

Underdiagnosis and undertreatment of osteoporotic patients admitted in internal medicine wards in Italy between 2010 and 2016 (the REPOSI Register)

Jessica Pepe 1 · Pasquale Agosti² · Cristiana Cipriani¹ · Mauro Tettamanti³ · Alessandro Nobili³ · Luciano Colangelo¹ · Rachele Santori¹ · Mirella Cilli¹ · Salvatore Minisola¹ · Reposi investigators

Abstract

Purpose To evaluate clinical features, treatments, and outcomes of osteoporotic patients admitted to internal medicine and geriatric wards compared with non-osteoporotic patients (REPOSI registry).

Methods We studied 4714 patients hospitalized between 2010 and 2016. We reported age, sex, educational level, living status, comorbidities and drugs taken, Cumulative Illness Rating Scale (CIRS), Barthel Index, Short-Blessed Test, 4-item Geriatric Depression Scale, serum hemoglobin, creatinine, and clinical outcomes. Osteoporosis was defined based on the diagnoses recorded at admission, according to the following ICD9: 733, 805–813, 820–823.

Results Twelve percent of the patients had a preadmission diagnosis of osteoporosis. Only 20% of these had been prescribed oral bisphosphonates; 34% were taking vitamin D supplements. Osteoporotic patients were significantly older, with lower BMI, higher CIRS, and taking more drugs. They were significantly more depressed, less independent, with a higher severity of cognitive impairment compared with non-osteoporotic patients. At discharge, the number of patients receiving treatment for osteoporosis did not change. Length of stay and inhospital mortality did not differ between groups. Osteoporotic patients were more frequently nonhome discharged compared with those without osteoporosis (14.8 vs. 7.9%, p = 0.0007), mostly discharged to physical therapy or rehabilitation (8.8 vs. 2.5% of patients, p < 0.0001). Among osteoporotic patients deceased 3 months after discharge, the number of those treated with vitamin D, with or without calcium supplements, was significantly lower compared with survivors (12 vs. 32%, p = 0.0168).

Conclusions The diagnosis of osteoporosis is poorly considered both during hospital stay and at discharge; osteoporotic patients are frailer compared to non-osteoporotic patients.

Keywords Osteoporosis · Fractures · Bisphosphonates · Vitamin D

Members of the Reposi investigators are listed above Funding.

Jessica Pepe jessica.pepe@uniroma1.it

Introduction

In Europe, the prevalence of osteoporosis is estimated to be between 5.9 and 7.2% in men and between 19.1 and 23.5% in women, respectively; this percentage significantly increases in older people [1]. However, osteoporosis is often not diagnosed until a fragility fracture occurs, and many patients remain untreated, even after osteoporotic fractures arise [2]. Less than

IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Angelo Bianchi Bonomi Hemophilia and Thrombosis Center, and Fondazione Luigi Villa, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy

³ Neuroscience Department, Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche Mario Negri IRCCS, Milan, Italy

¹ Department of Clinical, Internal, Anesthesiological and Cardiovascular Sciences, "Sapienza" University of Rome, Rome, Italy

² Department of Pathophysiology and Transplantation, Fondazione

one-third of postmenopausal women and fewer men are prescribed bone-active drugs to reduce fracture risk [3, 4]. In particular, the oldest old may be undertreated for osteoporosis, as shown by a nationwide population study based on a registry of drug prescriptions which comprised all of the Sweden population [5]. The probability of use of bisphosphonates declined with increasing age, and indeed for osteoporotic patients age \geq 90 years versus those of 75–79 years, the OR for prescription of bisphosphonates was 0.36 (95% CI 0.34–0.38) for women and OR 0.46 (95% CI 0.37–0.56) for men. However, studies have shown that it is cost-effective to treat osteoporosis at higher ages [6]. This is an important issue facing demographic changes in western countries where elderly people represent a large part of the entire population.

It should be noted that more than 95% of the adults with osteoporosis have at least one coexisting disease [7]. In a cohort of 1467 subjects, considering several diseases, such as osteoporosis, hypertension, and type 2 diabetes mellitus, with a mean follow-up time of 4 years, according to the multivariate analysis, osteoporosis was the most important risk factor for all-cause mortality, followed by diabetes and hypertension [8].

Some studies have indicated that the excess mortality, after hip fracture, may be linked to complications following the fracture and to pre-fracture comorbid conditions [9]. However, other studies attempting to adjust for these factors have found an unexplained excess mortality [10].

There is evidence that drugs usually prescribed for osteoporosis, such as bisphosphonates, reduce not only hip fracture incidence but also mortality [11], as shown in different settings including outpatient clinics [12, 13] and intensive care units [14]. However, a recent meta-analysis failed to demonstrate such an effect of bisphosphonates on mortality [15].

Data showing characteristics of osteoporotic patients have been conducted only in out-patients or in long-term care facilities. Previous studies have shown that in longterm facilities osteoporotic patients reported a fracture rate 8–9 fold higher than those observed among less impaired seniors [16], the vast majority being undertreated [17, 18]. However, there are no data on sociodemographic and clinical features and outcomes of osteoporotic patients hospitalized in internal medicine wards.

Therefore, this study was carried out to evaluate clinical features, treatments, and outcomes of osteoporotic patients, regardless of the reason for admission to internal medicine and geriatric wards, compared with non-osteoporotic patients.

Methods

Data collection

The REPOSI register (<u>Registro Politerapie SIMI</u>) is a collaborative and independent initiative of the Italian Society of Internal Medicine (SIMI), the IRCCS Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche Mario Negri and the IRCCS Ca' Granda Maggiore Policlinico Hospital Foundation. The registry was set up in 2008 with the voluntary participation of doctors working in internal medicine and geriatric wards; all patients investigated and included in the registry were required to sign an informed consent.

Physicians contributing to the REPOSI Register fill out a standardized web-based case report form which includes: sociodemographic variables, treatments at hospital admission, in hospital and at discharge, laboratory parameters, comorbidities, clinical events occurring during hospital stay, and outcomes [19]. Comorbidity burden was defined according to the Cumulative Illness Rating Scale (CIRS), Comorbidity Index (CI), and Severity Index (SI) [20, 21]. Polypharmacy was defined as five or more medications [19].

To better characterize the population studied, we utilized the same clinical score previously utilized in the other REPOSI papers [19]. The Barthel Index (BI) was used for measuring functional dependence in the basic activities of daily living, partitioning dependence into five levels: total (scores 0-24), severe (scores 25-49), moderate (scores 50-74), mild (scores 75-90), and minimal (scores 91-100) [22]. As a measure of cognitive impairment, the shortblessed test (SBT) was used, with the following standard cut-off points: normal cognition (score 0-4), possible cognitive deficit (score 5–9), probable cognitive deficit (score \geq 10) [23]. For mood evaluation, we utilized the 4-item Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS-4), rating patient mood as probable absence of depression (0), minor (1), and probable depression (≥2) [24]. Biochemical evaluation included serum hemoglobin and creatinine; creatinine clearance (CrCl) was estimated by using the CKD-EPI equation. Osteoporosis was ascertained, using the diagnoses recorded at admission as reported by the patients, according to the following ICD9 codes corresponding to the diagnosis of osteoporosis and atraumatic fractures: 733, 805-813, 820-823. Those that reported fracture of skull, fingers and toes were not considered osteoporotic [25].

