| Author                      | Title   | Publicati<br>on year | State             | Study<br>design | N° of patien ts | Age       | Disease                              | Group of patients  | Cochlear<br>Implant | Objective  | OTOPLAN<br>analysis   | Outcome<br>analyzed   | Results   |
|-----------------------------|---|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------|--|---|---|---|
| Lovato et al.[40]           | OTOPLAN in<br>Cochlear<br>Implantatio<br>n for<br>Far-<br>advanced<br>Otosclerosis    | 2020                 | Europ<br>e, Italy | Retrospect      | 13              | mean 59.6 | Far-<br>advanced<br>otosclerosi<br>s | OTOPLAN<br>group: 5 pz<br>Historical<br>group (only<br>CT pre-op):<br>8 pz | Unilatera           | To preliminary evaluate the potential role of OTOPLAN for electrode length choice, or to predict surgical difficuties in FAO | Cochlear duct length: mean 32,4 mm Ossification/fib rosis of the cochlear duct: 2/5 Round window (RW) ossification: 2/5 | Surgical difficulties: RW ossification; incomplete array insertion Adverse effects: facial palsy/stimula tion; vertigo Speech recognition threshold (SRT) Words recognition score (WRS) | The audiological outcome of the OTOPLAN group was slightly superior compared with historical group. CT was not able to predict surgical difficulties; OTOPLAN was able to identify preoperativ ely RW niche ossification. No incomplete array insertion in OTOPLAN group; 25% in CT group |
| Ricci <i>et al.</i><br>[41] | OTOPLAN, Cochlear Implant, and Far- Advanced Otosclerosis : Could the Use of Software | 2022                 | Europ<br>e, Italy | Case<br>report  | 1               | 73        | Far-<br>advanced<br>otosclerosi<br>s | /  | Unilatera<br>I      | Case of FAO with destruction of cochlear turns: OTOPLAN used to plan the surgery, identify the                               | Diameter, Height, Width, CDL of the cochlea Ossification/fib rosis of the CD Ossification of the RW                     | Verification<br>of successful<br>insertion of<br>electrode:<br>intraoperativ<br>e radioscopy<br>and post-<br>operative CT   | Successfully implanted a severe case of FAOwith several perforation of the cochlea.   |

|                    | Improve the<br>Surgical<br>Final<br>Indication?  |      |                   |                |    |      |  |   |               | best electrode annd check the correct position of the CI in the cochlear duct  | Osteolytic<br>areas   | Intraoperativ<br>e telemetry  |  |
|--------------------|--|------|-------------------|----------------|----|------|--|---|---------------|--|---|---|--|
| Lovato et al. [39] | Utility of<br>OTOPLAN<br>Reconstruct<br>ed Images<br>for Surgical<br>Planning of<br>Cochlear<br>Implantatio<br>n in a Case<br>of Post-<br>meningitis<br>Ossification | 2019 | Europ<br>e, Italy | Case<br>report | 1  | 46   | Post-<br>meningidit<br>is HL e<br>cochlear<br>ossificatio<br>n |   | Bilateral     | To demonstrat e the use of OTOPLAN for pre-operative surgical planning in a case where as according to CT surgery was contraindica ted   | Ossification of<br>the RW<br>Ossification of<br>the CD<br>CDL | Completed<br>array<br>insertion<br>Intraoperativ<br>e telemetry       | Successfully implanted. OTOPLAN may be useful in difficult CI case as cochlear ossification and anatomic abnormaliti es  |
| Hajr et<br>al.[42] | Cochlear<br>Implantatio<br>n: The use<br>of OTOPLAN<br>Reconstruct<br>ed Images in<br>Trajectory<br>Identificatio<br>n   | 2023 | Saudi<br>Arabia   | Retrospect     | 25 | 1-50 |  | / | Unilatera<br> | To define the best electrode trajectory line in cochlear implant surgery by using OTOPLAN. To investigate the feasibility of the retrofacial approach as a direct access to the RW and | Cochlear view and other ear structures. Facial recess size    | Ideal<br>trajectory<br>line<br>Size of the<br>retrofacial<br>approach | The retro- facial approach represented the best trajectory line. OTOPLAN- reconstruct ed imaging provided a useful analysis of the retro- facial approach and helped in planning the surgical trajectory |

