

Sunburn-related variables, secular trends of improved sun protection and short-term impact on sun attitude behavior in Italian primary schoolchildren

Analysis of the educational campaign "Il Sole Amico" ("The sun as a friend")

Ignazio Stanganelli, MD^{a,b,*}, Luigi Naldi, MD^{c,d}, Simone Cazzaniga, MD^c, Sara Gandini, PhD^e, Serena Magi, MD^a, Pietro Quaglino, MD^f, Simone Ribero, MD, PhD^f, Marco Simonacci, MD^g, Maria Antonietta Pizzichetta, MD^h, Francesco Spagnolo, MD, PhDⁱ, Giuseppe Palmieri, PHD^j, Paola Queirolo, MDⁱ, on behalf of the "Sun Friend project members"

Abstract

Sun protection early in life is an essential issue for primary prevention of skin cancers. The II Sole per Amico was an educational campaign among 66 Italian primary schools. A total of 12,188 questionnaires were completed at baseline. Overall, 9.4% children reported >1 sunburn during the last year and 44.7% parents a use of sunlamps. Independent factors associated with sunburns were: age, lower level of parents' education, light eye and skin color, freckles, nevi on arms, intense sun exposure during the last year, sporadic use of sunscreens, and parental use of sunlamps. A total of 7280 (59.7%) questionnaires were completed at the end of the

Editor: Mauro Alaibac.

IS and LN contributed equally to this work.

The study was supported by Intergruppo Melanoma Italiano (IMI), based on an unrestricted grant by MSD Italia.

The authors have no conflicts of interests to disclose.

Supplemental Digital Content is available for this article.

^a Skin Cancer Unit, Istituto Scientifico Romagnolo per lo Studio e la Cura dei Tumori (IRST) IRCCS, Meldola, ^b Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of Parma, ^c Centro Studi GISED, Bergamo, ^d U.O.C. Dermatologia, Ospedale San Bortolo - Vicenza, Vicenza, ^e Division of Epidemiology and Biostatistics Unit, European Institute of Oncology, Milan, ^f Department of Medical Sciences, Dermatologic Clinic, University of Turin, ^g Department of Dermatology, Macerata Hospital, Macerata, ^h Division of Oncology B, CRO Aviano National Cancer Institute, Aviano, ⁱ Department of Medical Oncology, Ospedale Policlinico San Martino, Genoa, ^j Unit of Cancer Genetics, National Research Council (CNR), Institute of Biomolecular Chemistry (ICB), Sassari, Italy.

* Correspondence: Ignazio Stanganelli, Istituto Scientifico Romagnolo per lo Studio e la Cura dei Tumori (I.R.S.T.) S.r.I., Meldola (FC) Via Piero Maroncelli, 40 (e-mail: ignazio.stanganelli@irst.emr.it).

Copyright © 2020 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial License 4.0 (CCBY-NC), where it is permissible to download, share, remix, transform, and buildup the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be used commercially without permission from the journal.

How to cite this article: Stanganelli I, Naldi L, Cazzaniga S, Gandini S, Magi S, Quaglino P, Ribero S, Simonacci M, Pizzichetta MA, Spagnolo F, Palmieri G, Queirolo P. Sunburn-related variables, secular trends of improved sun protection and short-term impact on sun attitude behavior in Italian primary schoolchildren: Analysis of the educational campaign "Il Sole Amico" ("The sun as a friend"). Medicine 2020;99:1(e18078).

Received: 25 July 2019 / Received in final form: 17 October 2019 / Accepted: 24 October 2019

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000018078

Sun Friend Project members and IMI board members who participated to the study and should be considered as co-authors are the following: Mauro Alaibac, Dermatologic Unit, Medicine Department, University of Padua, Padua, Italy; Oscar Bertetto, "Unità di Coordinamento Rete Oncologica Piemonte Valle d'Aosta", " Città della Salute e della Scienza di Torino" University Hospital, Turin, Italy; Riccardo Bono, Melanoma Unit "IDI-IRCCS", Rome, Italy; Lorenzo Borgognoni, Plastic Surgery Unit, "Centro di Riferimento Regionale per il Melanoma", "S.M. Annunziata" Hospital, Florence, Italy; Gerardo Botti, Istituto Nazionale Tumori IRCCS "Fondazione G. Pascale," Napoli, Italy; Paolo Broganelli, Dermatologic Clinic, Department of Oncology, "Città della Salute e della Scienza di Torino" University Hospital, Turin, Italy; Serafinella Patrizia Cannavò, Department of Experimental Clinical Medicine, University of Messina, "G. Martino" Hospital, Messina, Italy; Corrado Caracò, Istituto Nazionale Tumori IRCCS "Fondazione G. Pascale," Napoli, Italy; Vanna Chiarion Sileni, Oncology Unit, IRCCS "Istituto Oncologico Veneto", Padua, Italy; Emanuele Cozzani, Dermatologic Clinic, IRCCS-AOU San Martino-IST, Genoa, Italy; Paola De Simone, Dermatology Unit, "Istituto Dermatologico San Gallicano" Hospital, Rome, Italy; Michele Del Vecchio, Oncology Unit, IRCCS "Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori", Milan, Italy; Anna Maria Di Giacomo, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Senese, Siena, Italy; Anna Di Landro, Dermatology Unit, "Centro Studi GISED", Bergamo, Italy; Raffaele Filotico, Melanoma Unit, "A. Perrino" Hospital, Brindisi, Italy; Michele Guida, Oncology Unit, IRCCS Giovanni Paolo II, Bari, Italy; Lucia Lospalluti, Dermatology Unit, "Giovanni XXIII" University Hospital, Bari, Italy; Mario Mandalà, Azienda Ospedaliera Papa Giovanni XXIII, Bergamo, Italy; Davide Melandri, Dermatology Unit, "AUSL Romagna Cesena- Forli", Italy; Giovanna Moretti, Dermatologic Unit, Oncology Department, "Papardo" Hospital, Messina, Italy; Anna Maria Offidani, Dermatologic Clinic, University of Ancona; Nicola Pimpinelli, Dermatologic Clinic, University of Florence, Florence, Italy; Franco Rongioletti, Dermatology Unit, Department of Medical Science, University of Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy; Carlo Riccardo Rossi, Surgical Oncology Unit, University of Padua, Padua, Italy; Pier Luigi Santi, Plastic Surgery Unit, IRCCS-AOU San Martino-IST, Genoa, Italy; Alessandro Testori, SOMED Milan, Italy; Marco Tucci, Biomedical Sciences and Oncology Department, University of Bari, Bari, Italy; Leonardo Zichichi, Dermatologic Unit, Trapani Hospital, Trapani, Italv.

