



Search for anomalous electroweak production of vector boson pairs in association with two jets in proton-proton collisions at 13 TeV

The CMS Collaboration ^{*}

CERN, Switzerland



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 17 May 2019

Received in revised form 23 September 2019

Accepted 25 September 2019

Available online 30 September 2019

Editor: M. Doser

Keywords:

CMS
Physics
VBS
Charged Higgs

ABSTRACT

A search for anomalous electroweak production of WW, WZ, and ZZ boson pairs in association with two jets in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV at the LHC is reported. The data sample corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 35.9 fb^{-1} collected with the CMS detector. Events are selected by requiring two jets with large rapidity separation and invariant mass, one or two leptons (electrons or muons), and a W or Z boson decaying hadronically. No excess of events with respect to the standard model background predictions is observed and constraints on the structure of quartic vector boson interactions in the framework of dimension-8 effective field theory operators are reported. Stringent limits on parameters of the effective field theory operators are obtained. The observed 95% confidence level limits for the S_0 , M_0 , and T_0 operators are $-2.7 < f_{S_0}/\Lambda^4 < 2.7$, $-1.0 < f_{M_0}/\Lambda^4 < 1.0$, and $-0.17 < f_{T_0}/\Lambda^4 < 0.16$, in units of TeV^{-4} . Constraints are also reported on the product of the cross section and branching fraction for vector boson fusion production of charged Higgs bosons as a function of mass from 600 to 2000 GeV. The results are interpreted in the context of the Georgi–Machacek model.

© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). Funded by SCOAP³.

1. Introduction

Measurements of vector boson scattering (VBS) processes probe the non-Abelian gauge structure of the electroweak (EW) interactions of the standard model (SM) of particle physics. The non-Abelian structure of the EW sector leads to self-interactions between gauge bosons via triple and quartic gauge couplings. At the CERN LHC interactions from VBS are characterized by the presence of two gauge bosons in association with two forward jets with large rapidity separation and large dijet invariant mass. The discovery of a Higgs boson [1–3] established that W and Z gauge bosons acquire mass via the Higgs mechanism. Models of physics beyond the SM predict enhancements in VBS processes through modifications of the Higgs boson couplings to gauge bosons [4,5]. Fig. 1 shows a representative Feynman diagram involving quartic vertices. An excess of events with respect to the SM predictions could indicate the presence of anomalous quartic gauge couplings (aQGCs) [6].

This paper presents a study of VBS in WW, WZ, and ZZ channels using proton-proton (pp) collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. The data sample corresponds to an integrated luminosity of $35.9 \pm 0.9 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ [7] collected with the CMS detector [8] at the LHC in 2016.




Fig. 1. The Feynman diagram of a VBS process contributing to the EW-induced production of events containing a hadronically decaying gauge boson (V), a W^\pm/Z boson decaying to leptons, and two forward jets. New physics (represented by a black circle) in the EW sector can modify the quartic gauge couplings.

The first goal of this paper is to search for the presence of aQGCs in candidate events containing a (i) hadronically decaying gauge boson (V) produced with large transverse momentum p_T , (ii) a W or Z boson decaying to one or two charged leptons (electrons or muons), and (iii) two forward jets. This final state has a higher branching fraction of the V decay than previous aQGC searches at the LHC for VBS containing only leptonic boson decays [9–19]. A WV final state where the W boson decays to leptons receives contributions from the production of $W^\pm W^\mp$, $W^\pm W^\pm$,

^{*} E-mail address: cms-publication-committee-chair@cern.ch.




Fig. 2. Examples of Feynman diagrams showing the production of singly (left) and doubly (right) charged Higgs bosons via VBF.

and $W^\pm Z$ boson pairs. Similarly, a ZV final state where the Z boson decays to leptons receives contributions from the production of $W^\pm Z$ and ZZ boson pairs. The ATLAS and CMS Collaborations have reported limits on aQGCs using final states with a hadronically decaying W/Z boson in pp collisions at center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV [20–23].

A second goal of this paper is to search for charged Higgs bosons that are produced via vector boson fusion (VBF) and decay to W and Z bosons. Proposals exist for extended Higgs sectors with additional $SU(2)$ isotriplet scalars that give rise to charged Higgs bosons with couplings to W and Z bosons at the tree-level [24,25]. Specifically, the Georgi–Machacek (GM) model [26], with both real and complex triplets, preserves a global symmetry $SU_L(2) \times SU_R(2)$, which is broken by the Higgs vacuum expectation value to the diagonal subgroup $SU_{L+R}(2)$. Thus, the tree-level ratio of the W and Z boson masses is protected against large radiative corrections. In this model, singly (doubly) charged Higgs bosons are produced via VBF that decay to W and Z bosons (same-sign W boson pairs).

The charged Higgs bosons H^\pm and $H^{\pm\pm}$ in the GM model are degenerate in mass (denoted as $m(H_5)$) at tree level and transform as a quintuplet under the $SU_{L+R}(2)$ symmetry. The coupling depends on $m(H_5)$ and the parameter s_H , where s_H^2 characterizes the fraction of the W boson mass squared generated by the vacuum expectation value of the triplet fields. Fig. 2 shows representative Feynman diagrams for the production and decay of the charged Higgs bosons. The CMS Collaboration at 13 TeV [9,13,27] and the ATLAS Collaboration at 8 TeV [28] performed searches for charged Higgs bosons in these topologies and set constraints on the GM model.

2. The CMS detector

The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T. Within the solenoid volume are a silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL), and a brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter, each composed of a barrel and two endcap sections. Forward calorimeters extend the pseudorapidity (η) coverage provided by the barrel and endcap detectors. Muons are detected in gas-ionization detectors embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid. A more detailed description of the CMS detector, together with a definition of the coordinate system used and the relevant kinematic variables, can be found in Ref. [8].

The first level of the CMS trigger system, composed of custom hardware processors, uses information from the calorimeters and muon detectors to select events of interest in a fixed time interval of less than 4 μ s. The second level, known as the high-level trigger, consists of a farm of processors running a version of the full event reconstruction software optimized for fast processing, and reduces the event rate to $\mathcal{O}(1$ kHz) before data storage [29].

3. Signal and background simulation

The SM EW, aQGC, and charged Higgs boson processes with two final-state quarks are simulated using the Monte Carlo (MC) generator MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO 2.3.3 [30] at leading order (LO) with four EW and zero quantum chromodynamic (QCD) vertices. The signatures of $W^\pm W^\pm$, $W^\pm W^\mp$, $W^\pm Z$, and ZZ processes are produced separately and include diagrams with quartic vertices. The simulation of the aQGC processes employs matrix element reweighting to obtain a finely spaced grid of parameters for each of the anomalous couplings probed by the analysis.

The production of two gauge bosons with two final state quarks or gluons and at least one QCD vertex at tree level, which is referred to as QCD VV production, is considered background. The MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO 2.3.3 generator at LO is used to simulate this process. The interference between the EW and QCD diagrams is evaluated using dedicated samples produced with the PHANTOM 1.2.8 [31] generator. The effect of the interference contributes at the level of 1% in the signal region and is, therefore, neglected.

The W +jets and Drell–Yan processes, with up to four outgoing partons at Born level, are simulated at QCD LO accuracy using MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO. The $t\bar{t}$, $t\bar{t}W$, $t\bar{t}Z$, and single top quark processes are generated at next-to-leading order (NLO) accuracy using POWHEG 2.0 [32–35]. The simulated samples of background processes are normalized to the best prediction available, NLO or higher [36–40].

The PYTHIA 8.212 [41] package with the tune CUETP8M1 [42,43] is used for parton showering, hadronization, and the underlying event simulation. The NNPDF 3.0 [44] set is used as the default set of parton distribution functions (PDFs). The PDFs are calculated at the same order as the corresponding hard process.

The detector response is simulated using a detailed description of the CMS detector based on the GEANT4 package [45], and event reconstruction is performed with the same algorithms used for data. Additional pp interactions (pileup) occurring in the same beam crossing as the event of interest are included in the simulation. These events are weighted so that the pileup distribution matches that observed in data, which has an average of approximately 23 interactions per beam crossing assuming 69 mb for the inelastic pp cross section [46].

4. Event reconstruction and selection

The particle-flow algorithm [47] reconstructs and identifies each individual particle in an event, with an optimized combination of all subdetector information. The individual particles are identified as charged and neutral hadrons, leptons, and photons. The missing transverse momentum, \vec{p}_T^{miss} , is defined as the magnitude of the negative vector p_T sum of all reconstructed particles in the event. Its magnitude is denoted by p_T^{miss} .

Jets are reconstructed using the anti- k_T clustering algorithm [48] with a distance parameter of 0.4, as implemented in the FASTJET package [49,50]. Jet momentum is determined as the sum of

all particle momenta in the jet. Corrections are applied to the jet energy as a function of jet η and p_T to account for detector response nonlinearities, contribution from pileup, and residual differences between the jet energy scale in data and simulation [51,52]. Additional selection requirements remove spurious jets originating from isolated noise patterns in certain regions of the hadron calorimeter [53]. These corrections are also propagated to the p_T^{miss} calculation. The b quark jet identification criteria are based on a multivariate technique to combine the information from displaced tracks with the information from secondary vertices associated with the jet and on the possible presence of a soft muon in the event from the semileptonic decay of the b quark [54].

High-energy V boson candidates, referred to as V jets, are reconstructed using the anti- k_T clustering algorithm [48] with a distance parameter of 0.8 [55]. The PUPPI algorithm [56] is used to mitigate the effect of pileup by assigning a weight to each particle prior to jet clustering based on the likelihood of the particle originating from pileup. The mass of the V jet (m_V) is computed after employing the modified mass-drop tagger algorithm [57,58] to remove soft, wide-angle radiation from the jets. The N -subjettiness variable τ_N [59] quantifies how well the jet can be divided into N subjets. The observable τ_2/τ_1 is employed to discriminate 2-prong objects arising from hadronic decays of W or Z bosons from those from light quarks or gluons.

The reconstructed vertex with the largest value of summed physics-object p_T^2 is the primary pp interaction vertex. The physics objects are the jets, clustered using the jet-finding algorithm [48, 49] with the tracks assigned to the vertex as inputs, and the associated missing transverse momentum, the negative vector sum of the p_T of those jets.

Muons are reconstructed by associating a track reconstructed in the inner silicon detectors with a track in the muon system. Selected muon candidates are required to satisfy a set of quality requirements based on the number of spatial measurements in the silicon tracker and the muon system, as well as the fit quality of the combined muon track [60,61].

Electrons are reconstructed by associating a track reconstructed in the inner silicon detectors with a cluster of energy in ECAL [62]. The selected electron candidates cannot originate from photon conversions in the inner silicon tracker material and must satisfy a set of quality requirements based on the shower shape of the energy deposit in the ECAL. Electron candidates in the transition region between the ECAL barrel and endcap, $1.44 < |\eta| < 1.57$, are not considered because this transition region leads to lower quality reconstructed clusters because of a gap between the barrel and endcap calorimeters, which is filled with services and cables.

The lepton candidate tracks must be consistent with the primary vertex of the event [63] to suppress electron candidates from photon conversions and lepton candidates originating from decays of heavy quarks. The lepton candidates must be isolated from other particles in the event. The relative isolation for the lepton candidates with transverse momentum p_T^ℓ is defined as

$$R_{\text{iso}} = \left[\sum_{\text{charged hadrons}} p_T + \max(0, \sum_{\text{neutral hadrons}} p_T + \sum_{\text{photons}} p_T - p_T^{\text{PU}}) \right] / p_T^\ell, \quad (1)$$

where the sums run over the charged and neutral hadrons and photons in a cone defined by $\Delta R \equiv \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2} = 0.4$ (0.3) around the muon (electron) trajectory, and p_T^{PU} denotes the contribution of neutral particles from pileup [60,62]. Only charged hadrons originating from the primary vertex are included in the first sum.

Muon (electron) candidates with $\Delta R < 0.15$ (0.06) are considered isolated. The lepton reconstruction and selection efficiencies are measured using “tag-and-probe” techniques with Drell-Yan events that provide an unbiased sample with high purity [64]. The muon (electron) candidates have an average selection efficiency of 95 (70)%.

The event selection identifies events with one or two leptons and a high-energy V boson produced with VBS topology. The events are triggered by the presence of at least one muon with $p_T > 24$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$, or at least one electron with transverse energy $E_T > 27$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$. These triggered muons and electrons satisfy less restrictive isolation and quality requirements than the offline selection criteria.

