Search for massive resonances decaying into *WW*, *WZ*, *ZZ*, *qW*, and *qZ* with dijet final states at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

A. M. Sirunyan *et al.*[*]

(CMS Collaboration)

Results are presented from a search in the dijet final state for new massive narrow resonances decaying to pairs of *W* and *Z* bosons or to a *W/Z* boson and a quark. Results are based on data recorded in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the CMS detector at the CERN LHC. The data correspond to an integrated luminosity of 35.9 fb^{-1}. The mass range investigated extends upwards from 1.2 TeV. No excess is observed above the estimated standard model background and limits are set at 95% confidence level on cross sections, which are interpreted in terms of various models that predict gravitons, heavy spin-1 bosons, and excited quarks. In a heavy vector triplet model, *W'* and *Z'* resonances, with masses below 3.2 and 2.7 TeV, respectively, and spin-1 resonances with degenerate masses below 3.8 TeV are excluded at 95% confidence level. In the case of a singlet *W'* resonance masses between 3.3 and 3.6 TeV can be excluded additionally. Similarly, excited quark resonances, q^*, decaying to *qW* and *qZ* with masses less than 5.0 and 4.7 TeV, respectively, are excluded. In a narrow-width bulk graviton model, upper limits are set on cross sections ranging from 0.6 fb for high resonance masses above 3.6 TeV, to 36.0 fb for low resonance masses of 1.3 TeV.

I. INTRODUCTION

The standard model (SM) of particle physics describes with high accuracy a multitude of experimental and observational data. Nevertheless, the SM does not accommodate phenomena such as gravity or dark matter and dark energy inferred from cosmological observations, prompting theoretical work on its extensions. Theories that address these shortcomings commonly predict new particles, which can potentially be observed at the CERN LHC. Models in which these new particles decay to VV or *q*V, where V denotes either a *W* or a *Z* boson, are considered in this work. Searches for diboson resonances have previously been performed in many different final states, placing lower limits above the TeV scale on the masses of these resonances [1–20]. In addition, we consider excited quarks q^* [21,22] that decay into a quark and either a *W* or a *Z* boson. Results from previous searches for such signals include limits placed on the production of q^* at the LHC in the dijet [23–27], γ + jet [28–30], *qW*, and *qZ* [31,32] channels.

This paper presents a search for narrow resonances with *W* or *Z* bosons decaying hadronically at resonance masses larger than 1.2 TeV. The results are applicable to models predicting narrow resonances and are compared to several benchmark models. The analysis is based on proton-proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV collected by the CMS experiment at the LHC during 2016, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 35.9 fb^{-1}. We consider final states produced when a VV boson pair decays into four quarks or *q*V decays into three quarks, and each boson is reconstructed as a single jet, resulting in events with two reconstructed jets (dijet channel).

The analysis exploits the large branching fraction of vector boson decays to quark final states. Due to the large masses of the studied resonances, the boson decay products are highly collimated and reconstructed as single, large-radius jets. Jet substructure techniques, referred to as jet V *tagging* [33–35] in the following, are employed to suppress the SM backgrounds, which largely arise from the hadronization of single quarks and gluons. As in Ref. [17] at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, and Ref. [1] at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, the analysis presented here searches for a local enhancement in the diboson or quark-boson invariant mass spectrum reconstructed from the two jets with the largest transverse momenta in the event. Compared to the previous measurement [17], this analysis not only profits from an increase in integrated luminosity of more than a factor of 13 but also uses improved substructure variables.

II. THE CMS DETECTOR

The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T. Contained within the superconducting solenoid volume are a silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL), and a brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter (HCAL), each composed of a barrel and two endcap sections. Muons are detected in gas-ionization chambers embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid. Extensive forward calorimetry complements the coverage provided by the barrel and endcap detectors.

The particle-flow (PF) event algorithm reconstructs and identifies each individual particle with an optimized combination of information from the various elements of the CMS detector [36]. The energy of photons is directly obtained from the ECAL measurement, corrected for zero-suppression effects as described in Ref. [36]. The energy of electrons is determined from a combination of the electron momentum at the primary interaction vertex as determined by the tracker, the energy of the corresponding ECAL cluster, and the energy sum of all bremsstrahlung photons spatially compatible with originating from the electron track. The energy of muons is obtained from the curvature of the corresponding track. The energy of charged hadrons is determined from a combination of their momentum measured in the tracker and the matching ECAL and HCAL energy deposits, corrected for zero-suppression effects and for the response function of the calorimeters to hadronic showers. Finally, the energy of neutral hadrons is obtained from the corresponding corrected ECAL and HCAL energy.

A more detailed description of the CMS detector, together with a definition of the coordinate system used and the relevant kinematic variables, can be found in Ref. [37].

III. SIMULATED SAMPLES

Signal samples were generated for the following benchmark models for resonant diboson production: the bulk scenario (G_{bulk}) [38–40] of the Randall-Sundrum (RS) model of warped extra dimensions [41,42], as well as vector singlets (W' or Z') [43], and excited quark resonances q^* [21,22] decaying to qW or qZ.

The bulk RS model is described by two free parameters: the mass of the first Kaluza-Klein (KK) excitation of a spin-2 boson (the KK bulk graviton) and the ratio $\tilde{k} \equiv k/\bar{M}_{Pl}$, where k is the unknown curvature scale of the extra dimension and $\bar{M}_{Pl} \equiv M_{Pl}/\sqrt{8\pi}$ is the reduced Planck mass. The samples used in this study have $\tilde{k} = 0.5$ [44].

The heavy vector triplet (HVT) model generically subsumes a large number of models predicting additional gauge bosons, such as composite Higgs [45–49] and little Higgs [50,51] models. The specific models that predict W'

[52], Z' [53], or W' and Z' [43] resonances are expressed in terms of a few parameters: the strength of the couplings to fermions, c_F, couplings to the Higgs and longitudinally polarized SM vector bosons, c_H, and the interaction strength g_V of the new vector boson. Samples were simulated in HVT model B with $g_V = 3$, $c_H = -0.976243$, and $c_F = 1.02433$. For these model parameters, the new resonances are narrow and have large branching fractions to boson pairs, while the fermionic couplings are suppressed. This scenario is the most representative of a composite Higgs model. In the HVT and bulk graviton models, the vector bosons are produced with a longitudinal polarization in more than 99% of the cases, resulting in a $\sim$24% higher acceptance per boson than for models producing transversally polarized vector bosons [17,33]. In the case of excited quarks, unpolarized bosons are simulated with the compositeness scale Λ equal to the resonance mass.

We restrict the analysis to scenarios where the natural width of the resonance is sufficiently small to be neglected when compared to the detector resolution. This makes our modeling of the detector effects on the signal shape independent of the actual model used for generating the events. All simulated samples are produced with a relative resonance width of 0.1%, in order to be firmly in the regime where the natural width is much smaller than the detector resolution. The Monte Carlo (MC) simulated samples of signal events for HVT and bulk graviton production are generated with the leading-order (LO) mode of MADGRAPH 5_aMC@NLO v5.2.2.2[54]. The q^* to qW and qZ processes are generated to LO using PYTHIA version 8.212[55].

Simulated samples of the SM background processes are used to optimize the analysis. The production of quantum chromodynamics (QCD) multijet events as well as of SM W + jets and Z + jets processes is simulated to leading order with MADGRAPH 5_aMC@NLO [54,56]. The NNPDF 3.0 [57] parton distribution functions (PDF) are used for all simulated samples. All samples are processed through a GEANT4 -based [58] simulation of the CMS detector. To simulate the effect of additional proton-proton collisions within the same or adjacent bunch crossings (pileup), additional inelastic events are generated using PYTHIA and superimposed on the hard-scattering events. The MC simulated events are weighted to reproduce the distribution of the number of pileup interactions observed in data, with an average of 21 reconstructed collisions per beam crossing.

IV. RECONSTRUCTION AND SELECTION OF EVENTS

A. Jet reconstruction

Hadronic jets are constructed from the four-momenta of the PF candidates in an event, using the FASTJET software package [59]. Jets used for identifying the hadronically decaying W and Z bosons are clustered using the anti-k_T

algorithm [60] with a distance parameter $R = 0.8$ (AK8 jets). Charged particles identified as originating from pileup vertices are excluded. A correction based on the area of the jet, projected on the front face of the calorimeter, is used to take into account the extra energy clustered in jets due to neutral particles coming from pileup [59]. The jet momentum is determined as the vectorial sum of all particle momenta in this jet. The jet energy resolution amounts typically to 8% at 100 GeV, and 4% at 1 TeV [61]. Additional quality criteria are applied to the jets in order to remove spurious jetlike features originating from isolated noise patterns in the calorimeters or the tracker. The efficiency of these jet quality requirements for signal events is above 99%. All jets must have transverse momentum $p_T > 200$ GeV and pseudorapidity $|\eta| < 2.5$ in order to be considered in the subsequent steps of the analysis, ensuring that sufficient boson decay products are contained in the jets to allow V tagging.

In order to mitigate the effect of pileup on the two jet observables used in the identification of hadronic W and Z decays (see below for details), we take advantage of pileup per particle identification (PUPPI) [35,62], obviating area-based pileup corrections. This method uses local shape information such as the local shape of charged pileup, event pileup properties, and tracking information together in order to rescale the four-momentum of neutral PF candidates according to the degree to which the particle is compatible with an origin outside of the primary interaction. Using the PUPPI method for the calculation of these jet observables leads to a greater robustness against additional hadronic activity.

B. $W \to q\bar{q}'$ and $Z \to q\bar{q}$ identification using jet substructure

The variables used to identify W and Z jet candidates are reconstructed from AK8 jets with PUPPI pileup mitigation applied, decreasing the dependence on pileup of these variables as shown in Ref. [35]. In order to discriminate against multijet backgrounds, we exploit both the reconstructed jet mass, which is required to be close to the W or Z boson mass, and the two-prong jet substructure produced by the particle cascades of two high-p_T quarks merging into one jet [33]. Jets that are identified as coming from the merged decay products of a single V boson are hereafter referred to as V *jets*.

As the first step in exploring potential substructure, the jet constituents are subjected to a jet grooming algorithm that eliminates soft, large-angle QCD radiation and thereby improves the resolution in the V jet mass, lowers the mass of jets initiated by single quarks or gluons coming from multijet background, and reduces the residual effect of pileup [34,63]. In this paper, we use a modified mass-drop algorithm [64,65], known as the *soft-drop* algorithm [66]. This method accomplishes jet grooming in a way that ensures the absence of nonglobal logarithmic terms in the

jet mass [64,67] in contrast to the *jet-pruning* algorithm [68,69] used in the previous version of this analysis [17], while providing similar discrimination power [35]. The soft-drop algorithm starts from a Cambridge-Aachen (CA) [70,71] jet j clustered from the constituents of the original AK8 jet. It breaks the jet into two subjets. If the subjets pass the soft-drop condition defined in Ref. [66], j is considered as the final soft-drop jet, otherwise the procedure is iteratively continued on the subjets using the harder of the two subjets as new j and dropping the other subjet until the soft-drop condition is met. This algorithm is used for the offline analysis while the *jet-trimming* algorithm [72] is used at trigger level. Jet trimming reclusters each AK8 jet starting from all its original constituents using the k_T algorithm [70,73] to create subjets with a size parameter R_{sub} set to 0.2, discarding any subjet with $p_T^{\mathrm{subjet}}/p_T^{\mathrm{jet}} < 0.03$. The algorithm is used at the trigger level, since it can be tuned such that it is slightly more inclusive than the more powerful pruning and soft-drop algorithms, which are used in the subsequent offline analysis where their performance can therefore be studied in detail.

The soft-drop jet mass m_{jet} used in the analysis is computed from the sum of the four-momenta of the constituents passing the grooming algorithm and weighted according to the PUPPI algorithm; it is then corrected by a factor derived in simulated W boson samples to ensure a p_T- and η-independent jet mass distribution centered on the nominal V mass. The corrections are factorized into two contributions, one of which is applied to data and simulation and represents a global calibration factor, and another factor which is only applied to simulation that corrects for discrepancies between data and simulation. The jet is considered as a V jet candidate if m_{jet} falls in the range $65 < m_{\mathrm{jet}} < 105$ GeV, which we define as the signal jet mass window.

We additionally employ the so-called *N-subjettiness* [35,74] variable, $\tau_{21} = \tau_2/\tau_1$, to reject background jets arising from the hadronization of single quarks or gluons. Jets coming from hadronic W or Z decays in signal events are characterized by lower values of τ_{21} compared to jets from the SM backgrounds.

C. Trigger and primary vertex selection

Events are selected online with a range of different jet-based triggers sensitive to the scalar sum of the transverse momenta of all jets in the event (H_T) as well as to the invariant mass of the two leading jets. Additionally, triggers requiring the presence of one or more jets satisfying loose substructure criteria are used. Events must satisfy a baseline requirement of $H_T > 800$ or 900 GeV, depending on the instantaneous luminosity during data taking. Alternatively, a combined requirement of H_T above a threshold of 650–700 GeV and a single jet with p_T above 360 GeV and trimmed jet mass (as defined in Sec. IV B)

FIG. 1. Trigger efficiencies for jets passing the inclusive triggers (black), the H_T triggers (blue) or the substructure triggers only (green) as a function of dijet mass for the data-taking period with the highest trigger thresholds. Events are required to contain one jet with a soft-drop mass m_{jet} (left), or two jets with soft-drop masses $m_{jet,1}$ and $m_{jet,2}$ (right), within the signal window of the analysis. The vertical red line marks the selected threshold value.

$m_{jet} > 30$–50 GeV qualifies an event to be considered in the analysis. The combination of triggers is chosen to optimize the value of the dijet invariant mass, m_{jj}, above which the triggers are highly efficient. The trigger selection reaches an efficiency of at least 99% for events in which m_{jj} is greater than 1050 GeV and at least one of the two leading-p_T jets has a soft-drop jet mass (as defined in Sec. IV B) above 65 GeV. The trigger efficiencies comparing substructure and H_T triggers are illustrated in Fig. 1 using an orthogonal single muon data set. The individual

99% efficiency thresholds for events with one and two jets with jet mass above 65 GeV are 1043 and 1049 GeV, respectively. If no jet mass requirements are applied, as is the case for some control distributions in Fig. 2, the more stringent mass cut of 1080 GeV is used.

Offline, all events are required to have at least one primary vertex reconstructed within a 24 cm window along the beam axis, with a transverse distance from the nominal pp interaction region of less than 2 cm [75]. The reconstructed vertex with the largest value of summed physics


FIG. 2. The PUPPI soft-drop jet mass distribution (left) after preselecting and requiring $\tau_{21} < 0.35$, and the PUPPI N-subjettiness τ_{21} distribution (right) for data and simulated samples after preselection and requiring a soft-drop mass of $65 \leq m_{jet} \leq 105$ GeV. The multijet production is shown for three different event generators. The $W +$ jets and $Z +$ jets events are stacked with the multijet sample generated with PYTHIA 8. For the PUPPI soft-drop jet mass distribution, the m_{jj} requirement has been raised from the analysis threshold of 1050 to 1080 GeV, since no requirements on the jet mass are applied. The lower subplots show the data over simulation ratio per bin.

object p_T^2 is taken to be the primary pp interaction vertex. The physics objects are the objects returned by a jet finding algorithm [59,60] applied to all charged tracks associated with the vertex, plus the corresponding associated missing transverse momentum.