Patients were followed up for 3 months after discharge by a telephone interview in order to collect information.

Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were described using numbers and percentages. Continuous variables were expressed as mean values \pm standard deviations (SDs). At uni-variable level the differences in proportions and medians were evaluated with chi-square or Fisher (where appropriate) and Mann–Whitney tests, respectively. The relation between osteoporosis and the outcomes was also adjusted for age, sex and CIRS CI by logistic regression or linear regression (where appropriate) in multivariable models. Statistical analyses were carried out using JMP Pro 14 (SAS Institute Inc. Cary. NC. USA). P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Missing data were reported in the tables.

Results

Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients

We included all the patients (4714) enrolled in the REPOSI Registry between 2010 and 2016 in Italy. For 561 out of the 4714 (12%) patients, a diagnosis of osteoporosis was recorded as a comorbidity at admission (Table 1A). Patients with osteoporosis were significantly older, mainly females and with a lower BMI compared with non-osteoporotic patients. A higher proportion of osteoporotic patients lived alone, had a caregiver and reported a previous hospitalization (Table 1A). Osteoporotic patients showed a statistically significant mean higher CIRS SI and CIRS CI; however, only mean CIRS SI values persisted significantly higher when osteoarticular diseases were excluded. Moreover, they were taking more drugs with respect to those without a diagnosis of osteoporosis (Table 1A).

Concerning the biochemical profile, osteoporotic patients had statistically significant mean lower serum hemoglobin and creatinine levels; however, creatinine may be related to different BMI, indeed, no significant difference in the proportion of patients in each K-DOQI classes was found compared to non-osteoporotic patients (Table 1B).

Patients with osteoporosis were significantly more depressed; furthermore, we found that there was a statistically significant higher proportion of patients with cognitive impairment and that osteoporotic patients were significantly more dependent compared with non-osteoporotic patients (Table 1B).

Drug therapies for osteoporosis

Before admission, 20% of osteoporotic patients took bisphosphonates, only two patients (0.4%) denosumab and two patients (0.4%) teriparatide. Vitamin D supplementation was prescribed in 34% of osteoporotic patients, of whom 14.5% in combination with calcium supplements; only 6% of patients took calcium supplementation alone (Table 2). Interestingly, the number of patients treated for osteoporosis, during hospitalization and at discharge, remained largely unchanged (Table 2).

In-hospital and 3 months clinical outcomes

Length of stay in medicine wards was not different for osteoporotic compared to non-osteoporotic patients (Table 3);

however, the discharge of osteoporotic patients to nursing homes or rehabilitation units was significantly more prevalent (p < 0.0001). Among the 3 months outcomes, a higher functional decline was observed in osteoporotic patients, with a trend near to significance (Table 3).

Considering osteoporotic patients, we found a statistically significant higher rate of inhospital mortality in the following subgroups: males (p = 0.007), those who lived with a caregiver (p = 0.02), previous hospitalization (p = 0.03) or admitted from institution (p = 0.04), severely dependent (p = 0.008) and in those with kidney failure (0.004) (Supplementary Table 1).

Osteoporotic patients who died after 3 months follow-up, compared to osteoporotic patients who survived, were significantly older ($84.5 \pm 7.9 \text{ vs. } 79.8 \pm 7.8 \text{ } p = 0.0017$), with a higher proportion of patients with a BMI lower than 25 kg/m^2 (70.4 vs. 50.2%, p = 0.0445), and with lower mean serum hemoglobin levels ($10.9 \pm 1.8 \text{ vs. } 11.7 \pm 2.1$, p = 0.0187). Furthermore, a higher proportion of osteoporotic patients, admitted from nursing homes, died after 3 months (11.4 vs. 3.7%, p = 0.0565).

Osteoporosis drugs and in-hospital and 3 months clinical outcomes

Inhospital mortality, among osteoporotic patients treated with bisphosphonates, did not differ from that of patients without treatment (2.7 vs. 4.1% p = 0.63). Among osteoporotic patients, those who had undergone preadmission treatment with bisphosphonates had a statistically significant lower comorbidity burden, a better renal function, were less dependent, and had a lower rate of previous hospitalization (Table 4). Among osteoporotic patients deceased 3 months after discharge, the number of those treated with vitamin D, with or without calcium supplements, was significantly lower compared with survivors (12 vs. 32%, p = 0.0168).

Discussion

In our study, we found that 12% of patients admitted to internal medicine wards had a concomitant diagnosis of osteoporosis, of whom only 20% had undergone a preadmission treatment with bone-active agents.

The key message from our findings is that, in Italy, osteoporosis is underdiagnosed and undertreated in patients admitted and discharged from internal medicine wards. Indeed, based on osteoporosis prevalence in Europe and more specifically in Italy (ranging from 25 to 50% in the elderly), we would have expected a higher percentage of diagnosis of this common metabolic bone disease in the elderly [26, 27]. However, it can be correctly stated that,

 $\label{eq:table_1} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{A} \text{ Main features of patients with osteoporosis compared with those without. B Biochemical and functional parameters of patients with osteoporosis compared with those without \\ \end{array}$

A	N = 561 Osteoporosis	N = 4153 No osteoporosis	р	Missing values
Age, mean ± SD	80.3 ± 7.7	79.2 ± 7.5	0.0009	0
Age classes, n (%) 65–74 y	142 (25.3)	1207 (29.1)	0.001	0
Age classes, n (%) 75–84 y	234 (41.7)	1878 (45.2)		0
Age classes, n (%) ≥85 y	185 (33.0)	1068 (25.7)		0
Male, <i>n</i> (%)	126 (22.5)	2185 (52.6)	<0.001	0
Married, n (%)	235 (43.1)	2226 (55.4)	<0.0001	147
Living alone, n (%)	157 (29.2)	865 (22.2)	0.0003	283
Having a caregiver, n (%)	332 (59.5)	2110 (51.6)	0.0004	66
Education level, mean ± SD	7.0 ± 4.1	7.2 ± 4.0	0.26	459
Education level ≥ 5 , n (%)	427 (85.4)	3219 (85.7)	0.85	459
BMI, mean ± SD	25.3 ± 5.0	26.0 ± 5.1	0.0018	525
BMI classes, n (%)				525
BMI <18	21 (4.3)	106 (2.9)	0.0023	
$18 \le BMI < 25$	242 (50.0)	1592 (43.0)		
$25 \le BMI < 30$	142 (29.3)	1351 (36.5)		
BMI ≥30	79 (16.3)	656 (17.7)		
CIRS SI, mean ± SD	1.77 ± 0.36	1.65 ± 0.34	<0.0001	63
CIRS SI (excluded CIRS 11 ^a), mean ± SD	1.70 ± 0.37	1.67 ± 0.35	0.0220	63
CIRS CI, mean ± SD	3.60 ± 2.07	2.97 ± 1.87	<0.0001	63
CIRS CI (excluded CIRS 11 ^a), mean ± SD	3.00 ± 1.94	2.80 ± 1.80	0.220	63
Drug number, mean ± SD	6.6 ± 3.2	5.6 ± 2.9	<0.0001	149
Polypharmacy, n (%)	412 (74.2)	2451 (61.1)	<0.0001	149
Drug number (excluded drugs for osteoporosis ^b), mean \pm SD	6.1 ± 3.1	5.6±2.9	0.0011	149
Polypharmacy (excluded drugs for osteoporosis ^b), n (%)	386 (69.6)	2444 (61.0)	<0.0001	149
Previous hospitalization, n (%)	217 (46.6)	1350 (40.9)	0.0204	948
Institutionalized, n (%)	30 (5.4)	236 (5.7)	0.74	29
В				
Hemoglobin, mean ± SD	11.5 ± 2.2	11.9 ± 2.3	0.0016	40
Creatinine, mean ± SD	1.1 ± 0.8	1.3 ± 0.9	<0.0001	60
GDS classes, n (%)				60
eGFR class I K-DOQI	66 (11.9)	386 (9.3)	0.23	
eGFR class II K-DOQI	233 (42.1)	1655 (40.4)		
eGFR class III K-DOQI	189 (34.1)	1490 (36.3)		
eGFR class IV K-DOQI	50 (9.0)	425 (10.4)		
eGFR class V K-DOQI	16 (2.9)	144 (3.5)		
GDS classes, n (%)				785
Probably not depressed	104 (21.9)	1035 (30.0)	0.0004	
Minor depressed	139 (29.2)	995 (28.8)		
Probably depressed	233 (49.0)	1423 (41.2)		
Barthel classes, n (%)				1476