In the offline analysis events with at least one isolated lepton with $p_T > 50$ GeV are accepted as candidates. The $WV \rightarrow \ell\nu V$ decays are characterized by a significant amount of p_T^{miss} associated with the undetected neutrino. The Drell-Yan and QCD multijet background processes are reduced by requiring $p_T^{\text{miss}} > 50$ (80) GeV in the muon (electron) final state. Candidate events with a second opposite-charged and same flavor isolated lepton with $p_T > 30$ GeV select the $ZV \rightarrow \ell\ell V$ decays. The candidate Z boson invariant mass must be within 15 GeV of the nominal Z boson mass [65]. The presence of additional muons or electron, with $p_T > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$ (2.5) for muons (electrons), satisfying less restrictive selection requirements than the signal lepton candidate selection and with average selection efficiencies above 95% [61,62], is used as a condition to further reduce events from the top quark and triboson background processes. Events with no Z boson candidate selected and with two or more leptons are rejected. Events with a selected Z boson candidate and with three or more leptons are also rejected.

Events are required to have at least one V jet with $p_T > 200$ GeV, $|\eta| < 2.4$, $\tau_2/\tau_1 < 0.55$, and $65 < m_V < 105$ GeV. The V jets that are within $\Delta R < 1.0$ of one of the identified leptons are excluded. The efficiency of the N -subjettiness and mass requirements for the signal events is about 70%, while the probability of misidentifying a quark or a gluon jet as a V jet is 5%. The V jet mass resolution is about 15%. In the case of multiple V jet candidates, the one with mass closest to the nominal W boson mass [65] is selected.

Events are required to contain at least two jets with $p_T > 30$ GeV and $|\eta| < 5.0$, and $\Delta R(j, V) > 0.8$. In the case of more than two jet candidates, the pair with the largest dijet mass is selected. The VBS topology is targeted by requiring a large dijet mass $m_{jj} > 800$ GeV and a large pseudorapidity separation $|\Delta\eta_{jj}| > 4.0$. Events having one or more identified b quark jets with $p_T > 30$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$ are rejected, decreasing the number of top quark background events.

The longitudinal component of the neutrino momentum in $WV \rightarrow \ell\nu V$ events is estimated by constraining the mass of the charged lepton and neutrino system to be the nominal W boson mass [65]. This is similar to the approach used in a previous CMS search [66]. The resulting quadratic equation is solved using \vec{p}_T^{miss} as an estimate of the neutrino transverse momentum. The solution with the closest match to the longitudinal component of the charged lepton momentum is selected. Only the real part is considered if no real solution is found. The momentum of the W boson is then uniquely determined.

Additional selection criteria are employed to enhance the sensitivity to aQGCs in the WV channel. The W and V bosons in the VBS and VBF topologies are mostly produced in the central rapidity region with respect to the two selected jets. Candidate events are required to have $z_V^* < 0.3$ and $z_W^* < 0.3$, where $z_x^* = |\eta_x - (\eta_{j1} + \eta_{j2})/2| / |\Delta\eta_{jj}|$ is the Zeppenfeld variable [67], η_x is the pseudorapidity of a gauge boson, and η_{j1} and η_{j2} are the pseudorapidities of




Fig. 3. Comparison between the fit results for the V+jets background processes and the data distributions of the m_{WV} (left) and m_{ZV} (right), respectively, in the sideband region with $40 < m_V < 65$ GeV or $105 < m_V < 150$ GeV. The fit uncertainty is shown as a shaded band.

the two selected jets. In addition, events are required to have $\vartheta > 1.0$, where $\vartheta = \min(\min(\eta_W, \eta_V) - \min(\eta_{j1}, \eta_{j2}), \max(\eta_{j1}, \eta_{j2}) - \max(\eta_W, \eta_V))$ is the boson centrality. The extraction of the signal yields is performed with a fit to the mass distribution of the WV or ZV system to statistically subtract the SM background contributions.

5. Background estimation

The estimation of the shape and yield of the major background W(Z)+jets in the WV (ZV) channel is based on the observed data using the sideband of the signal region defined by the mass of the V jet. The background estimation closely follows the methods used in Refs. [68–70]. An estimate of the W(Z)+jets background is obtained by performing a maximum likelihood fit to the m_{WV} (m_{ZV}) distribution in data for the events in the W(Z)+jets enriched control region by selecting events with $40 < m_V < 65$ GeV or $105 < m_V < 150$ GeV and satisfying the rest of the signal selection criteria described in the last section. The background processes are modeled by fitting the m_{WV} and m_{ZV} distributions in the respective sideband regions with the parametric function $f(m) = \exp[-m/(c_0 + c_1 m)]$. Fig. 3 shows the m_{WV} and m_{ZV} data distributions and the corresponding fit results in this sideband region. The other background processes are also modeled by the parametric function in the fit with the shape and normalization fixed to the prediction from simulation. The SM EW VV contribution is included in the fit. The contribution of the SM EW VV process is expected to be small in the sideband region, even with enhancements of the cross section due to aQGCs, with a predicted yield of approximately 1% of the selected events.

Transfer factors obtained from W(Z)+jets simulation are used to extrapolate from the sideband to the signal region. The transfer factors are obtained from the ratio of the W(Z)+jets yields in the signal and sideband regions as a function of the m_{WV} (m_{ZV}). The statistical uncertainty in the transfer factor values due to the limited number of simulated events is also considered in the analysis and affects the normalization and shape of the W(Z)+jets. The uncertainties in the fit parameters c_0 and c_1 are treated as nuisance parameters in the likelihood fit. The W(Z)+jets estimation is also performed with an alternative function ($f(m) = \exp[-m/c_0]$) and the difference from the nominal prediction is taken as a systematic uncertainty.

The m_{WV} (m_{ZV}) shapes of the $t\bar{t}$, $t\bar{t}W$, $t\bar{t}Z$, and single top quark background contributions in the signal region are predicted by the simulation after applying corrections to account for small differences between data and simulation [54,61,62]. The event yields of

these background processes are checked in a top quark enriched control sample by requiring a bottom quark jet in the final state. The QCD VV background contribution is also evaluated from simulation.

6. Systematic uncertainties

A number of sources of systematic uncertainty can affect the rates and shapes of the m_{WV} (m_{ZV}) distributions for the signal and background processes. Theoretical uncertainties are evaluated using the seven-point scale variation detailed in Ref. [71], where the renormalization and factorization scales are varied independently up and down by a factor of two from their nominal value in each event (removing combinations where both variations differ by a factor of four). The largest variation from the nominal prediction is taken as a systematic uncertainty. The effect on the signal yields of the aQGC and charged Higgs bosons is up to 20%, depending on the kinematic region. The effect on the expected yields of the SM EW VV and QCD VV processes reaches to 22 and 38% for larger m_{WV} values, respectively.

The PDF uncertainties are evaluated according to the procedure described in Ref. [72] using the NNPDF 3.0 [44] set. The uncertainty in the PDF results is up to 17% variation for the signal, SM EW, and QCD VV normalizations. The full NLO QCD and EW corrections for the SM EW and aQGC signal processes are not available and are not considered here. The NLO EW corrections are known only for the same-sign dilepton and $WZ \rightarrow \ell\ell'\ell'$ final states and reduce the cross section by approximately 15% [73–75]. The uncertainty due to missing higher-order EW corrections in the GM model is evaluated to be 7% [76].

The jet energy scale and resolution uncertainties affect the yields and shapes of the signal and background processes from simulation. The effect on the expected yields reaches to above 10% for larger m_{WV} values. The uncertainties in the V jet selection efficiency and m_V scale and resolution give rise to a systematic uncertainty of 8% in the predicted yields of the simulated processes. The lepton trigger, reconstruction, and selection efficiency uncertainties are 2.2 and 2.8% for the WV and ZV channels, respectively. The b quark identification efficiency uncertainty results in 3% systematic uncertainty in the top quark background normalization. An additional 5% uncertainty is included for the top quark background normalization based on the level of agreement in yields between data and prediction in the b quark jet enriched control region. The uncertainty in the pileup reweighting uncertainty in the V jet selection is evaluated by varying the effective inelastic cross section

Table 1

Relative systematic uncertainties in the estimated signal and background yields in units of percent. The range of the uncertainty variation as a function of m_{VV} is shown for the systematic uncertainty sources affecting also the shape of the m_{VV} distribution. The values in parentheses show the systematic uncertainties in the ZV channel where the uncertainties differ compared to the WV channel.

Source	Shape	Signal (%)	V+jets (%)	SM EW (%)	QCD VV (%)	Top quark (%)
Renorm./fact. scales	✓	11–22	–	11–22	32–38	–
PDF	✓	7–17	–	4–17	5–9	–
Jet momentum scale	✓	2–13	–	1–17	1–20	5–20
V jet selection	–	8.0	–	8.0	8.0	–
GM model EW	–	7.0	–	–	–	–
Bkg. normalization	–	–	7 (16)	–	–	5.0
V+jets shape	✓	–	5–200	–	–	–
Integrated luminosity	–	2.5	–	2.5	2.5	–
Lepton efficiency	–	2.2 (2.8)	–	2.2 (2.8)	2.2 (2.8)	–
Lepton momentum scale	✓	0.5–3.5	–	0.5–3.5	1.5–7.5	1.0–5.0
b quark jet efficiency	–	2.0	–	2.0	–	3.0
Jet/ p_T^{miss} resolution	–	4.0	–	3.0	2.0	–
Pileup modeling	–	4.0	–	4.0	4.0	–
Limited MC event count	✓	1–2	–	6–20 (12–39)	7–49 (17–57)	5–50 (3–70)




Fig. 4. Distributions of m_{WV} (left) and m_{ZV} (right) in the signal region. The gray bands include uncertainties from the predicted yields. The histograms for other backgrounds include the contributions from QCD VV, top quark, W+jets, and Drell–Yan processes. The predicted yields are shown with their best-fit normalizations from the background-only fit. The dashed lines show the signal predictions for two aQGC parameters, and charged Higgs bosons in the GM model. The overflow is included in the last bin. The bottom panel in each figure shows the ratio of the number of events observed in data to that of the total background prediction.

by 5% [46]. The statistical uncertainties due to the finite size of simulated samples are also included [77].

The W(Z)+jets background normalization uncertainty is 7 (16)%, dominated by the statistical uncertainty arising from the fit to the m_{VV} distribution in the sideband region. The uncertainties in the fit parameters in the sideband region and the statistical uncertainty in the transfer factor values (described in Section 5) affect the shape of the W(Z)+jets background distribution. Uncertainties affecting the W(Z)+jets shapes are important for large m_{VV} values reaching up to 200%. The uncertainty of 2.5% in the integrated luminosity determination [7] is included for all processes evaluated from simulation. This uncertainty does not affect the background processes estimated from data. A summary of the relative systematic uncertainties in the estimated signal and background yields is shown in Table 1.

7. Results

No excess of events with respect to the SM background predictions is observed. The events in the signal region are used to constrain aQGCs in the effective field theory framework [78]. Nine independent charge conjugate and parity conserving dimension-8 effective operators are considered [6]. The S0 and S1 operators are constructed from the covariant derivative of the Higgs doublet. The T0, T1, and T2 operators are constructed from the $SU_L(2)$ gauge

fields. The mixed operators M0, M1, M6, and M7 involve the $SU_L(2)$ gauge fields and the Higgs doublet.

Statistical analysis of the event yields is performed with a fit to the mass distribution of the WV or ZV system in the signal region. The systematic uncertainties are treated as nuisance parameters in the fit and profiled. The SM EW production is treated as a background in the statistical analysis. The mass distributions are binned as follows: $m_{VV} = [600, 700, 800, 900, 1000, 1200, 1500, 2000, \infty]$ GeV. The bin boundaries are chosen based on the limited number of simulated events for the background processes evaluated from simulation. The distributions of m_{WV} and m_{ZV} in the signal region are shown in Fig. 4. The data yields, together with the SM expectations for the different processes, are given in Table 2. A nonzero aQGC enhances the production cross section at large masses of the VV system with respect to the SM prediction, as can be seen in Fig. 4. The observed number of data events with $m_{VV} > 1500$ GeV is 3 (3) compared to the predicted SM background yield of 6.4 ± 1.5 (2.6 ± 1.3) in the WV (ZV) channel.