educational intervention. No significant difference was documented about behavior between the pre- and post-intervention periods. A significant reduction was instead found in both prevalence of recent sunburns and total number of sunburn episodes after comparison with the data obtained by identical questionnaire in the same geographic areas in the "Sole Si Sole No" project in 2001.

Abbreviations: CI = confidence intervals, GEE = generalized estimating equations, GISED = Group for Epidemiologic Research in Dermatology, IARC = the International Agency for Research on Cancer, NMSC = non-melanoma skin cancer, SD = standard deviations, UV = ultraviolet light.

Keywords: medical education, melanoma, primary prevention, sun protection

1. Introduction

The incidence of skin cancer has shown a persistent and progressive increase in fair-skinned populations over the last decades.^[1,2] In particular, the growing incidence of melanoma and non-melanoma skin cancer (NMSC) among young adults is noteworthy.^[3–5]

In Italy, invasive cutaneous melanoma ranks third in terms of incidence, among all malignant tumors in the population under the age of 50, both in males and females.^[6] Ultraviolet light (UV) exposure is the most important environmental risk factor for melanoma and NMSC.^[7,8] Solar and artificial UV radiations are classified as complete carcinogenes by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC).^[8] Sun protection is an essential issue for primary prevention, and a crucial key point to decrease photocarcinogenesis and costly skin cancer treatments.^[9,10]

There is a general consensus that excessive sun exposure and sunburns during early life play a primary etiopathogenetic role in the development of melanoma located in intermittently exposed areas, such as the trunk, while chronic sun exposure is causing melanoma, in older age, on chronically exposed areas such as the face. Interaction with constitutional variables which regulate cutaneous pigmentation should also be considered as risk factors.^[11–12]

The importance of education to a correct sun exposure cannot be underestimated. Broad agreement exists that UV protection habits should be taught as part of routine preventive measures early in life.^[13–16] A systematic review^[17] concluded that educational approaches to increasing UV-protective behaviors were effective when implemented in primary schools and in recreational settings, and that insufficient evidence was available when implemented in other settings. The educational interventions should be submitted to a rigorous evaluation of impact, and should overcome the numerous physical, psychological and behavioral barriers.^[18,19]

Unfortunately, there are limited data on the long-term impact of educational campaigns and on secular trends in sun protection behavior.^[20]

From 2001 to 2004 the study *SoleSi-SoleNo* (Sun Yes or No) was carried out in Italy, coordinated by the Italian Group for Epidemiologic Research in Dermatology (GISED). The study was based on cluster randomization of a total of 122 schools, in 47 Italian cities. A total of 11,230 children of the second and third grades, were randomized to either a structured educational intervention or simple observation. The study did not document any effect of the educational intervention which involved children, their parents, and teachers, in a structured way, in reducing sunburns or improving sun protection behavior.^[21]

At a distance of over 10 years, a nationwide educational program among schoolchildren, called Il Sole per Amico (The Sun as a Friend), targeting the same age sectors as the SoleSi-SoleNo

project, was organized. The campaign was designed to retrieve a large set of data about skin phenotype, sun attitude behavior, sunburns and sunburns-related variables in the Italian pediatric population, and to test the potential impact of the intervention by comparing pre-campaign vs post-campaign attitudes while on the sun. Since identical questionnaires were used, the results were compared with the findings of the previous SoleSi-SoleNo program.

The objectives of this study were:

- To assess factors influencing a history of sunburns and sun protection behavior in schoolchildren participating in the campaign;
- 2. To compare the baseline sun behavior data and reported sunburn rates, collected through the *SoleSi-SoleNo* campaign in September-October 2001 with identical data collected before the start of the *Il Sole per Amico* campaign in September-October 2015, to look for long term changes with reported sunburns and behavioral attitudes;
- 3. To test the potential short-term impact of the educational campaign *Il Sole per Amico* in a representative sample of Italian primary schoolchildren, comparing the data on sun exposure, ie, prevalence of sunburns and sun protection behavior, at baseline before the starting of the intervention and at a distance of about 6 months after completing the educational program.

2. Methods

The Il Sole per Amico was an educational campaign lasting for 1 year in a representative sample of primary schools from seven Italian regions with a total of 52 cities and 66 schools. Details about type of educational intervention, provided questionnaires, and applied statistical strategies are reported in Supplementary Material, http://links.lww.com/MD/D475. The study was approved by ethical committee of GISED.