|                        |  |      |                   |                                   |                    |  |                         |   |                   | to classify the size of the retro- facial approach in relation to the size of the facial recess.                |  |  | line toward<br>the RW.<br>Additionally<br>can help the<br>surgeon to<br>compare<br>the retro-<br>facial<br>approach to<br>the<br>standard<br>facial<br>recess.            |
|------------------------|--|------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--|-------------------------|---|-------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Di Maro<br>et al.[52]  | Frequency<br>reallocation<br>based<br>on cochlear<br>place<br>frequencies<br>in cochlear<br>implants:<br>a pilot study | 2022 | Europ<br>e, Italy | Retrospect<br>ive/ Pilot<br>study | 10                 | >14<br>(range<br>14.3–<br>78.7<br>years) | Postlingual<br>deafness | Pre e post<br>frequency<br>reallocatio<br>n           | Unilatera<br>I    | to demonstrat e that an anatomicall y nased frequency reallocation can provide immediate benefit to the patient | Array insertion<br>depht<br>Cochlear place<br>of stimulation   | Subjective<br>sensations<br>PTA, SAT and<br>SRT<br>thresholds<br>Correlation<br>between<br>depth of<br>insertion and<br>audiological<br>thresholds | The mean values of SRT and SAT were significantly lower. No significant differences in PTA. OTOPLAN may be used in anatomic mapping for subsequent frequency reallocation |
| Khurayzi<br>et al.[19] | Direct measureme nt of cochlear parameters for automatic calculation of the cochlear duct length                       | 2020 | Saudi<br>Arabia   | Retrospect                        | 88<br>cochle<br>as | <7                                       | Prelingual<br>deafness  | CT<br>measurem<br>nets<br>OTOPLAN<br>measurem<br>ents | Uni/Bilat<br>eral | Validation<br>of OTOPLAN<br>and CDL<br>estimation   | Cochlear diameter (A- value) Width of the cochlear base (B-value) Height of the cochlea (H- value) CDL | A-value, B-<br>value, H-<br>value and<br>CDL   | No<br>difference<br>in A-value<br>between CT<br>and<br>OTOPLAN<br>Both A- and<br>B- values<br>showed a<br>high<br>positive<br>correlation<br>to the CDL,                  |

|                              |  |      |                            |                     |     |          |   |   |                   |   |   |   | stornger<br>between B-<br>value anCDL<br>than A-<br>value and<br>CDL.   |
|------------------------------|--|------|----------------------------|---------------------|-----|----------|---|---|-------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Dahanasin<br>g et<br>al.[44] | The rationale for FLEX (cochlear implant) electrode with varying array lengths   | 2021 | Europ<br>e,<br>Austria     | Narrative<br>review | /   | /        | / | / | /                 | Rationale of<br>FLEX<br>electronde<br>array and<br>the uso of<br>otological<br>pre.plannin<br>g software<br>tool like<br>OTOPLAN                  | /   | /   | OTOPLAN offers the possibility in measuring the the cochlear size, choosing the best fitting electrode  |
| Spiegel et al.[23]           | Variation of<br>the cochlear<br>anatomy<br>and cochlea<br>duct length:<br>analysis<br>with a new<br>tablet-based<br>software | 2022 | Europ<br>e,<br>Germa<br>ny | Retrospect          | 108 | 6,5-90,3 |   | / | Uni/Bilat<br>eral | To evaluate the range of CDL, find differences in different patient groups and to assess the angular insertion depht (AID) for cochlear coverage. | Cochlear diameter (A- value) Width of the cochlear base (B-value) Height of the cochlea (H- value) CDL AID Cochlear place frequency | Cochlear diameter (A- value) Width of the cochlear base (B-value) Height of the cochlea (H- value) CDL AID Cochlear place frequency | array .  Significant difference of mean CDL with regards to sex, but not to age, side or patients having received different types of CI-electrodes. Significant differences in AID and cochlear coverage. |