D. Substructure variable corrections and validation

Since discrepancies between data and simulation in the jet substructure variables m_{jet} and τ_{21} could bias the signal efficiency estimated from the simulated samples, the modeling of the signal efficiency is cross-checked in a signal-free sample with jets having characteristics that are similar to those expected for a genuine signal [33]. A sample of high-p_T W bosons that decay hadronically and are reconstructed as a single AK8 jet is studied in semi-leptonic $t\bar{t}$ and single top quark events. Scale factors for the τ_{21} selection efficiency are extracted following the method described in Ref. [33]. In this method, a simultaneous fit to the jet mass distributions for different ranges of τ_{21} is performed to separate the W boson signal from the combinatorial components in the top quark enriched sample, in both data and simulation. The scale factors are summarized in Table I and are used to correct the total signal efficiency and the VV background normalization predicted by the simulation. The uncertainties quoted on the scale factors for the τ_{21} selection include systematic uncertainties due to the simulation of the $t\bar{t}$ topology (nearby jets, p_T spectrum), computed comparing different combinations of matrix element and shower generators (for details see Ref. [33]), and due to the choice of the signal and background fit model. The W jet mass peak position and resolution are also extracted to obtain data versus simulation scale factors for the soft-drop jet mass, as described in Ref. [35]. An additional uncertainty to account for the extrapolation to higher momenta of the scale factor obtained from $t\bar{t}$ samples with jet $p_T \sim 200$ GeV is calculated, with a resulting factor of $8.5\% \times \ln(p_T/200 \text{ GeV})$ for $\tau_{21} \leq 0.35$ and $65 \leq m_{jet} \leq 105$ GeV. This uncertainty is estimated based on the difference between PYTHIA 8 and HERWIG++ 2.7.1 [76] showering models. For the $0.35 < \tau_{21} \leq 0.75$ and $65 \leq m_{jet} \leq 105$ GeV selection, this uncertainty is $3.9\% \times \ln(p_T/200 \text{ GeV})$ and is treated as correlated with the uncertainty for $\tau_{21} \leq 0.35$. As the kinematic properties of W and Z jets are very similar, the same corrections are also used in the case where the V jet is assumed to come from a Z boson.

TABLE I. Data versus simulation scale factors for the efficiency of the τ_{21} selection used in this analysis, as extracted from a top quark enriched data sample and from simulation.

τ_{21} selection	Efficiency scale factor
$0 < \tau_{21} \leq 0.35$	$0.99 \pm 0.1\text{(stat)} \pm 0.04\text{(syst)}$
$0.35 < \tau_{21} \leq 0.75$	$1.03 \pm 0.2\text{(stat)} \pm 0.11\text{(syst)}$

E. Final event selection and categorization

After reconstructing the vector bosons as V-tagged AK8 jets, we apply the final selections used for the search. For the excited quark search the selections of the VV case are loosened so that the quark jet candidate is not subjected to a groomed mass or substructure requirement. Any V boson candidate, as well as the q jet candidate for the qV analysis, must have $p_T > 200$ GeV. If more than two such candidates are present in the event, which is the case for approximately 16% of selected events, the two jets with the highest p_T are selected. The event is rejected if at least one of the two jets has an angular separation ΔR smaller than 0.8 from any electron or muon in the event, to allow future use of the results in a combination with studies in the semi- or all-leptonic decay channels [4,77]. Leptons used for this veto need to have a p_T greater than 35 (30) GeV, an absolute pseudorapidity smaller than 2.5 (2.4), and pass identification criteria that were optimized for high-momentum electrons (muons) [77]. In addition, we require the two jets to have a separation of $|\Delta\eta_{jj}| < 1.3$ to reject multijet background, which typically contains jets widely separated in η. Furthermore, m_{jj} must be above 1050 GeV in order to be on the trigger plateau. Figure 2 shows the distribution of the soft-drop jet mass and N-subjettiness variable for the leading jet in the event after this initial selection.

To enhance the analysis sensitivity, the events are categorized according to the characteristics of the V jet. The V jet is deemed a W boson candidate if its soft-drop mass falls into the range 65–85 GeV, while it is deemed a Z boson candidate if it falls into the range 85–105 GeV. This leads to three mass categories (WW, WZ, and ZZ) for the double-tag analysis and two mass categories (qW and qZ) for the single-tag analysis. Owing to jet mass resolution effects, up to 30% of W/Z bosons are reconstructed in the Z/W mass window. For this reason, all three (two) mass categories are considered for all signal categories in the double-tag (single-tag) analysis, respectively. We select high-purity (HP) V jets by requiring $\tau_{21} \leq 0.35$, and low-purity (LP) V jets by requiring $0.35 < \tau_{21} < 0.75$. The threshold of 0.35 is chosen to gain significance for mass points below 2.5 (2.2) TeV in the double- (single-) tag region, where the significance achieved with this selection is within 10% of the maximal significance attained using the optimal selection value for each mass point. The threshold of 0.75 is chosen to reject less than 1% of signal events so that the expected significance at high invariant masses is close to maximal. Events with just one V tag are classified according to these two categories. For the double-tag analysis, events are always required to have one HP V jet, and are divided into HP and LP events, depending on whether the other V jet is of high or low purity. Although it is expected that the HP category dominates the total sensitivity of the analysis, the LP category is retained since it provides improved signal efficiency with acceptable background contamination at high resonance masses.

The final categorization in V jet purity and V jet mass category (WW, WZ, ZZ, qW, and qZ) yields a total of six orthogonal classes of events for the double-tag analysis and four classes of events for the single-tag analysis.

The two boson (boson and quark jet) candidates, are then combined into a diboson (boson-quark) candidate; the presence of signal events could then be inferred from the observation of localized excesses in the m_{jj} distribution.

V. MODELING OF BACKGROUND AND SIGNAL

A. Signal modeling

Figure 3 shows the simulated m_{jj} distributions for resonance masses from 1.3 to 6 TeV. The experimental resolution is about 4%. We adopt an analytical description of the signal shape, choosing the sum of a crystal-ball (CB) function [78] (i.e., a Gaussian core with a power law tail to low masses) and a Gaussian function to describe the simulated resonance distributions. The parameters of the analytic shapes are extracted from fits to the signal simulation. Statistical uncertainties in the parameters are negligible. A cubic spline interpolation between a set of reference distributions (corresponding to masses of 1.2, 1.4, 1.6, 1.8, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 3.5, 4.0, 4.5, 5.0, 5.5, 6.0, and 6.5 TeV) is used to obtain the expected distribution for intermediate values of resonance mass.

B. Multijet background

The m_{jj} distributions observed in data are dominated by SM background processes, which in turn are dominated by multijet production where quark or gluon jets are falsely identified as V jets. Additional subdominant backgrounds include W and Z boson production, top quark pair production, single top quark production, and nonresonant diboson processes. Those backgrounds are estimated from simulation to each contribute less than about 3% of the total number of background events in the signal region and are therefore not separated in the background estimation.

We assume that the multijet SM background can be described by a smooth, monotonically decreasing distribution, which can be parametrized. The search is performed by fitting the sum of the analytical functions for background and signal to the whole dijet spectrum in data. Separate fits are made for each signal mass hypothesis and each analysis category, assuming full correlation between the signal normalization parameters and no correlation between background parameters. The shape of the signal function is fixed through a fit of the signal probability distribution function to the interpolated MC simulations, as described in Sec. V A, while the signal normalization is left floating. Neither data control regions nor simulated background samples are used directly by this method. The background functions are of the form:

$$\frac{dN}{dm_{jj}} = \frac{P_0(1 - m_{jj}/\sqrt{s})^{P_2}}{(m_{jj}/\sqrt{s})^{P_1}} \ (3\text{-par. form}),$$
$$\frac{dN}{dm_{jj}} = \frac{P_0}{(m_{jj}/\sqrt{s})^{P_1}} \ (2\text{-par. form}), \qquad (1)$$

where m_{jj} is the dijet invariant mass (equivalent to the diboson or quark-boson candidate mass m_{VV} or m_{qV} for the signal), $\sqrt{s}$ is the center-of-mass energy, P_0 is a normalization parameter for the probability density function, and P_1 and P_2 describe the shape. Starting from the two-parameter functional form, a Fisher F-test [79] is used to check at 10% confidence level, if additional parameters are needed to model the individual background distribution. For the VV categories, the two-parameter functional form is found to describe the data spectra sufficiently well. The


FIG. 3. Dijet invariant mass distribution for different signal mass hypotheses of the $q^* \to qZ$ model (left) and the bulk graviton decaying to a pair of Z bosons (right) used to extract the signal shape in the HP category.

FIG. 4.   The dijet invariant mass distribution m_{jj} in data. On the left, the HP, and on the right, the LP categories are shown for the WW, WZ, and ZZ categories, from upper to lower. The solid curve represents a background-only fit to the data distribution where the red shaded area corresponds to the one standard deviation statistical uncertainty of the fit. The dashed line shows the signal shape for a bulk graviton or W' of mass 2 TeV. The lower panels show the corresponding pull distributions, quantifying the agreement between a background-only fit and the data. Note that these fits do not represent the best fit hypotheses used in the statistical analysis where signal-plus-background fits are performed.

FIG. 5. The dijet invariant mass distribution m_{jj} in data. On the left, the HP, and on the right, the LP categories are shown for the qW and qZ categories, from upper to lower. The solid curve represents a background-only fit to the data distribution where the red shaded area corresponds to the one standard deviation statistical uncertainty of the fit. The dashed line shows the signal shape for a q^* with a mass of 4 TeV. The lower panels show the corresponding pull distributions, quantifying the agreement between a background-only fit and the data. Note that these fits do not represent the best-fit hypotheses used in the statistical analysis where signal-plus-background fits are performed.

qV channels are best described by the three-parameter functional form according to the F-test. Alternative parameterizations and functions with up to five parameters are also studied as a cross-check.

The fit range is chosen such that it starts where the trigger efficiency has reached its plateau, to avoid any bias from trigger inefficiency, and extends to one bin beyond the bin with the highest m_{jj} event. The binning [80] chosen for the fit follows the detector resolution. The results of the fits are shown in Figs. 4 and 5. The x-axis ranges have been chosen to include the most massive observed event in each category, so there are no overflow data. The solid red curve represents the results of the maximum likelihood fit to the data, with the number of expected signal events fixed to zero.

VI. SYSTEMATIC UNCERTAINTIES

A. Systematic uncertainties in the background estimation

The background estimation for each signal mass hypothesis is obtained from a fit of the signal plus background function to the full range of the m_{jj} spectrum. As such, the only relevant uncertainty originates from the covariance matrix of the dijet mass fit function. Different parametrizations of the background fit function were studied and the observed variations of the limit were found to be negligible. This ambiguity in the choice of the background fit function is therefore not considered as an uncertainty source.

B. Systematic uncertainties in the signal prediction

The dominant uncertainty in the signal selection efficiency arises from uncertainties in the V tagging efficiency. As described in Sec. IV D, the efficiency of the V tagging selection is measured in data using a sample enriched in semileptonic $t\bar{t}$ events. A simultaneous fit to that data sample and to a corresponding suitable mixture of simulated top quark-antiquark pair, single top quark and $W +$ jets events yields both a correction factor to the V tagging efficiency in signal samples, as well as a systematic uncertainty in that efficiency, see Table I.

The signal efficiency and the reconstructed mass shape of the resonance are affected by uncertainties in the jet reconstruction. Jet scale and resolution uncertainties are propagated by rescaling (smearing) the jet properties according to the measured scale (resolution) uncertainties, respectively. Further, the soft-drop mass is rescaled (smeared) based on the uncertainty in the jet mass scale and resolution. The selection efficiencies are recalculated on these modified samples, with the resulting changes taken as systematic uncertainties depending on the resonance mass. The induced changes in the reconstructed mass shape of the resonances are propagated as uncertainties in the peak position and width of both the Gaussian core of the CB function and the Gaussian function used in the signal parametrization. Additionally, the induced relative migration among V jet mass categories is evaluated, but this does not affect the overall signal efficiency.

The uncertainty in the knowledge of the integrated luminosity of the data sample (2.5%) [81] introduces an uncertainty in the number of signal events passing the final selection.

We evaluate the influence of uncertainties in the PDFs and the choice of factorization (μ_F) and renormalization (μ_R) scales on the signal cross section and acceptance by considering differences in the predicted kinematics of the resonance. Acceptance and signal cross section effects are treated separately: while the signal acceptance uncertainty is taken into account in the statistical analysis, the signal cross section uncertainty is instead considered as an uncertainty in the theory cross section. The NNPDF 3.0 [57] LO set of PDFs is used to estimate PDF uncertainties. Following Refs. [82,83], we evaluate the uncertainties in the signal prediction due to missing higher order calculations by varying the default choice of scales in the following six combinations of factors: $(\mu_F, \mu_R) \times (1/2, 1/2), (1/2, 1), (1, 1/2), (2, 2), (2, 1)$, and $(1, 2)$. The resulting cross section uncertainties vary from 4% to 72% and from 2% to 23%, respectively, depending on the resonance mass, particle type, and its production mechanism. The uncertainty in the signal acceptance from the choice of PDFs and of factorization and renormalization scales ranges from 0.1% to 2% and $< 0.1\%$, respectively. In addition, the impact of PDF variations on the signal shape are evaluated and propagated as uncertainties in the signal width and peak position, analogously to the treatment of

TABLE II.   Summary of the signal systematic uncertainties for the analysis and their impact on the event yield in the signal region and on the reconstructed m_{jj} shape (mean and width). The jet mass and V tagging uncertainties result in migrations between event categories. The effects of the PDF and scale uncertainties in the signal cross section are not included as nuisance parameters in the limit setting procedure, but are assigned to the theory predictions.

| | | Uncertainty (%) | | | |
| | | Double-tag | | Single-tag | |
Source	Relevant quantity	HP + HP	HP + LP	HP + j	LP + j
Jet energy scale	Resonance shape	2	2	2	2
Jet energy resolution	Resonance shape	6	7	4	3
PDF	Resonance shape	5	7	13	8
Jet energy scale	Signal yield	<1		<1	
Jet energy resolution	Signal yield	<1		<1	
Jet mass scale	Signal yield	<2		<1	
Jet mass resolution	Signal yield	<6		<8	
Pileup	Signal yield		2		
PDF (acceptance)	Signal yield		2		
Integrated luminosity	Signal yield		2.5		
Jet mass scale	Migration	<36		<10	
Jet mass resolution	Migration	<25		<7	
V tagging τ_{21}	Migration	22	33	11	22
V tagging p_T-dependence	Migration	19–40	14–29	9–23	4–11
PDF and scales (W' and Z')	Theory	2–18			
PDF and scales (G_{bulk})	Theory	8–78			
PDF and scales (q*)	Theory			1–61	

FIG. 6. Observed (black solid) and expected (black dashed) 95% C.L. upper limits on the production cross section of a narrow-width resonance decaying to a pair of vector bosons for different signal hypotheses. Limits are set (upper left plot) on a spin-1 neutral Z' and a spin-2 resonance decaying into WW, and compared with the prediction of the HVT model B (blue line) and a bulk graviton model with $\tilde{k} = 0.5$ (red line). Limits are also set in the context of a bulk graviton decaying into ZZ (upper right) with $\tilde{k} = 0.5$ and a spin-1 charged resonance decaying into WZ (lower left) and compared with the predictions of the models. Signal cross section uncertainties are displayed as cross-hatched bands. The plot on the lower right shows the 95% exclusion bounds on the signal strength for the triplet hypothesis of the HVT model B.

shape uncertainties for jet energy-momentum scale and resolution. Table II summarizes the systematic uncertainties considered in the statistical analysis.