2.1. Educational intervention

The educational intervention was structured into steps. In the first phase the principals/school officials/teachers of each institute in the participating regions were contacted in order to plan their participation in the study. Then, preparatory meetings were held with the heads of the schools adhering to the campaign, also involving a team of pedagogists. An educational kit was developed and made available to each school containing: a letter of presentation of the campaign, posters/flyers/handbills, a DVD with an educational video, and a series of illustrated educational materials for the pupils and their parents. A guide was given to the school teachers including information about the campaign, the skin, the importance of sun protection, and the risks associated with excessive sun exposure. The guide also included ideas and indications for transmitting the basic concepts to the children and for organizing teaching activities on the topics of the campaign. A website was implemented with educational materials and a regular update about the campaign.

At least 3 hours during the school year were spent on the campaign themes. A 1 hour educational meeting was also held in each institute run by a dermatologist or trained IMI member on the correct mode of sun exposure, with the help of illustrative slides and the projection of an animated cartoon. At the end of the lesson, the pupils were given time to ask questions. IMI also launched an award among the schools for the production of a drawing, a limerick or a short novel, inspired by the contents of the campaign (https://www.melanomaimi.it/il-sole-per-amico-campagna-nazionale-di-prevenzione-del-melanoma.html).

2.2. Questionnaire

A questionnaire, for completion by parents, was distributed to schoolchildren before the start of the educational intervention, and a second one after the summer period, about 6 months after the end of the intervention, with the aim of assessing the impact of the campaign.

The questionnaire was structured to evaluate the degree of knowledge and correct mode of sun exposure and protection among the pupils and their parents.

It included demographic information on schoolchildren, that is, age, gender, height, and weight, information on parent education and occupation, phenotypic features of the children (ie, eye, hair, and skin color, nevus count on the arms), information on sun exposure in the children (sunburn episodes over lifetime and during the year preceding and following the intervention, vacations spent on seaside, mountains, and skiing holidays, information on the modalities of sun protection used (use of clothing, sunscreens and protection factor), and parents' use of sunlamps and knowledge about their use.

2.3. Statistical analysis

For descriptive purposes the categorical variables were represented by absolute frequencies and percentages, whereas the continuous variables by means and standard deviations (SD). The continuous variables were categorized by using clinically relevant cut-offs. In details, we have considered as nevus count cut-offs 0 to 10, 11 to 20, $>20^{[22,23]}$ and as sunburn during the previous year 0, 1 to 2, 3 or more. As concerns the level of sun protection adopted, a total score was calculated as the sum of the individual questions on: use of a hat, use of a T-shirt, use of sunglasses, use of sunscreens and protection factor used. The score for each question went from 0 (rarely/never/low) to 2 (always/high), for a total that ranged from 0 to 10.

In the univariate analysis, the Pearson X^2 test was used to determine statistically significant differences in the prevalence of sunburns among the different categories of the variables investigated at baseline and to evaluate significant differences among the prevalences in the studies *SoleSi-SoleNo* and *II Sole per Amico*. The prevalences in the 2 studies were calculated together with their respective 95% confidence intervals (CI). Where necessary, the correlation among variables was investigated by means of the Pearson correlation coefficient (r).

All factors with P value <.15 identified in the univariate analysis were included in conditional logistic regression models

taking into account the matching of the pupils per school and with a stepwise regression algorithm for the selection of the variables with significant independent effects. The effects were expressed in terms of odds ratio (OR) with respective 95% CI.

As concerns the analysis of the differences between baseline and the end of the educational campaign, generalized estimating equations (GEE) were employed, taking into account the matching of the pupils per school and with effects expressed in terms of OR and respective 95% CI. The GEE were employed both at the univariate and multivariable level, including as adjustment factors in the model: age, level of parents' education, episodes of intense sun exposure during the last year and skin color. All the tests were evaluated as statistically significant at Pvalues <.05. Analyses were carried out using SPSS software v.20.0 (IBM Corp: Armonk, NY).

3. Results

3.1. Demographic data

In November 2015 (before starting the educational intervention), 12,188 questionnaires were filled in by the parents of the participating pupils (30.3% in the Northern Italy, 37.4% in Central Italy and 32.3% in Southern Italy and the Islands). The pupils in the sample had a mean age of 8.1 ± 1.2 years (mean \pm SD) and 51.3% were female, with a similar distribution among the various geographic areas (Table 1). The level of education among parents was similar, with 45.8% of the fathers and 49.6% of the mothers having attended a secondary school; the fathers' most frequent professions were skilled worker/artisan (18.7%) and office workers (18.3%), while the mothers' ones were housewives (34.9%) and office workers (19.8%), with a variable distribution, depending on the geographic area.

Most of the pupils had dark brown (46.5%) or light brown eyes (18.1%), light (44.7%) or dark brown hair (31.2%) and fair (63.7%) or fairly dark skin (63.7%). Concerning the nevus count, 86.1% of the children had less than 10 nevi on their arms (mean 5 ± 6.4) and 18% presented ephelides, with similar phenotypic characteristics as to gender and geographic area.

Overall, 25.5% reported at least one sunburn during the child life (mean age at the first burn 5.7 ± 1.7 years) and 23.3% reported episodes of intense sun exposure during the previous year, with few differences per the origin geographic area; 9.4% of the children reported at least one episode of sunburn during the previous year, with a mean of 1.7 ± 3.2 burns among those who reported at least one. During the year preceding the interview, 75.6% of the children had spent holidays far from their place of residence: in details 87.7% of the latter had spent holidays at the seaside, 30.3% on the mountains, 12.4% had spent skiing holidays and 8.2% holidays in other places, with fairly ample differences among the different areas.