| Alahmadi et al.[33] | Cochlear Implantatio n: The Volumetric Measureme nt of Vestibular Aqueduct and Gusher Prediction | 2023 | Saudi<br>Arabia             | Retrospect ive chart review | 21           | mean<br>13,81 (+-<br>5,10) | Mondini<br>dysplasia<br>and<br>enlarged<br>vestibulare<br>aqueduct | CDL using              | Uni/Bilat eral | To validate the role of 3D segmentati on in measuring the volume of the vestibula aqueduct, and the inner ear and to study the correlation between VAD volume and VAD linear measureme nts at the midpoint and opercolum | Cochlear diameter (A- value) Width of the cochlear base (B-value) Height of the cochlea (H- value) CDL VAD widths | Cochlear diameter (A-value) Width of the cochlear base (B-value) Height of the cochlea (H-value) CDL VAD widths | Age, H- value, VAD at the midpoint and VAD at the operculum were significant predictors for CT VAD volume. Sex, age, Avalue, and VAD at the operculum ca be used as significant predictors for Ctinner ear volume using data from both ears. Patients gusher outcomes were significantly differentiat ed by sex and VAD lenght at the midpoint. |
|---------------------|--|------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|--|------------------------|----------------|--|---|---|---|
| Jones et<br>al.[24] | Cochlear Duct Lengths Between CT and MR Images   |      | States<br>of<br>Americ<br>a | ive                         | cochle<br>as | (unspecif<br>ied age)      | deafness   | CT and CDL<br>using MR | eral           | the intra-<br>and<br>interoserver<br>variability in<br>measunring<br>the CDL   | -   |   | difference<br>between<br>MRI and CT:<br>MRI images<br>can be used<br>in OTOPLAN   |

|                              | Using an Otological Surgical Planning Software  |      |                                       |            |                               |                                |  |                   | from MRI<br>images vs<br>CT images<br>using the<br>OTOPLAN   |  |   | as CT<br>images.   |
|------------------------------|---|------|---------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------------|--|--|---|--|
| Canfarott<br>a et<br>al.[22] | Validating a new tablet-based tool in the determinati on of cochlear implant angular insertion depth  | 2019 | United<br>States<br>of<br>Americ<br>a | Retrospect | 36<br>cochle<br>as<br>(20 pz) | adult<br>(unspecif<br>ied age) | Postlingual<br>deafness                              | Uni/Bilat<br>eral | To evaluate the intra- and inter- retar reliability of this tool in determining AID and CDL. To assess the resultant variability in estimates of the cochlear place frequency for the most apical electrode. | AID<br>CDL<br>Estimate<br>cochlear place<br>frequency for<br>each electrode                            | AID CDL Estimate cochlear place frequency for each electrode  | Excellent inter- and inter rater reliability of both AID and CDL: OTOPLAN can be used to reliably determine electrode location to inform image- guided mapping strategies for CI recipients. |
| Bahavana<br>et al.[53]       | OTOPLAN-B<br>ased Study<br>of<br>Intracochlea<br>r Electrode<br>Position<br>Through<br>Cochleosto<br>my and<br>Round<br>Window in<br>Transcanal<br>Veria<br>Technique | 2022 | India                                 | Retrospect | 26                            | 2-15                           | Prelingual<br>deafness;<br>severe<br>bilateral<br>HL | Uni/Bilat<br>eral | To study the postoperati ve visualisation of the electrode array insertion angle through transcanal Veria approach in both round window and cochleosto my tech- niques                                       | Cochlear diameter (A- value) Height of the cochlea (H- value) Width of the cochlear base (B-value) CDL | Cochlear<br>diameter (A-<br>value)<br>Height of the<br>cochlea (H-<br>value)<br>Width of the<br>cochlear base<br>(B-value)<br>CDL | No significant difference in average angle of insertion depth between subjects with cochleosto my and round window insertion. No difference between round                                    |