Table 1 (continued)

-

No or minimally dependent	165 (40.2)	1491 (52.7)	<0.0001	
Mildly dependent	94 (22.9)	522 (18.5)		
Moderately dependent	65 (15.8)	363 (12.8)		
Severely dependent	48 (11.7)	177 (6.3)		
Completely dependent	39 (9.5)	274 (9.7)		
SBT classes, n (%)				482
Normal cognition	166 (33.8)	1476 (39.5)	0.0362	
Possible cognitive deficit	85 (17.3)	645 (17.2)		
Probable cognitive deficit	240 (48.9)	1620 (43.3)		

BMI body mass index, CIRS Cumulative Illness Rating Scale, CI Comorbidity Index, SI Severity Index, eGFR estimated glomerular filtration rate, K-DOQI kidney disease outcomes quality initiative, GDS geriatric depression scale, SBT short-blessed test

p values calculated using chi-square or Fisher and Mann-Whitney tests

^aCIRS 11 = osteoarticular diseases

^bBisphosphonates, denosumab, teriparatide, vitamin D, and calcium supplements

Bold values indicate statistical significance P < 0.05

Table 2 Drugs taken by osteoporotic patients

Drugs for osteoporosis	Drugs at admission, n (%) $N = 482$	Drugs at discharge, n (%) $N = 482$
Vitamin D (ATC A11CC)	101 (21.0)	116 (24.1)
Calcium (ATC A12AA)	29 (6.0)	42 (8.7)
Calcium and vitamin D (ATC A12AX)	70 (14.5)	58 (12.0)
Vitamin D with or without calcium	165 (34.2)	166 (34.4)
Bisphosphonates (ATC M05BA o ATC M05BB)	97 (20.1)	90 (18.7)
Bisphosphonates and vitamin D with or without calcium	37 (7.7)	47 (9.8)
Denosumab (ATC M05BX04)	2 (0.4)	2 (0.4)
Teriparatide (ATC H05AA02)	2 (0.4)	2 (0.4)
SERM (ATC G03XC)	0	0

ATC Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical Classification of the drugs, after excluding those who died in hospital or transferred to another ward. Patients without the following information "drugs at admission" and "drug at discharge" were also excluded

p values calculated using chi-square or Fisher and Mann-Whitney tests

here in Italy, the problem resides outside the hospital, where the diagnosis of osteoporosis was first made, thus confirming what is already known in the literature [2, 3].

Moreover, the proportion of osteoporotic patients treated does not change following discharge resulting in a continuing persistence of undertreatment. This is of ominous prognostic significance since profound consequences regarding morbidity, mortality and especially social costs can be expected by such a behavior. Therefore, it seems that the issue of metabolic bone disease, in general and specifically of osteoporosis, does not capture the attention of doctors at hospital admission and during hospitalization. As a result, hospital physicians are not inclined to prescribe drugs against osteoporosis during hospital stay. This could be due to a number of reasons such as the low awareness of the disease even among skilled doctors [27], or its lower hierarchy in respect to concomitant diseases which have more of an influence on the patient short-term outcomes, as has been previously demonstrated [28].

In our cohort, osteoporotic patients were older, had a mean lower BMI, a higher burden of diseases, and were treated with more drugs compared to non-osteoporotic patients. Moreover, they were more depressed, less independent and with cognitive impairment, all features which rendered them frailer.

As regarding the outcomes, we found that osteoporotic patients showed a higher prevalence of discharge in rehabilitation settings. This may be explained considering their condition of frailty, as mentioned above. Therefore, in the frame of a comprehensive geriatric assessment, it is important to carefully look for a condition of osteoporosis in older hospitalized patients in order to identify those more vulnerable, requiring person-centered approaches (e.g., early inhospital mobilization or selection of the appropriate care setting).

Interestingly, osteoporotic patients seem to be also more vulnerable to the hospitalization-associated disability, showing a higher functional decline, defined in terms of reduction of BI between admission and 3 months after discharge.

We also found that among osteoporotic patients who died during hospital stay, males represented 50% of

Table 3 Outcomes of patients with osteoporosis compared with those without

	Osteoporosis	No osteoporosis	OR/change	р	OR ^a	p^{a}	Missing
Inhospital mortality ¹	16 (3.1)	180 (4.8)	0.65 [0.38-1.09]	0.10	0.58 [0.34-1.01]	0.0537	0
Length of stay ¹	11.8 ± 8.4	11.6 ± 9.7		0.52		0.89	12
Nonhome discharged ²	54 (14.8)	201 (7.9)	0.49 [0.36-0.68]	<0.0001	1.80 [1.28-2.54]	0.0007	1193
Nursing home ²	19 (5.2)	112 (4.4)		<0.0001			
Physical therapy/rehabilitation ²	32 (8.8)	64 (2.5)					
Palliative care ²	3 (0.8)	25 (1.0)					
Three months mortality ³	35 (9.6)	250 (10.1)	0.94 [0.65–1.37]	0.75	0.97 [0.66–1.43]	0.88	8
Three months rehospitalized ³	65 (85.5)	381 (88.6)	0.76 [0.38–1.54]	0.44	0.87 [0.41-1.85]	0.72	2336
Three months institutionalized ⁴	7 (2.2)	40 (1.9)	1.18 [0.53-2.66]	0.69	0.86 [0.37-1.98]	0.71	278
Functional decline ³ (Barthel 3 months- Barthel admission)	-6.5 ± 19.6	-4.0 ± 17.6	_	0.07	-	0.24	1181

p values calculated using chi-square or Fisher and Mann-Whitney tests

¹Considering only patients not transferred to other wards (N = 4291), osteoporotic patients: N = 511

²Considering only patients not transferred to other wards and who did not die while hospitalized (N = 4095), osteoporotic patients: N = 495