Concerning the behaviors during sun exposure, a total of 73.5% of the participants reported having used a hat sometimes or always, 73.6% having used a T-shirt, 54.2% sunglasses and 85.8% having always used sunscreens, with some differences as to gender and geographic location; 84.2% of the children used a high sun protection factor and only 1.1% a low factor. The mean total score of sun protection, given by the sum of the previous questions, was 6.2 ± 1.7 .

As for the parents' use and knowledge about sunlamps, 44.7% of them stated they had used them, 17.9% thought they are useful to limit the risk of sunburn, 43.9% did not know what to answer

	Total [*] (n. 10100
General and demographic characteristics of the children and parents who participated in the study, by geographic	cal area.
Table 1	

	Alea						Total (I	(11 = 12100)
	Northern Ita	aly (n=3695)	Central Ital	Central Italy (n = 4559)	Southern Italy / Islands (n=3934)		-	
	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%
Gender								
Μ	1845	50.1%	2209	48.7%	1852	47.4%	5906	48.7%
F	1837	49.9%	2328	51.3%	2054	52.6%	6219	51.3%
Age (years)								
(media, SD)	8.2	1.2	8.2	1.2	8.0	1.2	8.1	1.2
6 or less	677	18.8%	878	19.8%	910	24.0%	2465	20.9%
7	887	24.7%	1034	23.3%	852	22.5%	2773	23.5%
8	925	25.7%	1186	26.8%	1015	26.8%	3126	26.5%
9 or more	1104	30.7%	1335	30.1%	1008	26.6%	3447	29.2%
Weight, kg								
(media, SD)	29.0	7.1	29.5	7.3	29.1	7.6	29.2	7.4
Height, cm								
(media, SD)	130.3	10.0	130.5	10.3	128.2	11.0	129.7	10.5
BMI, kg/m ²								
(media, SD)	17.0	3.3	17.2	3.1	17.7	3.9	17.3	3.4
Parent's education qualific	cation (father)							
Primary school	242	6.7%	260	5.8%	270	7.0%	772	6.5%
Secondary school	927	25.5%	1209	27.1%	1381	35.7%	3517	29.4%
High school	1714	47.2%	2181	48.8%	1584	41.0%	5479	45.8%
Degree/post-degree	749	20.6%	817	18.3%	629	16.3%	2195	18.3%
Parent's education qualific	cation (mother)							
Primary school	69	1.9%	76	1.7%	111	2.9%	256	2.1%
Secondary school	615	16.8%	807	18.0%	1169	30.1%	2591	21.5%
High school	1828	49.9%	2417	53.8%	1731	44.6%	5976	49.6%
Degree/post-degree	1150	31.4%	1195	26.6%	872	22.5%	3217	26.7%

BMI = Body Mass Index, SD = Standard Deviation.

"Sum may not add up to the total because of missing values.

on that, while 72.1% of the parents knew that minors are not allowed to use them.

3.2. Analysis of factors associated with sunburns at baseline

Table 2 presents the analysis of the factors associated with the prevalence of sunburn in children participating in the study during the year before the start of the educational program. In the multivariate analysis independent factors associated with sunburns were: age, with an upward trend from year to year; the parents' education (the education of the father and the mother were moderately correlated (r = 0.51), with greater risk associated with a lower level of education; eve color, with a slightly higher risk in those with brown/green eyes or light color eyes (blue/grey); skin color, with twice the risk for those with a very fair skin as compared with those with a darker skin; the presence of freckles; an high number of nevi on their arms, with a considerably higher risk for those who presented more than 20 nevi; episodes of intense sun exposure during the last year; the sporadic or no use of a hat during sun exposure; a sporadic use of sunscreens; the use of sunlamps by parents. In a separate analysis, the use of a hat during sun exposure was amply correlated with the total score of sun protection (r =0.70) just like the use of other clothing and sun protections.

3.3. Impact of the educational intervention

Between September and November 2016, about 6 months after the conclusion of the educational intervention, a total of 7280 questionnaires (34.2% in the North, 30.9% in Central Italy, 35.0% In the South and Islands) were asked to be completed again by the parents of children participating in the educational program (59.7% of the children originally enrolled). The comparison of the pre- and post-intervention responses, is presented in Table 3. No significant difference was documented concerning the factors investigated with the exception of a trend toward a slightly increased use of a hat and sunglasses while on the sun. The rate of sunburns reported during the previous year was similar in the 2 study waves.

3.4. Comparison of baseline data of the SoleSi-SoleNo and II Sole per Amico projects

Comparison of the baseline data for variables of interest between the studies *SoleSi-SoleNo* (2001–2004) and *Il Sole per Amico* (2015–2016) is reported in Table 4. Overall, a significant reduction was found in the prevalence of recent sunburns, from 13.8% (95% CI: 13.1–14.4) in 2001 to 9.4% (95% CI: 8.8–9.9) in 2015, and in the total number of sunburn episodes reported. Also the use of adequate protections during sun exposure increased in 2015 as compared with 2001, from 86.4% (95% CI: 85.7–87.0) to 93.1% (95% CI: 92.7–93.6), as well as the frequent use of a T-shirt from 19.7% (95% CI: 19.0–20.5) to 28.8% (95% CI: 28.0–29.6), although the regular use of the hat was reduced from 37.7% (95% CI: 36.8–38.6) to 23.3% (95% CI: 22.6–24.1). The regular use of sunscreens also increased in time from 71.1% (95% CI: 70.2–71.9) to 85.8% (95% CI: 85.1–86.4).