|                             |   |      |                                       |               |                               |            |  |                   |  |   |  | window insertion or cochleosto my insertion when it comes to electrode array position and placement in the scala tympani.  |
|-----------------------------|---|------|---------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|------------|--|-------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Cooperma<br>n et<br>al.[14] | Assessment of Inter- and Intra-Rater Reliability of Tablet-Based Software to Measure Cochlear Duct Length | 2021 | United<br>States<br>of<br>Americ<br>a | Retrospective | 166<br>cochle<br>a (83<br>pz) | mean 65.63 |  | Uni/Bilat<br>eral | To examine whether increased CT slice thickness was associated with increased variability of CDL measureme nts. To assessed the strong inter- and intra-rater reliability. | Cochlear<br>diameter (A-<br>value)<br>Width of the<br>cochlear base<br>(B-value)<br>CDL | Cochlear diameter (A-value) Width of the cochlear base (B-value) CDL | No significant relationship between slice thickness and CDL measureme nt. There is inter- and intra-rater reliability for cochlear diameter, width, and duct length measured with OTOPLAN. The software may have clinical utility for selecting appropriate electrode array lengths. |

| Dutrieux<br>et al.[38] | Correlation Between Cochlear Length, Insertion Angle, and Tonotopic Mismatch for MED-EL FLEX28 Electrode Arrays                    | 2022 | Europ<br>e,<br>France | Retrospect | 106<br>cochle<br>ae (99<br>pz) | mean 63  | Severe to profound HL | Size of CDL: Small <33.3mm Medium 33.3- 36.2mm Large > 36.2mm | Uni/Bilat<br>eral | To investigate the relationship between cochlear length, insertion angle, and tonotopic mismatch and to compare the tonotopic mismatches with respect to the spiral ganglion and the organ of Corti. | Cochlear<br>diameter (A-<br>value)<br>CDL<br>AID  | Cochlear<br>diameter (A-<br>value)<br>CDL<br>AID   | Small cochlea size correspond ed to higher insertion angle. Tonotopic mismatch could be minimized preoperativ ely by choosing electrode arrays according to the individual cochlear morphology and postoperati vely by appropriate frequency fitting. |
|------------------------|--|------|-----------------------|------------|--------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|---|-------------------|--|---|--|---|
| Chen et al.[32]        | Cochlear Duct Length Calculation: Comparison Between Using Otoplan and Curved Multiplanar Reconstructi on in Non malformed Cochlea | 2021 | China                 | Retrospect | 68<br>cochle<br>ae<br>(34 pz)  | 0,6-63,3 | /                     | /   | /                 | To describe a new method to measure the cochlear parameters using Otoplan software, and to compare it with the traditional method using curved multiplanar   | Cochlear diameter (A- value) Height of the cochlea (H- value) Width of the cochlear base (B-value) CDL Depth of insertion Frequency corresponding electrode | Cochlear diameter (A-value) Height of the cochlea (H-value) Width of the cochlear base (B-value) CDL Depth of insertion Frequency correspondin g electrode | Length, width, height, and CDL measured by Otoplan, showed no significant differences compared with measureme nts made by cMPR. CDL presented statistically significant   |

| Lee et al.[49] | Modiolar Proximity of Slim Modiolar Electrodes and Cochlear Duct Length: Correlation for Potential Basis of Customized Cochlear Implantatio n With Perimodiola r Electrode | 2021   | South<br>Corea | Retrospect | 51<br>cochle<br>a<br>(38 pz) | 7-91                                    | Congenital<br>deafness<br>Postlingual<br>deafness | Congenital vs deafness Modiolar proximity: less vs tight  | Unilatera I | To evaluate individual CDL to determine if there is any significant correlation of CDL with degree of modiolar proximity | Cochlear diameter (A- value) Height of the cochlea (H- value) Width of the cochlear base (B-value) CDL | Relationships<br>between<br>cochlear<br>parameters<br>and spiral<br>diameters | differences between male and female patients. Measureme nts using Otoplan presented better repeatabilit y and are much rapid. A prepondera nce of less modiolar proximity of the electrode exclusively among congenital deafness cases. Shorter CDL is associated with a less tight spiral configuratio n of slim modiolar electrodes postoperati vely. The |
|----------------|--|--------|----------------|------------|------------------------------|---|---|---|-------------|--|--|---|---|
| et al.[12]     | based<br>frequency<br>allocation in<br>cochlear<br>implantatio<br>n: the   | - 2-2- | Arabia         | ive        | cochle<br>a (102<br>pz)      | (+-10)<br>range:10<br>month-<br>74years | ,   | frequency<br>setting avs<br>anatomy<br>based<br>frequency | eral        | aimed to<br>compare<br>the<br>predicted<br>anatomy-<br>based   | diameter (A-<br>value)<br>Height of the<br>cochlea (H-<br>value)<br>Width of the                       | to-place<br>mismatch  | anatomy-<br>based<br>frequency<br>allocation of<br>each<br>electrode is   |

