardised methods of data collection.

Recent research has studied how well large language models (LLMs) align with human judgments of grammatical well-formedness (Hu, Mahowald, Lupyan, Ivanova, & Levy, 2024). For instance, Qiu, Duan, and Cai (2024) compare judgments of ChatGPT and Vicuna across 2,400 English sentences and find “substantial alignment...albeit with LLMs often showing more conservative judgments.” More recently, Ide et al. (2025) compare methods for extracting acceptability judgments from LLMs—contrastive prompting, such as in-template probability readout, and “Yes/No” probability computing. Tjuaatja, Neubig, Linzen, and Hao (2025) show LLM acceptability scores are more sensible to factors like sentence length and unigram frequency when compared to human speakers.

These studies together illustrate both the promise and the caveats of using LLMs as surrogates for human grammaticality judgements: they can accelerate data generation and mirror human intuitions, but must be carefully calibrated and validated when applied in comparative syntax workflows.

## 2. Background

The parametric theory underlying the PCM (Crisma, Guardiano, & Longobardi, 2020) attributes two key features to parameters. Firstly, each parameter is associated with at least one linguistic structure, its *manifestation*, that constitutes positive evidence for that parameter. Certain parameters have more than one possible manifestation, but languages do not need to instantiate all of them in order to set a parameter to [+]: encountering evidence for one manifestation is sufficient. Secondly, parameters have a default value, coded as [-], that does not need to be associated with overt evidence: the lack of overt evidence for all the possible manifestations for [+] for a given parameter results in its being set to [-]. For some parameters, it is possible to construct overt evidence for the value [-] in the form of structures that are incompatible with the value [+] of the parameter. Overt

evidence for [-] only exists for about half of the parameters in the dataset, and it is arguably ignored by the language acquirer, see (Crisma, Fabbri, Guardiano, & Longobardi, 2025).

### 3. Method

#### 3.1. Workflow

Large Language Models trained on multilingual text offer a new source of linguistic evidence that can be tapped for preliminary hypothesis generation in comparative syntax. Because LLMs have access to broad statistical evidence and can handle technical terminology, they can be prompted to output examples illustrating the presence of specific syntactic features. In the PCM context, this means that a model could answer the diagnostic questions associated with a parameter by generating grammatical sentences in the language in question that correspond to one of the two possible values.

Our proposed workflow is to keep expert linguists in the loop. For a given parameter and target language, the model will answer each canonical PCM question corresponding to a given manifestation and backs up the answer with a pair of examples (see Table 3). The output will then be evaluated by a native speaker, who, importantly, does not need to provide their own examples if they agree with the ones provided by the model. This division of labour transfers much of the example generation effort to the model while preserving the crucial human validation step. The approach is fast, reproducible, and more easily scaled to multiple languages due to its reduced demand on the interviewer's time, and on the metalinguistic competence of the native speaker.

An additional outcome of our preliminary investigations has been that interacting with LLMs sometimes reveals *ambiguities in the phrasing of PCM questions themselves*. In one instance, a diagnostic question that had long circulated within the PCM framework turned out to admit two distinct readings. This observation prompted a revision of the question wording to eliminate the ambiguity. Therefore, our interviewers will also record any cases of such ambiguities with the aim of computing their proportion within the full set of manifestations studied.

This data has highlighted a second advantage of using LLMs in this setup: the models acting as sensitive probes of formulation precision. When an LLM's output diverges from the intended interpretation, the problem will not always lie with the model. Identifying and correcting such weak points will enhance the internal coherence of PCM and strengthens its status as an operationally replicable, data-driven scientific method. Thus, using LLMs aims to turn them not only into data generators but also catalysts for the methodological refinement of syntactic theory.

### 3.2. Tools

To operationalise this workflow we have developed a software platform that automates parameter setting and example generation. The system takes as input a language name and a list of PCM parameters, each linked to a series of diagnostic questions. It queries an LLM for each question, requesting examples, typically a minimal contrasting pair, together with an explicit rationale. The results are stored in a structured format that records both the model’s proposed parameter value and the textual evidence supporting it.