VII. STATISTICAL INTERPRETATION

The compatibility between the m_{jj} distribution observed in data and the smoothly falling function modeling the standard model background is used to test for the presence of narrow resonances decaying to two vector bosons or to a vector boson and a quark. We follow the modified frequentist prescription (asymptotic CL_S method) described in Refs. [84–86]. The limits are computed using a shape analysis of the dijet invariant mass spectrum. Systematic uncertainties are treated as nuisance parameters and profiled in the statistical interpretation using log-normal priors, while Gaussian priors are used for shape uncertainties.

A. Limits on narrow-width resonance models

Exclusion limits are set for resonances that arise in the bulk graviton model and in the HVT model B and for

excited quark resonances, under the assumption of a natural width negligible with respect to the experimental resolution (narrow-width approximation).

Figure 6 shows the resulting 95% confidence level (C.L.) expected and observed exclusion limits on the signal cross section as a function of the resonance mass, for the diboson signal hypotheses. For a narrow-width spin-2 resonance the observed exclusion limits on the production cross section range from a cross section limit of 36.0 fb at a resonance mass of 1.3 TeV to the most stringent cross section limit of 0.6 fb at resonance masses higher than 3.6 TeV. In the case of charged (uncharged) spin-1 resonances the observed exclusion limits range from 44.4 (41.6) fb at a mass of 1.4 (1.3) TeV to 0.7 (0.6) fb at high resonance masses.

The limits are compared with the product of the theoretical cross section and the branching fraction to WW or ZZ, for a bulk graviton with $\tilde{k} = 0.5$. A comparison is also made with the product of the theoretical cross section and the branching fraction to WZ and WW for spin-1 particles predicted by the HVT model B for both the singlet (W' or Z') and triplet (W' and Z') hypotheses. The cross section limits for $Z' \to WW$ and $G_{bulk} \to WW$ are not

FIG. 7. Exclusion regions in the plane of the HVT model couplings for three resonance masses of 1.5, 2, and 3.5 TeV. The point B indicates the values of the coupling parameters used in the benchmark model. The regions of the plane excluded by this search lie outside of the boundaries indicated by the solid and dashed lines. The areas indicated by the solid shading correspond to regions where the theoretical width is larger than the experimental resolution of the present search and the narrow-resonance assumption is therefore not satisfied.

identical because of the difference in acceptances for the two signals. However, since the acceptance of the Z' resonance and the bulk graviton decaying to WW only differ by less than 11%, the difference of the exclusion limits between the two models is negligible.

For the HVT model B singlet hypothesis we exclude W' resonances below 3.2 TeV and between 3.3 and 3.6 TeV as well as Z' resonances below 2.7 TeV. The signal cross section uncertainties are displayed as a red (blue) checked band and result in an additional uncertainty in the resonance mass limits of 0.15 (0.08) TeV. For the triplet hypothesis of the HVT model B, resonances with masses below 3.8 TeV (3.5 TeV expected) are excluded.

Figure 7 shows a scan of the 95% C.L. contours in the coupling parameter plane for the triplet hypothesis of the HVT model. The couplings are parametrized in terms of $g_V c_H$ and $g^2/g_V c_F$, which are related to the coupling of the new resonance to the Higgs boson and to fermions, respectively, as described in Sec. III. Here, g represents the electroweak coupling parameter $g = e/\sin\theta_W$. The shaded areas indicate the region in the coupling space where the narrow-width assumption is not satisfied.

Figure 8 shows the corresponding exclusion limits for excited quarks decaying into qW and qZ. The expected cross section limits range from 317 fb for masses of 1.2 TeV to 1.2 fb (1.3 fb) at high resonance masses, while the observed limits cover a range from 287 fb (289 fb) to 1.0 fb (1.2 fb) between the resonance masses of 1.2 and 6.0 TeV for resonances decaying to qW (qZ). We exclude excited quark resonances decaying into qW and qZ with masses below 5.0 and 4.7 TeV, respectively. The signal cross section uncertainties are displayed as a red checked band and result in an additional uncertainty in the resonance mass limits of 0.13–0.20 TeV.

VIII. SUMMARY

A search is presented for new massive narrow resonances decaying to WW, ZZ, WZ, qW, or qZ, in which the bosons decay hadronically into dijet final states. Hadronic W and Z boson decays are identified by requiring a jet with mass compatible with the W or Z boson mass, respectively. Additional information from jet substructure is used to reduce the background from multijet production. No evidence is found for a signal and upper limits on the resonance production cross section are set as function of the resonance mass. The results are interpreted in the context of the bulk graviton model, heavy vector triplet W' and Z' resonances, and excited quark resonances q^*. For the heavy vector triplet model B, we exclude at 95% confidence level spin-1 resonances with degenerate masses below 3.8 TeV and singlet W' and Z' resonances with masses below 3.2


FIG. 8. Observed (black solid) and expected (black dashed) 95% C.L. upper limits on the production of an excited quark resonance decaying into qW (left) or qZ (right) as a function of resonance mass. Signal cross section uncertainties are displayed as red cross-hatched bands.

and 2.7 TeV, respectively. In the case of a singlet W' resonance masses between 3.3 and 3.6 TeV can be excluded additionally. In the narrow-width bulk graviton model, production cross sections are excluded in the range from 36.0 fb for a resonance mass of 1.3 TeV, to the most stringent limit of 0.6 fb for high resonance masses above 3.6 TeV. Exclusion limits are set at 95% confidence level on the production of excited quark resonances q^* decaying to qW and qZ for masses less than 5.0 and 4.7 TeV, respectively.

[1] CMS Collaboration, Search for massive resonances in dijet systems containing jets tagged as W or Z boson decays in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2014) 173.

[2] CMS Collaboration, Search for massive resonances decaying into pairs of boosted bosons in semi-leptonic final states at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2014) 174.

[3] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for WZ resonances in the fully leptonic channel using pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Lett. B **737,** 223 (2014).

[4] CMS Collaboration, Search for new resonances decaying via WZ to leptons in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, Phys. Lett. B **740,** 83 (2015).

[5] CMS Collaboration, Search for narrow high-mass resonances in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV decaying to a Z and a Higgs boson, Phys. Lett. B **748,** 255 (2015).

[6] CMS Collaboration, Search for heavy resonances that decay into a vector boson and a Higgs boson in hadronic final states at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C **77,** 636 (2017).

[7] CMS Collaboration, Search for heavy resonances decaying into a vector boson and a Higgs boson in final states with charged leptons, neutrinos, and b quarks, Phys. Lett. B **768,** 137 (2017).

[8] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for resonant diboson production in the $\ell\ell q\bar{q}$ final state in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Eur. Phys. J. C **75,** 69 (2015).

[9] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for production of WW/WZ resonances decaying to a lepton, neutrino and jets in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Eur. Phys. J. C **75**, 209 (2015); Erratum, Eur. Phys. J. C **75**, 370(E) (2015).

[10] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for a new resonance decaying to a W or Z boson and a Higgs boson in the $\ell\ell/\ell\nu/\nu\nu + b\bar{b}$ final states with the ATLAS detector, Eur. Phys. J. C **75**, 263 (2015).

[11] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for high-mass diboson resonances with boson-tagged jets in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2015) 055.

[12] CMS Collaboration, Search for a massive resonance decaying into a Higgs boson and a W or Z boson in hadronic final states in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2016) 145.

[13] F. Dias, S. Gadatsch, M. Gouzevich, C. Leonidopoulos, S. Novaes, A. Oliveira, M. Pierini, and T. Tomei, Combination of Run-1 exotic searches in diboson final states at the LHC, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2016) 155.

[14] CMS Collaboration, Search for massive WH resonances decaying into the $\ell\nu b\bar{b}$ final state at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, 237 (2016).

[15] CMS Collaboration, Search for heavy resonances decaying to two Higgs bosons in final states containing four b quarks, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, 371 (2016).

[16] ATLAS Collaboration, Searches for heavy diboson resonances in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2016) 173.

[17] CMS Collaboration, Search for massive resonances decaying into WW, WZ or ZZ bosons in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2017) 162.

[18] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for new resonances decaying to a W or Z boson and a Higgs boson in the $\ell^{+}\ell^{-}b\bar{b}$, $\ell\nu b\bar{b}$, and $\nu\bar{\nu}b\bar{b}$ channels with pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Lett. B **765**, 32 (2017).

[19] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for heavy resonances decaying to a W or Z boson and a Higgs boson in the $q\bar{q}^{(\prime)}b\bar{b}$ final state in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Lett. B **774**, 494 (2017).

[20] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for diboson resonances with boson-tagged jets in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Lett. B **777**, 91 (2017).

[21] U. Baur, I. Hinchliffe, and D. Zeppenfeld, Excited quark production at hadron colliders, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **02**, 1285 (1987).

[22] U. Baur, M. Spira, and P. M. Zerwas, Excited-quark and -lepton production at hadron colliders, Phys. Rev. D **42**, 815 (1990).

[23] CMS Collaboration, Search for narrow resonances using the dijet mass spectrum in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 114015 (2013).

[24] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS search for new phenomena in dijet mass and angular distributions using pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2013) 029.

[25] CMS Collaboration, Search for dijet resonances in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV and constraints on dark matter and other models, Phys. Lett. B **769**, 520 (2017).

[26] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for new phenomena in dijet events using 37 fb^{-1} of pp collision data collected at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Rev. D **96**, 052004 (2017).

[27] R. M. Harris and K. Kousouris, Searches for dijet resonances at hadron colliders, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **26**, 5005 (2011).

[28] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for new phenomena in photon + jet events collected in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Lett. B **728**, 562 (2014).

[29] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for new phenomena with photon + jet events in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2016) 041.

[30] CMS Collaboration, Search for excited quarks in the γ + jet final state in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, Phys. Lett. B **738**, 274 (2014).

[31] CMS Collaboration, Search for heavy resonances in the W/Z-tagged dijet mass spectrum in pp collisions at 7 TeV, Phys. Lett. B **723**, 280 (2013).

[32] CMS Collaboration, Search for anomalous production of highly boosted Z bosons decaying to $\mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, Phys. Lett. B **722**, 28 (2013).

[33] CMS Collaboration, Identification techniques for highly boosted W bosons that decay into hadrons, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2014) 017.

[34] CMS Collaboration, CMS Physics Analysis Summary Report No. CMS-PAS-JME-14-002, 2014.

[35] CMS Collaboration, CMS Physics Analysis Summary Report No. CMS-PAS-JME-16-003, 2017.

[36] CMS Collaboration, Particle-flow reconstruction and global event description with the CMS detector, J. Instrum. **12**, P10003 (2017).

[37] CMS Collaboration, The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, J. Instrum. **3**, S08004 (2008).

[38] K. Agashe, H. Davoudiasl, G. Perez, and A. Soni, Warped gravitons at the CERN LHC and beyond, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 036006 (2007).

[39] A. L. Fitzpatrick, J. Kaplan, L. Randall, and L.-T. Wang, Searching for the Kaluza-Klein graviton in bulk RS models, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2007) 013.

[40] O. Antipin, D. Atwood, and A. Soni, Search for RS gravitons via W(L)W(L) decays, Phys. Lett. B **666**, 155 (2008).

[41] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Large Mass Hierarchy from a Small Extra Dimension, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3370 (1999).

[42] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, An Alternative to Compactification, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 4690 (1999).

[43] D. Pappadopulo, A. Thamm, R. Torre, and A. Wulzer, Heavy vector triplets: bridging theory and data, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2014) 060.

[44] A. Carvalho, Gravity particles from Warped Extra Dimensions, predictions for LHC, arXiv:1404.0102.

[45] B. Bellazzini, C. Csáki, and J. Serra, Composite Higgses, Eur. Phys. J. C **74,** 2766 (2014).

[46] R. Contino, D. Marzocca, D. Pappadopulo, and R. Rattazzi, On the effect of resonances in composite Higgs phenomenology, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2011) 081.

[47] D. Marzocca, M. Serone, and J. Shu, General composite Higgs models, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2012) 013.

[48] D. Greco and D. Liu, Hunting composite vector resonances at the LHC: naturalness facing data, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2014) 126.

[49] K. Lane and L. Pritchett, The light composite Higgs boson in strong extended technicolor, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2017) 140.

[50] M. Schmaltz and D. Tucker-Smith, Little Higgs theories, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **55,** 229 (2005).

[51] N. Arkani-Hamed, A. G. Cohen, E. Katz, and A. E. Nelson, The littlest Higgs, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2002) 034.

[52] C. Grojean, E. Salvioni, and R. Torre, A weakly constrained W' at the early LHC, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2011) 002.

[53] E. Salvioni, G. Villadoro, and F. Zwirner, Minimal Z' models: present bounds and early LHC reach, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2009) 068.

[54] J. Alwall, R. Frederix, S. Frixione, V. Hirschi, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, H. S. Shao, T. Stelzer, P. Torrielli, and M. Zaro, The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2014) 079.

[55] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Z. Skands, A brief introduction to PYTHIA 8.1, Comput. Phys. Commun. **178,** 852 (2008).

[56] J. Alwall *et al.*, Comparative study of various algorithms for the merging of parton showers and matrix elements in hadronic collisions, Eur. Phys. J. C **53,** 473 (2008).

[57] R. D. Ball *et al.* (NNPDF Collaboration), Parton distributions for the LHC Run II, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2015) 040.

[58] S. Agostinelli *et al.* (GEANT4 Collaboration), GEANT4—a simulation toolkit, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **506,** 250 (2003).

[59] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, FastJet user manual, Eur. Phys. J. C **72,** 1896 (2012).

[60] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, The anti-k_t jet clustering algorithm, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2008) 063.

[61] CMS Collaboration, Jet energy scale and resolution in the CMS experiment in pp collisions at 8 TeV, J. Instrum. **12,** P02014 (2017).