Table 2

Factors associated with the prevalence of sunburns during the last year among children participating in the study.

	N Tot.	Sunburns last year		Univariate analysis	Multivariate analysis [†]	
		N	%	Р	OR (95% CI)	Р
Gender						
Μ	5817	542	9.3%	.94		
F	6145	575	9.4%			
Age, years						
6 or less	2437	152	6.2%	<.001	1	
7	2725	197	7.2%		1.11 (0.89–1.39)	.36
8	3083	347	11.3%		1.57 (1.27–1.93)	<.001
9 or more	3409	384	11.3%		1.59 (1.29-1.96)	<.001
Father's education [‡]						
Primary school	745	105	14.1%	<.001	1.74 (1.33-2.29)	<.001
Secondary school	3457	356	10.3%		1.32 (1.08–1.62)	.007
High school	5421	465	8.6%		1.10 (0.91-1.32)	.34
Degree/post-degree	2178	183	8.4%		1	
Eye color						
Dark brown/Black	5950	476	8.0%	<.001	1	
Light brown	2148	198	9.2%		1.03 (0.85–1.23)	.79
Brown/dark green	1374	163	11.9%		1.22 (1.01-1.48)	.049
Green	906	87	9.6%		1.00 (0.78-1.29)	.97
Light blue/grey	1542	187	12.1%		1.24 (1.02-1.50)	.03
Hair color						
Dark brown/Black	4436	352	7.9%	<.001		
Light brown	5359	511	9.5%			
Reddish brown	371	46	12.4%			
Red	1731	199	11.5%			
Blonde	79	12	15.2%			
Skin color						
Very light	1189	181	15.2%	<.001	1.98 (1.56-2.52)	<.001
Light	7609	712	9.4%		1.33 (1.12-1.58)	.001
Dark	3155	229	7.3%		1	
Freckles						
None	9680	794	8.2%	<.001	1	
Few	1697	232	13.7%		1.30 (1.10-1.53)	.002
Many	437	82	18.8%		1.49 (1.15-1.93)	.002
Number of melanocytic nevi	on upper limbs					
0 - 10	3259	253	7.8%	<.001	1	
11 – 20	926	122	13.2%		1.24 (1.04-1.48)	.02
>20	345	70	20.3%		1.53 (1.17-2.00)	.002
Intense sun exposure (last ye	ear)					
No / missing	9008	530	5.9%	<.001	1	
Yes	2749	570	20.7%		3.39 (2.98-3.85)	<.001
Hat use (last year)						
Rarely / never	3162	361	11.4%	<.001	1.30 (1.07-1.58)	.008
Sometimes	5996	566	9.4%		1.23 (1.03-1.46)	.02
Always	2792	193	6.9%		1	
T-shirt use (last year)						
Rarely / never	3117	301	9.7%	.14		
Sometimes	5293	516	9.7%			
Always	3404	291	8.5%			
Sunglasses use (last year)						
Rarely / never	5417	578	10.7%	<.001		
Sometimes	5265	452	8.6%			
Always	1160	81	7.0%			
Sunscreen use (last year)						
Rarely / never	365	30	8.2%	<.001	0.88 (0.50-1.54)	.66
Sometimes	1316	166	12.6%		1.31 (1.08–1.59)	.007
Always	10235	917	9.0%		1	
Sun protection factor used (I	ast year)					
Low	124	17	13.7%	.003	1.69 (0.97-2.94)	.06
Medium	1740	196	11.3%		1.19 (0.99–1.42)	.06
High	9909	889	9.0%		1	
Total score protection [§]						

(continued)

Tabl	e 2
(contin	nued)

	N Tot.	N Tot. Sunburns last year		Univariate analysis	Multivariate analysis [†]	
		N	%	Р	OR (95% CI)	Р
0 - 5	3834	428	11.2%	<.001		
6 - 7	5334	507	9.5%			
8 - 10	2790	185	6.6%			
Sunbed used by parent	S					
No	6596	549	8.3%	<.001	1	
Yes	5354	567	10.6%		1.18 (1.04–1.35)	.01

CI = Confidence Interval, OR = odds ratio, P = P value.

^{*}Differences in prevalence of sunburns between categories was evaluated by χ^2 test.

* Results are obtained by conditional logistic regression taking into account matching by school, with backward selection of independent variables.

^{\ddagger} Parent's education is widely correlated (r=0.51).

[§] Total score is the sum of single questions on use of hat, t-shirt, sunglasses, sunscreen, sun protection factor. The score of each question goes from 0(rarely/ never/ low) to 2(always/ high).

4. Discussion

The project "*Il Sole per Amico*" allowed to obtain new evidence related to behavior while on the sun of young Italian schoolchildren and to identify factors associated with an increased risk of reporting sunburns. On the other hand, the study failed to document a significant short-term change in several indicators of UV protection by the educational program. These negative results confirm the lack of an impact from a similar short-term educational intervention the *SoleSi-SoleNo* program, conducted in the years 2001 to 2004. Interestingly, the comparison of data at baseline of the *Il Sole per Amico* program with those from the *SoleSi-SoleNo* project, collected in 2015 and 2001, respectively, documented a decrease by about the 4.4% in the prevalence of

Table 3

Comparison of the main results of the educational intervention.