In more detail, the LLM used is chatGPT5 to which we connect programmatically, via the OpenAI API. The *system* prompt used to lay the background to the following queries, and one of the *user* prompts, employed to elicit the actual answers from the LLM are listed in Table 1. The platform includes a lightweight

Table 1: System prompt provides context, user prompt triggers the response

---

**System prompt:**

The Parametric Comparison Method (PCM) is a framework for representing cross-linguistic syntactic variation through a fixed inventory of binary parameters. Each parameter captures discrete settings representing cross-linguistically recurrent grammatical distinctions, whose value, once set for a language, contributes to a comparable vector of features across languages. These vectors serve as linguistic metadata for reconstructing phylogenetic relationships, in much the same way that shared genetic or lexical traits reveal historical connections among populations. Every parameter is associated with one or more manifestations expressed in the form of existential statements. Finding evidence for one manifestation is sufficient to set the relevant parameter to [+] even if the parameter has multiple manifestations. The same holds for the overt evidence for [–], where available.

I want you to perform the following task: given (1) a reference to a specific language or linguistic variety, (2) the name of a syntactic parameter, and (3) a manifestation statement, state whether that statement is true or false, support your statement by two examples where possible, and state how the veracity of the manifestation statement affects the value of the parameter in question.

**User prompt:**

The language is Western Armenian. The parameter is FGP, “grammaticalised person”. The manifestation is: “One finds morphological alternations on the verb that depend on the speech-role of the subject”.

---

interface for human validation, enabling linguists to mark examples as correct, ambiguous, or erroneous. The accumulated feedback can be used to fine-tune prompts

or to train smaller in-domain (specialised) models. A pilot run with Eastern and Western Armenian showed that the LLM can indeed provide the required answers and illustrative examples, shown unedited and without comments in Table 3.

### 3.3. *Participants*

A summer school with 20+ participants was held in the summer of 2025 with the explicit goal of training graduate students and academics to use the PCM approach. All participants were invited to take part in the experiments described here targeting the same five parameters (FGP, FGK, FGT, CGE and FSP) for a language of their choice, with 14 providing feedback on the ChatGPT5.2 answers for 12 different languages.

## 4. Results and Evaluation

We have calculated the proportion of answers to the manifestation questions for which chatGPT’s answers are accepted by the native speaker without any corrections (see Table 2). Similarly, we report the proportion of examples provided that have been deemed both grammatical and relevant to the manifestation question. The summary of all results through its mean and standard deviation across all studied languages is as follows:  $75.95 \pm 16.05\%$  manifestation accuracy, and  $66.02 \pm 17.48\%$  example accuracy. The results suggest that the LLM may be capable of answering some manifestation questions even when its own ability to back its answers with accurate examples is limited. One possible explanation is that the LLM has seen grammatical descriptions of those languages in its training data, even if the training corpus of text in that language was limited.

All results are based on the work of evaluators who have close familiarity with the language in question and underwent the two-week summer school training in PCM. Not all of them have a background in linguistics. For example, the ChatGPT score for Indonesian by a PCM-trained linguist with previous experience and access to native speakers was 77.27% (manifestations) and 75.00% (examples).

## 5. Limitations and Further Work

LLMs can produce large numbers of example pairs across multiple languages in minutes, ensuring consistency of format and facilitating replication. Moreover, their probabilistic nature may approximate frequency-based intuitions about naturalness, offering a complementary perspective to binary grammaticality judgments. Several caveats remain though. LLMs mirror the biases of their training corpora, may over-fit standard written norms, and lack true linguistic competence or semantic grounding. Their apparent fluency should not be mistaken for introspective access to grammar, so rigorous validation by human experts is indispensable. In the longer term, integrating such tools with existing PCM databases may enable large-scale comparative projects encompassing hundreds of languages. If the resulting datasets prove richer and more consistent, it can open new opportu-

nities for quantitative research in historical syntax, typology, and language phylogeny. By treating LLMs as both assistants and diagnostic instruments, we can strengthen PCM’s status as a reproducible, empirically grounded methodology.

Table 2: Accuracy per language. The number of Wikipedia articles in that language are shown as a proxy for the language presence on the Internet.

| Language (variety)                  | Wiki code | number of articles | Manifest.n accuracy | Examples accuracy |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Spanish (European variety)          | es        | 2,094,806          | 77.27%              | 77.27%            |
| Russian                             | ru        | 2,086,328          | 54.55%              | 79.55%            |
| Italian                             | it        | 1,956,958          | 86.36%              | 63.64%            |
| Mandarin (Chinese Wikipedia)        | zh        | 1,524,128          | 86.36%              | 65.91%            |
| Modern Standard Arabic              | ar        | 1,299,611          | 81.82%              | 68.18%            |
| Iranian Persian ( <i>aka</i> Farsi) | fa        | 1,068,196          | 45.45%              | 72.73%            |
| Indonesian                          | id        | 763,978            | 97.73%              | 94.32%            |
| Norwegian Bokmål                    | no        | 675,193            | 86.36%              | 77.27%            |
| Croatian                            | hr        | 229,418            | 72.73%              | 52.27%            |
| Sanskrit                            | sa        | 12,479             | 86.36%              | 63.64%            |
| Old Church Slavonic                 | cu        | 1,339              | 81.82%              | 25.00%            |
| Yaathe                              | —         | 0                  | 54.55%              | 52.27%            |