[62] D. Bertolini, P. Harris, M. Low, and N. Tran, Pileup per particle identification, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2014) 059.

[63] CMS Collaboration, Studies of jet mass in dijet and W/Z+jet events, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2013) 090.

[64] M. Dasgupta, A. Fregoso, S. Marzani, and G. P. Salam, Towards an understanding of jet substructure, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2013) 029.

[65] J. M. Butterworth, A. R. Davison, M. Rubin, and G. P. Salam, Jet Substructure as a New Higgs Search Channel at the LHC, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100,** 242001 (2008).

[66] A. J. Larkoski, S. Marzani, G. Soyez, and J. Thaler, Soft drop, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2014) 146.

[67] M. Dasgupta, A. Fregoso, S. Marzani, and A. Powling, Jet substructure with analytical methods, Eur. Phys. J. C **73,** 2623 (2013).

[68] S. D. Ellis, C. K. Vermilion, and J. R. Walsh, Techniques for improved heavy particle searches with jet substructure, Phys. Rev. D **80,** 051501 (2009).

[69] S. D. Ellis, C. K. Vermilion, and J. R. Walsh, Recombination algorithms and jet substructure: pruning as a tool for heavy particle searches, Phys. Rev. D **81,** 094023 (2010).

[70] S. Catani, Yu. L. Dokshitzer, M. H. Seymour, and B. R. Webber, Longitudinally invariant K_t clustering algorithms for hadron hadron collisions, Nucl. Phys. **B406,** 187 (1993).

[71] M. Wobisch and T. Wengler, Hadronization corrections to jet cross-sections in deep inelastic scattering, arXiv:hep-ph/9907280.

[72] D. Krohn, J. Thaler, and L.-T. Wang, Jet trimming, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2010) 084.

[73] S. D. Ellis and D. E. Soper, Successive combination jet algorithm for hadron collisions, Phys. Rev. D **48,** 3160 (1993).

[74] J. Thaler and K. Van Tilburg, Identifying boosted objects with N-subjettiness, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2011) 015.

[75] CMS Collaboration, Description and performance of track and primary-vertex reconstruction with the CMS tracker, J. Instrum. **9,** P10009 (2014).

[76] M. Bähr, S. Gieseke, M. A. Gigg, D. Grellscheid, K. Hamilton, O. Latunde-Dada, S. Plätzer, P. Richardson, M. H. Seymour, A. Sherstnev, and B. R. Webber, Herwig++ physics and manual, Eur. Phys. J. C **58,** 639 (2008).

[77] CMS Collaboration, Search for narrow resonances in dilepton mass spectra in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV and combination with 8 TeV data, Phys. Lett. B **768,** 57 (2017).

[78] M. J. Oreglia, Ph.D. thesis, Stanford University, 1980; Report No. SLAC Report SLAC-R-236.

[79] R. G. Lomax and D. L. Hahs-Vaughn, *Statistical Concepts: A Second Course* (Routledge Academic, London, 2007).

[80] CMS Collaboration, Search for Dijet Resonances in 7 TeV pp Collisions at CMS, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105,** 211801 (2010); Publisher's Note, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106,** 029902(E) (2011).

[81] CMS Collaboration, CMS Physics Analysis Summary Report No. CMS-PAS-LUM-17-001, 2017.

[82] M. Cacciari, S. Frixione, M. L. Mangano, P. Nason, and G. Ridolfi, The $t\bar{t}$ cross-section at 1.8 TeV and 1.96 TeV : a study of the systematics due to parton densities and scale dependence, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2004) 068.

[83] S. Catani, D. de Florian, M. Grazzini, and P. Nason, Soft gluon resummation for Higgs boson production at hadron colliders, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2003) 028.

[84] A. L. Read, Presentation of search results: The CL$_s$ technique, J. Phys. G **28**, 2693 (2002).

[85] T. Junk, Confidence level computation for combining searches with small statistics, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **434**, 435 (1999).

[86] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross, and O. Vitells, Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics, Eur. Phys. J. C **71**, 1554 (2011); Erratum, Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, 2501(E) (2013).

A. M. Sirunyan,[1] A. Tumasyan,[1] W. Adam,[2] F. Ambrogi,[2] E. Asilar,[2] T. Bergauer,[2] J. Brandstetter,[2] E. Brondolin,[2] M. Dragicevic,[2] J. Erö,[2] M. Flechl,[2] M. Friedl,[2] R. Frühwirth,[2,b] V. M. Ghete,[2] J. Grossmann,[2] J. Hrubec,[2] M. Jeitler,[2,b] A. König,[2] N. Krammer,[2] I. Krätschmer,[2] D. Liko,[2] T. Madlener,[2] I. Mikulec,[2] E. Pree,[2] D. Rabady,[2] N. Rad,[2] H. Rohringer,[2] J. Schieck,[2,b] R. Schöfbeck,[2] M. Spanring,[2] D. Spitzbart,[2] W. Waltenberger,[2] J. Wittmann,[2] C.-E. Wulz,[2,b] M. Zarucki,[2] V. Chekhovsky,[3] V. Mossolov,[3] J. Suarez Gonzalez,[3] E. A. De Wolf,[4] D. Di Croce,[4] X. Janssen,[4] J. Lauwers,[4] H. Van Haevermaet,[4] P. Van Mechelen,[4] N. Van Remortel,[4] S. Abu Zeid,[5] F. Blekman,[5] J. D'Hondt,[5] I. De Bruyn,[5] J. De Clercq,[5] K. Deroover,[5] G. Flouris,[5] D. Lontkovskyi,[5] S. Lowette,[5] S. Moortgat,[5] L. Moreels,[5] Q. Python,[5] K. Skovpen,[5] S. Tavernier,[5] W. Van Doninck,[5] P. Van Mulders,[5] I. Van Parijs,[5] H. Brun,[6] B. Clerbaux,[6] G. De Lentdecker,[6] H. Delannoy,[6] G. Fasanella,[6] L. Favart,[6] R. Goldouzian,[6] A. Grebenyuk,[6] G. Karapostoli,[6] T. Lenzi,[6] J. Luetic,[6] T. Maerschalk,[6] A. Marinov,[6] A. Randle-conde,[6] T. Seva,[6] C. Vander Velde,[6] P. Vanlaer,[6] D. Vannerom,[6] R. Yonamine,[6] F. Zenoni,[6] F. Zhang,[6,c] A. Cimmino,[7] T. Cornelis,[7] D. Dobur,[7] A. Fagot,[7] M. Gul,[7] I. Khvastunov,[7] D. Poyraz,[7] C. Roskas,[7] S. Salva,[7] M. Tytgat,[7] W. Verbeke,[7] N. Zaganidis,[7] H. Bakhshiansohi,[8] O. Bondu,[8] S. Brochet,[8] G. Bruno,[8] C. Caputo,[8] A. Caudron,[8] S. De Visscher,[8] C. Delaere,[8] M. Delcourt,[8] B. Francois,[8] A. Giammanco,[8] A. Jafari,[8] M. Komm,[8] G. Krintiras,[8] V. Lemaitre,[8] A. Magitteri,[8] A. Mertens,[8] M. Musich,[8] K. Piotrzkowski,[8] L. Quertenmont,[8] M. Vidal Marono,[8] S. Wertz,[8] N. Beliy,[9] W. L. Aldá Júnior,[10] F. L. Alves,[10] G. A. Alves,[10] L. Brito,[10] M. Correa Martins Junior,[10] C. Hensel,[10] A. Moraes,[10] M. E. Pol,[10] P. Rebello Teles,[10] E. Belchior Batista Das Chagas,[11] W. Carvalho,[11] J. Chinellato,[11,d] A. Custódio,[11] E. M. Da Costa,[11] G. G. Da Silveira,[11,e] D. De Jesus Damiao,[11] S. Fonseca De Souza,[11] L. M. Huertas Guativa,[11] H. Malbouisson,[11] M. Melo De Almeida,[11] C. Mora Herrera,[11] L. Mundim,[11] H. Nogima,[11] A. Santoro,[11] A. Sznajder,[11] E. J. Tonelli Manganote,[11,d] F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo,[11] A. Vilela Pereira,[11] S. Ahuja,[12a] C. A. Bernardes,[12a] T. R. Fernandez Perez Tomei,[12a] E. M. Gregores,[12b] P. G. Mercadante,[12b] S. F. Novaes,[12a] Sandra S. Padula,[12a] D. Romero Abad,[12b] J. C. Ruiz Vargas,[12a] A. Aleksandrov,[13] R. Hadjiiska,[13] P. Iaydjiev,[13] M. Misheva,[13] M. Rodozov,[13] M. Shopova,[13] S. Stoykova,[13] G. Sultanov,[13] A. Dimitrov,[14] I. Glushkov,[14] L. Litov,[14] B. Pavlov,[14] P. Petkov,[14] W. Fang,[15,f] X. Gao,[15,f] M. Ahmad,[16] J. G. Bian,[16] G. M. Chen,[16] H. S. Chen,[16] M. Chen,[16] Y. Chen,[16] C. H. Jiang,[16] D. Leggat,[16] H. Liao,[16] Z. Liu,[16] F. Romeo,[16] S. M. Shaheen,[16] A. Spiezia,[16] J. Tao,[16] C. Wang,[16] Z. Wang,[16] E. Yazgan,[16] H. Zhang,[16] S. Zhang,[16] J. Zhao,[16] Y. Ban,[17] G. Chen,[17] Q. Li,[17] S. Liu,[17] Y. Mao,[17] S. J. Qian,[17] D. Wang,[17] Z. Xu,[17] C. Avila,[18] A. Cabrera,[18] L. F. Chaparro Sierra,[18] C. Florez,[18] C. F. González Hernández,[18] J. D. Ruiz Alvarez,[18] B. Courbon,[19] N. Godinovic,[19] D. Lelas,[19] I. Puljak,[19] P. M. Ribeiro Cipriano,[19] T. Sculac,[19] Z. Antunovic,[20] M. Kovac,[20] V. Brigljevic,[21] D. Ferencek,[21] K. Kadija,[21] B. Mesic,[21] A. Starodumov,[21,g] T. Susa,[21] M. W. Ather,[22] A. Attikis,[22] G. Mavromanolakis,[22] J. Mousa,[22] C. Nicolaou,[22] F. Ptochos,[22] P. A. Razis,[22] H. Rykaczewski,[22] M. Finger,[23,h] M. Finger Jr.,[23,h] E. Carrera Jarrin,[24] Y. Assran,[25,i,j] S. Elgammal,[25,j] A. Mahrous,[25,k] R. K. Dewanjee,[26] M. Kadastik,[26] L. Perrini,[26] M. Raidal,[26] A. Tiko,[26] C. Veelken,[26] P. Eerola,[27] J. Pekkanen,[27] M. Voutilainen,[27] J. Härkönen,[28] T. Järvinen,[28] V. Karimäki,[28] R. Kinnunen,[28] T. Lampén,[28] K. Lassila-Perini,[28] S. Lehti,[28] T. Lindén,[28] P. Luukka,[28] E. Tuominen,[28] J. Tuominiemi,[28] E. Tuovinen,[28] J. Talvitie,[29] T. Tuuva,[29] M. Besancon,[30] F. Couderc,[30] M. Dejardin,[30] D. Denegri,[30] J. L. Faure,[30] F. Ferri,[30] S. Ganjour,[30] S. Ghosh,[30] A. Givernaud,[30] P. Gras,[30] G. Hamel de Monchenault,[30] P. Jarry,[30] I. Kucher,[30] E. Locci,[30] M. Machet,[30] J. Malcles,[30] G. Negro,[30] J. Rander,[30] A. Rosowsky,[30] M. Ö. Sahin,[30] M. Titov,[30] A. Abdulsalam,[31] I. Antropov,[31] S. Baffioni,[31] F. Beaudette,[31] P. Busson,[31] L. Cadamuro,[31] C. Charlot,[31] R. Granier de Cassagnac,[31] M. Jo,[31] S. Lisniak,[31] A. Lobanov,[31] J. Martin Blanco,[31] M. Nguyen,[31] C. Ochando,[31] G. Ortona,[31] P. Paganini,[31] P. Pigard,[31] R. Salerno,[31] J. B. Sauvan,[31] Y. Sirois,[31] A. G. Stahl Leiton,[31] T. Strebler,[31] Y. Yilmaz,[31] A. Zabi,[31] A. Zghiche,[31] J.-L. Agram,[32,l] J. Andrea,[32] D. Bloch,[32] J.-M. Brom,[32] M. Buttignol,[32] E. C. Chabert,[32] N. Chanon,[32] C. Collard,[32] E. Conte,[32,l] X. Coubez,[32] J.-C. Fontaine,[32,l]