	Pre-intervention		Post-intervention		Univariate analysis*		Multivariate analysis [†]	
	N	%	Ν	%	OR (95% CI)	Р	OR (95% CI)	Р
Intense sun exposure								
No / missing	9131	76.7%	5276	74.4%	1		1	
Yes	2771	23.3%	1818	25.6%	1.08 (1.01-1.15)	.02	1.04 (0.98-1.10)	.17
Sunburn								
No / missing	10895	90.6%	6460	89.7%	1		1	
Yes	1124	9.4%	740	10.3%	1.06 (0.99-1.14)	.08	0.99 (0.93-1.06)	.80
Hat use								
Rarely / never	3182	26.5%	2443	34.0%	1		1	
Sometimes	6020	50.2%	3506	48.8%	0.86 (0.82-0.91)	<.001	0.90 (0.85-0.95)	<.001
Always	2801	23.3%	1232	17.2%	0.75 (0.69-0.82)	<.001	0.84 (0.76-0.92)	<.001
T-shirt use								
Rarely / never	3130	26.4%	1939	27.2%	1		1	
Sometimes	5310	44.8%	3138	44.0%	0.98 (0.92-1.05)	.59	0.97 (0.90-1.03)	.32
Always	3413	28.8%	2050	28.8%	1.00 (0.91-1.10)	.96	0.96 (0.87-1.06)	.43
Sunglasses use								
Rarely / never	5443	45.8%	3178	44.6%	1		1	
Sometimes	5275	44.4%	3161	44.4%	1.02 (0.98-1.07)	.26	1.03 (0.99-1.08)	.16
Always	1164	9.8%	780	11.0%	1.09 (1.01-1.17)	.02	1.13 (1.05-1.22)	.001
Sunscreen use								
Rarely / never	378	3.2%	252	3.5%	1		1	
Sometimes	1321	11.0%	978	13.7%	1.06 (0.95-1.18)	.32	1.10 (0.99-1.23)	.08
Always	10256	85.8%	5926	82.8%	0.92 (0.80-1.05)	.21	1.10 (0.98-1.24)	.10
Sun protection factor	used							
Low	125	1.1%	82	1.2%	1		1	
Medium	1742	14.8%	1157	16.4%	0.97 (0.81-1.16)	.72	1.07 (0.87-1.33)	.51
High	9932	84.2%	5818	82.4%	0.91 (0.75-1.10)	.35	1.19 (0.94-1.50)	.15
Total score protection	\$							
0–5	3861	32.2%	2590	36.0%	1		1	
6–7	5345	44.6%	3170	44.1%	0.95 (0.90-0.99)	.03	1.01 (0.96-1.07)	.59
8–10	2791	23.3%	1433	19.9%	0.88 (0.81-0.96)	.003	0.99 (0.90-1.08)	.74

CI = Confidence Interval, OR = odds ratio, P = P value.

* Results are obtained by GEE models taking into account matching by school.

⁺ Results are obtained by GEE models taking into account matching by school adjusting for age, educational level of parents, intense sun exposure in during the previous year and skin color.

* Total score is the sum of single questions on use of hat, t-shirt, sunglasses, sunscreen, sun protection factor. The score of each question goes from 0 (rarely/ never/ low) to 2 (always/ high).

Table 4

Comparison of the prevalence data between SoleSi-SoleNo (2001–2004) and II Sole per Amico (2015–2016).

	SolesiSoleno (n = 11230)	Sole Amico (n=12188)
	Pr (95% Cl)*	Pr (95% Cl)*
Sunburns (last year)	13.8% (13.1–14.4)	9.4% (8.8–9.9)
number		
0	82.1% (81.3-82.8)	89.3% (88.7-89.8)
1–2	10.2% (9.6-10.8)	6.3% (5.9-6.7)
3+	1.6% (1.3-1.8)	0.6% (0.5-0.8)
Sunprotection use (last year)	86.4% (85.7-87.0)	93.1% (92.7–93.6)
Hat use		
Rarely / never	20.9% (20.2-21.7)	26.5% (25.7-27.3)
Sometimes	39.4% (38.5-40.3)	50.2% (49.3-51.1)
Always	37.7% (36.8-38.6)	23.3% (22.6–24.1)
T-shirt use		
Rarely / never	36.5% (35.6-37.4)	26.4% (25.6-27.2)
Sometimes	41.8% (40.9-42.7)	44.8% (43.9-45.7)
Always	19.7% (19.0–20.5)	28.8% (28.0-29.6)
Sunscreen use		
Rarely / never	10.0% (9.5-10.6)	3.2% (2.9-3.5)
Sometimes	16.9% (16.2–17.6)	11.0% (10.5–11.6)
Always	71.1% (70.2–71.9)	85.8% (85.1–86.4)

CI = Confidence interval, Pr = Prevalence.

* Significant differences in prevalence between 2 studies were evaluated by Pearson λ^2 test (*P* value <.001).

reported sunburns and an overall improvement of almost all the indicators adopted for an adequate sun protection behavior. In spite of this overall improvement, a lifetime history of sunburns was still reported by 25.5% of participating children, with a mean age at the first burn of 5.7 ± 1.7 years) this percentage appears to be exceedingly high considering that about 85% stated they always used high protection sunscreens.

Several variables influenced a positive history of sunburns at baseline, partly related with the children phenotype and partly connected with parent's education and behavior. The study allowed to identify several factors associated with sunburns in schoolchildren on which action could be taken. Among these, besides the use of clothing, in particular a hat, which seems to be a surrogate of a global measure of sun protection, and the sporadic use of sunscreens, key factors were the parents' level of education, as well as their attitude to use sunlamps. Noteworthy, 44.7% of the parents stated they had used tanning beds.