³Considering patients who were not transferred to another ward, who did not die while hospitalized, and with data at follow-up (N = 2842), osteoporotic patients: N = 365

⁴Considering patients who were not transferred to another ward, who did not die while hospitalized, and with data at follow-up and who were not admitted from institution (N = 2691), osteoporotic patients: N = 348

^aAdjusted for age, sex, and CIRS CI

Bold values indicate statistical significance P < 0.05

Table 4 Main features of osteoporotic patients taking bisphosphonates vs. those who did not

	Bisphosphonates $(n = 108)$	No bisphosphonates $(n = 447)$	р	
CIRS SI, mean ± SD	1.7 ± 0.3	1.8 ± 0.4	0.0006	
CIRS CI, mean ± SD	3.2 ± 1.7	3.7 ± 2.1	0.0060	
Drug number, mean ± SD	7.3 ± 3.5	6.5 ± 3.1	0.0408	
Previous hospitalizations, n (%)	31 (36.5)	186 (49.5)	0.0301	
eGFR, mean ± SD	67.7 ± 23.8	60.2 ± 24.5	0.0044	
Barthel classes, n (%)				
No or minimally dependent	32 (43.8)	129 (38.7)	0.0008	
Mildly dependent	28 (38.4)	64 (19.5)		
Moderately dependent	6 (8.2)	59 (17.7)		
Severely dependent	4 (5.5)	44 (13.2)		
Completely dependent	3 (4.1)	36 (10.8)		

Only results that were statistically significant are reported in this table

eGFR estimated glomerular filtration rate, CIRS Cumulative Illness Rating Scale, CI Comorbidity Index, SI Severity Index

p values calculated using chi-square or Fisher and Mann-Whitney tests

Bold values indicate statistical significance P < 0.05

patients, a percentage significantly higher than the percentage of men among survivors (21.7%, p = 0.007). Moreover, those who died had a significantly higher rate of a previous hospitalization or were admitted from nursing facilities and were less dependent, further emphasizing the concept of frailty.

Interestingly, several variables may affect physicians' choice in osteoporosis treatment. Bisphosphonates were

more prescribed to subjects with a lower comorbidity burden and more independent.

We found, that among patients who died after 3 months' follow-up, vitamin D supplements were significantly less prescribed, as well as bisphosphonates, although for bisphosphonates this difference was not statistically significant. A recent paper points to the role of serum vitamin D levels and mortality in subjects at least 80 years old [29].

This prospective multicenter, community-based cohort study of 2185 Chinese older adults, with a median age of 93 years, showed that in this population, after adjusting for multiple confounders, the risk of all-cause mortality decreased as the plasma 25(OH)D concentration increased [29]. Even though there is an ongoing debate about the role of vitamin D (and bisphosphonates) and survival, we believe that our findings should be interpreted with caution also considering the small number of patients enrolled. In this context, for example, it has been reported that compliance to treatment can be simply considered a surrogate of well-being in respect to those non adherent [27, 30]

Our study has limitations and strengths. The REPOSI Study was not designed to collect osteoporosis-related variables. Therefore, no information on morphometric vertebral fractures are available in the REPOSI dataset; as a consequence, the number of osteoporotic patients may be further underestimated. Furthermore, we do not have information regarding densitometric parameters, as well as on some relevant biochemical measurements, i.e., serum vitamin D levels. As a result, analysis on the appropriateness of osteoporosis-related drugs, according to the validated scores, are not possible. Missing data for some patients is one of the limits, even though this does not change the final conclusions of our study.

Despite these limits, we have characterized, for the first time, a cohort of patients admitted to internal medicine and geriatric wards with a concomitant diagnosis of osteoporosis.

In conclusion, we report that in internal medicine wards, the diagnosis of osteoporosis is neglected both during hospital stay and at discharge, therefore substantially contributing to the economic and social burden of the disease. A cultural change is urgently required for the way hospital specialists view and treat metabolic bone diseases and, in particular, osteoporosis.

Reposi investigators Pier Mannuccio Mannucci⁴, Antonello Pietrangelo⁵, Francesco Perticone⁶, Francesco Violi⁷, Gino Roberto Corazza⁸, Salvatore Corrao⁹²⁰, Alessandra Marengoni^{10,32}, Francesco Salerno^{11,46}, Matteo Cesari¹², Luca Pasina¹³, Carlotta Franchi¹³, Laura Cortesi¹³, Gabriella Miglio¹³, Ilaria Ardoino¹³, Alessio Novella¹³, Domenico Prisco¹⁴, Elena Silvestri¹⁴, Giacomo Emmi¹⁴, Alessandra Bettiol¹⁴, Irene Mattioli¹⁴, Gianni Biolo¹⁵, Michela Zanetti¹⁵, Giacomo Bartelloni¹⁵, Massimo Vanoli¹⁶, Giulia Grignani¹⁶, Edoardo Alessandro Pulixi¹⁶, Graziana Lupattelli¹⁷, Vanessa Bianconi¹⁷, Riccardo Alcidi¹⁷, Domenico Girelli¹⁸, Fabiana Busti¹⁸, Giacomo Marchi¹⁸, Mario Barbagallo¹⁹, Ligia Dominguez¹⁹, Vincenza Beneduce¹⁹, Federica Cacioppo¹⁹, Giuseppe Natoli²⁰, Salvatore Mularo²⁰, Massimo Raspanti²⁰, Marco Zoli²¹, Maria Laura Matacena²¹, Giuseppe Orio²¹, Eleonora Magnolfi²¹, Giovanni Serafini²¹, Angelo Simili²¹, Giuseppe Palasciano²², Maria Ester Modeo²², Carla Di Gennaro²², Maria Domenica Cappellini²³, Giovanna Fabio²³, Margherita Migone De Amicis²³, Giacomo De Luca²³, Natalia Scaramellini²³, Matteo Cesari²⁴, Paolo Dionigi Rossi²⁴, Sarah Damanti²⁴, Antonio Di Sabatino⁸, Emanuela Miceli⁸, Marco Vincenzo Lenti⁸, Martina Pisati⁸,