The observed and expected 95% confidence level (CL) lower and upper limits on the aQGC parameters f/Λ^4 , where f is the dimensionless coefficient of the given operator and Λ is the energy scale of new physics, are calculated using a modified frequentist approach with the CL_s criterion [79,80] and asymptotic results for the test statistic [81]. The increase of the yield as a function of the aQGC exhibits a quadratic behavior, and a fitted parabolic function is used to interpolate between the discrete coupling parameters of

Table 2

Expected yields from various background processes in WV and ZV final states. The combination of the statistical and systematic uncertainties are shown. The predicted yields are shown with their best-fit normalizations from the background-only fit. The aQGC signal yields are shown for two aQGC scenarios with $f_{T2}/\Lambda^4 = -0.5\text{TeV}^{-4}$ and $f_{T2}/\Lambda^4 = -2.5\text{TeV}^{-4}$ for the WV and ZV channels, respectively. The charged Higgs boson signal yields are also shown for values of $s_H = 0.5$ and $m_{H_5} = 500\text{GeV}$ in the GM model. The statistical uncertainties are shown for the expected signal yields.

Final state	WV	ZV
Data	347	47
V+jets	196 ± 14	42.6 ± 6.1
Top quark	113 ± 15	0.14 ± 0.04
QCD VV	27 ± 8	5.5 ± 1.9
SM EW VV	16 ± 2	2.0 ± 0.4
Total bkg.	352 ± 19	50.3 ± 5.8
$f_{T2}/\Lambda^4 = -0.5, -2.5\text{TeV}^{-4}$	19 ± 1	6.7 ± 0.5
$m_{H_5} = 500\text{GeV}, s_H = 0.5$	38 ± 1	4.1 ± 0.1

Table 3

Observed and expected lower and upper 95% CL limits on the parameters of the quartic operators S0, S1, M0, M1, M6, M7, T0, T1, and T2 in WV and ZV channels. The last two columns show the observed and expected limits for the combination of the WV and ZV channels.

	Observed (WV) (TeV^{-4})	Expected (WV) (TeV^{-4})	Observed (ZV) (TeV^{-4})	Expected (ZV) (TeV^{-4})	Observed (TeV^{-4})	Expected (TeV^{-4})
f_{S0}/Λ^4	[-2.7, 2.7]	[-4.2, 4.2]	[-40, 40]	[-31, 31]	[-2.7, 2.7]	[-4.2, 4.2]
f_{S1}/Λ^4	[-3.3, 3.4]	[-5.2, 5.2]	[-32, 32]	[-24, 24]	[-3.4, 3.4]	[-5.2, 5.2]
f_{M0}/Λ^4	[-0.69, 0.69]	[-1.0, 1.0]	[-7.5, 7.5]	[-5.3, 5.3]	[-0.69, 0.70]	[-1.0, 1.0]
f_{M1}/Λ^4	[-2.0, 2.0]	[-3.0, 3.0]	[-22, 23]	[-16, 16]	[-2.0, 2.1]	[-3.0, 3.0]
f_{M6}/Λ^4	[-1.4, 1.4]	[-2.0, 2.0]	[-15, 15]	[-11, 11]	[-1.3, 1.3]	[-1.4, 1.4]
f_{M7}/Λ^4	[-3.4, 3.4]	[-5.1, 5.1]	[-35, 36]	[-25, 26]	[-3.4, 3.4]	[-5.1, 5.1]
f_{T0}/Λ^4	[-0.12, 0.11]	[-0.17, 0.16]	[-1.4, 1.4]	[-1.0, 1.0]	[-0.12, 0.11]	[-0.17, 0.16]
f_{T1}/Λ^4	[-0.12, 0.13]	[-0.18, 0.18]	[-1.5, 1.5]	[-1.0, 1.0]	[-0.12, 0.13]	[-0.18, 0.18]
f_{T2}/Λ^4	[-0.28, 0.28]	[-0.41, 0.41]	[-3.4, 3.4]	[-2.4, 2.4]	[-0.28, 0.28]	[-0.41, 0.41]




Fig. 5. Expected and observed exclusion limits at the 95% CL as a function of $m(H^\pm)$ for $\sigma_{\text{VBF}}(H^\pm)\mathcal{B}(H^\pm \rightarrow W^\pm Z)$ in the WV (upper left) and ZV (upper right) final states, for $\sigma_{\text{VBF}}(H^{\pm\pm})\mathcal{B}(H^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow W^\pm W^\pm)$, as a function of $m(H^{\pm\pm})$ (lower left), and for s_H in the GM model (lower right). The blue shaded area covers the theoretically disallowed parameter space [76].

the simulated signals. This is done for each bin of the mass distribution of the WV or ZV system. Table 3 shows the individual lower and upper limits obtained by setting all other aQGCs parameters to zero for the WV and ZV channels and their combination. These results give the most stringent constraints on the aQGC parameters for the S0, S1, M0, M1, M6, M7, T0, T1, and T2 operators. The effective field theory is not a complete model and the presence of nonzero aQGCs will violate tree-level unitarity at sufficiently high energy. It is important to note that the given limits do not include dipole form factors or other procedures to avoid unitarity violation [82].

Constraints on resonant charged Higgs boson production are also derived. The exclusion limits on the product of the charged Higgs boson cross section and branching fraction $\sigma_{\text{VBF}}(H^\pm)\mathcal{B}(H^\pm \rightarrow W^\pm Z)$ at the 95% CL as a function of $m(H^\pm)$ for the $W^\pm V$ (upper left) and ZV (upper right) channels, respectively, are shown in Fig. 5. The exclusion limit on the doubly charged Higgs bo-

son $\sigma_{\text{VBF}}(H^{\pm\pm})\mathcal{B}(H^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow W^{\pm}W^{\pm})$ at the 95% CL as a function of $m(H^{\pm\pm})$ for the WV final state is also shown in the lower left panel in Fig. 5. A small intrinsic width of 1 GeV is assumed for the $H^{\pm\pm}$ and H^{\pm} bosons. The combination of the model-independent exclusion limits constrains the $s_{\text{H}}-m(H_5)$ plane by using the predicted cross sections at next-to-NLO accuracy in the GM model [76]. The excluded s_{H} values as a function of $m(H_5)$ are shown in Fig. 5 (lower right).

8. Summary

A search for anomalous electroweak production of WW, WZ, and ZZ boson pairs in association with two jets in proton-proton collisions at the center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV was reported. The data sample corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 35.9 fb^{-1} collected with the CMS detector at 13 TeV. Final states with one or two leptons and a hadronically decaying W/Z boson, reconstructed as one large-radius jet, are considered. The contribution of the major background process W(Z)+jets in the WV (ZV) channel is evaluated with data control samples. No excess of events with respect to the SM background predictions is observed. Constraints on the quartic vector boson interactions in the framework of dimension-8 effective field theory operators are obtained. Stringent limits on the effective field theory operators S0, S1, M0, M1, M6, M7, T0, T1, and T2 are set. These are the first searches for anomalous electroweak production of WW, WZ, and ZZ boson pairs in WV and ZV semi-leptonic channels at 13 TeV. The limits improve the sensitivity of the current CMS fully leptonic results at 13 TeV [9,13,15] by factors of up to seven, depending on the operator. The upper limits on VBF produced charged Higgs boson cross sections in the high-mass region extend the previous results at the LHC. The results are interpreted in the GM model where the observed limit excludes s_{H} values greater than 0.53 for the $m(H_5)$ range from 600 to 2000 GeV.

Acknowledgements

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC and the CMS detector provided by the following funding agencies: BMBWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, FAPERGS, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MOST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); MoER, ERC IUT, PUT and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); NKFI (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); MES (Latvia); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MOS (Montenegro); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Dubna); MON, ROSATOM, RAS, RFBR, and NRC KI (Russia); MESTD (Serbia); SEIDI, CPAN, PCTI, and FEDER (Spain); MoSTR (Sri Lanka); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); ThEPcenter, IPST, STAR, and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU and SFFR (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie program and the European Research Council and Horizon 2020 Grant, contract Nos. 675440 and 765710 (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the A.P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the F.R.S.-FNRS and FWO (Belgium) under the ‘‘Excellence of Science – EOS’’ – be.h project n. 30820817; the Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission, No. Z181100004218003; the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Lendület (‘‘Momentum’’) Program and the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, the New National Excellence Program ÚNKP, the NKFI research grants 123842, 123959, 124845, 124850, 125105, 128713, 128786, and 129058 (Hungary); the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; the HOMING PLUS program of the Foundation for Polish Science, cofinanced from European Union, Regional Development Fund, the Mobility Plus program of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education, the National Science Centre (Poland), contracts Harmonia 2014/14/M/ST2/00428, Opus 2014/13/B/ST2/02543, 2014/15/B/ST2/03998, and 2015/19/B/ST2/02861, Sonata-bis 2012/07/E/ST2/01406; the National Priorities Research Program by Qatar National Research Fund; the Programa Estatal de Fomento de la Investigación Científica y Técnica de Excelencia María de Maeztu, grant MDM-2015-0509 and the Programa Severo Ochoa del Principado de Asturias; the Thalís and Aristeia programs cofinanced by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF; the Rachadapisek Sompot Fund for Postdoctoral Fellowship, Chulalongkorn University and the Chulalongkorn Academic into Its 2nd Century Project Advancement Project (Thailand); The Welch Foundation, contract C-1845; and the Weston Havens Foundation (USA).

References

- [1] ATLAS Collaboration, Observation of a new particle in the search for the standard model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B 716 (2012) 1, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.020>, arXiv:1207.7214.
- [2] CMS Collaboration, Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B 716 (2012) 30, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.021>, arXiv:1207.7235.
- [3] CMS Collaboration, Observation of a new boson with mass near 125 GeV in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2013) 081, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06\(2013\)081](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2013)081), arXiv:1303.4571.
- [4] D. Espriu, B. Yencho, Longitudinal WW scattering in light of the Higgs boson discovery, Phys. Rev. D 87 (2013) 055017, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.055017>, arXiv:1212.4158.
- [5] J. Chang, K. Cheung, C.-T. Lu, T.-C. Yuan, WW scattering in the era of post-Higgs-boson discovery, Phys. Rev. D 87 (2013) 093005, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.093005>, arXiv:1303.6335.
- [6] O.J.P. Éboli, M.C. Gonzalez-García, J.K. Mizukoshi, pp $\rightarrow jje^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}\nu\nu$ and $jje^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}\nu\nu$ at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_{\text{em}}^6)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_{\text{em}}^4\alpha_s^2)$ for the study of the quartic electroweak gauge boson vertex at CERN LHC, Phys. Rev. D 74 (2006) 073005, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.073005>, arXiv:hep-ph/0606118.
- [7] CMS Collaboration, CMS Luminosity Measurements for the 2016 Data Taking Period, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-LUM-17-001, 2017, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2257069>.
- [8] CMS Collaboration, The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, J. Instrum. 3 (2008) S08004, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004>.
- [9] CMS Collaboration, Observation of electroweak production of same-sign W boson pairs in the two jet and two same-sign lepton final state in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 081801, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.081801>, arXiv:1709.05822.
- [10] ATLAS Collaboration, Evidence for electroweak production of $W^{\pm}W^{\pm}jj$ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113 (2014) 141803, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.141803>, arXiv:1405.6241.
- [11] CMS Collaboration, Study of vector boson scattering and search for new physics in events with two same-sign leptons and two jets, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114 (2015) 051801, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.051801>, arXiv:1410.6315.
- [12] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of $W^{\pm}W^{\pm}$ vector boson scattering and limits on anomalous quartic gauge couplings with the ATLAS detector, Phys.