All in all, these data suggest that it is possible to identify children at higher risk for sunburns who may be a specific target for educational campaigns, and that an intensive educational intervention conducted over a short period of time is unable to bring about significant changes in sun protection behavior when these changes are measured close to the end of the intervention. The decrease in sunburns and the improvement in several indicators of sun protection behavior, documented in 2015 as compared with 2001, suggest that the awareness at the population concerning the danger of the exposure of children to UV has increased over the last 10 years. This observation points to the need for the adoption of less intensive but more continuous educational interventions planned over a longer time span. For the future, educational interventions should also be better targeted to groups at higher risk and involve more actively the children's parents.

Our study has some limitations. Among these is the loss of subjects providing data after the completion of the intervention (about 40%). This may have substantially reduced the power of the study also introducing a possible selection bias; the preferable use of web-based resources with access driven to each participant through specific individual credentials could overcome this bias in future projects. Moreover, the intervention, as well as the subsequent evaluation, could have been too short to significantly influence the variables under study. It should be noted that a trend toward increasing rates of suburbs during the previous year, with increasing age, was documented. Hence, a pre-and post-intervention comparison is probably not the best way to measure improvement in sun exposure modalities.

Changing behavior is a difficult task and there is a need for a continuous reinforcement of the messages. Knowledge of dangers does not necessarily translate into behavioral changes, with people slowing moving, according to the model proposed by Prohaska, from a pre-contemplation, to a contemplation stage, to action.^[24]

The "Sole per Amico" study failed to observe marked behavioral changes shortly after the educational program. Indeed, no difference in sun exposure, sunburns and use of sunscreens was found after the intervention. This was not the case for the nationwide French and German studies of Sancho-Garnier et al^[25] and Stover et al.^[24] They both demonstrated an early improvement in children's attitudes and behaviors. Others, conversely, did not observe any early and significantly change in sun-protective habits.^[27,28] Among adolescents and students an intensive education campaign (1998–2004) in the US was reported to obtain only a modest change in sun exposure.^[29] Failures of melanoma education efforts have been also noted in other high-risk countries.^[30]

Our data suggest that there can be a "natural" secular trend in increasing the level of children' awareness on the need to avoid sunburns. This is probably the cumulative result of a number of low-intensity but continuous educational messages from multiple sources. Probably, the most effective initiatives are those that combine public health education campaigns with policy and environmental strategies that are integrated across state, regional and local levels. In Australia, for example, effective education and awareness initiatives have been centered on school health programs, sport and recreation groups, workplaces, and general practitioners.^[31] However, a long term study from Australia reported an early improvement followed by a stabilization and after 2 decades - a decline in sun protection habits in the same cohort.^[32] Some researchers have confirmed the importance of involving parents and caregivers in educational efforts.^[33,34] Sociodemographic features, such as parental education and larger family dimension, may be related with insufficient sun protection methods, and need consideration.^[34]

In conclusion, the present study has shown that developing a suitable strategy for correct sun exposure is a difficult and complicated task. Several demographic and behavioral factors were confirmed as related with sunburns in children. Parents attitude toward UV light exposure also played an important role. We did not document a relevant impact of a short-term educational intervention on sun exposure. However, a secular trend with improved behavior and reduced sunburn rates was documented over almost two decades by the comparison within the "Sole sì sole no" and the "sole per amico" campaign. Based on these results, future studies should analyze the impact of low intensity and persistent multi-level interventions by a variety of stakeholders as cost-effective strategy with positive changes in sun-related behavior and attitudes.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express great appreciation to teachers, parents and children who participated to the education campaign. Furthermore, we would also like to thank drs. Paolo Sciascia and Maria Costanza Cipullo of the Ministery of Education, Rome for institutional support and collaboration.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Luigi Naldi, Simone Cazzaniga.

Data curation: Ignazio Stanganelli, Serena Magi, Maria Antonietta Pizzichetta.

Formal analysis: Sara Gandini, Pietro Quaglino.

Funding acquisition: Ignazio Stanganelli, Paola Queirolo.

Investigation: Ignazio Stanganelli.

Methodology: Macro Simonacci, Francesco Spagnolo.

Resources: Giuseppe Palmieri.

Software: Giuseppe Palmieri.

Supervision: Paola Queirolo.

Writing – original draft: Paola Queirolo.

Writing – review & editing: Ignazio Stanganelli, Luigi Naldi, Simone Cazzaniga, Sara Gandini, Serena Magi, Pietro Quaglino, Simone Ribero, Marco Simonacci, Maria Antonietta Pizzichetta, Francesco Spagnolo, Giuseppe Palmieri, Paola Queirolo.

Simone Ribero orcid: 0000-0002-0098-1406.