Costanza Caccia Dominioni⁸, Roberto Pontremoli²⁵, Valentina Beccati²⁵, Giulia Nobili²⁵, Giovanna Leoncini²⁵, Luigi Anastasio²⁶, Maria Carbone²⁶, Francesco Cipollone²⁷, Maria Teresa Guagnano²⁷, Ilaria Rossi²⁷, Gerardo Mancuso²⁸, Daniela Calipari²⁸, Mosè Bartone²⁸, Giuseppe Delitala²⁹, Maria Berria²⁹, Alessandro Delitala²⁹, Maurizio Muscaritoli³⁰, Alessio Molfino³⁰, Enrico Petrillo³⁰, Antonella Giorgi³⁰, Muscaritoli³⁰, Alessio Molfino³⁰, Enrico Petrillo³⁰, Antonella Giorgi³⁰, Christian Gracin³⁰, Giuseppe Zuccalà³¹, Gabriella D'Aurizio³¹, Giu-seppe Romanelli³², Andrea Volpini³², Daniela Lucente³², Antonio Picardi³³, Umberto Vespasiani Gentilucci³³, Paolo Gallo³³, Giuseppe Bellelli³⁴, Maurizio Corsi³⁴, Cesare Antonucci³⁴, Chiara Sidoli³⁴, Giulia Principato³⁴, Franco Arturi³⁵, Elena Succurro³⁵, Bruno Tas-sone³⁵, Federica Giofrè³⁵, Maria Grazia Serra³⁶, Maria Antonietta Bleve³⁶, Antonio Brucato³⁷, Teresa De Falco³⁷, Fabrizio Fabris³⁸, Irene Bertozzi³⁸ Giulia Bogoni³⁸ Maria Victoria Rabuini³⁸ Tancredi Irene Bertozzi³⁸, Giulia Bogoni³⁸, Maria Victoria Rabuini³⁸, Tancredi Prandini³⁸, Roberto Manfredini³⁹, Fabio Fabbian³⁹, Benedetta Boari³⁹, Alfredo De Giorgi³⁹, Ruana Tiseo³⁹, Giuseppe Paolisso⁴⁰, Maria Rosaria Rizzo⁴⁰, Claudia Catalano⁴⁰, Claudio Borghi²¹, Enrico Stroc-Kosaria Kizzo⁻¹, Claudia Catalano⁻¹, Claudio Borghi⁻¹, Enrico Stroc-chi²¹, Eugenia Ianniello²¹, Mario Soldati²¹, Silvia Schiavone²¹, Alessio Bragagni²¹, Carlo Sabbà⁴¹, Francesco Saverio Vella⁴¹, Patrizia Sup-pressa⁴¹, Giovanni Michele De Vincenzo⁴¹, Alessio Comitangelo⁴¹, Emanuele Amoruso⁴¹, Carlo Custodero⁴¹, Luigi Fenoglio⁴², Andrea Falcetta⁴², Anna L. Fracanzani⁴³, Silvia Tiraboschi⁴³, Annalisa Cespiati⁴³, Giovanna Oberti⁴³, Giordano Sigon⁴³, Flora Peyvandi⁴⁴, Raffaella Rossio⁴⁴, Giulia Colombo⁴⁴, Valter Monzani⁴⁵, Valeria Savojardo45, Giuliana Ceriani45, Giada Pallini46, Fabrizio Montecucco⁴⁷, Luciano Ottonello⁴⁷, Lara Caserza⁴⁷, Giulia Vischi⁴⁷, Nicola Lucio Liberato⁴⁸, Tiziana Tognin⁴⁸, Francesco Purrello⁴⁹, Antonino Di Pino⁴⁹, Salvatore Piro⁴⁹, Renzo Rozzini⁵⁰, Lina Falanga⁵⁰, Maria Stella Pisciotta⁵⁰, Francesco Baffa Bellucci⁵⁰, Stefano Buffelli⁵⁰, Giuseppe Montrucchio⁵¹, Paolo Peasso⁵¹, Edoardo Favale⁵¹, Cesare Poletto⁵¹, Carl Margaria⁵¹, Maura Sanino⁵¹, Ludovica Perri⁷, Luigina Guasti⁵², Luana Castiglioni⁵², Andrea Maresca⁵², Alessandro Squizzato⁵², Leonardo Campiotti⁵², Alessandra Grossi⁵², Roberto Davide Dipri-zio⁵², Marco Bertolotti^{53,54}, Chiara Mussi^{53,54}, Giulia Lancellotti^{53,54}, Maria Vittoria Libbra^{53,54}, Matteo Galassi^{53,54}, Yasmine Grassi^{53,54}, Maria Vittoria Libora^{1,5}, Matteo Galassi^{1,6}, Tasmine Grassi^{1,6}, Alessio Greco^{53,54}, Angela Sciacqua⁵⁵, Maria Perticone⁵⁵, Rosa Bat-taglia⁵⁵, Raffaele Maio⁵⁵, Vincenzo Stanghellini⁵⁶, Eugenio Ruggeri⁵⁶, Sara del Vecchio⁵⁶, Andrea Salvi⁵⁷, Roberto Leonardi⁵⁷, Giampaolo Damiani⁵⁷, William Capeci⁵⁸, Massimo Mattioli⁵⁸, Giuseppe Pio Martino⁵⁸, Lorenzo Biondi⁵⁸, Pietro Pettinari⁵⁸, Riccardo Ghio⁵⁹, Anna Dal Col⁵⁹, Giancarlo Labbadia⁶⁰, Antonella Afeltra⁶¹, Benedetta Marigliano⁶¹, Maria Elena Pipita⁶¹, Pietro Castellino⁶², Luca Zanoli⁶², Alfio Gennaro⁶², Agostino Gaudio⁶², Valter Saracco⁶³, Marisa Fogliati⁶³, Carlo Bussolino⁶³, Francesca Mete⁶⁴, Miriam Gino⁶⁴, Carlo Vigorito⁶⁵, Antonio Cittadini⁶⁵, Guido Moreo⁶⁶, Silvia Prolo⁶⁶, Gloria Pina⁶⁶, Alberto Ballestrero⁶⁷, Fabio Ferrando⁶⁷, Roberta Gonella⁶⁷, Domenico, Carmioraro⁶⁷, Sargia Borero⁶⁸, Simonatto, Dasci⁶⁸, Music Pina , Alberto Banestero , Pablo Perraño , Roberta Gonenia , Domenico Cerminara⁶⁷, Sergio Berra⁶⁸, Simonetta Dassi⁶⁸, Maria Cristina Nava⁶⁸, Bruno Graziella⁶⁹, Stefano Baldassarre⁶⁹, Salvatore Fragapani⁶⁹, Gabriella Gruden⁶⁹, Giorgio Galanti⁷⁰, Gabriele Mascherini⁷⁰, Cristian Petri⁷⁰, Laura Stefani⁷⁰, Margherita Girino⁷¹, Valeria Piccinelli⁷¹, Francesco Nasso⁷², Vincenza Gioffrè⁷², Maria Pasquale⁷², Leonardo Sechi⁷³, Cristiana Catena⁷³, Gianluca Colussi⁷³, Alessandro Cavarape⁷³, Andea Da Porto⁷³, Nicola Passariello⁷⁴, Luca Rinaldi⁷⁴, Franco Berti⁷⁵, Giuseppe Famularo⁷⁵, Patrizia Tarsitani⁷⁵, Roberto Castello⁷⁶, Michela Pasino⁷⁶, Gian Paolo Ceda⁷⁷, Marcello Giuseppe Maggio⁷⁷, Simonetta Morganti⁷⁷, Andrea Artoni⁷⁷, Margherita Grossi⁷⁷, Stefano Del Giacco⁷⁸, Davide Firinu⁷⁸, Giulia Costanzo⁷⁸, Giacomo Argiolas⁷⁸, Giuseppe Montalto⁷⁹, Anna Licata⁷⁹, Filippo Alessandro Montalto⁷⁹, Francesco Corica⁸⁰, Giorgio Basile⁸⁰, Antonino Catalano⁸⁰, Federica Bellone⁸⁰, Concetto Principato⁸⁰, Lorenzo Malatino⁸¹, Benedetta Stancanelli⁸¹, Valentina Terranova⁸¹, Salvatore Di Marca⁸¹, Rosario Di Quattro⁸¹, Lara La Malfa⁸¹, Rossella Caruso⁸¹, Patrizia Mecocci⁸², Carmelinda Ruggiero⁸², Virginia Boc-cardi⁸², Tiziana Meschi⁸³, Andrea Ticinesi⁸³, Antonio Nouvenne⁸³, Pietro Minuz⁸⁴, Luigi Fondrieschi⁸⁴, Giandomenico Nigro Imperiale⁸⁴, Mario Pirisi⁸⁵, Gian Paolo Fra⁸⁵, Daniele Sola⁸⁵, Mattia Bellan⁸⁵,

Massimo Porta⁸⁶, Piero Riva⁸⁶, Roberto Quadri⁸⁷, Erica Larovere⁸⁷, Marco Novelli⁸⁷, Giorgio Scanzi⁸⁸, Caterina Mengoli⁸⁸, Stella Provini⁸⁸, Laura Ricevuti⁸⁸, Emilio Simeone⁸⁹, Rosa Scurti⁸⁹, Fabio Tolloso⁸⁹, Roberto Tarquini⁹⁰, Alice Valoriani⁹⁰, Silvia Dolenti⁹⁰, Giulia Vannini⁹⁰, Riccardo Volpi⁹⁰, Pietro Bocchi⁹¹, Alessandro Vignali⁹¹, Sergio Harari⁹², Chiara Lonati⁹², Federico Napoli⁹², Italia Aiello⁹², Raffaele Landolfi⁹³, Massimo Montalto⁹³, Antonio Mirijello⁹³, Francesco Purrello⁹⁴, Antonino Di Pino⁹⁴, Silvia Ghidoni⁹⁵, Teresa Salvatore⁹⁶, Lucio Monaco⁹⁶, Carmen Ricozzi⁹⁶, Alberto Pilotto⁹⁷, Ilaria Indiano⁹⁷, Federica Gandolfo⁹⁷

⁴Fondazione IRCCS Cà Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milano, Italy; ⁵Center for Hemochromatosis, Department of Internal Medicine II, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia Policlinico, Modena, Italy; ⁶Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, University Magna Græcia of Catanzaro, 88100 Catanzaro, Italy; 7Policlinico Umberto I, Prima Clinica Medica, Roma, Italy; 8Clinica Medica I, Reparto 11, IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo di Pavia, Pavia, Italy; ⁹ARNAS Civico, Di Cristina, Benfratelli, DiBiMIS, Università di Palermo, Palermo, Italy; ¹⁰Spedali Civili di Brescia, Brescia, Italy; ¹¹IRCCS Policlinico San Donato Milanese, Milano, Italy; ¹²UO Geriatria, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy; ¹³Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche Mario Negri IRCCS, Milano, Italy; ¹⁴Medicina Interna Interdisciplinare, Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria Careggi Firenze, Firenze, Italy; ¹⁵Clinica Medica Generale e Terapia Medica, Azienda Sanitaria Universitaria Integrata di Trieste, Trieste, Italy; ¹⁶Ospedale di Merate, Medicina Interna, Azienda Ospedaliera della Provincia di Lecco, Lecco, Italy; ¹⁷Medicina Interna, Azienda Ospedaliera Santa Maria della Misericordia, Perugia, Italy; ¹⁸Medicina Generale e Malattie Aterotrombotiche e Degenerative, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Integrata di Verona, Verona, Italy; ¹⁹Unità Operativa di Geriatria e Lungodegenza, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Policlinico Giaccone Policlinico di Palermo, Palermo, Italy; ²⁰A.R.N.A.S. Civico, Di Cristina, Benfratelli, UOC Medicina Interna ad Indirizzo Geriatrico-Riabilitativo, Palermo, Italy; ²¹Unità Operativa di Medicina Interna Borghi, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Policlinico S. Orsola-Malpighi, Bologna, Italy; ²²Medicina Interna Ospedaliera "L. D'Agostino", Medicina Interna Universitaria "A. Murri", Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria Consorziale Policlinico di Bari, Bari, Italy; ²³Unità Operativa Medicina Interna IA, Fondazione IRCCS Cà Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milano, Italy; ²⁴Fondazione IRCCS Cà Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Geriatria, Milano, Italy; 25Clinica di Medicina Interna 2, IRCCS Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria San Martino-IST di Genova, Genova, Italy: ²⁶Medicina interna, Ospedale Civile Jazzolino di Vibo Valentia, Vibo Valentia, Italy; ²⁷Clinica Medica, Ospedale Clinicizzato SS. Annunziata, Chieti, Italy; ²⁸Ospedale Giovanni Paolo II Lamezia Terme, Unità Operativa Complessa Medicina Interna, Catanzaro, Italy; ²⁹Clinica Medica, Azienda ospedaliera-universitaria di Sassari, Sassari, Italy; 30Medicina Interna e Nutrizione Clinica, Sapienza University, Roma, Italy; ³¹Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli, Roma, Italy; 32Unità Operativa Complessa di Medicina I a indirizzo geriatrico, Spedali Civili, Montichiari, Brescia, Italy; ³³Medicina Clinica-Epatologia, Università Campus Bio-Medico, Roma, Italy; ³⁴Ospedale S. Gerardo, Unità Operativa di Geriatria, Università degli studi di Milano-Bicocca, Monza, Italy; 35 Unità Operativa Complessa di Medicina Interna, Policlinico Mater Domini, Università degli Studi Magna Grecia, Catanzaro, Italy; ³⁶Azienda Ospedaliera "Cardinale Panico" Tricase, Unità Operativa Complessa Medicina, Lecce, Italy; ³⁷ASST Fatebenefratelli-Sacco, Medicina Interna, Milano, Italy; ³⁸Clinica Medica I, Azienda Ospedaliera Università di Padova, Padova, Italy; 39Unità Operativa Clinica Medica, Azienda Ospedaliera-Universitaria Sant'Anna, Ferrara, Italy; 40VI Divisione di Medicina Interna e Malattie Nutrizionali dell'Invecchiamento, Azienda Ospedaliera