- Rev. D 96 (2017) 012007, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.012007>, arXiv:1611.02428.
- [13] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of electroweak WZ boson production and search for new physics in WZ + two jets events in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, Phys. Lett. B 795 (2019) 281, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2019.05.042>, arXiv:1901.04060.
- [14] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurements of $W^{\pm}Z$ production cross sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector and limits on anomalous gauge boson self-couplings, Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016) 092004, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.092004>, arXiv:1603.02151.
- [15] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of vector boson scattering and constraints on anomalous quartic couplings from events with four leptons and two jets in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, Phys. Lett. B 774 (2017) 682, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2017.10.020>, arXiv:1708.02812.
- [16] CMS Collaboration, Evidence for exclusive $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow W^+W^-$ production and constraints on anomalous quartic gauge couplings in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2016) 119, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08\(2016\)119](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2016)119), arXiv:1604.04464.
- [17] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of the cross section for electroweak production of $Z\gamma$ in association with two jets and constraints on anomalous quartic gauge couplings in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, Phys. Lett. B 770 (2017) 380, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2017.04.071>, arXiv:1702.03025.
- [18] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of electroweak-induced production of $W\gamma$ with two jets in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV and constraints on anomalous quartic gauge couplings, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2017) 106, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06\(2017\)106](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2017)106), arXiv:1612.09256.
- [19] ATLAS Collaboration, Studies of $Z\gamma$ production in association with a high-mass dijet system in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2017) 107, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07\(2017\)107](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2017)107), arXiv:1705.01966.
- [20] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for anomalous electroweak production of WW/WZ in association with a high-mass dijet system in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Rev. D 95 (2017) 032001, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.032001>, arXiv:1609.05122.
- [21] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for triboson $W^{\pm}W^{\pm}W^{\mp}$ production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Eur. Phys. J. C 77 (2017) 141, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-4692-1>, arXiv:1610.05088.
- [22] ATLAS Collaboration, Study of $WW\gamma$ and $WZ\gamma$ production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV and search for anomalous quartic gauge couplings with the ATLAS experiment, Eur. Phys. J. C 77 (2017) 646, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5180-3>, arXiv:1707.05597.
- [23] CMS Collaboration, Search for $WW\gamma$ and $WZ\gamma$ production and constraints on anomalous quartic gauge couplings in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014) 032008, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.032008>, arXiv:1404.4619.
- [24] C. Englert, E. Re, M. Spannowsky, Triplet Higgs boson collider phenomenology after the LHC, Phys. Rev. D 87 (2013) 095014, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.095014>, arXiv:1302.6505.
- [25] C. Englert, E. Re, M. Spannowsky, Pinning down Higgs triplets at the LHC, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 035024, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.035024>, arXiv:1306.6228.
- [26] H. Georgi, M. Machacek, Doubly charged Higgs bosons, Nucl. Phys. B 262 (1985) 463, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213\(85\)90325-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(85)90325-6).
- [27] CMS Collaboration, Search for charged Higgs bosons produced via vector boson fusion and decaying into a pair of W and Z bosons using pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119 (2017) 141802, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.141802>, arXiv:1705.02942.
- [28] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for a charged Higgs boson produced in the vector-boson fusion mode with decay $H^{\pm} \rightarrow W^{\pm}Z$ using pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS experiment, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114 (2015) 231801, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.231801>, arXiv:1503.04233.
- [29] CMS Collaboration, The CMS trigger system, J. Instrum. 12 (2017) P01020, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/12/01/P01020>, arXiv:1609.02366.
- [30] J. Alwall, R. Frederix, S. Frixione, V. Hirschi, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, H.-S. Shao, T. Stelzer, P. Torrielli, M. Zaro, The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2014) 079, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07\(2014\)079](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2014)079), arXiv:1405.0301.
- [31] A. Ballestrero, A. Belhouari, G. Bevilacqua, V. Kashkan, E. Maina, PHANTOM: a Monte Carlo event generator for six parton final states at high energy colliders, Comput. Phys. Commun. 180 (2009) 401, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2008.10.005>, arXiv:0801.3359.
- [32] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, E. Re, NLO vector boson production matched with shower in POWHEG, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2008) 060, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/07/060>, arXiv:0805.4802.
- [33] P. Nason, A new method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo algorithms, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2004) 040, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/11/040>, arXiv:hep-ph/0409146.
- [34] S. Frixione, P. Nason, C. Oleari, Matching NLO QCD computations with parton shower simulations: the POWHEG method, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2007) 070, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/11/070>, arXiv:0709.2092.
- [35] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, E. Re, A general framework for implementing NLO calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: the POWHEG BOX, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2010) 043, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06\(2010\)043](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2010)043), arXiv:1002.2581.
- [36] R. Gavin, Y. Li, F. Petriello, S. Quackenbush, W physics at the LHC with FEWZ 2.1, Comput. Phys. Commun. 184 (2013) 208, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2012.09.005>, arXiv:1201.5896.
- [37] Y. Li, F. Petriello, Combining QCD and electroweak corrections to dilepton production in FEWZ, Phys. Rev. B 86 (2012) 094034, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.094034>, arXiv:1208.5967.
- [38] R. Gavin, Y. Li, F. Petriello, S. Quackenbush, FEWZ 2.0: a code for hadronic Z production at next-to-next-to-leading order, Comput. Phys. Commun. 182 (2011) 2388, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2011.06.008>, arXiv:1011.3540.
- [39] N. Kidonakis, Two-loop soft anomalous dimensions for single top quark associated production with W^- or H^- , Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 054018, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.054018>, arXiv:1005.4451 [hep-ph].
- [40] M. Czakon, A. Mitov, Top++: a program for the calculation of the top-pair cross-section at hadron colliders, Comput. Phys. Commun. 185 (2014) 2930, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2014.06.021>, arXiv:1112.5675.
- [41] T. Sjöstrand, S. Ask, J.R. Christiansen, R. Corke, N. Desai, P. Ilten, S. Mrenna, S. Prestel, C.O. Rasmussen, P.Z. Skands, An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2, Comput. Phys. Commun. 191 (2015) 159, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2015.01.024>, arXiv:1410.3012.
- [42] P. Skands, S. Carrazza, J. Rojo, Tuning PYTHIA 8.1: the Monash 2013 tune, Eur. Phys. J. C 74 (2014) 3024, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-3024-y>, arXiv:1404.5630.
- [43] CMS Collaboration, Event generator tunes obtained from underlying event and multiparton scattering measurements, Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (2016) 155, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-3988-x>, arXiv:1512.00815.
- [44] R.D. Ball, V. Bertone, S. Carrazza, C.S. Deans, L. Del Debbio, S. Forte, A. Guffanti, N.P. Hartland, J.I. Latorre, J. Rojo, M. Ubiali, NNPDF, Parton distributions for the LHC Run II, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2015) 040, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04\(2015\)040](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2015)040), arXiv:1410.8849.
- [45] S. Agostinelli, et al., GEANT4, GEANT 4—a simulation toolkit, Nucl. Instrum. Methods, Sect. A 506 (2003) 250, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002\(03\)01368-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8).
- [46] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of the inelastic proton-proton cross section at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2018) 161, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07\(2018\)161](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2018)161), arXiv:1802.02613.
- [47] CMS Collaboration, Particle-flow reconstruction and global event description with the CMS detector, J. Instrum. 12 (2017) P10003, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/12/10/P10003>, arXiv:1706.04965.
- [48] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, G. Soyez, The anti- k_T jet clustering algorithm, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2008) 063, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/063>, arXiv:0802.1189.
- [49] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, G. Soyez, FastJet user manual, Eur. Phys. J. C 72 (2012) 1896, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-1896-2>, arXiv:1111.6097.
- [50] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, Dispelling the N^3 myth for the k_T jet-finder, Phys. Lett. B 641 (2006) 57, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2006.08.037>, arXiv:hep-ph/0512210.
- [51] CMS Collaboration, Determination of jet energy calibration and transverse momentum resolution in CMS, J. Instrum. 6 (2011) P11002, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/6/11/P11002>, arXiv:1107.4277.
- [52] CMS Collaboration, Jet energy scale and resolution in the CMS experiment in pp collisions at 8 TeV, J. Instrum. 12 (2017) P02014, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/12/02/P02014>, arXiv:1607.03663.
- [53] CMS Collaboration, Performance of missing transverse momentum reconstruction in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV using the CMS detector, J. Instrum. 14 (2019) P07004, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/14/07/P07004>, arXiv:1903.06078.
- [54] CMS Collaboration, Identification of b quark jets with the CMS experiment, J. Instrum. 8 (2012) P04013, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/8/04/P04013>, arXiv:1211.4462.
- [55] CMS Collaboration, Identification techniques for highly boosted W bosons that decay into hadrons, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2014) 017, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12\(2014\)017](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2014)017), arXiv:1410.4227.
- [56] D. Bertolini, P. Harris, M. Low, N. Tran, Pileup per particle identification, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2014) 59, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10\(2014\)059](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2014)059), arXiv:1407.6013.
- [57] M. Dasgupta, A. Fregoso, S. Marzani, G.P. Salam, Towards an understanding of jet substructure, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2013) 029, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09\(2013\)029](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2013)029), arXiv:1307.0007.
- [58] A.J. Larkoski, S. Marzani, G. Soyez, J. Thaler, Soft drop, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2014) 146, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05\(2014\)146](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2014)146), arXiv:1402.2657.
- [59] J. Thaler, K. Van Tilburg, Identifying boosted objects with N-subjettiness, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2011) 015, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03\(2011\)015](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2011)015), arXiv:1011.2268.

- [60] CMS Collaboration, Performance of CMS muon reconstruction in pp collision events at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, *J. Instrum.* 7 (2012) P10002, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/7/10/P10002>, arXiv:1206.4071.
- [61] CMS Collaboration, Performance of the CMS muon detector and muon reconstruction with proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. Instrum.* 13 (2018) P06015, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/13/06/P06015>, arXiv:1804.04528.
- [62] CMS Collaboration, Performance of electron reconstruction and selection with the CMS detector in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, *J. Instrum.* 10 (2015) P06005, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/10/06/P06005>, arXiv:1502.02701.
- [63] CMS Collaboration, Description and performance of track and primary-vertex reconstruction with the CMS tracker, *J. Instrum.* 9 (2014) P10009, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/9/10/P10009>, arXiv:1405.6569.
- [64] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of the Drell-Yan cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* 10 (2011) 132, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10\(2011\)132](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2011)132), arXiv:1107.4789.
- [65] M. Tanabashi, et al., Particle Data Group, Review of particle physics, *Phys. Rev. D* 98 (2018) 030001, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.030001>.
- [66] CMS Collaboration, Search for a heavy resonance decaying to a pair of vector bosons in the lepton plus merged jet final state at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* 05 (2018) 088, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05\(2018\)088](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2018)088), arXiv:1802.09407.
- [67] D.L. Rainwater, R. Szalapski, D. Zeppenfeld, Probing color singlet exchange in $Z + \text{two jet}$ events at the CERN LHC, *Phys. Rev. D* 54 (1996) 6680, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.54.6680>, arXiv:hep-ph/9605444.
- [68] J.F. Gunion, M. Soldate, Overcoming a critical background to Higgs detection, *Phys. Rev. D* 34 (1986) 826, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.34.826>.
- [69] CMS Collaboration, Search for massive resonances decaying into pairs of boosted bosons in semi-leptonic final states at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* 08 (2014) 174, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08\(2014\)174](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2014)174), arXiv:1405.3447.
- [70] CMS Collaboration, Search for massive resonances decaying into WW , WZ or ZZ bosons in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* 03 (2017) 162, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03\(2017\)162](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2017)162), arXiv:1612.09159.
- [71] A. Ballestrero, et al., Precise predictions for same-sign W -boson scattering at the LHC, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 78 (2018) 671, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-6136-y>, arXiv:1803.07943.
- [72] J. Butterworth, et al., PDF4LHC recommendations for LHC Run II, *J. Phys. G* 43 (2016) 023001, <https://doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/43/2/023001>, arXiv:1510.03865.
- [73] B. Biedermann, A. Denner, M. Pellen, Large electroweak corrections to vector boson scattering at the Large Hadron Collider, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 118 (2017) 261801, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.261801>, arXiv:1611.02951.
- [74] B. Biedermann, A. Denner, M. Pellen, Complete NLO corrections to W^+W^+ scattering and its irreducible background at the LHC, *J. High Energy Phys.* 10 (2017) 124, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10\(2017\)124](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2017)124), arXiv:1708.00268.
- [75] A. Denner, S. Dittmaier, P. Maierhöfer, M. Pellen, C. Schwan, QCD and electroweak corrections to WZ scattering at the LHC, *J. High Energy Phys.* 06 (2019) 067, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06\(2019\)067](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2019)067), arXiv:1904.00882.
- [76] M. Zaro, H. Logan, Recommendations for the Interpretation of LHC Searches for H_5^0 , H_5^\pm , and $H_5^{\pm\pm}$ in Vector Boson Fusion with Decays to Vector Boson Pairs, CERN Report LHCHSWG-2015-001, 2015, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2002500>.
- [77] R. Barlow, C. Beeston, Fitting using finite Monte Carlo samples, *Commun. Comput. Phys.* 77 (1993) 219, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-4655\(93\)90005-W](https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-4655(93)90005-W).
- [78] C. Degrande, N. Greiner, W. Kilian, O. Mattelaer, H. Mebane, T. Stelzer, S. Willenbrock, C. Zhang, Effective field theory: a modern approach to anomalous couplings, *Ann. Phys.* 335 (2013) 21, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2013.04.016>, arXiv:1205.4231.
- [79] T. Junk, Confidence level computation for combining searches with small statistics, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods, Sect. A* 434 (1999) 435, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002\(99\)00498-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(99)00498-2), arXiv:hep-ex/9902006.
- [80] A.L. Read, Presentation of search results: the CL_s technique, *J. Phys. G* 28 (2002) 2693, <https://doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/28/10/313>.
- [81] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross, O. Vitells, Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 71 (2011) 1554, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1554-0>, arXiv:1007.1727, Erratum: <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2501-z>.
- [82] O.J.P. Eboli, M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia, S.M. Lietti, S.F. Novaes, Anomalous quartic gauge boson couplings at hadron colliders, *Phys. Rev. D* 63 (2001) 075008, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.63.075008>, arXiv:hep-ph/0009262.