|                      | importance<br>of cochlear<br>coverage  |      |                                       |                 |                               |                 |   | reallocatio<br>n   |                | frequency<br>allocation of<br>cochlear<br>implant<br>electrodes<br>with the<br>default<br>standard<br>frequencies. | cochlear base<br>(B-value)<br>CDL   |   | significantly different from the default frequency setting. This frequency- to-place mismatch was affected mainly by the cochlear coverage.           |
|----------------------|--|------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|---|--|----------------|--|---|---|---|
| Paouris et al.[36]   | Validation of<br>Automatic<br>Cochlear<br>Measureme<br>nt using<br>OTOPLAN<br>software                               | 2023 | Slovaki                               | Retrospect      | 109<br>cochle<br>a<br>(56 pz) | 7,3 (+-<br>3,7) | / | Measured<br>manually<br>vs.<br>measure<br>with AUTO                                | /              | To evaluate the new automatic measureme nt method  | Cochlear<br>diameter (A-<br>value)<br>Height of the<br>cochlea (H-<br>value)<br>Width of the<br>cochlear base<br>(B-value)<br>CDL | Difference in CDL measuremen t and in measuremen t time | There wasn't a significant difference in measureme nt of Choclear parameters; Time neeed to perform the measureme nts was reduced from 7 min to 1min. |
| Dillon et<br>al.[55] | Effect of Place-Based Versus Default mapping Procedures on Masked Speech Recognition: simulation of Cochlear Implant | 2021 | United<br>States<br>of<br>Americ<br>a | Prospectiv<br>e | 25 pz                         | 18-25           | / | Default<br>filter<br>frequencie<br>s vs. place-<br>based filter<br>frequencie<br>s | Unilatera<br>I | Compare performanc e with default maps vs. experiment al place-based map, in partecipans with normal earing        | AID Estimate cochlear place frequency for each electrode  | Sentence<br>recognition                                 | Better performanc e with the placed- based maps than for the default maps for both the CI- alone and EAS simulation.                                  |

|                     | Alone and<br>Electric-<br>Acoustic<br>Stimulatio.  |      |        |            |       |       |                      |           |   |  |   | Adding acoustic low- frequency information resulted in a similar benefit for both maps.   |
|---------------------|--|------|--------|------------|-------|-------|----------------------|-----------|---|--|---|---|
| Mertens et al. [54] | The smaller the frequency-to-place mismatch the better the hearing outcomes ini cochlear implant recipiens | 2022 | Belgiu | Retrospect | 39 pz | 17-81 | Postlingual deafness | Unilatera | To investigate the effect of frequency-to-place mismatch; investigatin g if there is any correlation between Aid and CDL, and which is the mean deviation of the default frequency map. | AID CDL Estimate cochlear place frequency for each electrode | Speech perception Correlation between frequency-to- place mismatch and speech perception AID Correlation between AID and CDL Mean frequency shift | Significant linear correlation between the frequency-to-place mismatch and speech perception in noise 6 month after CI. The smaller the frequency-to-place mismatch, the better the initial speech perception in noise. The significant effect disappeared after 12 months. AID from 458° to 642°. mean of CDL 32,96. Correlation: 0,47; mean |