D. Gelé,[32] U. Goerlach,[32] M. Jansová,[32] A.-C. Le Bihan,[32] N. Tonon,[32] P. Van Hove,[32] S. Gadrat,[33] S. Beauceron,[34] C. Bernet,[34] G. Boudoul,[34] R. Chierici,[34] D. Contardo,[34] P. Depasse,[34] H. El Mamouni,[34] J. Fay,[34] L. Finco,[34] S. Gascon,[34] M. Gouzevitch,[34] G. Grenier,[34] B. Ille,[34] F. Lagarde,[34] I. B. Laktineh,[34] M. Lethuillier,[34] L. Mirabito,[34] A. L. Pequegnot,[34] S. Perries,[34] A. Popov,[34,m] V. Sordini,[34] M. Vander Donckt,[34] S. Viret,[34] T. Toriashvili,[35,n] Z. Tsamalaidze,[36,h] C. Autermann,[37] L. Feld,[37] M. K. Kiesel,[37] K. Klein,[37] M. Lipinski,[37] M. Preuten,[37] C. Schomakers,[37] J. Schulz,[37] T. Verlage,[37] V. Zhukov,[37,m] A. Albert,[38] E. Dietz-Laursonn,[38] D. Duchardt,[38] M. Endres,[38] M. Erdmann,[38] S. Erdweg,[38] T. Esch,[38] R. Fischer,[38] A. Güth,[38] M. Hamer,[38] T. Hebbeker,[38] C. Heidemann,[38] K. Hoepfner,[38] S. Knutzen,[38] M. Merschmeyer,[38] A. Meyer,[38] P. Millet,[38] S. Mukherjee,[38] M. Olschewski,[38] T. Pook,[38] M. Radziej,[38] H. Reithler,[38] M. Rieger,[38] F. Scheuch,[38] D. Teyssier,[38] S. Thüer,[38] G. Flügge,[39] B. Kargoll,[39] T. Kress,[39] A. Künsken,[39] J. Lingemann,[39] T. Müller,[39] A. Nehrkorn,[39] A. Nowack,[39] C. Pistone,[39] O. Pooth,[39] A. Stahl,[39,o] M. Aldaya Martin,[40] T. Arndt,[40] C. Asawatangtrakuldee,[40] K. Beernaert,[40] O. Behnke,[40] U. Behrens,[40] A. Bermúdez Martínez,[40] A. A. Bin Anuar,[40] K. Borras,[40,p] V. Botta,[40] A. Campbell,[40] P. Connor,[40] C. Contreras-Campana,[40] F. Costanza,[40] C. Diez Pardos,[40] G. Eckerlin,[40] D. Eckstein,[40] T. Eichhorn,[40] E. Eren,[40] E. Gallo,[40,q] J. Garay Garcia,[40] A. Geiser,[40] A. Gizhko,[40] J. M. Grados Luyando,[40] A. Grohsjean,[40] P. Gunnellini,[40] M. Guthoff,[40] A. Harb,[40] J. Hauk,[40] M. Hempel,[40,r] H. Jung,[40] A. Kalogeropoulos,[40] M. Kasemann,[40] J. Keaveney,[40] C. Kleinwort,[40] I. Korol,[40] D. Krücker,[40] W. Lange,[40] A. Lelek,[40] T. Lenz,[40] J. Leonard,[40] K. Lipka,[40] W. Lohmann,[40,r] R. Mankel,[40] I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann,[40] A. B. Meyer,[40] G. Mittag,[40] J. Mnich,[40] A. Mussgiller,[40] E. Ntomari,[40] D. Pitzl,[40] A. Raspereza,[40] B. Roland,[40] M. Savitskyi,[40] P. Saxena,[40] R. Shevchenko,[40] S. Spannagel,[40] N. Stefaniuk,[40] G. P. Van Onsem,[40] R. Walsh,[40] Y. Wen,[40] K. Wichmann,[40] C. Wissing,[40] O. Zenaiev,[40] S. Bein,[41] V. Blobel,[41] M. Centis Vignali,[41] T. Dreyer,[41] E. Garutti,[41] D. Gonzalez,[41] J. Haller,[41] A. Hinzmann,[41] M. Hoffmann,[41] A. Karavdina,[41] R. Klanner,[41] R. Kogler,[41] N. Kovalchuk,[41] S. Kurz,[41] T. Lapsien,[41] I. Marchesini,[41] D. Marconi,[41] M. Meyer,[41] M. Niedziela,[41] D. Nowatschin,[41] F. Pantaleo,[41,o] T. Peiffer,[41] A. Perieanu,[41] C. Scharf,[41] P. Schleper,[41] A. Schmidt,[41] S. Schumann,[41] J. Schwandt,[41] J. Sonneveld,[41] H. Stadie,[41] G. Steinbrück,[41] F. M. Stober,[41] M. Stöver,[41] H. Tholen,[41] D. Troendle,[41] E. Usai,[41] L. Vanelderen,[41] A. Vanhoefer,[41] B. Vormwald,[41] M. Akbiyik,[42] C. Barth,[42] S. Baur,[42] E. Butz,[42] R. Caspart,[42] T. Chwalek,[42] F. Colombo,[42] W. De Boer,[42] A. Dierlamm,[42] B. Freund,[42] R. Friese,[42] M. Giffels,[42] A. Gilbert,[42] D. Haitz,[42] F. Hartmann,[42,o] S. M. Heindl,[42] U. Husemann,[42] F. Kassel,[42,o] S. Kudella,[42] H. Mildner,[42] M. U. Mozer,[42] Th. Müller,[42] M. Plagge,[42] G. Quast,[42] K. Rabbertz,[42] D. Schäfer,[42] M. Schröder,[42] I. Shvetsov,[42] G. Sieber,[42] H. J. Simonis,[42] R. Ulrich,[42] S. Wayand,[42] M. Weber,[42] T. Weiler,[42] S. Williamson,[42] C. Wöhrmann,[42] R. Wolf,[42] G. Anagnostou,[43] G. Daskalakis,[43] T. Geralis,[43] V. A. Giakoumopoulou,[43] A. Kyriakis,[43] D. Loukas,[43] I. Topsis-Giotis,[43] G. Karathanasis,[44] S. Kesisoglou,[44] A. Panagiotou,[44] N. Saoulidou,[44] K. Kousouris,[45] I. Evangelou,[46] C. Foudas,[46] P. Kokkas,[46] S. Mallios,[46] N. Manthos,[46] I. Papadopoulos,[46] E. Paradas,[46] J. Strologas,[46] F. A. Triantis,[46] M. Csanad,[47] N. Filipovic,[47] G. Pasztor,[47] G. I. Veres,[47,s] G. Bencze,[48] C. Hajdu,[48] D. Horvath,[48,t] Á. Hunyadi,[48] F. Sikler,[48] V. Veszpremi,[48] A. J. Zsigmond,[48] N. Beni,[49] S. Czellar,[49] J. Karancsi,[49,u] A. Makovec,[49] J. Molnar,[49] Z. Szillasi,[49] M. Bartók,[50,s] P. Raics,[50] Z. L. Trocsanyi,[50] B. Ujvari,[50] S. Choudhury,[51] J. R. Komaragiri,[51] S. Bahinipati,[52,v] S. Bhowmik,[52] P. Mal,[52] K. Mandal,[52] A. Nayak,[52,w] D. K. Sahoo,[52,v] N. Sahoo,[52] S. K. Swain,[52] S. Bansal,[53] S. B. Beri,[53] V. Bhatnagar,[53] R. Chawla,[53] N. Dhingra,[53] A. K. Kalsi,[53] A. Kaur,[53] M. Kaur,[53] R. Kumar,[53] P. Kumari,[53] A. Mehta,[53] J. B. Singh,[53] G. Walia,[53] Ashok Kumar,[54] Aashaq Shah,[54] A. Bhardwaj,[54] S. Chauhan,[54] B. C. Choudhary,[54] R. B. Garg,[54] S. Keshri,[54] A. Kumar,[54] S. Malhotra,[54] M. Naimuddin,[54] K. Ranjan,[54] R. Sharma,[54] R. Bhardwaj,[55] R. Bhattacharya,[55] S. Bhattacharya,[55] U. Bhawandeep,[55] S. Dey,[55] S. Dutt,[55] S. Dutta,[55] S. Ghosh,[55] N. Majumdar,[55] A. Modak,[55] K. Mondal,[55] S. Mukhopadhyay,[55] S. Nandan,[55] A. Purohit,[55] A. Roy,[55] D. Roy,[55] S. Roy Chowdhury,[55] S. Sarkar,[55] M. Sharan,[55] S. Thakur,[55] P. K. Behera,[56] R. Chudasama,[57] D. Dutta,[57] V. Jha,[57] V. Kumar,[57] A. K. Mohanty,[57,o] P. K. Netrakanti,[57] L. M. Pant,[57] P. Shukla,[57] A. Topkar,[57] T. Aziz,[58] S. Dugad,[58] B. Mahakud,[58] S. Mitra,[58] G. B. Mohanty,[58] N. Sur,[58] B. Sutar,[58] S. Banerjee,[59] S. Bhattacharya,[59] S. Chatterjee,[59] P. Das,[59] M. Guchait,[59] Sa. Jain,[59] S. Kumar,[59] M. Maity,[59,x] G. Majumder,[59] K. Mazumdar,[59] T. Sarkar,[59,x] N. Wickramage,[59,y] S. Chauhan,[60] S. Dube,[60] V. Hegde,[60] A. Kapoor,[60] K. Kothekar,[60] S. Pandey,[60] A. Rane,[60] S. Sharma,[60] S. Chenarani,[61,z] E. Eskandari Tadavani,[61] S. M. Etesami,[61,z] M. Khakzad,[61] M. Mohammadi Najafabadi,[61] M. Naseri,[61] S. Paktinat Mehdiabadi,[61,aa] F. Rezaei Hosseinabadi,[61] B. Safarzadeh,[61,bb] M. Zeinali,[61] M. Felcini,[62] M. Grunewald,[62] M. Abbrescia,[63a,63b] C. Calabria,[63a,63b] A. Colaleo,[63a] D. Creanza,[63a,63c] L. Cristella,[63a,63b] N. De Filippis,[63a,63c] M. De Palma,[63a,63b] F. Errico,[63a,63b] L. Fiore,[63a] G. Iaselli,[63a,63c] S. Lezki,[63a,63b] G. Maggi,[63a,63c] M. Maggi,[63a] G. Miniello,[63a,63b] S. My,[63a,63b] S. Nuzzo,[63a,63b] A. Pompili,[63a,63b] G. Pugliese,[63a,63c] R. Radogna,[63a] A. Ranieri,[63a]

G. Selvaggi,[63a,63b] A. Sharma,[63a] L. Silvestris,[63a,o] R. Venditti,[63a] P. Verwilligen,[63a] G. Abbiendi,[64a] C. Battilana,[64a,64b] D. Bonacorsi,[64a,64b] S. Braibant-Giacomelli,[64a,64b] R. Campanini,[64a,64b] P. Capiluppi,[64a,64b] A. Castro,[64a,64b] F. R. Cavallo,[64a] S. S. Chhibra,[64a] G. Codispoti,[64a,64b] M. Cuffiani,[64a,64b] G. M. Dallavalle,[64a] F. Fabbri,[64a] A. Fanfani,[64a,64b] D. Fasanella,[64a,64b] P. Giacomelli,[64a] C. Grandi,[64a] L. Guiducci,[64a,64b] S. Marcellini,[64a] G. Masetti,[64a] A. Montanari,[64a] F. L. Navarria,[64a,64b] A. Perrotta,[64a] A. M. Rossi,[64a,64b] T. Rovelli,[64a,64b] G. P. Siroli,[64a,64b] N. Tosi,[64a] S. Albergo,[65a,65b] S. Costa,[65a,65b] A. Di Mattia,[65a] F. Giordano,[65a,65b] R. Potenza,[65a,65b] A. Tricomi,[65a,65b] C. Tuve,[65a,65b] G. Barbagli,[66a] K. Chatterjee,[66a,66b] V. Ciulli,[66a,66b] C. Civinini,[66a] R. D'Alessandro,[66a,66b] E. Focardi,[66a,66b] P. Lenzi,[66a,66b] M. Meschini,[66a] S. Paoletti,[66a] L. Russo,[66a,cc] G. Sguazzoni,[66a] D. Strom,[66a] L. Viliani,[66a,66b,o] L. Benussi,[67] S. Bianco,[67] F. Fabbri,[67] D. Piccolo,[67] F. Primavera,[67,o] V. Calvelli,[68a,68b] F. Ferro,[68a] E. Robutti,[68a] S. Tosi,[68a,68b] A. Benaglia,[69a] L. Brianza,[69a,69b] F. Brivio,[69a,69b] V. Ciriolo,[69a,69b] M. E. Dinardo,[69a,69b] S. Fiorendi,[69a,69b] S. Gennai,[69a] A. Ghezzi,[69a,69b] P. Govoni,[69a,69b] M. Malberti,[69a,69b] S. Malvezzi,[69a] R. A. Manzoni,[69a,69b] D. Menasce,[69a] L. Moroni,[69a] M. Paganoni,[69a,69b] K. Pauwels,[69a,69b] D. Pedrini,[69a] S. Pigazzini,[69a,69b,dd] S. Ragazzi,[69a,69b] N. Redaelli,[69a] T. Tabarelli de Fatis,[69a,69b] S. Buontempo,[70a] N. Cavallo,[70a,70c] S. Di Guida,[70a,70d,o] F. Fabozzi,[70a,70c] F. Fienga,[70a,70b] A. O. M. Iorio,[70a,70b] W. A. Khan,[70a] L. Lista,[70a] S. Meola,[70a,70d,o] P. Paolucci,[70a,o] C. Sciacca,[70a,70b] F. Thyssen,[70a] P. Azzi,[71a] N. Bacchetta,[71a] L. Benato,[71a,71b] D. Bisello,[71a,71b] A. Boletti,[71a,71b] R. Carlin,[71a,71b] A. Carvalho Antunes De Oliveira,[71a,71b] P. Checchia,[71a] M. Dall'Osso,[71a,71b] P. De Castro Manzano,[71a] T. Dorigo,[71a] U. Dosselli,[71a] F. Gasparini,[71a,71b] U. Gasparini,[71a,71b] A. Gozzelino,[71a] S. Lacaprara,[71a] P. Lujan,[71a] M. Margoni,[71a,71b] A. T. Meneguzzo,[71a,71b] N. Pozzobon,[71a,71b] P. Ronchese,[71a,71b] R. Rossin,[71a,71b] M. Sgaravatto,[71a] E. Torassa,[71a] M. Zanetti,[71a,71b] G. Zumerle,[71a] A. Braghieri,[72a] A. Magnani,[72a] P. Montagna,[72a,72b] S. P. Ratti,[72a,72b] V. Re,[72a] M. Ressegotti,[72a,72b] C. Riccardi,[72a,72b] P. Salvini,[72a] I. Vai,[72a,72b] P. Vitulo,[72a,72b] L. Alunni Solestizi,[73a,73b] M. Biasini,[73a,73b] G. M. Bilei,[73a] C. Cecchi,[73a,73b] D. Ciangottini,[73a,73b] L. Fanò,[73a,73b] P. Lariccia,[73a,73b] R. Leonardi,[73a,73b] E. Manoni,[73a] G. Mantovani,[73a,73b] V. Mariani,[73a,73b] M. Menichelli,[73a] A. Rossi,[73a,73b] A. Santocchia,[73a,73b] D. Spiga,[73a] K. Androsov,[74a] P. Azzurri,[74a,o] G. Bagliesi,[74a] T. Boccali,[74a] L. Borrello,[74a] R. Castaldi,[74a] M. A. Ciocci,[74a,74b] R. Dell'Orso,[74a] G. Fedi,[74a] L. Giannini,[74a,74c] A. Giassi,[74a] M. T. Grippo,[74a,cc] F. Ligabue,[74a,74c] T. Lomtadze,[74a] E. Manca,[74a,74c] G. Mandorli,[74a,74c] L. Martini,[74a,74b] A. Messineo,[74a,74b] F. Palla,[74a] A. Rizzi,[74a,74b] A. Savoy-Navarro,[74a,ee] P. Spagnolo,[74a] R. Tenchini,[74a] G. Tonelli,[74a,74b] A. Venturi,[74a] P. G. Verdini,[74a] L. Barone,[75a,75b] F. Cavallari,[75a] M. Cipriani,[75a,75b] N. Daci,[75a] D. Del Re,[75a,75b,o] E. Di Marco,[75a,75b] M. Diemoz,[75a] S. Gelli,[75a,75b] E. Longo,[75a,75b] F. Margaroli,[75a,75b] B. Marzocchi,[75a,75b] P. Meridiani,[75a] G. Organtini,[75a,75b] R. Paramatti,[75a,75b] F. Preiato,[75a,75b] S. Rahatlou,[75a,75b] C. Rovelli,[75a] F. Santanastasio,[75a,75b] N. Amapane,[76a,76b] R. Arcidiacono,[76a,76c] S. Argiro,[76a,76b] M. Arneodo,[76a,76c] N. Bartosik,[76a] R. Bellan,[76a,76b] C. Biino,[76a] N. Cartiglia,[76a] F. Cenna,[76a,76b] M. Costa,[76a,76b] R. Covarelli,[76a,76b] A. Degano,[76a,76b] N. Demaria,[76a] B. Kiani,[76a,76b] C. Mariotti,[76a] S. Maselli,[76a] E. Migliore,[76a,76b] V. Monaco,[76a,76b] E. Monteil,[76a,76b] M. Monteno,[76a] M. M. Obertino,[76a,76b] L. Pacher,[76a,76b] N. Pastrone,[76a] M. Pelliccioni,[76a] G. L. Pinna Angioni,[76a,76b] F. Ravera,[76a,76b] A. Romero,[76a,76b] M. Ruspa,[76a,76c] R. Sacchi,[76a,76b] K. Shchelina,[76a,76b] V. Sola,[76a] A. Solano,[76a,76b] A. Staiano,[76a] P. Traczyk,[76a,76b] S. Belforte,[77a] M. Casarsa,[77a] F. Cossutti,[77a] G. Della Ricca,[77a,77b] A. Zanetti,[77a] D. H. Kim,[78] G. N. Kim,[78] M. S. Kim,[78] J. Lee,[78] S. Lee,[78] S. W. Lee,[78] C. S. Moon,[78] Y. D. Oh,[78] S. Sekmen,[78] D. C. Son,[78] Y. C. Yang,[78] A. Lee,[79] H. Kim,[80] D. H. Moon,[80] G. Oh,[80] J. A. Brochero Cifuentes,[81] J. Goh,[81] T. J. Kim,[81] S. Cho,[82] S. Choi,[82] Y. Go,[82] D. Gyun,[82] S. Ha,[82] B. Hong,[82] Y. Jo,[82] Y. Kim,[82] K. Lee,[82] K. S. Lee,[82] S. Lee,[82] J. Lim,[82] S. K. Park,[82] Y. Roh,[82] J. Almond,[83] J. Kim,[83] J. S. Kim,[83] H. Lee,[83] K. Lee,[83] K. Nam,[83] S. B. Oh,[83] B. C. Radburn-Smith,[83] S. h. Seo,[83] U. K. Yang,[83] H. D. Yoo,[83] G. B. Yu,[83] M. Choi,[84] H. Kim,[84] J. H. Kim,[84] J. S. H. Lee,[84] I. C. Park,[84] Y. Choi,[85] C. Hwang,[85] J. Lee,[85] I. Yu,[85] V. Dudenas,[86] A. Juodagalvis,[86] J. Vaitkus,[86] I. Ahmed,[87] Z. A. Ibrahim,[87] M. A. B. Md Ali,[87,ff] F. Mohamad Idris,[87,gg] W. A. T. Wan Abdullah,[87] M. N. Yusli,[87] Z. Zolkapli,[87] R Reyes-Almanza,[88] G. Ramirez-Sanchez,[88] M. C. Duran-Osuna,[88] H. Castilla-Valdez,[88] E. De La Cruz-Burelo,[88] I. Heredia-De La Cruz,[88,hh] R. I. Rabadan-Trejo,[88] R. Lopez-Fernandez,[88] J. Mejia Guisao,[88] A. Sanchez-Hernandez,[88] S. Carrillo Moreno,[89] C. Oropeza Barrera,[89] F. Vazquez Valencia,[89] I. Pedraza,[90] H. A. Salazar Ibarguen,[90] C. Uribe Estrada,[90] A. Morelos Pineda,[91] D. Krofcheck,[92] P. H. Butler,[93] A. Ahmad,[94] M. Ahmad,[94] Q. Hassan,[94] H. R. Hoorani,[94] A. Saddique,[94] M. A. Shah,[94] M. Shoaib,[94] M. Waqas,[94] H. Bialkowska,[95] M. Bluj,[95] B. Boimska,[95] T. Frueboes,[95] M. Górski,[95] M. Kazana,[95] K. Nawrocki,[95] M. Szleper,[95] P. Zalewski,[95] K. Bunkowski,[96] A. Byszuk,[96,ii] K. Doroba,[96] A. Kalinowski,[96] M. Konecki,[96] J. Krolikowski,[96] M. Misiura,[96] M. Olszewski,[96] A. Pyskir,[96] M. Walczak,[96] P. Bargassa,[97] C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva,[97] A. Di Francesco,[97] P. Faccioli,[97] B. Galinhas,[97] M. Gallinaro,[97] J. Hollar,[97] N. Leonardo,[97] L. Lloret Iglesias,[97] M. V. Nemallapudi,[97] J. Seixas,[97] G. Strong,[97] O. Toldaiev,[97] D. Vadruccio,[97] J. Varela,[97]