References

- de Vries E, Coebergh JW. Cutaneous malignant melanoma in Europe. Eur J Cancer 2004;40:2355–66.
- [2] Leiter U, Eigentler T, Garbe C. Epidemiology of skin cancer. Adv Exp Med Biol 2014;810:120–40.
- [3] Bleyer A, O'Leary M, Barr R, et al. Cancer Epidemiology in Older Adolescents and Young Adults 15 to 29 Years of Age, Including SEER Incidence and Survival: 1975-2000. Bethesda, MD: National Cancer Institute; 2006. NIH publication 06-5767.
- [4] Christenson LJ, Borrowman TA, Vachon CM, et al. Incidence of basal cell and squamous cell carcinomas in a population younger than 40 years. JAMA 2005;294:681–90.
- [5] Reed KB, Brewer JD, Lohse CM, et al. Increasing incidence of melanoma among young adults: an epidemiological study in Olmsted county, Minnesota. Mayo ClinProc 2012;87:328–34.
- [6] Coviello V, Buzzoni C, Fusco M, et al. AIRTUM Working Group-Survival of cancer patients in Italy. Epidemiol Prev 2017;41(2 Suppl 1):1–244.
- [7] Gandini S, Sera F, Cattaruzza MS, et al. Meta-analysis of risk factors for cutaneous melanoma: II. Sun exposure. Eur J Cancer 2005;41:45–60.
- [8] El Ghissassi F, Baan R, Straif K, et al. A review of human carcinogenspart D: radiation. Lancet Oncol 2009;10:751–2.
- [9] Gordon LG, Rowell D. Health system costs of skin cancer and costeffectiveness of skin cancer prevention and screening: a systematic review. Eur J Cancer Prev 2015;24:141–9.
- [10] Wilson LF, Antonsson A, Green AC, et al. How many cancer cases and deaths are potentially preventable? Estimates for Australia in 2013. Int J Cancer 2018;142:691–701.
- [11] Whiteman DC, Stickley M, Watt P, et al. Anatomic site, sun exposure, and risk of cutaneous melanoma. J Clin Oncol 2006;24:3172–7.
- [12] Gandini S, Autier P, Boniol M. Reviews on sun exposure and artificial light and melanoma. Prog Biophys Mol Biol 2011;107:362–6.

- [13] Buller DB, Borland R. Skin cancer prevention for children: a critical review. Health Educ Behav 1999;26:317–43.
- [14] Hunter S, Love-Jackson K, Abdulla R, et al. Sun protection at elementary schools: a cluster randomized trial. J Natl Cancer Inst 2010;102:484–92.
- [15] Paller AS, Hawk JL, Honig P, et al. New insights about infant and toddler skin: implications for sun protection. Pediatrics 2011;128:92–102.
- [16] Shafie Pour NS, Saeedi M, Semnani KM. Sun protection for children: a review. J Pediatr Rev 2015;3:e155.
- [17] Saraiya M, Glanz K, Briss PA, et al. Interventions to prevent skin cancer by reducing exposure to ultraviolet radiation: a systematic review. Am J Prev Med 2004;27:422–66.
- [18] Dadlani C, Orlow SJ. Planning for a brighter future: a review of sun protection and barriers to behavioral change in children and adolescents. Dermatol Online J 2008;14:1.
- [19] World Health Organization (WHO)Evaluating school programmes to promote sun protection. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO Library; 2003.
- [20] Lin JS, Eder M, Weinmann S, et al. Behavioral Counseling to Prevent Skin Cancer: Systematic Evidence Review to Update the 2003 U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation [Internet]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); 2011 Feb. Available from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK53508/].
- [21] Naldi L, Chatenoud L, Bertuccio P, et al. Improving sun-protection behavior among children: results of a cluster-randomized trial in Italian elementary schools. The "SoleSiSoleNo-GISED" Project. J Invest Dermatol 2007;127:1871–7.
- [22] Argenziano G, Giacomel J, Zalaudek I, et al. Twenty nevi on the arms: a simple rule to identify patients younger than 50 years of age at higher risk for melanoma. Eur J Cancer Prev 2014;23:458–63.
- [23] Ribero S, Zugna D, Osella-Abate S, et al. Prediction of high naevus count in a healthy U.K. population to estimate melanoma risk. Br J Dermatol 2016;174:312–8.
- [24] Prochaska JO, DiClemente CC. Stages and processes of self-change of smoking: toward an integrative model of change. J Consult Clin Psychol 1983;51:390–5.
- [25] Sancho-Garnier H, Pereira B, Césarini P. A cluster randomized trial to evaluate a health education programme "Living with Sun at School". Int J Environ Res Public Health 2012;9:2345–61.
- [26] Stöver LA, Hinrichs B, Petzold U, et al. Getting in early: primary skin cancer prevention at 55 German kindergartens. Br J Dermatol 2012;167 (Suppl 2):63–9.
- [27] Reinau D, Meier CR, Gerber N, et al. Evaluation of a sun safety education programme for primary school students in Switzerland. Eur J Cancer Prev 2014;23:303–9.
- [28] Saridi MI, Rekleiti MD, Toska AG, et al. Assessing a sun protection program aimed at Greek elementary school students for malign melanoma prevention. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2014;15:5009–18.
- [29] Cokkinides V, Weinstock M, Glanz K, et al. Trends in sunburns, sun protection practices, and attitudes toward sun exposure protection and tanning among US adolescents. Pediatrics 2006;118:853–64.
- [30] Duignan M, Signal L, Thomson G. "Good intentions, but inadequate practices"–sun protection in early childhood centres, a qualitative study from New Zealand. N Z Med J 2014;127:40–50.
- [31] Felts M, Burke S, Vail-Smith K, et al. College students' knowledge, attitudes, and perceptions of risks regarding intentional sun exposure: a 17-year follow-up. Am J Health Educ 2010;41:274–83.
- [32] Makin JK, Warne CD, Dobbinson SJ, et al. Population and age-group trends in weekend sun protection and sunburn over two decades of the Sun Smart programme in Melbourne, Australia. Br J Dermatol 2013;168: 154–61.
- [33] Dobbinson S, Wakefield M, Hill D, et al. Children's sun exposure and sun protection: prevalence in Australia and related parental factors. J Am Acad Dermatol 2012;66:938–47.
- [34] Klostermann S, Bolte G. GME Study GroupDeterminants of inadequate parental sun protection behaviour in their children–results of a cross-sectional study in Germany. Int J Hyg Environ Health 2014; 217:363–9.