|                              |  |      |                                       |            |       |                   |   |   |                |   |  |   | frequancy<br>shift: from<br>1,03 to<br>1,44.   |
|------------------------------|--|------|---------------------------------------|------------|-------|-------------------|---|---|----------------|---|--|---|--|
| Mertens<br>et al.[34]        | Prediction of the Cochlear Implant Electrode Insertion Depth: Clinical Applicability of two Analytical Cochlear Models ( 2020) | 2020 | Belgiu<br>m                           | Retrospect | 46 pz | mean 56<br>(6-81) | / | pre- operative AID vs post- operative AID       | unilateral     | To compare the clinical applicability of the Escudè and ECA formula using OTOPLAN to predict the AID; to compare the AID calculated with OTOPLAN to the prediction based upon a two-dimensional CT image. | Cochlear<br>diameter (A-<br>value)<br>Width of the<br>cochlear base<br>(B-value)<br>CDL<br>AID | Intra- and<br>Inter-<br>observer<br>agreement<br>Validation of<br>AID<br>prediction | The use of a new planning software taht allows three-dimensional handling, integrating the diameter and width of the basal turn (ECA formula), resulted in the most accurate predictions of the AID. |
| Canfarott<br>a et<br>al.[50] | Incidence of<br>Complete<br>Insertion in<br>Cochlear<br>Implant<br>Recipients<br>of Long<br>Lateral Wall<br>Arrays             | 2020 | United<br>States<br>of<br>Americ<br>a | Retrospect | 51 pz | 23-87             | / | complete<br>insertion vs<br>partial<br>inserion | Unilatera<br>I | To investigate the incidence of complete insertions among patients implanted with 31,5 mm flexible arrays and whether complete insertion is   | Cochlear<br>diameter (A-<br>value)<br>Width of the<br>cochlear base<br>(B-value)<br>CDL        | Partial<br>insertion rate<br>AID<br>CDL   | Complete insertion of a 31.5 mm flexible array is feasible in most cases and does not appear to be limited by the range of CDL.  |

|                                |  |      |                                       |                   |       |                  |                        |  |                | limited by cochlear duct lenght.   |  |   |   |
|--------------------------------|--|------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------|------------------|------------------------|--|----------------|--|--|---|---|
| Müller-Gr<br>aff et<br>al.[29] | Implementa tion of the secondary reconstructi ons of flat-panel volume cmputed tomography (fpVCT) and otological planning software for anatomically based cochlear implantatio n | 2022 | Germa                                 | Retrospect        | 30 pz | 57-64            |                        | MSCT in non-implanted ear vs. MSCT in implanted ears | Unilatera I    | To investigate the combination of fpVCT and otological planning software to improve the implementation of anatomicall y based cochlear implantation. | Cochlear diameter (A-value) Width of the cochlear base (B-value) CDL | Comparison of CDL measuremen ts   | The combinatio n of fpVCT(seco) and OTOPLAN permits a simplified and more reliable analysis of the cochlea in the pre and postoperati ve setting. The combinatio n of both systems will enable further progress in the developmen t of an anatomicall y based CI. |
| Canfarott<br>a et<br>al.[46]   | Influence of<br>Age at<br>Cochlear<br>Implantatio<br>n and<br>Frequency-<br>to-place<br>Mismatch<br>on Early<br>Speech   | 2019 | United<br>States<br>of<br>Americ<br>a | Retrospect<br>ive | 48 pz | 67,4 (42-<br>95) | Postligual<br>deafness | /  | Unilatera<br>I | To investigate the influence of mismatch and age at implantatio n on speech recognition within the initial 6   | AID<br>Spiral ganglion<br>place<br>frequency                         | AID<br>Frequancy-<br>to-place<br>mismatch<br>Postoperative<br>Speech<br>Recognition | Younger adult CI recipients experienced more rapid growth in speech recognition during the initial 6 months   |

|                                 | Recognition<br>in Adults   |      |       |                 |       |  |  |                | months of<br>CI use.  |  |     | post- activation. Greater degrees of frequency- to-place mismatch were assiciated with poorer performanc e, yet older listeners were not particularly susceptible to this effect.                 |
|---------------------------------|--|------|-------|-----------------|-------|--|--|----------------|---|--|-----|---|
| Breitsprec<br>her et<br>al.[15] | CT imaging-based approaches to cochlear duct length estimation - a human temporal bone study | 2021 | Germa | Prospectiv<br>e | 20 pz |  | CDL using 3D reconstruct ion with a 3D software CDL using the A-value method CDL using OTOPLAN | Unilatera<br>I | To detrmine the most reliable radiological imaging method and imaging processing software for measuring CDL from clinical routine imagnig and to predict the AID. | Cochlear diameter (A- value) Height of the cochlea (H- value) Width of the cochlear base (B-value) CDL | CDL | Alla approaches would have led to an electrode choice of rather too short electrodes. Concerning treatment decisions based on CDL measureme nts, the OTOPLAN-based method has to be recommend ed. |