S. Afanasiev,[98] P. Bunin,[98] M. Gavrilenko,[98] I. Golutvin,[98] I. Gorbunov,[98] A. Kamenev,[98] V. Karjavin,[98] A. Lanev,[98] A. Malakhov,[98] V. Matveev,[98,jj,kk] V. Palichik,[98] V. Perelygin,[98] S. Shmatov,[98] S. Shulha,[98] N. Skatchkov,[98] V. Smirnov,[98] N. Voytishin,[98] A. Zarubin,[98] Y. Ivanov,[99] V. Kim,[99,ll] E. Kuznetsova,[99,mm] P. Levchenko,[99] V. Murzin,[99] V. Oreshkin,[99] I. Smirnov,[99] V. Sulimov,[99] L. Uvarov,[99] S. Vavilov,[99] A. Vorobyev,[99] Yu. Andreev,[100] A. Dermenev,[100] S. Gninenko,[100] N. Golubev,[100] A. Karneyeu,[100] M. Kirsanov,[100] N. Krasnikov,[100] A. Pashenkov,[100] D. Tlisov,[100] A. Toropin,[100] V. Epshteyn,[101] V. Gavrilov,[101] N. Lychkovskaya,[101] V. Popov,[101] I. Pozdnyakov,[101] G. Safronov,[101] A. Spiridonov,[101] A. Stepennov,[101] M. Toms,[101] E. Vlasov,[101] A. Zhokin,[101] T. Aushev,[102] A. Bylinkin,[102,kk] M. Chadeeva,[103,nn] O. Markin,[103] P. Parygin,[103] D. Philippov,[103] S. Polikarpov,[103] V. Rusinov,[103] V. Andreev,[104] M. Azarkin,[104,kk] I. Dremin,[104,kk] M. Kirakosyan,[104,kk] A. Terkulov,[104] A. Baskakov,[105] A. Belyaev,[105] E. Boos,[105] V. Bunichev,[105] M. Dubinin,[105,oo] L. Dudko,[105] A. Ershov,[105] A. Gribushin,[105] V. Klyukhin,[105] O. Kodolova,[105] I. Lokhtin,[105] I. Miagkov,[105] S. Obraztsov,[105] S. Petrushanko,[105] V. Savrin,[105] V. Blinov,[106,pp] Y. Skovpen,[106,pp] D. Shtol,[106,pp] I. Azhgirey,[107] I. Bayshev,[107] S. Bitioukov,[107] D. Elumakhov,[107] V. Kachanov,[107] A. Kalinin,[107] D. Konstantinov,[107] V. Petrov,[107] R. Ryutin,[107] A. Sobol,[107] S. Troshin,[107] N. Tyurin,[107] A. Uzunian,[107] A. Volkov,[107] P. Adzic,[108,qq] P. Cirkovic,[108] D. Devetak,[108] M. Dordevic,[108] J. Milosevic,[108] V. Rekovic,[108] J. Alcaraz Maestre,[109] M. Barrio Luna,[109] M. Cerrada,[109] N. Colino,[109] B. De La Cruz,[109] A. Delgado Peris,[109] A. Escalante Del Valle,[109] C. Fernandez Bedoya,[109] J. P. Fernández Ramos,[109] J. Flix,[109] M. C. Fouz,[109] P. Garcia-Abia,[109] O. Gonzalez Lopez,[109] S. Goy Lopez,[109] J. M. Hernandez,[109] M. I. Josa,[109] D. Moran,[109] A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo,[109] J. Puerta Pelayo,[109] A. Quintario Olmeda,[109] I. Redondo,[109] L. Romero,[109] M. S. Soares,[109] A. Álvarez Fernández,[109] J. F. de Trocóniz,[110] M. Missiroli,[110] J. Cuevas,[111] C. Erice,[111] J. Fernandez Menendez,[111] I. Gonzalez Caballero,[111] J. R. González Fernández,[111] E. Palencia Cortezon,[111] S. Sanchez Cruz,[111] P. Vischia,[111] J. M. Vizan Garcia,[111] I. J. Cabrillo,[112] A. Calderon,[112] B. Chazin Quero,[112] E. Curras,[112] J. Duarte Campderros,[112] M. Fernandez,[112] J. Garcia-Ferrero,[112] G. Gomez,[112] A. Lopez Virto,[112] J. Marco,[112] C. Martinez Rivero,[112] P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol,[112] F. Matorras,[112] J. Piedra Gomez,[112] T. Rodrigo,[112] A. Ruiz-Jimeno,[112] L. Scodellaro,[112] N. Trevisani,[112] I. Vila,[112] R. Vilar Cortabitarte,[112] D. Abbaneo,[113] E. Auffray,[113] P. Baillon,[113] A. H. Ball,[113] D. Barney,[113] M. Bianco,[113] P. Bloch,[113] A. Bocci,[113] C. Botta,[113] T. Camporesi,[113] R. Castello,[113] M. Cepeda,[113] G. Cerminara,[113] E. Chapon,[113] Y. Chen,[113] D. d'Enterria,[113] A. Dabrowski,[113] V. Daponte,[113] A. David,[113] M. De Gruttola,[113] A. De Roeck,[113] M. Dobson,[113] B. Dorney,[113] T. du Pree,[113] M. Dünser,[113] N. Dupont,[113] A. Elliott-Peisert,[113] P. Everaerts,[113] F. Fallavollita,[113] G. Franzoni,[113] J. Fulcher,[113] W. Funk,[113] D. Gigi,[113] K. Gill,[113] F. Glege,[113] D. Gulhan,[113] P. Harris,[113] J. Hegeman,[113] V. Innocente,[113] P. Janot,[113] O. Karacheban,[113,r] J. Kieseler,[113] H. Kirschenmann,[113] V. Knünz,[113] A. Kornmayer,[113,o] M. J. Kortelainen,[113] M. Krammer,[113,b] C. Lange,[113] P. Lecoq,[113] C. Lourenço,[113] M. T. Lucchini,[113] L. Malgeri,[113] M. Mannelli,[113] A. Martelli,[113] F. Meijers,[113] J. A. Merlin,[113] S. Mersi,[113] E. Meschi,[113] P. Milenovic,[113,rr] F. Moortgat,[113] M. Mulders,[113] H. Neugebauer,[113] S. Orfanelli,[113] L. Orsini,[113] L. Pape,[113] E. Perez,[113] M. Peruzzi,[113] A. Petrilli,[113] G. Petrucciani,[113] A. Pfeiffer,[113] M. Pierini,[113] A. Racz,[113] T. Reis,[113] G. Rolandi,[113,ss] M. Rovere,[113] H. Sakulin,[113] C. Schäfer,[113] C. Schwick,[113] M. Seidel,[113] M. Selvaggi,[113] A. Sharma,[113] P. Silva,[113] P. Sphicas,[113,tt] A. Stakia,[113] J. Steggemann,[113] M. Stoye,[113] M. Tosi,[113] D. Treille,[113] A. Triossi,[113] A. Tsirou,[113] V. Veckalns,[113,uu] M. Verweij,[113] W. D. Zeuner,[113] W. Bertl,[114,a] L. Caminada,[114,vv] K. Deiters,[114] W. Erdmann,[114] R. Horisberger,[114] Q. Ingram,[114] H. C. Kaestli,[114] D. Kotlinski,[114] U. Langenegger,[114] T. Rohe,[114] S. A. Wiederkehr,[114] F. Bachmair,[115] L. Bäni,[115] P. Berger,[115] L. Bianchini,[115] B. Casal,[115] G. Dissertori,[115] M. Dittmar,[115] M. Donegà,[115] C. Grab,[115] C. Heidegger,[115] D. Hits,[115] J. Hoss,[115] G. Kasieczka,[115] T. Klijnsma,[115] W. Lustermann,[115] B. Mangano,[115] M. Marionneau,[115] M. T. Meinhard,[115] D. Meister,[115] F. Micheli,[115] P. Musella,[115] F. Nessi-Tedaldi,[115] F. Pandolfi,[115] J. Pata,[115] F. Pauss,[115] G. Perrin,[115] L. Perrozzi,[115] M. Quittnat,[115] M. Reichmann,[115] M. Schönenberger,[115] L. Shchutska,[115] V. R. Tavolaro,[115] K. Theofilatos,[115] M. L. Vesterbacka Olsson,[115] R. Wallny,[115] D. H. Zhu,[115] T. K. Aarrestad,[116] C. Amsler,[116,ww] M. F. Canelli,[116] A. De Cosa,[116] R. Del Burgo,[116] S. Donato,[116] C. Galloni,[116] T. Hreus,[116] B. Kilminster,[116] J. Ngadiuba,[116] D. Pinna,[116] G. Rauco,[116] P. Robmann,[116] D. Salerno,[116] C. Seitz,[116] Y. Takahashi,[116] A. Zucchetta,[116] V. Candelise,[117] T. H. Doan,[117] Sh. Jain,[117] R. Khurana,[117] C. M. Kuo,[117] W. Lin,[117] A. Pozdnyakov,[117] S. S. Yu,[117] Arun Kumar,[118] P. Chang,[118] Y. Chao,[118] K. F. Chen,[118] P. H. Chen,[118] F. Fiori,[118] W.-S. Hou,[118] Y. Hsiung,[118] Y. F. Liu,[118] R.-S. Lu,[118] E. Paganis,[118] A. Psallidas,[118] A. Steen,[118] J. f. Tsai,[118] B. Asavapibhop,[119] K. Kovitanggoon,[119] G. Singh,[119] N. Srimanobhas,[119] F. Boran,[120] S. Cerci,[120,xx] S. Damarseckin,[120] Z. S. Demiroglu,[120] C. Dozen,[120] I. Dumanoglu,[120] S. Girgis,[120] G. Gokbulut,[120] Y. Guler,[120] I. Hos,[120,yy] E. E. Kangal,[120,zz] O. Kara,[120] A. Kayis Topaksu,[120] U. Kiminsu,[120] M. Oglakci,[120] G. Onengut,[120,aaa] K. Ozdemir,[120,bbb] D. Sunar Cerci,[120,xx] B. Tali,[120,xx] S. Turkcapar,[120] I. S. Zorbakir,[120]