Table 3: ChatGPT5.2 answers on Eastern and Western Armenian (sample)

| FGP manifestation                                                                              | Language         | Answer | Example                                                                                                         |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| One finds morphological alternations on the verb that depend on the speech-role of the subject | Eastern Armenian | True   | 1) Ես կարդում եմ ‘I am reading’; 2) դու կարդում ես ‘you are reading’                                            |
|                                                                                                | Western Armenian | True   | 1) Ես կը խօսիմ ‘I speak’; 2) դուն կը խօսիս ‘you speak’.                                                         |
| One finds speech-role-sensitive clitics that double the subject of the verb                    | Eastern Armenian | False  | 1) Արամը կարդում է ‘Aram is reading’ (no subject clitic); 2) մենք կարդում ենք ‘we are reading’ (agreement only) |
|                                                                                                | Western Armenian | False  | 1) Ես կը սիրեմ ‘I love’ (no subject clitic); 2) Անոնք կը խօսին ‘they speak’ (agreement only).                   |

## References

- Crisma, P., Fabbris, G., Guardiano, C., & Longobardi, G. (2025). What are your values? Default and asymmetry in parameter states. *Journal of Historical Syntax*, 9, 1–26. (Article 3)
- Crisma, P., Guardiano, C., & Longobardi, G. (2020). Syntactic diversity and language learnability. *Studi e Saggi Linguistici*, 58(2), 99–130.
- Gibson, E., & Fedorenko, E. (2013). The need for quantitative methods in syntax and semantics research. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 28(1-2), 88–124.
- Hu, J., Mahowald, K., Lupyan, G., Ivanova, A., & Levy, R. (2024). Language models align with human judgments on key grammatical constructions. *Preprint (arXiv)*. (arXiv:2402.01676)
- Ide, Y. I., Nishida, Y., Vasselli, J., Oba, M., Sakai, Y., Kamigaito, H., & Watanabe, T. (2025). How to make the most of LLMs' grammatical knowledge for acceptability judgments. *arXiv*. (arXiv:2408.09639)
- Longobardi, G. (2003). Methods in parametric linguistics and cognitive history. *Linguistic Variation Yearbook*, 3, 101–138.
- Longobardi, G., & Guardiano, C. (2009). Evidence for syntax as a signal of historical relatedness. *Lingua*, 119(11), 1679–1706.
- Qiu, Z., Duan, X., & Cai, Z. G. (2024). Evaluating grammatical well-formedness in large language models: A comparative study with human judgments. In *Proceedings of the workshop on cognitive modeling and computational linguistics (cmcl 2024)* (pp. 189–198). Bangkok, Thailand: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Schütze, C. T. (1996). *The empirical base of linguistics: Grammaticality judgments and linguistic methodology*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Sprouse, J., Schütze, C. T., & Almeida, D. (2013). A comparison of informal and formal acceptability judgments using a random sample from linguistic inquiry 2001–2010. *Lingua*, 134, 219–248.
- Tjuatja, L., Neubig, G., Linzen, T., & Hao, S. (2025). What goes into a LM acceptability judgment? rethinking the impact of frequency and length. In L. Chiruzzo, A. Ritter, & L. Wang (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 2025 Conference of the Nations of the Americas Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human language technologies (Volume 1: Long papers)* (pp. 2173–2186). Albuquerque, New Mexico: Association for Computational Linguistics.

# THE EVOLUTION of LANGUAGE

In these proceedings, we collected papers and abstracts from the 16th International Conference on the Evolution of Language (Evolang XVI) held in Plovdiv, Bulgaria, in April 2026.

As the leading international event on the evolution of language, Evolang promotes a multidisciplinary approach to the study of language and communication systems. The contributions of this volume are written by scholars from all continents and from various fields which include biology, psychology, archaeology, genetics, AI, neuroscience, philosophy and linguistics, among many others.