| Almuhaw<br>as et<br>al.[35] | Age as a factor of growth in mastoid thickness and skull width   | 2020 | Saudi<br>Arabia                       | Retrospect        | 92  | <20years<br>and >20<br>years<br>(range<br>6months<br>-79<br>years) | Normal;<br>Inner ear<br>malformat<br>ion:<br>hypoplasia<br>,<br>incomplet<br>e partition<br>type I, II<br>and III,<br>enlarged<br>vestibular<br>aqueduct<br>syndrome,<br>ossified<br>cochlea | normal<br>cohclea vs<br>malformed         |   | To understand the growth rate of mastoid thickness and skull width associated with the age for both normal and malformed inner-ear anatomy groups. Also, to determine if there is any mathematic al relation between cochlear size as measured by the "A" value against the | Mastoid<br>thickness<br>Cochlear<br>diameter (A-<br>value)<br>Skull width                          | Mastoid<br>thickness<br>Skull width            | Mastoid thickness and skull width increased with age, while the cochlear size was independen t of age, mastoid thickness, and the size of the skull |
|-----------------------------|--|------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-----|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|---|
| Andersen<br>et al.[37]      | Segmentatio<br>n of<br>Temporal<br>Bone<br>Anatomy for<br>Patient-<br>Specific<br>Virtual<br>Reality<br>Simulation | 2020 | United<br>States<br>of<br>Americ<br>a | Retrospect<br>ive | 9pz | 3 months<br>- 12<br>years  | /  | OTOPLAN<br>vs. manual<br>segmentati<br>on | / | value   | Cochlear diameter (A- value) Height of the cochlea (H- value) Width of the cochlear base (B-value) | Segmented<br>volumes<br>Segmentatio<br>ns time | The automated segmentatio n algorithm currently offers the most flexible and feasible approach.   |

|                        |   |      |                 |               |          |                               |  | patient-<br>specific VR<br>simulation.   |  |  |   |
|------------------------|---|------|-----------------|---------------|----------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|
| Dhanasing h et al.[30] | A novel three-step process for the identificatio n of inner ear malformatio n types | 2022 | Saudi<br>Arabia | Retrospective | 112 ears | Inner ear<br>malformat<br>ion |  | Visualizing inner-ear systematical ly in both cochlear view (oblique coronal plane) and in midmodiolar section (axial plane) and following three sequential steps simplifies, identification of innerear malformation types. | Cochlear diameter (A-value) Width of the cochlear base (B-value) Angular turn of the LW Mid-modiolar section | Cochlear diameter (A-value) Width of the cochlear base (B-value) Angular turn of the LW Mid-modiolar section | The systematic application of the three-step process proposed in this study is a novel method in the identificatio n of IEM types. The visualizing inner ear in both cochlear view and the midmodiolar section enables to capture every key anatomical structure of the inner ear in the identificatio n of anatomical types. |

| Canfarott<br>a et<br>al.[48] | Insertion Depth and Cochlear Implant Speech Recognition Outcomes: A Comparativ e Study of 28- and 31.5-mm Lateral Wall Arrays | 2022 | United<br>States<br>of<br>Americ<br>a | Retrospect        | 75 pz       | 65,0<br>(28mm) -<br>63,6<br>(31,5mm) | Unknown<br>Meniere's<br>Noise<br>induced<br>Usher's<br>syndrome<br>Temporal<br>bone<br>fracture | Array<br>lenght:<br>28mm vs.<br>31.5mm   | Unilatera | To compare speech recognition outcomes between cochlear implant (CI) recipients of 28- and 31.5-mm lateral wall electrode arrays, and to characterize the relationship between angular insertion depth (AID) and speech recognition. | AID  | Consonant-<br>nucleus-<br>consonant<br>(CNC) word<br>recognition   | Cochlear implant recipients implanted with a 31.5-mm array experienced better speech recognition than those with a 28-mm array at 12 months post-activation. Deeper insertion of a lateral wall array appears to confer speech recognition benefit up to ~600°, with a plateau in performance observed thereafter |
|------------------------------|---|------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|-----------|--|--|--|---|
| Li et al.<br>[31]            | Analysis of Cochlear Parameters in Paediatric Inner Ears with Enlarged Vestibular Aqueduct and Patent Cochlea                 | 2022 | China                                 | Retrospect<br>ive | 247<br>ears | 0.0-12.8                             |   | patent cochlea VS enlarged vestibular aqueduct VS enlarged vestibular aqueduct with incomplete |           | (a) assess the cochlear dimensions in Chinese paediatric CI candidates with fully developed patent cochleae and with   | The A-value (diameter), B-value (width), and H-value (height) were measured using OTOPLAN (version 1.2). | different cochlear anatomies using CT images and 3D images in both axial and coronal view. Data were analysed as per side of | A significant difference was found for the A value and B value between the patent cochleae and EVA-only and between   |

| Zhu et al.<br>[45] | The effect of cochlear size | 2023 | China | Retrospect<br>ive | 75 pz | 0.67-18 | severe or profound   | partition<br>type II     | first CI | EVA using the OTOPLAN software (b) analyse the differences between side of the ear, sex, and type of malformati on. | Basal cochlear<br>diameter, | the ear (left/right), sex, and type of malformation  Correlations between | the patent cochleae and EVA with IP II The basal turn of the cochlea may be smaller in EVA cases than in the patent cochleae. Electrode selection should be adjusted accordingly The EABR thresholds |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------------------|-------|---------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------|---|-----------------------------|---|--|
| [43]               | on<br>electrically          |      |       | IVC               |       |         | bilateral sensorineu | malformati<br>ons (IEMs) |          | the relationship  | cochlear<br>width,          | EABRs and cochlear sizes  | and/or<br>latencies  |
|                    | evoked                      |      |       |                   |       |         | ral hearing          | VS Mondini               |          | between   | cochlear                    | were  | were   |
|                    | auditory                    |      |       |                   |       |         | loss (SNHL)          | malformati               |          | cochlear  | height and                  | analyzed.   | negatively   |
|                    | brainstem                   |      |       |                   |       |         |                      | on                       |          | size and  | CDL,                        |   | correlated   |
|                    | responses in deaf           |      |       |                   |       |         |                      |                          |          | auditory  |                             |   | with the<br>basal  |
|                    | children                    |      |       |                   |       |         |                      |                          |          | conduction function in  |                             |   | cochlear   |
|                    | Ciliuren                    |      |       |                   |       |         |                      |                          |          | deaf  |                             |   | diameter,  |
|                    |                             |      |       |                   |       |         |                      |                          |          | children  |                             |   | cochlear   |
|                    |                             |      |       |                   |       |         |                      |                          |          | with  |                             |   | width  |
|                    |                             |      |       |                   |       |         |                      |                          |          | no IEMs and   |                             |   | and/or   |
|                    |                             |      |       |                   |       |         |                      |                          |          | those with  |                             |   | cochlear   |
|                    |                             |      |       |                   |       |         |                      |                          |          | Mondini   |                             |   | duct length  |
|                    |                             |      |       |                   |       |         |                      |                          |          | malformati  |                             |   | in both  |
|                    |                             |      |       |                   |       |         |                      |                          |          | on.   |                             |   | patients   |
|                    |                             |      |       |                   |       |         |                      |                          |          |   |                             |   | without  |
|                    |                             |      |       |                   |       |         |                      |                          |          |   |                             |   | IEMs and   |
|                    |                             |      |       |                   |       |         |                      |                          |          |   |                             |   | those with   |
|                    |                             |      |       |                   |       |         |                      |                          |          |   |                             |   | Mondini<br>malformatio   |
|                    |                             |      |       |                   |       |         |                      |                          |          |   |                             |   | n.   |
|                    |                             |      |       |                   |       |         |                      |                          |          |   |                             |   | A larger   |
|                    |                             |      |       |                   |       |         |                      |                          |          |   |                             |   | cochlear   |

|  |  |  |  |  |  | size appears |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--------------|
|  |  |  |  |  |  | to be        |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | associated   |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | with better  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | auditory     |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | conduction   |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | function.    |