C. Zorbilmez,[120] B. Bilin,[121] G. Karapinar,[121,ccc] K. Ocalan,[121,ddd] M. Yalvac,[121] M. Zeyrek,[121] E. Gülmez,[122] M. Kaya,[122,eee] O. Kaya,[122,fff] S. Tekten,[122] E. A. Yetkin,[122,ggg] M. N. Agaras,[123] S. Atay,[123] A. Cakir,[123] K. Cankocak,[123] B. Grynyov,[124] L. Levchuk,[125] R. Aggleton,[126] F. Ball,[126] L. Beck,[126] J. J. Brooke,[126] D. Burns,[126] E. Clement,[126] D. Cussans,[126] O. Davignon,[126] H. Flacher,[126] J. Goldstein,[126] M. Grimes,[126] G. P. Heath,[126] H. F. Heath,[126] J. Jacob,[126] L. Kreczko,[126] C. Lucas,[126] D. M. Newbold,[126,hhh] S. Paramesvaran,[126] A. Poll,[126] T. Sakuma,[126] S. Seif El Nasr-storey,[126] D. Smith,[126] V. J. Smith,[126] K. W. Bell,[127] A. Belyaev,[127,iii] C. Brew,[127] R. M. Brown,[127] L. Calligaris,[127] D. Cieri,[127] D. J. A. Cockerill,[127] J. A. Coughlan,[127] K. Harder,[127] S. Harper,[127] E. Olaiya,[127] D. Petyt,[127] C. H. Shepherd-Themistocleous,[127] A. Thea,[127] I. R. Tomalin,[127] T. Williams,[127] G. Auzinger,[128] R. Bainbridge,[128] S. Breeze,[128] O. Buchmuller,[128] A. Bundock,[128] S. Casasso,[128] M. Citron,[128] D. Colling,[128] L. Corpe,[128] P. Dauncey,[128] G. Davies,[128] A. De Wit,[128] M. Della Negra,[128] R. Di Maria,[128] A. Elwood,[128] Y. Haddad,[128] G. Hall,[128] G. Iles,[128] T. James,[128] R. Lane,[128] C. Laner,[128] L. Lyons,[128] A.-M. Magnan,[128] S. Malik,[128] L. Mastrolorenzo,[128] T. Matsushita,[128] J. Nash,[128] A. Nikitenko,[128,g] V. Palladino,[128] M. Pesaresi,[128] D. M. Raymond,[128] A. Richards,[128] A. Rose,[128] E. Scott,[128] C. Seez,[128] A. Shtipliyski,[128] S. Summers,[128] A. Tapper,[128] K. Uchida,[128] M. Vazquez Acosta,[128,jjj] T. Virdee,[128,o] N. Wardle,[128] D. Winterbottom,[128] J. Wright,[128] S. C. Zenz,[128] J. E. Cole,[129] P. R. Hobson,[129] A. Khan,[129] P. Kyberd,[129] I. D. Reid,[129] P. Symonds,[129] L. Teodorescu,[129] M. Turner,[129] A. Borzou,[130] K. Call,[130] J. Dittmann,[130] K. Hatakeyama,[130] H. Liu,[130] N. Pastika,[130] C. Smith,[130] R. Bartek,[131] A. Dominguez,[131] A. Buccilli,[132] S. I. Cooper,[132] C. Henderson,[132] P. Rumerio,[132] C. West,[132] D. Arcaro,[133] A. Avetisyan,[133] T. Bose,[133] D. Gastler,[133] D. Rankin,[133] C. Richardson,[133] J. Rohlf,[133] L. Sulak,[133] D. Zou,[133] G. Benelli,[134] D. Cutts,[134] A. Garabedian,[134] J. Hakala,[134] U. Heintz,[134] J. M. Hogan,[134] K. H. M. Kwok,[134] E. Laird,[134] G. Landsberg,[134] Z. Mao,[134] M. Narain,[134] J. Pazzini,[134] S. Piperov,[134] S. Sagir,[134] R. Syarif,[134] D. Yu,[134] R. Band,[135] C. Brainerd,[135] D. Burns,[135] M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez,[135] M. Chertok,[135] J. Conway,[135] R. Conway,[135] P. T. Cox,[135] R. Erbacher,[135] C. Flores,[135] G. Funk,[135] M. Gardner,[135] W. Ko,[135] R. Lander,[135] C. Mclean,[135] M. Mulhearn,[135] D. Pellett,[135] J. Pilot,[135] S. Shalhout,[135] M. Shi,[135] J. Smith,[135] M. Squires,[135] D. Stolp,[135] K. Tos,[135] M. Tripathi,[135] Z. Wang,[135] M. Bachtis,[136] C. Bravo,[136] R. Cousins,[136] A. Dasgupta,[136] A. Florent,[136] J. Hauser,[136] M. Ignatenko,[136] N. Mccoll,[136] S. Regnard,[136] D. Saltzberg,[136] C. Schnaible,[136] V. Valuev,[136] E. Bouvier,[137] K. Burt,[137] R. Clare,[137] J. Ellison,[137] J. W. Gary,[137] S. M. A. Ghiasi Shirazi,[137] G. Hanson,[137] J. Heilman,[137] P. Jandir,[137] E. Kennedy,[137] F. Lacroix,[137] O. R. Long,[137] M. Olmedo Negrete,[137] M. I. Paneva,[137] A. Shrinivas,[137] W. Si,[137] L. Wang,[137] H. Wei,[137] S. Wimpenny,[137] B. R. Yates,[137] J. G. Branson,[138] S. Cittolin,[138] M. Derdzinski,[138] R. Gerosa,[138] B. Hashemi,[138] A. Holzner,[138] D. Klein,[138] G. Kole,[138] V. Krutelyov,[138] J. Letts,[138] I. Macneill,[138] M. Masciovecchio,[138] D. Olivito,[138] S. Padhi,[138] M. Pieri,[138] M. Sani,[138] V. Sharma,[138] S. Simon,[138] M. Tadel,[138] A. Vartak,[138] S. Wasserbaech,[138,kkk] J. Wood,[138] F. Würthwein,[138] A. Yagil,[138] G. Zevi Della Porta,[138] N. Amin,[139] R. Bhandari,[139] J. Bradmiller-Feld,[139] C. Campagnari,[139] A. Dishaw,[139] V. Dutta,[139] M. Franco Sevilla,[139] C. George,[139] F. Golf,[139] L. Gouskos,[139] J. Gran,[139] R. Heller,[139] J. Incandela,[139] S. D. Mullin,[139] A. Ovcharova,[139] H. Qu,[139] J. Richman,[139] D. Stuart,[139] I. Suarez,[139] J. Yoo,[139] D. Anderson,[140] J. Bendavid,[140] A. Bornheim,[140] J. M. Lawhorn,[140] H. B. Newman,[140] T. Nguyen,[140] C. Pena,[140] M. Spiropulu,[140] J. R. Vlimant,[140] S. Xie,[140] Z. Zhang,[140] R. Y. Zhu,[140] M. B. Andrews,[141] T. Ferguson,[141] T. Mudholkar,[141] M. Paulini,[141] J. Russ,[141] M. Sun,[141] H. Vogel,[141] I. Vorobiev,[141] M. Weinberg,[141] J. P. Cumalat,[142] W. T. Ford,[142] F. Jensen,[142] A. Johnson,[142] M. Krohn,[142] S. Leontsinis,[142] T. Mulholland,[142] K. Stenson,[142] S. R. Wagner,[142] J. Alexander,[143] J. Chaves,[143] J. Chu,[143] S. Dittmer,[143] K. Mcdermott,[143] N. Mirman,[143] J. R. Patterson,[143] A. Rinkevicius,[143] A. Ryd,[143] L. Skinnari,[143] L. Soffi,[143] S. M. Tan,[143] Z. Tao,[143] J. Thom,[143] J. Tucker,[143] P. Wittich,[143] M. Zientek,[143] S. Abdullin,[144] M. Albrow,[144] G. Apollinari,[144] A. Apresyan,[144] A. Apyan,[144] S. Banerjee,[144] L. A. T. Bauerdick,[144] A. Beretvas,[144] J. Berryhill,[144] P. C. Bhat,[144] G. Bolla,[144,a] K. Burkett,[144] J. N. Butler,[144] A. Canepa,[144] G. B. Cerati,[144] H. W. K. Cheung,[144] F. Chlebana,[144] M. Cremonesi,[144] J. Duarte,[144] V. D. Elvira,[144] J. Freeman,[144] Z. Gecse,[144] E. Gottschalk,[144] L. Gray,[144] D. Green,[144] S. Grünendahl,[144] O. Gutsche,[144] R. M. Harris,[144] S. Hasegawa,[144] J. Hirschauer,[144] Z. Hu,[144] B. Jayatilaka,[144] S. Jindariani,[144] M. Johnson,[144] U. Joshi,[144] B. Klima,[144] B. Kreis,[144] S. Lammel,[144] D. Lincoln,[144] R. Lipton,[144] M. Liu,[144] T. Liu,[144] R. Lopes De Sá,[144] J. Lykken,[144] K. Maeshima,[144] N. Magini,[144] J. M. Marraffino,[144] S. Maruyama,[144] D. Mason,[144] P. McBride,[144] P. Merkel,[144] S. Mrenna,[144] S. Nahn,[144] V. O'Dell,[144] K. Pedro,[144] O. Prokofyev,[144] G. Rakness,[144] L. Ristori,[144] B. Schneider,[144] E. Sexton-Kennedy,[144] A. Soha,[144] W. J. Spalding,[144] L. Spiegel,[144] S. Stoynev,[144] J. Strait,[144] N. Strobbe,[144] L. Taylor,[144] S. Tkaczyk,[144] N. V. Tran,[144] L. Uplegger,[144] E. W. Vaandering,[144] C. Vernieri,[144] M. Verzocchi,[144] R. Vidal,[144] M. Wang,[144] H. A. Weber,[144] A. Whitbeck,[144] D. Acosta,[145] P. Avery,[145] P. Bortignon,[145] D. Bourilkov,[145] A. Brinkerhoff,[145] A. Carnes,[145] M. Carver,[145] D. Curry,[145] R. D. Field,[145] I. K. Furic,[145]

J. Konigsberg,[145] A. Korytov,[145] K. Kotov,[145] P. Ma,[145] K. Matchev,[145] H. Mei,[145] G. Mitselmakher,[145] D. Rank,[145] D. Sperka,[145] N. Terentyev,[145] L. Thomas,[145] J. Wang,[145] S. Wang,[145] J. Yelton,[145] Y. R. Joshi,[146] S. Linn,[146] P. Markowitz,[146] J. L. Rodriguez,[146] A. Ackert,[147] T. Adams,[147] A. Askew,[147] S. Hagopian,[147] V. Hagopian,[147] K. F. Johnson,[147] T. Kolberg,[147] G. Martinez,[147] T. Perry,[147] H. Prosper,[147] A. Saha,[147] A. Santra,[147] V. Sharma,[147] R. Yohay,[147] M. M. Baarmand,[148] V. Bhopatkar,[148] S. Colafranceschi,[148] M. Hohlmann,[148] D. Noonan,[148] T. Roy,[148] F. Yumiceva,[148] M. R. Adams,[149] L. Apanasevich,[149] D. Berry,[149] R. R. Betts,[149] R. Cavanaugh,[149] X. Chen,[149] O. Evdokimov,[149] C. E. Gerber,[149] D. A. Hangal,[149] D. J. Hofman,[149] K. Jung,[149] J. Kamin,[149] I. D. Sandoval Gonzalez,[149] M. B. Tonjes,[149] H. Trauger,[149] N. Varelas,[149] H. Wang,[149] Z. Wu,[149] J. Zhang,[149] B. Bilki,[150,lll] W. Clarida,[150] K. Dilsiz,[150,mmm] S. Durgut,[150] R. P. Gandrajula,[150] M. Haytmyradov,[150] V. Khristenko,[150] J.-P. Merlo,[150] H. Mermerkaya,[150,nnn] A. Mestvirishvili,[150] A. Moeller,[150] J. Nachtman,[150] H. Ogul,[150,ooo] Y. Onel,[150] F. Ozok,[150,ppp] A. Penzo,[150] C. Snyder,[150] E. Tiras,[150] J. Wetzel,[150] K. Yi,[150] B. Blumenfeld,[151] A. Cocoros,[151] N. Eminizer,[151] D. Fehling,[151] L. Feng,[151] A. V. Gritsan,[151] P. Maksimovic,[151] J. Roskes,[151] U. Sarica,[151] M. Swartz,[151] M. Xiao,[151] C. You,[151] A. Al-bataineh,[152] P. Baringer,[152] A. Bean,[152] S. Boren,[152] J. Bowen,[152] J. Castle,[152] S. Khalil,[152] A. Kropivnitskaya,[152] D. Majumder,[152] W. Mcbrayer,[152] M. Murray,[152] C. Royon,[152] S. Sanders,[152] E. Schmitz,[152] J. D. Tapia Takaki,[152] Q. Wang,[152] A. Ivanov,[153] K. Kaadze,[153] Y. Maravin,[153] A. Mohammadi,[153] L. K. Saini,[153] N. Skhirtladze,[153] S. Toda,[153] F. Rebassoo,[154] D. Wright,[154] C. Anelli,[155] A. Baden,[155] O. Baron,[155] A. Belloni,[155] B. Calvert,[155] S. C. Eno,[155] C. Ferraioli,[155] N. J. Hadley,[155] S. Jabeen,[155] G. Y. Jeng,[155] R. G. Kellogg,[155] J. Kunkle,[155] A. C. Mignerey,[155] F. Ricci-Tam,[155] Y. H. Shin,[155] A. Skuja,[155] S. C. Tonwar,[155] D. Abercrombie,[156] B. Allen,[156] V. Azzolini,[156] R. Barbieri,[156] A. Baty,[156] R. Bi,[156] S. Brandt,[156] W. Busza,[156] I. A. Cali,[156] M. D'Alfonso,[156] Z. Demiragli,[156] G. Gomez Ceballos,[156] M. Goncharov,[156] D. Hsu,[156] Y. Iiyama,[156] G. M. Innocenti,[156] M. Klute,[156] D. Kovalskyi,[156] Y. S. Lai,[156] Y.-J. Lee,[156] A. Levin,[156] P. D. Luckey,[156] B. Maier,[156] A. C. Marini,[156] C. Mcginn,[156] C. Mironov,[156] S. Narayanan,[156] X. Niu,[156] C. Paus,[156] C. Roland,[156] G. Roland,[156] J. Salfeld-Nebgen,[156] G. S. F. Stephans,[156] K. Tatar,[156] D. Velicanu,[156] J. Wang,[156] T. W. Wang,[156] B. Wyslouch,[156] A. C. Benvenuti,[157] R. M. Chatterjee,[157] A. Evans,[157] P. Hansen,[157] S. Kalafut,[157] Y. Kubota,[157] Z. Lesko,[157] J. Mans,[157] S. Nourbakhsh,[157] N. Ruckstuhl,[157] R. Rusack,[157] J. Turkewitz,[157] J. G. Acosta,[158] S. Oliveros,[158] E. Avdeeva,[159] K. Bloom,[159] D. R. Claes,[159] C. Fangmeier,[159] R. Gonzalez Suarez,[159] R. Kamalieddin,[159] I. Kravchenko,[159] J. Monroy,[159] J. E. Siado,[159] G. R. Snow,[159] B. Stieger,[159] M. Alyari,[160] J. Dolen,[160] A. Godshalk,[160] C. Harrington,[160] I. Iashvili,[160] D. Nguyen,[160] A. Parker,[160] S. Rappoccio,[160] B. Roozbahani,[160] G. Alverson,[161] E. Barberis,[161] A. Hortiangtham,[161] A. Massironi,[161] D. M. Morse,[161] D. Nash,[161] T. Orimoto,[161] R. Teixeira De Lima,[161] D. Trocino,[161] D. Wood,[161] S. Bhattacharya,[162] O. Charaf,[162] K. A. Hahn,[162] N. Mucia,[162] N. Odell,[162] B. Pollack,[162] M. H. Schmitt,[162] K. Sung,[162] M. Trovato,[162] M. Velasco,[162] N. Dev,[163] M. Hildreth,[163] K. Hurtado Anampa,[163] C. Jessop,[163] D. J. Karmgard,[163] N. Kellams,[163] K. Lannon,[163] N. Loukas,[163] N. Marinelli,[163] F. Meng,[163] C. Mueller,[163] Y. Musienko,[163,jj] M. Planer,[163] A. Reinsvold,[163] R. Ruchti,[163] G. Smith,[163] S. Taroni,[163] M. Wayne,[163] M. Wolf,[163] A. Woodard,[163] J. Alimena,[164] L. Antonelli,[164] B. Bylsma,[164] L. S. Durkin,[164] S. Flowers,[164] B. Francis,[164] A. Hart,[164] C. Hill,[164] W. Ji,[164] B. Liu,[164] W. Luo,[164] D. Puigh,[164] B. L. Winer,[164] H. W. Wulsin,[164] S. Cooperstein,[165] O. Driga,[165] P. Elmer,[165] J. Hardenbrook,[165] P. Hebda,[165] S. Higginbotham,[165] D. Lange,[165] J. Luo,[165] D. Marlow,[165] K. Mei,[165] I. Ojalvo,[165] J. Olsen,[165] C. Palmer,[165] P. Piroué,[165] D. Stickland,[165] C. Tully,[165] S. Malik,[166] S. Norberg,[166] A. Barker,[167] V. E. Barnes,[167] S. Das,[167] S. Folgueras,[167] L. Gutay,[167] M. K. Jha,[167] M. Jones,[167] A. W. Jung,[167] A. Khatiwada,[167] D. H. Miller,[167] N. Neumeister,[167] C. C. Peng,[167] J. F. Schulte,[167] J. Sun,[167] F. Wang,[167] W. Xie,[167] T. Cheng,[168] N. Parashar,[168] J. Stupak,[168] A. Adair,[169] B. Akgun,[169] Z. Chen,[169] K. M. Ecklund,[169] F. J. M. Geurts,[169] M. Guilbaud,[169] W. Li,[169] B. Michlin,[169] M. Northup,[169] B. P. Padley,[169] J. Roberts,[169] J. Rorie,[169] Z. Tu,[169] J. Zabel,[169] A. Bodek,[170] P. de Barbaro,[170] R. Demina,[170] Y. t. Duh,[170] T. Ferbel,[170] M. Galanti,[170] A. Garcia-Bellido,[170] J. Han,[170] O. Hindrichs,[170] A. Khukhunaishvili,[170] K. H. Lo,[170] P. Tan,[170] M. Verzetti,[170] R. Ciesielski,[171] K. Goulianos,[171] C. Mesropian,[171] A. Agapitos,[172] J. P. Chou,[172] Y. Gershtein,[172] T. A. Gómez Espinosa,[172] E. Halkiadakis,[172] M. Heindl,[172] E. Hughes,[172] S. Kaplan,[172] R. Kunnawalkam Elayavalli,[172] S. Kyriacou,[172] A. Lath,[172] R. Montalvo,[172] K. Nash,[172] M. Osherson,[172] H. Saka,[172] S. Salur,[172] S. Schnetzer,[172] D. Sheffield,[172] S. Somalwar,[172] R. Stone,[172] S. Thomas,[172] P. Thomassen,[172] M. Walker,[172] A. G. Delannoy,[173] M. Foerster,[173] J. Heideman,[173] G. Riley,[173] K. Rose,[173] S. Spanier,[173] K. Thapa,[173] O. Bouhali,[174,qqq] A. Castaneda Hernandez,[174,qqq] A. Celik,[174] M. Dalchenko,[174] M. De Mattia,[174] A. Delgado,[174] S. Dildick,[174] R. Eusebi,[174] J. Gilmore,[174] T. Huang,[174] T. Kamon,[174,rrr] R. Mueller,[174] Y. Pakhotin,[174] R. Patel,[174] A. Perloff,[174] L. Perniè,[174] D. Rathjens,[174] A. Safonov,[174] A. Tatarinov,[174] K. A. Ulmer,[174] N. Akchurin,[175] J. Damgov,[175] F. De Guio,[175] P. R. Dudero,[175] J. Faulkner,[175] E. Gurpinar,[175] S. Kunori,[175]