Universitaria della Seconda Università degli Studi di Napoli, Napoli, Italy; ⁴¹Medicina Interna Universitaria C. Frugoni, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria Consorziale Policlinico di Bari, Bari, Italy; 42Azienda Sanitaria Ospedaliera Santa Croce e Carle di Cuneo, S. C. Medicina Interna, Cuneo, Italy; ⁴³Medicina Interna 1B, Fondazione IRCCS Cà Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milano, Italy; 44UOC Medicina generale-Emostasi e trombosi, Fondazione IRCCS Cà Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milano, Italy; ⁴⁵Medicina Interna Alta Intensità, Fondazione IRCCS Cà Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milano, Italy; ⁴⁶San Donato Milanese, Medicina Interna, IRCCS Policlinico San Donato e Università di Milano, Milano, Italy; ⁴⁷Medicina Interna 1, IRCCS Ospedale Policlinico San Martino e Università di Genova, Genova, Italy; ⁴⁸ASST di Pavia, UOSD Medicina Interna, Ospedale di Casorate Primo, Pavia, Italy; 49Unità Operativa Complessa di Medicina Interna, Ospedale Garibaldi Nesima, Catania, Italy; ⁵⁰Ospedale Poliambulanza, Medicina Interna e Geriatria, Brescia, Italy; ⁵¹Dipartimento di Scienze Mediche, Città della Scienza e della Salute, Medicina Interna 2 U. Indirizzo d'Urgenza, Università di Torino, Torino, Italy; ⁵²Ospedale di Circolo e Fondazione Macchi, Medicina Interna I, Università degli Studi dell'Insubria, Varese, Italy; 53 Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria di Modena, Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy; 54Unità Operativa di Geriatria, Ospedale Civile di Baggiovara, Modena, Italy; ⁵⁵Unità Operativa Malattie Cardiovascolari Geriatriche, Università Magna Grecia Policlinico Mater Domini, Catanzaro, Italy; 56Dipartimento di Scienze Mediche e ChirurgicheUnità Operativa di Medicina Interna, Università degli Studi di Bologna/Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria S.Orsola-Malpighi, Bologna, Italy; ⁵ ⁷Snedali Civili di Brescia, U.O. 3a Medicina Generale, Brescia, Italy; 58Clinica Medica, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria-Ospedali Riuniti di Ancona, Ancona, Italy;¹⁵⁹Azienda Ospedaliera Università San Martino, Medicina III, Genova, Italy; ⁶⁰Policlinico Umberto I, SMSC03-Medicina Interna A e Malattie Metaboliche dell'osso, Roma, Italy; ⁶¹Policlinico Campus Biomedico Roma, Medicina Clinica, Roma, Italy; ⁶²V. Emanuele, Dipartimento di Medicina, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Policlinico-V, Catania, Italy; ⁶³Medicina A, Ospedale Cardinal Massaia Asti, Asti, Italy; ⁶⁴Ospedale degli Infermi di Rivoli, Torino, Medicina Interna, Turin, Italy; ⁶⁵Medicina Interna e Riabilitazione Cardiologica, Azienda Policlinico Universitario Federico II di Napoli, Napoli, Italy; 66Clinica San Carlo Casa di Cura Polispecialistica, Paderno Dugnano, Unità Operativa di Medicina Interna, Milano, Italy; ⁶⁷Clinica Di Medicina Interna ad Indirizzo Oncologico, Azienda Ospedaliera Università San Martino di Genova, Genoa, Italy; ⁶⁸Medicina Interna, Azienda Ospedaliera Guido Salvini, Garnagnate, Milano, Italy; ⁶⁹Medicina Interna III, Ospedale S. Giovanni Battista Molinette, Torino, Turin, Italy; ⁷⁰Agenzia di Medicina dello Sport, AOUC Careggi, Firenze, Italy; ⁷¹Medicina Interna, Ospedale S. Spirito Casale Monferrato, Alessandria, Italy; ⁷²Struttura Operativa Complessa di Medicina Interna, Ospedale Santa Maria degli Ungheresi, Reggio Calabria, Italy; ⁷³Clinica Medica, Azienda Ospe-daliera Universitaria, Udine, Italy; ⁷⁴Presidio Medico di Marcianise, Medicina Interna, Napoli, Italy; ⁷⁵Azienda Ospedaliera San Camillo Forlanini, Medicina Interna II, Roma, Italy; ⁷⁶Medicina Generale e Sezione di Decisione Clinica, Ospedale Civile Maggiore Borgo Trento, Verona, Italy; 77U.O.C Clinica Geriatrica, Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria di Parma, Parma, Italy; ⁷⁸Policlinico Universitario Duilio Casula, Medicina Interna, Allergologia ed Immunologia Clinica, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria di Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy; ⁷⁹UOC di Medicina Interna, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Policlinico Paolo Giaccone, Palermo, Italy; 80Unità Operativa di Geriatria, Ospedaliera Universitaria Policlinico G. Martino, Azienda Messina, Italy; 81 Azienda Ospedaliera per l'Emergenza Cannizzaro, Clinica Medica Università di Catania, Catania, Italy; 82Università degli Studi di Perugia-Azienda Ospedaliera S.M. della Misericordia, Struttura Complessa di Geriatria, Perugia, Italy; ⁸³U.O Medicina Interna e Lungodegenza Critica, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria di Parma,

Parma, Italy; 84Policlinico GB Rossi, Medicina Generale per lo Studio ed il Trattamento dell'Ipertensione Arteriosa, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Verona, Verona, Italy; ⁸⁵Medicina Interna 1, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Maggiore della Carità, Novara, Italy; 86Medicina Interna 1U, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Città della Salute e della Scienza di Torino, Turin, Italy; 87Ospedale di Ciriè, ASL TO4, Torino, S.C. Medicina Interna, Turin, Italy; ⁸⁸ASST Lodi, Presidio di Codogno, Medicina, Milano, Italy; 89Ospedale Spirito Santo di Pescara, Geriatria, Pescara, Italy; 90Ospedale San Giuseppe, Empoli, USL Toscana Centro, Medicina Interna I, Firenze, Italy; 91Clinica e Terapia Medica, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria di Parma, Parma, Italy; ⁹²U.O. Medicina Generale, Ospedale San Giuseppe Multimedica Spa, Milan, Italy; ⁹³Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli -Roma, Clinica Medica, Roma, Italy; 94U.O.C Medicina Interna, Ospedale Garibaldi-Nesima-Catania, Catania, Italy; ⁹⁵Azienda Ospedaliera Papa Giovanni XXIII, Medicina I, Bergamo, Italy; ⁹⁶UOC Medicina Internaa, Policlinico Università della Campania L. Vanvitelli, Roma, Italy; 97SC Geriatria Dipartimento Cure Geriatriche, Ortogeriatria e Riabilitazione, Ente Ospedaliero Ospedali Galliera Genova, Genova, Italy

Funding The author P.A. was partially supported by the Italian Ministry of Health-Bando Ricerca Corrente for this work. No other sources of funding were used to assist in the conduct of this study or the preparation of this article.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- E. Hernlund, A. Svedbom, M. Ivergård, J. Compston, C. Cooper, J. Stenmark, E.V. McCloskey, B. Jönsson, J.A. Kanis, Osteoporosis in the European Union: medical management, epidemiology and economic burden. A report prepared in collaboration with the international osteoporosis foundation (IOF) and the European Federation of Pharmaceutical Industry Associations (EFPIA). Arch. Osteoporos. 8, 136 (2013)
- P.D. Miller, Underdiagnosis and undertreatment of osteoporosis: the battle to be won. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 101, 852–859 (2016)
- J. Flais, G. Coiffier, J. Le Noach, J.D. Albert, M. Faccin, A. Perdriger, H. Thomazeau, P. Guggenbuhl, Low prevalence of osteoporosis treatment in patients with recurrent major osteoporotic fracture. Arch. Osteoporos. 12, 24 (2017)
- S. Gonnelli, C. Caffarelli, G. Iolascon, F. Bertoldo, G.L. Mauro, A. Patti, R. Nuti, Prescription of anti-osteoporosis medications after hospitalization for hip fracture: a multicentre Italian survey. Aging Clin. Exp. Res. 29, 1031–1037 (2017)
- K. Johnell, J. Fastbom, Undertreatment of osteoporosis in the oldest old? A nationwide study of over 700,000 older people. Arch. Osteoporos. 4, 17–23 (2009)
- O. Strom, F. Borgstrom, S.S. Sen, S. Boonen, P. Haentjens, O. Johnell, J.A. Kanis, Cost-effectiveness of alendronate in the treatment of postmenopausal women in 9 European countries—an economic evaluation based on the fracture intervention trial. Osteoporos. Int. 18, 1047–1061 (2007)
- 7. M.T. Puth, M. Klaschik, M. Schmid, K. Weckbecker, E. Münster, Prevalence and comorbidity of osteoporosis- a cross-sectional

analysis on 10,660 adults aged 50 years and older in Germany. BMC Musculoskelet. Disord. **19**, 144 (2018)