The CMS Collaboration

A.M. Sirunyan, A. Tumasyan

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

W. Adam, F. Ambroggi, E. Asilar, T. Bergauer, J. Brandstetter, M. Dragicevic, J. Erö, A. Escalante Del Valle, M. Flechl, R. Frühwirth¹, V.M. Ghete, J. Hrubec, M. Jeitler¹, N. Krammer, I. Krätschmer, D. Liko, T. Madlener, I. Mikulec, N. Rad, H. Rohringer, J. Schieck¹, R. Schöfbeck, M. Spanring, D. Spitzbart, W. Waltenberger, J. Wittmann, C.-E. Wulz¹, M. Zarucki

Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Wien, Austria

V. Chekhovskiy, V. Mossolov, J. Suarez Gonzalez

Institute for Nuclear Problems, Minsk, Belarus

E.A. De Wolf, D. Di Croce, X. Janssen, J. Lauwers, A. Lelek, M. Pieters, H. Van Haevermaet, P. Van Mechelen, N. Van Remortel

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

F. Blekman, J. D'Hondt, J. De Clercq, K. Deroover, G. Flouris, D. Lontkovskiy, S. Lowette, I. Marchesini, S. Moortgat, L. Moreels, Q. Python, K. Skovpen, S. Tavernier, W. Van Doninck, P. Van Mulders, I. Van Parijs

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

D. Beghin, B. Bilin, H. Brun, B. Clerbaux, G. De Lentdecker, H. Delannoy, B. Dorney, L. Favart, A. Grebenyuk, A.K. Kalsi, J. Luetic, A. Popov², N. Postiau, E. Starling, L. Thomas, C. Vander Velde, P. Vanlaer, D. Vannerom, Q. Wang

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

T. Cornelis, D. Dobur, A. Fagot, M. Gul, I. Khvastunov³, C. Roskas, D. Trocino, M. Tytgat, W. Verbeke, B. Vermassen, M. Vit, N. Zaganidis

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

O. Bondu, G. Bruno, C. Caputo, P. David, C. Delaere, M. Delcourt, A. Giammanco, G. Krintiras, V. Lemaitre, A. Magitteri, K. Piotrkowski, A. Saggio, M. Vidal Marono, P. Vischia, J. Zobec

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

F.L. Alves, G.A. Alves, G. Correia Silva, C. Hensel, A. Moraes, M.E. Pol, P. Rebello Teles

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

E. Belchior Batista Das Chagas, W. Carvalho, J. Chinellato⁴, E. Coelho, E.M. Da Costa, G.G. Da Silveira⁵, D. De Jesus Damiao, C. De Oliveira Martins, S. Fonseca De Souza, L.M. Huertas Guativa, H. Malbouisson, D. Matos Figueiredo, M. Melo De Almeida, C. Mora Herrera, L. Mundim, H. Nogima, W.L. Prado Da Silva, L.J. Sanchez Rosas, A. Santoro, A. Sznajder, M. Thiel, E.J. Tonelli Manganote⁴, F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo, A. Vilela Pereira

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

S. Ahuja^a, C.A. Bernardes^a, L. Calligaris^a, T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei^a, E.M. Gregores^b, P.G. Mercadante^b, S.F. Novaes^a, Sandra S. Padula^a

^a *Universidade Estadual Paulista, São Paulo, Brazil*

^b *Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil*

A. Aleksandrov, R. Hadjiiska, P. Iaydjiev, A. Marinov, M. Misheva, M. Rodozov, M. Shopova, G. Sultanov

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Dimitrov, L. Litov, B. Pavlov, P. Petkov

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

W. Fang⁶, X. Gao⁶, L. Yuan

Beihang University, Beijing, China

M. Ahmad, J.G. Bian, G.M. Chen, H.S. Chen, M. Chen, Y. Chen, C.H. Jiang, D. Leggat, H. Liao, Z. Liu, S.M. Shaheen⁷, A. Spiezia, J. Tao, E. Yazgan, H. Zhang, S. Zhang⁷, J. Zhao

Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

Y. Ban, G. Chen, A. Levin, J. Li, L. Li, Q. Li, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian, D. Wang

State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

Y. Wang

Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

C. Avila, A. Cabrera, C.A. Carrillo Montoya, L.F. Chaparro Sierra, C. Florez, C.F. González Hernández, M.A. Segura Delgado

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

J.D. Ruiz Alvarez

Universidad de Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia

N. Godinovic, D. Lelas, I. Puljak, T. Sculac

University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia

Z. Antunovic, M. Kovac

University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia

V. Brigljevic, D. Ferencek, K. Kadija, B. Mesic, M. Roguljic, A. Starodumov⁸, T. Susa

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia

M.W. Ather, A. Attikis, E. Erodotou, M. Kolosova, S. Konstantinou, G. Mavromanolakis, J. Mousa, C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos, P.A. Razis, H. Rykaczewski, D. Tsiakkouri

University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus

M. Finger⁹, M. Finger Jr.⁹

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

E. Ayala

Escuela Politecnica Nacional, Quito, Ecuador

E. Carrera Jarrin

Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador

H. Abdalla¹⁰, A.A. Abdelalim^{11,12}, M.A. Mahmoud^{13,14}

Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt

S. Bhowmik, A. Carvalho Antunes De Oliveira, R.K. Dewanjee, K. Ehataht, M. Kadastik, M. Raidal, C. Veelken

National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia

P. Eerola, H. Kirschenmann, J. Pekkanen, M. Voutilainen

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

J. Havukainen, J.K. Heikkilä, T. Järvinen, V. Karimäki, R. Kinnunen, T. Lampén, K. Lassila-Perini, S. Laurila, S. Lehti, T. Lindén, P. Luukka, T. Mäenpää, H. Siikonen, E. Tuominen, J. Tuominiemi

Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland

T. Tuuva

Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland

M. Besancon, F. Couderc, M. Dejardin, D. Denegri, J.L. Faure, F. Ferri, S. Ganjour, A. Givernaud, P. Gras, G. Hamel de Monchenault, P. Jarry, C. Leloup, E. Locci, J. Malcles, J. Rander, A. Rosowsky, M.Ö. Sahin, A. Savoy-Navarro¹⁵, M. Titov

IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

C. Amendola, F. Beaudette, P. Busson, C. Charlot, B. Diab, R. Granier de Cassagnac, I. Kucher, A. Lobanov, J. Martin Blanco, C. Martin Perez, M. Nguyen, C. Ochando, G. Ortona, P. Paganini, J. Rembser, R. Salerno, J.B. Sauvan, Y. Sirois, A. Zabi, A. Zghiche

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole polytechnique, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Palaiseau, France

J.-L. Agram¹⁶, J. Andrea, D. Bloch, G. Bourgatte, J.-M. Brom, E.C. Chabert, C. Collard, E. Conte¹⁶, J.-C. Fontaine¹⁶, D. Gelé, U. Goerlach, M. Jansová, A.-C. Le Bihan, N. Tonon, P. Van Hove

Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, Strasbourg, France

S. Gadrat

Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France

S. Beauceron, C. Bernet, G. Boudoul, N. Chanon, R. Chierici, D. Contardo, P. Depasse, H. El Mamouni, J. Fay, S. Gascon, M. Gouzevitch, G. Grenier, B. Ille, F. Lagarde, I.B. Laktineh, H. Lattaud, M. Lethuillier, L. Mirabito, S. Perries, V. Sordini, G. Touquet, M. Vander Donckt, S. Viret

Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France

A. Khvedelidze⁹

Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia

Z. Tsamalaidze⁹

Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia

C. Autermann, L. Feld, M.K. Kiesel, K. Klein, M. Lipinski, D. Meuser, A. Pauls, M. Preuten, M.P. Rauch, C. Schomakers, J. Schulz, M. Teroerde, B. Wittmer

RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany

A. Albert, M. Erdmann, S. Erdweg, T. Esch, R. Fischer, S. Ghosh, T. Hebbeker, C. Heidemann, K. Hoepfner, H. Keller, L. Mastrolorenzo, M. Merschmeyer, A. Meyer, P. Millet, S. Mukherjee, A. Novak, T. Pook, A. Pozdnyakov, M. Radziej, Y. Rath, H. Reithler, M. Rieger, A. Schmidt, A. Sharma, D. Teyssier, S. Thüer

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

G. Flügge, O. Hlushchenko, T. Kress, T. Müller, A. Nehr Korn, A. Nowack, C. Pistone, O. Pooth, D. Roy, H. Sert, A. Stahl¹⁷

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany

M. Aldaya Martin, T. Arndt, C. Asawatrangkuldee, I. Babounikau, H. Bakhshiansohi, K. Beernaert, O. Behnke, U. Behrens, A. Bermúdez Martínez, D. Bertsche, A.A. Bin Anuar, K. Borras¹⁸, V. Botta, A. Campbell, P. Connor, C. Contreras-Campana, V. Danilov, A. De Wit, M.M. Defranchis, C. Diez Pardos, D. Domínguez Damiani, G. Eckerlin, T. Eichhorn, A. Elwood, E. Eren, E. Gallo¹⁹, A. Geiser, J.M. Grados Luyando, A. Grohsjean, M. Guthoff, M. Haranko, A. Harb, N.Z. Jomhari, H. Jung, A. Kasem¹⁸, M. Kasemann, J. Keaveney, C. Kleinwort, J. Knolle, D. Krücker, W. Lange, T. Lenz, J. Leonard, K. Lipka, W. Lohmann²⁰, R. Mankel, I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann, A.B. Meyer, M. Meyer, M. Missiroli, G. Mittag, J. Mnich, V. Myronenko, S.K. Pflitsch, D. Pitzl, A. Raspereza, A. Saibel, M. Savitskyi, P. Saxena, V. Scheurer, P. Schütze, C. Schwanenberger, R. Shevchenko, A. Singh, H. Tholen, O. Turkot, A. Vagnerini, M. Van De Klundert, G.P. Van Onsem, R. Walsh, Y. Wen, K. Wichmann, C. Wissing, O. Zenaiev, R. Zlebcik

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

R. Aggleton, S. Bein, L. Benato, A. Benecke, V. Blobel, T. Dreyer, A. Ebrahimi, A. Fröhlich, E. Garutti, D. Gonzalez, P. Gunnellini, J. Haller, A. Hinzmann, A. Karavdina, G. Kasieczka, R. Klanner, R. Kogler, N. Kovalchuk, S. Kurz, V. Kutzner, J. Lange, T. Lange, A. Malara, D. Marconi, J. Multhaup, M. Niedziela, C.E.N. Niemeyer, D. Nowatschin, A. Perieanu, A. Reimers, O. Rieger, C. Scharf, P. Schleper, S. Schumann, J. Schwandt, J. Sonneveld, H. Stadie, G. Steinbrück, F.M. Stober, M. Stöver, B. Vormwald, I. Zoi

University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

M. Akbiyik, C. Barth, M. Baselga, S. Baur, T. Berger, E. Butz, R. Caspart, T. Chwalek, W. De Boer, A. Dierlamm, K. El Morabit, N. Faltermann, M. Giffels, M.A. Harrendorf, F. Hartmann¹⁷, U. Husemann, I. Katkov², S. Kudella, S. Mitra, M.U. Mozer, Th. Müller, M. Musich, G. Quast, K. Rabbertz, M. Schröder, I. Shvetsov, H.J. Simonis, R. Ulrich, M. Weber, C. Wöhrmann, R. Wolf

Karlsruher Institut fuer Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany

G. Anagnostou, G. Daskalakis, T. Geralis, A. Kyriakis, D. Loukas, G. Paspalaki

Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece

A. Agapitos, G. Karathanasis, P. Kontaxakis, A. Panagiotou, I. Papavergou, N. Saoulidou, K. Theofilatos, K. Vellidis

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

G. Bakas, K. Kousouris, I. Papakrivopoulos, G. Tsipolitis

National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece

I. Evangelou, C. Foudas, P. Giannelis, P. Katsoulis, P. Kokkas, S. Mallios, K. Manitaras, N. Manthos, I. Papadopoulos, E. Paradas, J. Strologas, F.A. Triantis, D. Tsitsionis