K. Lamichhane,[175] S. W. Lee,[175] T. Libeiro,[175] T. Peltola,[175] S. Undleeb,[175] I. Volobouev,[175] Z. Wang,[175] S. Greene,[176] A. Gurrola,[176] R. Janjam,[176] W. Johns,[176] C. Maguire,[176] A. Melo,[176] H. Ni,[176] K. Padeken,[176] P. Sheldon,[176] S. Tuo,[176] J. Velkovska,[176] Q. Xu,[176] M. W. Arenton,[177] P. Barria,[177] B. Cox,[177] R. Hirosky,[177] M. Joyce,[177] A. Ledovskoy,[177] H. Li,[177] C. Neu,[177] T. Sinthuprasith,[177] Y. Wang,[177] E. Wolfe,[177] F. Xia,[177] R. Harr,[178] P. E. Karchin,[178] J. Sturdy,[178] S. Zaleski,[178] M. Brodski,[179] J. Buchanan,[179] C. Caillol,[179] S. Dasu,[179] L. Dodd,[179] S. Duric,[179] B. Gomber,[179] M. Grothe,[179] M. Herndon,[179] A. Hervé,[179] U. Hussain,[179] P. Klabbers,[179] A. Lanaro,[179] A. Levine,[179] K. Long,[179] R. Loveless,[179] G. A. Pierro,[179] G. Polese,[179] T. Ruggles,[179] A. Savin,[179] N. Smith,[179] W. H. Smith,[179] D. Taylor,[179] and N. Woods[179]

(CMS Collaboration)

[1]*Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia*
[2]*Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Wien, Austria*
[3]*Institute for Nuclear Problems, Minsk, Belarus*
[4]*Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium*
[5]*Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium*
[6]*Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium*
[7]*Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium*
[8]*Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium*
[9]*Université de Mons, Mons, Belgium*
[10]*Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*
[11]*Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*
[12a]*Universidade Estadual Paulista, São Paulo, Brazil*
[12b]*Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil*
[13]*Institute of Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria*
[14]*University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria*
[15]*Beihang University, Beijing, China*
[16]*Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China*
[17]*State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China*
[18]*Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia*
[19]*University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia*
[20]*University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia*
[21]*Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia*
[22]*University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus*
[23]*Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic*
[24]*Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador*
[25]*Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt*
[26]*National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia*
[27]*Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland*
[28]*Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland*
[29]*Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland*
[30]*IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France*
[31]*Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole polytechnique, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Palaiseau, France*
[32]*Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, F-67000 Strasbourg, France*
[33]*Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France*
[34]*Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France*
[35]*Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia*
[36]*Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia*
[37]*RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany*
[38]*RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany*
[39]*RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany*
[40]*Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany*
[41]*University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany*
[42]*Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Karlsruhe, Germany*

[43]*Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece*
[44]*National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece*
[45]*National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece*
[46]*University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece*
[47]*MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University,
Budapest, Hungary*
[48]*Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary*
[49]*Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary*
[50]*Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary*
[51]*Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India*
[52]*National Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhubaneswar, India*
[53]*Panjab University, Chandigarh, India*
[54]*University of Delhi, Delhi, India*
[55]*Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India*
[56]*Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai, India*
[57]*Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India*
[58]*Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India*
[59]*Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India*
[60]*Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India*
[61]*Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran*
[62]*University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland*
[63a]*INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy*
[63b]*Università di Bari, Bari, Italy*
[63c]*Politecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy*
[64a]*INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy*
[64b]*Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy*
[65a]*INFN Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy*
[65b]*Università di Catania, Catania, Italy*
[66a]*INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy*
[66b]*Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy*
[67]*INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy*
[68a]*INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy*
[68b]*Università di Genova, Genova, Italy*
[69a]*INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy*
[69b]*Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy*
[70a]*INFN Sezione di Napoli, Napoli, Italy*
[70b]*Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy*
[70c]*Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy*
[70d]*Università G. Marconi, Roma, Italy*
[71a]*INFN Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy*
[71b]*Università di Padova, Padova, Italy*
[71c]*Università di Trento, Trento, Italy*
[72a]*INFN Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy*
[72b]*Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy*
[73a]*INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy*
[73b]*Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy*
[74a]*INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy*
[74b]*Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy*
[74c]*Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Pisa, Italy*
[75a]*INFN Sezione di Roma, Rome, Italy*
[75b]*Sapienza Università di Roma, Rome, Italy*
[76a]*INFN Sezione di Torino, Torino, Italy*
[76b]*Università di Torino, Torino, Italy*
[76c]*Università del Piemonte Orientale, Novara, Italy*
[77a]*INFN Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy*
[77b]*Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy*
[78]*Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea*
[79]*Chonbuk National University, Jeonju, Korea*
[80]*Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea*
[81]*Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea*

[82]*Korea University, Seoul, Korea*

[83]*Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea*

[84]*University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea*

[85]*Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea*

[86]*Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania*

[87]*National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia*

[88]*Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico*

[89]*Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico*

[90]*Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico*

[91]*Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico*

[92]*University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand*

[93]*University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand*

[94]*National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan*

[95]*National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland*

[96]*Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland*

[97]*Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal*

[98]*Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia*

[99]*Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia*

[100]*Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia*

[101]*Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia*

[102]*Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Moscow, Russia*

[103]*National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI),
Moscow, Russia*

[104]*P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia*

[105]*Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia*

[106]*Novosibirsk State University (NSU), Novosibirsk, Russia*

[107]*State Research Center of Russian Federation, Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia*

[108]*University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia*

[109]*Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain*

[110]*Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain*

[111]*Universidad de Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain*

[112]*Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain*

[113]*CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland*

[114]*Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland*

[115]*Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland*

[116]*Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland*

[117]*National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan*

[118]*National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan*

[119]*Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok, Thailand*

[120]*Çukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey*

[121]*Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey*

[122]*Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey*

[123]*Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey*

[124]*Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkov, Ukraine*

[125]*National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine*

[126]*University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom*

[127]*Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom*

[128]*Imperial College, London, United Kingdom*

[129]*Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom*

[130]*Baylor University, Waco, Texas, USA*

[131]*Catholic University of America, Washington DC, USA*

[132]*The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, USA*

[133]*Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA*

[134]*Brown University, Providence, Massachusetts, USA*

[135]*University of California, Davis, Davis, California, USA*

[136]*University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA*

[137]*University of California, Riverside, Riverside, California, USA*

[138]*University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA*

[139]*University of California, Santa Barbara - Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, California, USA*

[140]*California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA*

[141]*Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA*
[142]*University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, Colorado, USA*
[143]*Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA*
[144]*Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA*
[145]*University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA*
[146]*Florida International University, Miami, Florida, USA*
[147]*Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida, USA*
[148]*Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, Florida, USA*
[149]*University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, Illinois, USA*
[150]*The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA*
[151]*Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA*
[152]*The University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, USA*
[153]*Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, USA*
[154]*Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, USA*
[155]*University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA*
[156]*Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA*
[157]*University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA*
[158]*University of Mississippi, Oxford, Mississippi, USA*
[159]*University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA*
[160]*State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York, USA*
[161]*Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA*
[162]*Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, USA*
[163]*University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana, USA*
[164]*The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA*
[165]*Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA*
[166]*University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico, USA*
[167]*Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA*
[168]*Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, Indiana, USA*
[169]*Rice University, Houston, Texas, USA*
[170]*University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, USA*
[171]*The Rockefeller University, New York, New York, USA*
[172]*Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA*
[173]*University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA*
[174]*Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA*
[175]*Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas, USA*
[176]*Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA*
[177]*University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA*
[178]*Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, USA*
[179]*University of Wisconsin - Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA*

[a]Deceased.
[b]Also at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria.
[c]Also at State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China.
[d]Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil.
[e]Also at Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Pelotas, Brazil.
[f]Also at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium.
[g]Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia.
[h]Also at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia.
[i]Also at Suez University, Suez, Egypt.
[j]Also at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt.
[k]Also at Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt.
[l]Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France.
[m]Also at Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia.
[n]Also at Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia.
[o]Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland.
[p]Also at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany.
[q]Also at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany.
[r]Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany.
[s]Also at MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary.
[t]Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary.

[u]Also at Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary.

[v]Also at IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India.

[w]Also at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India.

[x]Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India.

[y]Also at University of Ruhuna, Matara, Sri Lanka.

[z]Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran.

[aa]Also at Yazd University, Yazd, Iran.

[bb]Also at Plasma Physics Research Center, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

[cc]Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy.

[dd]Also at INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy.

[ee]Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA.

[ff]Also at International Islamic University of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

[gg]Also at Malaysian Nuclear Agency, MOSTI, Kajang, Malaysia.

[hh]Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico city, Mexico.

[ii]Also at Warsaw University of Technology, Institute of Electronic Systems, Warsaw, Poland.

[jj]Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia.

[kk]Also at National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia.

[ll]Also at St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia.

[mm]Also at University of Florida, Gainesville, USA.

[nn]Also at P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia.

[oo]Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA.

[pp]Also at Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia.

[qq]Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia.

[rr]Also at University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia.

[ss]Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell'INFN, Pisa, Italy.

[tt]Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece.

[uu]Also at Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia.

[vv]Also at Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland.

[ww]Also at Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna, Austria.

[xx]Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey.

[yy]Also at Istanbul Aydin University, Istanbul, Turkey.

[zz]Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey.

[aaa]Also at Cag University, Mersin, Turkey.

[bbb]Also at Piri Reis University, Istanbul, Turkey.

[ccc]Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey.

[ddd]Also at Necmettin Erbakan University, Konya, Turkey.

[eee]Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey.

[fff]Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey.

[ggg]Also at Istanbul Bilgi University, Istanbul, Turkey.

[hhh]Also at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom.

[iii]Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom.

[jjj]Also at Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, La Laguna, Spain.

[kkk]Also at Utah Valley University, Orem, USA.

[lll]Also at Beykent University, Istanbul, Turkey.

[mmm]Also at Bingol University, Bingol, Turkey.

[nnn]Also at Erzincan University, Erzincan, Turkey.

[ooo]Also at Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey.

[ppp]Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey.

[qqq]Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar.

[rrr]Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea.