Supplementary Information

Zinc(II) complexes of carboxamide derivatives: crystal structures and interaction with calf thymus DNA

BIPLAB MONDAL,^a BUDDHADEB SEN,^a ENNIO ZANGRANDO,^b and PABITRA CHATTOPADHYAY^{*a}

^{*a*}Department of Chemistry, Burdwan University, Golapbag, Burdwan 713 104, India ^{*b*}Department of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Via Licio Giorgieri 1, 34127 Trieste, Italy

e-mail: pabitracc@yahoo.com

Table of Contents Figure S1: Page 2 Figure S2: Page 3 Figure S3: Page 3 Figure S4: Page 4 Figure S5: Page 4 Figure S6: Page 5 Figure S7: Page 5 Table S1: Page 6




Figure s1. The two independent ligand molecules L2 (ORTEP view, 30% ellispoid probability) with indication of the disorder of the thiophene rings (occupancy factors S1/C9 = 0.56; S1a/C9a = 0.44; S2/C20 = 0.69; S2a/C20a = 0.31)





Figure s3. Optimized structure of complex 2






Figure s5. Plot of [DNA]/ $(\epsilon_a - \epsilon_f)$ vs [DNA] for the absorption titration of CT-DNA with complex 2 in tris-HCl buffer. Association constant $K_b = 4.12 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1}$ (R = 0.9887, n = 5 points)




Figure s6. (A) Emission spectrum of the CT-DNA-EB system in tris-HCl buffer upon titration with increasing concentration of complex2. $\lambda_{ex} = 522$ nm; [EB] = 1.3×10^{-6} , [DNA] = 1.58×10^{-5} ; [2] = (a) 0.0, (b) 1.27×10^{-5} , (c) 2.55×10^{-5} , (d) 3.83×10^{-5} , (e) 5.11×10^{-5} , (f) 6.39×10^{-5} , (g) 7.72×10^{-5} mol L⁻¹




Table s1. K_b , K_{SV} and K_{app} values of DNA binding study

Complex	K _b	K _{sv}	Kapp
1	1.34×10^{5}	3.52×10^{5}	9.2×10 ⁵
2	4.12 x 10 ⁴	2.103 x 10 ⁴	2.03×10^{5}