University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

M. Bartók²¹, M. Csanad, N. Filipovic, P. Major, K. Mandal, A. Mehta, M.I. Nagy, G. Pasztor, O. Surányi, G.I. Veres

MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

G. Bencze, C. Hajdu, D. Horvath²², Á. Hunyadi, F. Sikler, T.Á. Vámi, V. Veszpremi, G. Vesztergombi[†]

Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

N. Beni, S. Czellar, J. Karancsi²¹, A. Makovec, J. Molnar, Z. Szillasi

Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

P. Raics, Z.L. Trocsanyi, B. Ujvari

Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

S. Choudhury, J.R. Komaragiri, P.C. Tiwari

Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India

S. Bahinipati²³, C. Kar, P. Mal, A. Nayak²⁴, S. Roy Chowdhury, D.K. Sahoo²³, S.K. Swain

National Institute of Science Education and Research, HBNI, Bhubaneswar, India

S. Bansal, S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar, S. Chauhan, R. Chawla, N. Dhingra, R. Gupta, A. Kaur, M. Kaur, S. Kaur, P. Kumari, M. Lohan, M. Meena, K. Sandeep, S. Sharma, J.B. Singh, A.K. Viridi, G. Walia

Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

A. Bhardwaj, B.C. Choudhary, R.B. Garg, M. Gola, S. Keshri, Ashok Kumar, S. Malhotra, M. Naimuddin, P. Priyanka, K. Ranjan, Aashaq Shah, R. Sharma

University of Delhi, Delhi, India

R. Bhardwaj²⁵, M. Bharti²⁵, R. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharya, U. Bhawandeep²⁵, D. Bhowmik, S. Dey, S. Dutt²⁵, S. Dutta, S. Ghosh, M. Maity²⁶, K. Mondal, S. Nandan, A. Purohit, P.K. Rout, A. Roy, G. Saha, S. Sarkar, T. Sarkar²⁶, M. Sharan, B. Singh²⁵, S. Thakur²⁵

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India

P.K. Behera, A. Muhammad

Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India

R. Chudasama, D. Dutta, V. Jha, V. Kumar, D.K. Mishra, P.K. Netrakanti, L.M. Pant, P. Shukla, P. Suggisetti

Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India

T. Aziz, M.A. Bhat, S. Dugad, G.B. Mohanty, N. Sur, Ravindra Kumar Verma

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India

S. Banerjee, S. Bhattacharya, S. Chatterjee, P. Das, M. Guchait, Sa. Jain, S. Karmakar, S. Kumar, G. Majumder, K. Mazumdar, N. Sahoo, S. Sawant

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India

S. Chauhan, S. Dube, V. Hegde, A. Kapoor, K. Kothekar, S. Pandey, A. Rane, A. Rastogi, S. Sharma

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India

S. Chenarani²⁷, E. Eskandari Tadavani, S.M. Etesami²⁷, M. Khakzad, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi, M. Naseri, F. Rezaei Hosseinabadi, B. Safarzadeh²⁸, M. Zeinali

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran

M. Felcini, M. Grunewald

University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

M. Abbrescia^{a,b}, C. Calabria^{a,b}, A. Colaleo^a, D. Creanza^{a,c}, L. Cristella^{a,b}, N. De Filippis^{a,c}, M. De Palma^{a,b}, A. Di Florio^{a,b}, F. Errico^{a,b}, L. Fiore^a, A. Gelmi^{a,b}, G. Iaselli^{a,c}, M. Ince^{a,b}, S. Lezki^{a,b}, G. Maggi^{a,c}, M. Maggi^a, G. Miniello^{a,b}, S. My^{a,b}, S. Nuzzo^{a,b}, A. Pompili^{a,b}, G. Pugliese^{a,c}, R. Radogna^a, A. Ranieri^a, G. Selvaggi^{a,b}, L. Silvestris^a, R. Venditti^a, P. Verwilligen^a

^a INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy

^b Università di Bari, Bari, Italy

^c Politecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy

G. Abbiendi^a, C. Battilana^{a,b}, D. Bonacorsi^{a,b}, L. Borghonovi^{a,b}, S. Braibant-Giacomelli^{a,b}, R. Campanini^{a,b}, P. Capiluppi^{a,b}, A. Castro^{a,b}, F.R. Cavallo^a, S.S. Chhibra^{a,b}, G. Codispoti^{a,b}, M. Cuffiani^{a,b}, G.M. Dallavalle^a, F. Fabbri^a, A. Fanfani^{a,b}, E. Fontanesi, P. Giacomelli^a, C. Grandi^a, L. Guiducci^{a,b}, F. Iemmi^{a,b}, S. Lo Meo^{a,29}, S. Marcellini^a, G. Masetti^a, A. Montanari^a, F.L. Navarria^{a,b}, A. Perrotta^a, F. Primavera^{a,b}, A.M. Rossi^{a,b}, T. Rovelli^{a,b}, G.P. Siroli^{a,b}, N. Tosi^a

^a INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

^b Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

S. Albergo^{a,b,30}, A. Di Mattia^a, R. Potenza^{a,b}, A. Tricomi^{a,b,30}, C. Tuve^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy

^b Università di Catania, Catania, Italy

G. Barbagli^a, K. Chatterjee^{a,b}, V. Ciulli^{a,b}, C. Civinini^a, R. D'Alessandro^{a,b}, E. Focardi^{a,b}, G. Latino, P. Lenzi^{a,b}, M. Meschini^a, S. Paoletti^a, L. Russo^{a,31}, G. Sguazzoni^a, D. Strom^a, L. Viliani^a

^a INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy

^b Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy

L. Benussi, S. Bianco, F. Fabbri, D. Piccolo

INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

F. Ferro^a, R. Mulargia^{a,b}, E. Robutti^a, S. Tosi^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy

^b Università di Genova, Genova, Italy

A. Benaglia^a, A. Beschi^b, F. Brivio^{a,b}, V. Ciriolo^{a,b,17}, S. Di Guida^{a,b,17}, M.E. Dinardo^{a,b}, S. Fiorendi^{a,b}, S. Gennai^a, A. Ghezzi^{a,b}, P. Govoni^{a,b}, M. Malberti^{a,b}, S. Malvezzi^a, D. Menasce^a, F. Monti, L. Moroni^a,

M. Paganoni ^{a,b}, D. Pedrini ^a, S. Ragazzi ^{a,b}, T. Tabarelli de Fatis ^{a,b}, D. Zuolo ^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy

^b Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy

S. Buontempo ^a, N. Cavallo ^{a,c}, A. De Iorio ^{a,b}, A. Di Crescenzo ^{a,b}, F. Fabozzi ^{a,c}, F. Fienga ^a, G. Galati ^a, A.O.M. Iorio ^{a,b}, L. Lista ^{a,b}, S. Meola ^{a,d,17}, P. Paolucci ^{a,17}, C. Sciacca ^{a,b}, E. Voevodina ^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Napoli, Napoli, Italy

^b Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy

^c Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy

^d Università G. Marconi, Roma, Italy

P. Azzi ^a, N. Bacchetta ^a, D. Bisello ^{a,b}, A. Boletti ^{a,b}, A. Bragagnolo, R. Carlin ^{a,b}, P. Checchia ^a, M. Dall'Osso ^{a,b}, P. De Castro Manzano ^a, T. Dorigo ^a, U. Dosselli ^a, F. Gasparini ^{a,b}, U. Gasparini ^{a,b}, A. Gozzelino ^a, S.Y. Hoh, S. Lacaprara ^a, P. Lujan, M. Margoni ^{a,b}, A.T. Meneguzzo ^{a,b}, J. Pazzini ^{a,b}, M. Presilla ^b, P. Ronchese ^{a,b}, R. Rossin ^{a,b}, F. Simonetto ^{a,b}, A. Tiko, E. Torassa ^a, M. Tosi ^{a,b}, M. Zanetti ^{a,b}, P. Zotto ^{a,b}, G. Zumerle ^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy

^b Università di Padova, Padova, Italy

^c Università di Trento, Trento, Italy

A. Braghieri ^a, A. Magnani ^a, P. Montagna ^{a,b}, S.P. Ratti ^{a,b}, V. Re ^a, M. Ressegotti ^{a,b}, C. Riccardi ^{a,b}, P. Salvini ^a, I. Vai ^{a,b}, P. Vitulo ^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy

^b Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy

M. Biasini ^{a,b}, G.M. Bilei ^a, C. Cecchi ^{a,b}, D. Ciangottini ^{a,b}, L. Fanò ^{a,b}, P. Lariccia ^{a,b}, R. Leonardi ^{a,b}, E. Manoni ^a, G. Mantovani ^{a,b}, V. Mariani ^{a,b}, M. Menichelli ^a, A. Rossi ^{a,b}, A. Santocchia ^{a,b}, D. Spiga ^a

^a INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy

^b Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy

K. Androsov ^a, P. Azzurri ^a, G. Bagliesi ^a, L. Bianchini ^a, T. Boccali ^a, L. Borrello, R. Castaldi ^a, M.A. Ciocci ^{a,b}, R. Dell'Orso ^a, G. Fedi ^a, F. Fiori ^{a,c}, L. Giannini ^{a,c}, A. Giassi ^a, M.T. Grippo ^a, F. Ligabue ^{a,c}, E. Manca ^{a,c}, G. Mandorli ^{a,c}, A. Messineo ^{a,b}, F. Palla ^a, A. Rizzi ^{a,b}, G. Rolandi ³², A. Scribano ^a, P. Spagnolo ^a, R. Tenchini ^a, G. Tonelli ^{a,b}, A. Venturi ^a, P.G. Verdini ^a

^a INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

^b Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

^c Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

L. Barone ^{a,b}, F. Cavallari ^a, M. Cipriani ^{a,b}, D. Del Re ^{a,b}, E. Di Marco ^{a,b}, M. Diemoz ^a, S. Gelli ^{a,b}, E. Longo ^{a,b}, B. Marzocchi ^{a,b}, P. Meridiani ^a, G. Organtini ^{a,b}, F. Pandolfi ^a, R. Paramatti ^{a,b}, F. Preiato ^{a,b}, C. Quaranta ^{a,b}, S. Rahatlou ^{a,b}, C. Rovelli ^a, F. Santanastasio ^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Roma, Rome, Italy

^b Sapienza Università di Roma, Rome, Italy

N. Amapane ^{a,b}, R. Arcidiacono ^{a,c}, S. Argiro ^{a,b}, M. Arneodo ^{a,c}, N. Bartosik ^a, R. Bellan ^{a,b}, C. Biino ^a, A. Cappati ^{a,b}, N. Cartiglia ^a, F. Cenna ^{a,b}, S. Cometti ^a, M. Costa ^{a,b}, R. Covarelli ^{a,b}, N. Demaria ^a, B. Kiani ^{a,b}, C. Mariotti ^a, S. Maselli ^a, E. Migliore ^{a,b}, V. Monaco ^{a,b}, E. Monteil ^{a,b}, M. Monteno ^a, M.M. Obertino ^{a,b}, L. Pacher ^{a,b}, N. Pastrone ^a, M. Pelliccioni ^a, G.L. Pinna Angioni ^{a,b}, A. Romero ^{a,b}, M. Ruspa ^{a,c}, R. Sacchi ^{a,b}, R. Salvatico ^{a,b}, K. Shchelina ^{a,b}, V. Sola ^a, A. Solano ^{a,b}, D. Soldi ^{a,b}, A. Staiano ^a

^a INFN Sezione di Torino, Torino, Italy

^b Università di Torino, Torino, Italy

^c Università del Piemonte Orientale, Novara, Italy

S. Belforte^a, V. Candelise^{a,b}, M. Casarsa^a, F. Cossutti^a, A. Da Rold^{a,b}, G. Della Ricca^{a,b}, F. Vazzoler^{a,b}, A. Zanetti^a

^a INFN Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy

^b Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy

D.H. Kim, G.N. Kim, M.S. Kim, J. Lee, S.W. Lee, C.S. Moon, Y.D. Oh, S.I. Pak, S. Sekmen, D.C. Son, Y.C. Yang

Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Republic of Korea

H. Kim, D.H. Moon, G. Oh

Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Republic of Korea

B. Francois, J. Goh³³, T.J. Kim, J. Park

Hanyang University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

S. Cho, S. Choi, Y. Go, D. Gyun, S. Ha, B. Hong, Y. Jo, K. Lee, K.S. Lee, S. Lee, J. Lim, S.K. Park, Y. Roh

Korea University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

H.S. Kim

Sejong University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

J. Almond, J. Kim, J.S. Kim, H. Lee, K. Lee, S. Lee, K. Nam, S.B. Oh, B.C. Radburn-Smith, S.h. Seo, U.K. Yang, H.D. Yoo, G.B. Yu

Seoul National University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

D. Jeon, H. Kim, J.H. Kim, J.S.H. Lee, I.C. Park

University of Seoul, Seoul, Republic of Korea

Y. Choi, C. Hwang, J. Lee, I. Yu

Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Republic of Korea

V. Veckalns³⁴

Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia

V. Dudenas, A. Juodagalvis, J. Vaitkus

Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania

Z.A. Ibrahim, M.A.B. Md Ali³⁵, F. Mohamad Idris³⁶, W.A.T. Wan Abdullah, M.N. Yusli, Z. Zolkapli

National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

J.F. Benitez, A. Castaneda Hernandez, J.A. Murillo Quijada

Universidad de Sonora (UNISON), Hermosillo, Mexico

H. Castilla-Valdez, E. De La Cruz-Burelo, M.C. Duran-Osuna, I. Heredia-De La Cruz³⁷, R. Lopez-Fernandez, R.I. Rabadan-Trejo, G. Ramirez-Sanchez, R. Reyes-Almanza, A. Sanchez-Hernandez

Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico

S. Carrillo Moreno, C. Oropeza Barrera, M. Ramirez-Garcia, F. Vazquez Valencia

Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico

J. Eysermans, I. Pedraza, H.A. Salazar Ibarquen, C. Uribe Estrada

Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

A. Morelos Pineda

Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico

N. Raicevic

University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro

D. Krofcheck

University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

S. Bheesette, P.H. Butler

University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

A. Ahmad, M. Ahmad, M.I. Asghar, Q. Hassan, H.R. Hoorani, W.A. Khan, M.A. Shah, M. Shoaib, M. Waqas

National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

H. Bialkowska, M. Bluj, B. Boimska, T. Frueboes, M. Górski, M. Kazana, M. Szleper, P. Traczyk, P. Zalewski

National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland

K. Bunkowski, A. Byszuk³⁸, K. Doroba, A. Kalinowski, M. Konecki, J. Krolikowski, M. Misiura, M. Olszewski, A. Pyskir, M. Walczak

Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

M. Araujo, P. Bargassa, D. Bastos, C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva, A. Di Francesco, P. Faccioli, B. Galinhas, M. Gallinaro, J. Hollar, N. Leonardo, J. Seixas, G. Strong, O. Toldaiev, J. Varela

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal

S. Afanasiev, P. Bunin, M. Gavrilenko, I. Golutvin, I. Gorbunov, A. Kamenev, V. Karjavine, A. Lanev, A. Malakhov, V. Matveev^{39,40}, P. Moiseenz, V. Palichik, V. Perelygin, S. Shmatov, S. Shulha, N. Skatchkov, V. Smirnov, N. Voytishin, A. Zarubin

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

V. Golovtsov, Y. Ivanov, V. Kim⁴¹, E. Kuznetsova⁴², P. Levchenko, V. Murzin, V. Oreshkin, I. Smirnov, D. Sosnov, V. Sulimov, L. Uvarov, S. Vavilov, A. Vorobyev

Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia

Yu. Andreev, A. Dermenev, S. Gninenko, N. Golubev, A. Karneyeu, M. Kirsanov, N. Krasnikov, A. Pashenkov, A. Shabanov, D. Tlisov, A. Toropin

Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia

V. Epshteyn, V. Gavrilov, N. Lychkovskaya, V. Popov, I. Pozdnyakov, G. Safronov, A. Spiridonov, A. Stepanov, M. Toms, E. Vlasov, A. Zhokin

Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics named by A.I. Alikhanov of NRC 'Kurchatov Institute', Moscow, Russia

T. Aushev

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Moscow, Russia

M. Chadeeva⁴³, S. Polikarpov⁴³, E. Popova, V. Rusinov

National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia

V. Andreev, M. Azarkin, I. Dremin⁴⁰, M. Kirakosyan, A. Terkulov

P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia

A. Belyaev, E. Boos, M. Dubinin⁴⁴, L. Dudko, A. Ershov, A. Gribushin, V. Klyukhin, O. Kodolova, I. Lokhtin, S. Obraztsov, S. Petrushanko, V. Savrin, A. Snigirev

Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

A. Barnyakov⁴⁵, V. Blinov⁴⁵, T. Dimova⁴⁵, L. Kardapoltsev⁴⁵, Y. Skovpen⁴⁵

Novosibirsk State University (NSU), Novosibirsk, Russia

I. Azhgirey, I. Bayshev, S. Bitioukov, V. Kachanov, A. Kalinin, D. Konstantinov, P. Mandrik, V. Petrov, R. Ryutin, S. Slabospitskii, A. Sobol, S. Troshin, N. Tyurin, A. Uzunian, A. Volkov

Institute for High Energy Physics of National Research Centre 'Kurchatov Institute', Protvino, Russia

A. Babaev, S. Baidali, A. Iuzhakov, V. Okhotnikov

National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, Tomsk, Russia

P. Adzic⁴⁶, P. Cirkovic, D. Devetak, M. Dordevic, P. Milenovic⁴⁷, J. Milosevic

University of Belgrade: Faculty of Physics and VINCA Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Serbia

J. Alcaraz Maestre, A. Álvarez Fernández, I. Bachiller, M. Barrio Luna, J.A. Brochero Cifuentes, M. Cerrada, N. Colino, B. De La Cruz, A. Delgado Peris, C. Fernandez Bedoya, J.P. Fernández Ramos, J. Flix, M.C. Fouz, O. Gonzalez Lopez, S. Goy Lopez, J.M. Hernandez, M.I. Josa, D. Moran, A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo, J. Puerta Pelayo, I. Redondo, L. Romero, S. Sánchez Navas, M.S. Soares, A. Triossi

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

C. Albajar, J.F. de Trocóniz

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

J. Cuevas, C. Erice, J. Fernandez Menendez, S. Folgueras, I. Gonzalez Caballero, J.R. González Fernández, E. Palencia Cortezon, V. Rodríguez Bouza, S. Sanchez Cruz, J.M. Vizan Garcia

Universidad de Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain

I.J. Cabrillo, A. Calderon, B. Chazin Quero, J. Duarte Campderros, M. Fernandez, P.J. Fernández Manteca, A. García Alonso, G. Gomez, A. Lopez Virto, C. Martinez Rivero, P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol, F. Matorras, J. Piedra Gomez, C. Prieels, T. Rodrigo, A. Ruiz-Jimeno, L. Scodellaro, N. Trevisani, I. Vila

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

N. Wickramage

University of Ruhuna, Department of Physics, Matara, Sri Lanka

D. Abbaneo, B. Akgun, E. Auffray, G. Auzinger, P. Baillon[†], A.H. Ball, D. Barney, J. Bendavid, M. Bianco, A. Bocci, C. Botta, E. Brondolin, T. Camporesi, M. Cepeda, G. Cerminara, E. Chapon, Y. Chen, G. Cucciati, D. d'Enterria, A. Dabrowski, N. Daci, V. Daponte, A. David, A. De Roeck, N. Deelen, M. Dobson, M. Dünser, N. Dupont, A. Elliott-Peisert, F. Fallavollita⁴⁸, D. Fasanella, G. Franzoni, J. Fulcher, W. Funk, D. Gigi, A. Gilbert, K. Gill, F. Glege, M. Gruchala, M. Guilbaud, D. Gulhan, J. Hegeman, C. Heidegger, Y. Iiyama, V. Innocente, G.M. Innocenti, A. Jafari, P. Janot, O. Karacheban²⁰, J. Kieseler, A. Kornmayer, M. Kramer¹, C. Lange, P. Lecoq, C. Lourenço, L. Malgeri, M. Mannelli, A. Massironi, F. Meijers, J.A. Merlin, S. Mersi, E. Meschi, F. Moortgat, M. Mulders, J. Ngadiuba, S. Nourbakhsh, S. Orfanelli, L. Orsini, F. Pantaleo¹⁷, L. Pape, E. Perez, M. Peruzzi, A. Petrilli, G. Petrucciani, A. Pfeiffer, M. Pierini, F.M. Pitters, D. Rabady, A. Racz, M. Rovere, H. Sakulin, C. Schäfer, C. Schwick, M. Selvaggi, A. Sharma, P. Silva, P. Sphicas⁴⁹, A. Stakia, J. Steggemann, V.R. Tavolaro, D. Treille, A. Tsiros, A. Vartak, M. Verzetti, W.D. Zeuner

CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

L. Caminada⁵⁰, K. Deiters, W. Erdmann, R. Horisberger, Q. Ingram, H.C. Kaestli, D. Kotlinski, U. Langenegger, T. Rohe, S.A. Wiederkehr

Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland

M. Backhaus, P. Berger, N. Chernyavskaya, G. Dissertori, M. Dittmar, M. Donegà, C. Dorfer, T.A. Gómez Espinosa, C. Grab, D. Hits, T. Klijnsma, W. Lustermann, R.A. Manzoni, M. Marionneau, M.T. Meinhard, F. Micheli, P. Musella, F. Nessi-Tedaldi, F. Pauss, G. Perrin, L. Perrozzi, S. Pigazzini, M. Reichmann, C. Reissel, T. Reitenspiess, D. Ruini, D.A. Sanz Becerra, M. Schönenberger, L. Shchutska, M.L. Vesterbacka Olsson, R. Wallny, D.H. Zhu

ETH Zurich – Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics (IPA), Zurich, Switzerland

T.K. Aarrestad, C. AMSler⁵¹, D. Brzhechko, M.F. Canelli, A. De Cosa, R. Del Burgo, S. Donato, C. Galloni, T. Hreus, B. Kilminster, S. Leontsinis, V.M. Mikuni, I. Neutelings, G. Rauco, P. Robmann, D. Salerno, K. Schweiger, C. Seitz, Y. Takahashi, S. Wertz, A. Zucchetta

Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

T.H. Doan, C.M. Kuo, W. Lin, S.S. Yu

National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

P. Chang, Y. Chao, K.F. Chen, P.H. Chen, W.-S. Hou, Y.F. Liu, R.-S. Lu, E. Paganis, A. Psallidas, A. Steen

National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan

B. Asavapibhop, N. Srimanobhas, N. Suwonjandee

Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok, Thailand

A. Bat, F. Boran, S. Cerci⁵², S. Damarseckin⁵³, Z.S. Demiroglu, F. Dolek, C. Dozen, I. Dumanoglu, G. Gokbulut, Emine Gurpinar Guler⁵⁴, Y. Guler, I. Hos⁵⁵, C. Isik, E.E. Kangal⁵⁶, O. Kara, A. Kayis Topaksu, U. Kiminsu, M. Oglakci, G. Onengut, K. Ozdemir⁵⁷, S. Ozturk⁵⁸, D. Sunar Cerci⁵², B. Tali⁵², U.G. Tok, S. Turkcapar, I.S. Zorbakir, C. Zorbilmez

Çukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey

B. Isildak⁵⁹, G. Karapinar⁶⁰, M. Yalvac, M. Zeyrek

Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey

I.O. Atakisi, E. Gülmez, M. Kaya⁶¹, O. Kaya⁶², B. Kaynak, Ö. Özçelik, S. Ozkorucuklu⁶³, S. Tekten, E.A. Yetkin⁶⁴

Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey

A. Cakir, K. Cankocak, Y. Komurcu, S. Sen⁶⁵

Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

B. Grynyov

Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkov, Ukraine

L. Levchuk

National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine

F. Ball, E. Bhal, S. Bologna, J.J. Brooke, D. Burns, E. Clement, D. Cussans, O. Davignon, H. Flacher, J. Goldstein, G.P. Heath, H.F. Heath, L. Kreczko, D.M. Newbold⁶⁶, S. Paramesvaran, B. Penning, T. Sakuma, D. Smith, V.J. Smith, J. Taylor, A. Titterton

University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

K.W. Bell, A. Belyaev⁶⁷, C. Brew, R.M. Brown, D. Cieri, D.J.A. Cockerill, J.A. Coughlan, K. Harder, S. Harper, J. Linacre, K. Manolopoulos, E. Olaiya, D. Petyt, T. Reis, T. Schuh, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous, A. Thea, I.R. Tomalin, T. Williams, W.J. Womersley