- J.P. Gutzwiller, J.P. Richterich, Z. Stanga, U.E. Nydegger, L. Risch, M. Risch, Osteoporosis, diabetes, and hypertension are major risk factors for mortality in older adults: an intermediate report on a prospective survey of 1467 community-dwelling elderly healthy pensioners in Switzerland. BMC Geriatr. 18, 115 (2018)
- I.D. Cameron, J.S. Chen, L.M. March, J.M. Simpson, R.G. Cumming, M.J. Seibel, P.N. Sambrook, Hip fracture causes excess mortality owing to cardiovascular and infectious disease in institutionalized older people: a prospective 5-year study. J. Bone Miner. Res. 25, 866–872 (2010)
- L. Bondo, P. Eiken, B. Abrahamsen, Analysis of the association between bisphosphonate treatment survival in Danish hip fracture patients-a nationwide register-based open cohort study. Osteoporos. Int. 24, 245–252 (2013)
- L.A. Beaupre, D.W. Morrish, D.A. Hanley, W.P. Maksymowych, N.R. Bell, A.G. Juby, S.R. Majumdar, Oral bisphosphonates are associated with reduced mortality after hip fracture. Osteoporos. Int. 22, 983–991 (2011)
- J.R. Center, D. Bliuc, N.D. Nguyen, T.V. Nguyen, J.A. Eisman, Osteoporosis medication and reduced mortality risk in elderly women and men. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 96, 1006–1014 (2014)
- P.N. Sambrook, I.D. Cameron, J.S. Chen, L.M. March, J.M. Simpson, R.G. Cumming, M.J. Seibel, Oral bisphosphonates are associated with reduced mortality in frail older people: a prospective five-year study. Osteoporos. Int. 22, 2551–2556 (2011)
- P. Lee, C. Ng, A. Slattery, P. Nair, J.A. Eisman, J.R. Center, Preadmission bisphosphonate and mortality in critically ill patients. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 101, 1945–1953 (2016)
- S.R. Cummings, L.Y. Lui, R. Eastell, I.E. Allen, Association between drug treatments for patients with osteoporosis and overall mortality rates: a meta-analysis. JAMA Intern. Med. 179, 1491–1500 (2019)
- M.D. Neuman, J.H. Silber, J.S. Magaziner, M.A. Passarella, S. Mehta, R.M. Werner, Survival and functional outcomes after hip fracture among nursing home residents. JAMA Intern. Med. 174, 1273–1280 (2014)
- L.A. Beaupre, S.R. Majumdar, S. Dieleman, A. Au, D.W. Morrish, Diagnosis and treatment of osteoporosis before and after admission to long-term care institutions. Osteoporos. Int. 23, 573–580 (2011)
- S. Parikh, H. Mogun, J. Avorn, D.H. Solomon, Osteoporosis medication use in nursing home patients with fractures in 1 US state. Arch. Intern. Med. 168, 1111–1115 (2008)
- A. Nobili, G. Licata, F. Salerno, L. Pasina, M. Tettamanti, C. Franchi, L. De Vittorio, A. Marengoni, S. Corrao, A. Iorio, M. Marcucci, P.M. Mannucci; SIMI Investigators, Polypharmacy, length of hospital stay, and in-hospital mortality among elderly patients in internal medicine wards. The REPOSI study. Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 67, 507–519 (2011)
- F. Salvi, V. Morichi, A. Grilli, R. Giorgi, L. Spazzafumo, S. Polonara, G. De Tommaso, A. Rappelli, P. Dessì-Fulgheri, A geriatric emergency service for acutely ill elderly patients: pattern of use and comparison with a conventional emergency department in Italy. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 56, 2131–2138 (2008)
- P.A. Parmelee, P.D. Thuras, I.R. Katz, M.P. Lawton, Validation of the cumulative illness rating scale in a geriatric residential population. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 43, 130–137 (1995)
- S. Shah, F. Vanclay, B. Cooper, Improving the sensitivity of the Barthel index for stroke rehabilitation. J. Clin. Epidemiol. 42, 703–709 (1989)
- 23. R. Katzman, T. Brown, P. Fuld, A. Peck, R. Schechter, H. Schimmel, Validation of a short orientation-memory-

concentration test of cognitive impairment. Am. J. Psychiatry 140, 734–739 (1983)

- C. Hickie, J. Snowdon, Depression scales for the elderly: GDS, Gilleard, Zung. Clin. Gerontol. J. Aging Ment. Health 6, 51–53 (1987)
- R. Nuti, M.L. Brandi, G. Checchia, O. Di Munno, L. Dominguez, P. Falaschi, C.E. Fiore, G. Iolascon, S. Maggi, R. Michieli, S. Migliaccio, S. Minisola, M. Rossini, G. Sessa, U. Tarantino, A. Toselli, G.C. Isaia, Guidelines for the management of osteoporosis and fragility fractures. Intern. Emerg. Med. 14, 85–102 (2019)
- C. Cipriani, J. Pepe, F. Bertoldo, G. Bianchi, F.P. Cantatore, A. Corrado, M. Di Stefano, B. Frediani, D. Gatti, A. Giustina, T. Porcelli, G. Isaia, M. Rossini, L. Nieddu, S. Minisola, G. Girasole, M. Pedrazzoni, The epidemiology of osteoporosis in Italian postmenopausal women according to the National Bone Health Alliance (NBHA) diagnostic criteria: a multicenter cohort study. J. Endocrinol. Investig. 41, 431–438 (2018)
- 27. P. Donato, J. Pepe, L. Colangelo, V. Danese, V. Cecchetti, S. Minisola, C. Cipriani, Adherence to bisphosphonates in the general population: a retrospective study in patients referred to a primary care service. Arch. Osteoporos. 14, 42 (2019)
- J. Pepe, C. Cipriani, V. Cecchetti, C. Ferrara, G. Della Grotta, V. Danese, L. Colangelo, S. Minisola, Patients' reasons for adhering to long-term alendronate therapy. Osteoporos. Int. 30, 1627–1634 (2019)
- C. Mao, F.R. Li, Z.X. Yin, Y.B. Lv, J.S. Luo, J.Q. Yuan, F. Mhungu, J.N. Wang, W.Y. Shi, J.H. Zhou, G.C. Chen, X. Gao, V. B. Kraus, X.B. Wu, X.M. Shi, Plasma 25-Hydroxyvitamin D concentrations are inversely associated with all-cause mortality among a prospective cohort of Chinese adults aged ≥80 years. J. Nutr. 149, 1056–1064 (2019)
- F. Fatoye, P. Smith, T. Gebrye, G. Yeowell, Real-world persistence and adherence with oral bisphosphonates for osteoporosis: a systematic review. BMJ Open 9(4), e027049 (2019)