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

R. Bainbridge, P. Bloch, J. Borg, S. Breeze, O. Buchmuller, A. Bundock, Gurpreet Singh Chahal⁶⁸, D. Colling, P. Dauncey, G. Davies, M. Della Negra, R. Di Maria, P. Everaerts, G. Hall, G. Iles, T. James, M. Komm, C. Laner, L. Lyons, A.-M. Magnan, S. Malik, A. Martelli, V. Milosevic, J. Nash⁶⁹, A. Nikitenko⁸, V. Palladino, M. Pesaresi, D.M. Raymond, A. Richards, A. Rose, E. Scott, C. Seez, A. Shtipliyski, M. Stoye, T. Strebler, S. Summers, A. Tapper, K. Uchida, T. Virdee¹⁷, N. Wardle, D. Winterbottom, J. Wright, S.C. Zenz

Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

J.E. Cole, P.R. Hobson, A. Khan, P. Kyberd, C.K. Mackay, A. Morton, I.D. Reid, L. Teodorescu, S. Zahid

Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom

K. Call, J. Dittmann, K. Hatakeyama, C. Madrid, B. McMaster, N. Pastika, C. Smith

Baylor University, Waco, USA

R. Bartek, A. Dominguez

Catholic University of America, Washington, DC, USA

A. Buccilli, O. Charaf, S.I. Cooper, C. Henderson, P. Rumerio, C. West

The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, USA

D. Arcaro, T. Bose, Z. Demiragli, D. Gastler, S. Girgis, D. Pinna, C. Richardson, J. Rohlf, D. Sperka, I. Suarez, L. Sulak, D. Zou

Boston University, Boston, USA

G. Benelli, B. Burkle, X. Coubez, D. Cutts, M. Hadley, J. Hakala, U. Heintz, J.M. Hogan⁷⁰, K.H.M. Kwok, E. Laird, G. Landsberg, J. Lee, Z. Mao, M. Narain, S. Sagir⁷¹, R. Syarif, E. Usai, D. Yu

Brown University, Providence, USA

R. Band, C. Brainerd, R. Breedon, D. Burns, M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez, M. Chertok, J. Conway, R. Conway, P.T. Cox, R. Erbacher, C. Flores, G. Funk, W. Ko, O. Kukral, R. Lander, M. Mulhearn, D. Pellett, J. Pilot, M. Shi, D. Stolp, D. Taylor, K. Tos, M. Tripathi, Z. Wang, F. Zhang

University of California, Davis, Davis, USA

M. Bachtis, C. Bravo, R. Cousins, A. Dasgupta, A. Florent, J. Hauser, M. Ignatenko, N. Mccoll, S. Regnard, D. Saltzberg, C. Schnaible, V. Valuev

University of California, Los Angeles, USA

K. Burt, R. Clare, J.W. Gary, S.M.A. Ghiasi Shirazi, G. Hanson, G. Karapostoli, E. Kennedy, O.R. Long, M. Olmedo Negrete, M.I. Paneva, W. Si, L. Wang, H. Wei, S. Wimpenny, B.R. Yates

University of California, Riverside, Riverside, USA

J.G. Branson, P. Chang, S. Cittolin, M. Derdzinski, R. Gerosa, D. Gilbert, B. Hashemi, A. Holzner, D. Klein, G. Kole, V. Krutelyov, J. Letts, M. Masciovecchio, S. May, D. Olivito, S. Padhi, M. Pieri, V. Sharma, M. Tadel, J. Wood, F. Würthwein, A. Yagil, G. Zevi Della Porta

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA

N. Amin, R. Bhandari, C. Campagnari, M. Citron, V. Dutta, M. Franco Sevilla, L. Gouskos, J. Incandela, B. Marsh, H. Mei, A. Ovcharova, H. Qu, J. Richman, U. Sarica, D. Stuart, S. Wang, J. Yoo

University of California, Santa Barbara – Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, USA

D. Anderson, A. Bornheim, J.M. Lawhorn, N. Lu, H.B. Newman, T.Q. Nguyen, J. Pata, M. Spiropulu, J.R. Vlimant, R. Wilkinson, S. Xie, Z. Zhang, R.Y. Zhu

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA

M.B. Andrews, T. Ferguson, T. Mudholkar, M. Paulini, M. Sun, I. Vorobiev, M. Weinberg

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA

J.P. Cumalat, W.T. Ford, F. Jensen, A. Johnson, E. MacDonald, T. Mulholland, R. Patel, A. Perloff, K. Stenson, K.A. Ulmer, S.R. Wagner

University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, USA

J. Alexander, J. Chaves, Y. Cheng, J. Chu, A. Datta, A. Frankenthal, K. Mcdermott, N. Mirman, J. Monroy, J.R. Patterson, D. Quach, A. Rinkevicius, A. Ryd, L. Soffi, S.M. Tan, Z. Tao, J. Thom, J. Tucker, P. Wittich, M. Zientek

Cornell University, Ithaca, USA

S. Abdullin, M. Albrow, M. Alyari, G. Apollinari, A. Apresyan, A. Apyan, S. Banerjee, L.A.T. Bauerdick, A. Beretvas, J. Berryhill, P.C. Bhat, K. Burkett, J.N. Butler, A. Canepa, G.B. Cerati, H.W.K. Cheung, F. Chlebana, M. Cremonesi, J. Duarte, V.D. Elvira, J. Freeman, Z. Gecse, E. Gottschalk, L. Gray, D. Green, S. Grünendahl, O. Gutsche, Allison Reinsvold Hall, J. Hanlon, R.M. Harris, S. Hasegawa, R. Heller, J. Hirschauer, Z. Hu, B. Jayatilaka, S. Jindariani, M. Johnson, U. Joshi, B. Klima, M.J. Kortelainen, B. Kreis, S. Lammel, D. Lincoln, R. Lipton, M. Liu, T. Liu, J. Lykken, K. Maeshima, J.M. Marraffino, D. Mason, P. McBride, P. Merkel, S. Mrenna, S. Nahn, V. O'Dell, K. Pedro, C. Pena, O. Prokofyev, G. Rakness, F. Ravera, L. Ristori, B. Schneider, E. Sexton-Kennedy, N. Smith, A. Soha, W.J. Spalding, L. Spiegel, S. Stoynev, J. Strait, N. Strobbe, L. Taylor, S. Tkaczyk, N.V. Tran, L. Uplegger, E.W. Vaandering, C. Vernieri, M. Verzocchi, R. Vidal, M. Wang, H.A. Weber

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, USA

D. Acosta, P. Avery, P. Bortignon, D. Bourilkov, A. Brinkerhoff, L. Cadamuro, A. Carnes, V. Cherepanov, D. Curry, R.D. Field, S.V. Gleyzer, B.M. Joshi, M. Kim, J. Konigsberg, A. Korytov, K.H. Lo, P. Ma, K. Matchev, N. Menendez, G. Mitselmakher, D. Rosenzweig, K. Shi, J. Wang, S. Wang, X. Zuo

University of Florida, Gainesville, USA

Y.R. Joshi, S. Linn

Florida International University, Miami, USA

T. Adams, A. Askew, S. Hagopian, V. Hagopian, K.F. Johnson, R. Khurana, T. Kolberg, G. Martinez, T. Perry, H. Prosper, A. Saha, C. Schiber, R. Yohay

Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA

M.M. Baarmand, V. Bhopatkar, S. Colafranceschi, M. Hohlmann, D. Noonan, M. Rahmani, T. Roy, M. Saunders, F. Yumiceva

Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, USA

M.R. Adams, L. Apanasevich, D. Berry, R.R. Betts, R. Cavanaugh, X. Chen, S. Dittmer, O. Evdokimov, C.E. Gerber, D.A. Hangal, D.J. Hofman, K. Jung, C. Mills, M.B. Tonjes, N. Varelas, H. Wang, X. Wang,

Z. Wu, J. Zhang

University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, USA

M. Alhusseini, B. Bilki⁵⁴, W. Clarida, K. Dilsiz⁷², S. Durgut, R.P. Gandrajula, M. Haytmyradov, V. Khristenko, O.K. Köseyan, J.-P. Merlo, A. Mestvirishvili, A. Moeller, J. Nachtman, H. Ogul⁷³, Y. Onel, F. Ozok⁷⁴, A. Penzo, C. Snyder, E. Tiras, J. Wetzel

The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA

B. Blumenfeld, A. Cocoros, N. Eminizer, D. Fehling, L. Feng, A.V. Gritsan, W.T. Hung, P. Maksimovic, J. Roskes, M. Swartz, M. Xiao

Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA

A. Al-bataineh, P. Baringer, A. Bean, S. Boren, J. Bowen, A. Bylinkin, J. Castle, S. Khalil, A. Kropivnitskaya, D. Majumder, W. Mcbrayer, M. Murray, C. Rogan, S. Sanders, E. Schmitz, J.D. Tapia Takaki, Q. Wang

The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA

S. Duric, A. Ivanov, K. Kaadze, D. Kim, Y. Maravin, D.R. Mendis, T. Mitchell, A. Modak, A. Mohammadi

Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA

F. Rebassoo, D. Wright

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, USA

A. Baden, O. Baron, A. Belloni, S.C. Eno, Y. Feng, C. Ferraioli, N.J. Hadley, S. Jabeen, G.Y. Jeng, R.G. Kellogg, J. Kunkle, A.C. Mignerey, S. Nabili, F. Ricci-Tam, M. Seidel, Y.H. Shin, A. Skuja, S.C. Tonwar, K. Wong

University of Maryland, College Park, USA

D. Abercrombie, B. Allen, V. Azzolini, A. Baty, R. Bi, S. Brandt, W. Busza, I.A. Cali, M. D'Alfonso, G. Gomez Ceballos, M. Goncharov, P. Harris, D. Hsu, M. Hu, M. Klute, D. Kovalskyi, Y.-J. Lee, P.D. Luckey, B. Maier, A.C. Marini, C. McGinn, C. Mironov, S. Narayanan, X. Niu, C. Paus, D. Rankin, C. Roland, G. Roland, Z. Shi, G.S.F. Stephans, K. Sumorok, K. Tatar, D. Velicanu, J. Wang, T.W. Wang, B. Wyslouch

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA

A.C. Benvenuti[†], R.M. Chatterjee, A. Evans, P. Hansen, J. Hiltbrand, Sh. Jain, S. Kalafut, M. Krohn, Y. Kubota, Z. Lesko, J. Mans, R. Rusack, M.A. Wadud

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA

J.G. Acosta, S. Oliveros

University of Mississippi, Oxford, USA

E. Avdeeva, K. Bloom, D.R. Claes, C. Fangmeier, L. Finco, F. Golf, R. Gonzalez Suarez, R. Kamalieddin, I. Kravchenko, J.E. Siado, G.R. Snow, B. Stieger

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, USA

A. Godshalk, C. Harrington, I. Iashvili, A. Kharchilava, C. Mclean, D. Nguyen, A. Parker, S. Rappoccio, B. Roozbahani

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, USA

G. Alverson, E. Barberis, C. Freer, Y. Haddad, A. Hortiangtham, G. Madigan, D.M. Morse, T. Orimoto, L. Skinnari, A. Tishelman-Charny, T. Wamorkar, B. Wang, A. Wisecarver, D. Wood

Northeastern University, Boston, USA

S. Bhattacharya, J. Bueghly, T. Gunter, K.A. Hahn, N. Odell, M.H. Schmitt, K. Sung, M. Trovato, M. Velasco

Northwestern University, Evanston, USA

R. Bucci, N. Dev, R. Goldouzian, M. Hildreth, K. Hurtado Anampa, C. Jessop, D.J. Karmgard, K. Lannon, W. Li, N. Loukas, N. Marinelli, I. Mcalister, F. Meng, C. Mueller, Y. Musienko³⁹, M. Planer, R. Ruchti, P. Siddireddy, G. Smith, S. Taroni, M. Wayne, A. Wightman, M. Wolf, A. Woodard

University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, USA

J. Alimena, L. Antonelli, B. Bylsma, L.S. Durkin, S. Flowers, B. Francis, C. Hill, W. Ji, A. Lefeld, T.Y. Ling, W. Luo, B.L. Winer

The Ohio State University, Columbus, USA

S. Cooperstein, G. Dezoort, P. Elmer, J. Hardenbrook, N. Haubrich, S. Higginbotham, A. Kalogeropoulos, S. Kwan, D. Lange, M.T. Lucchini, J. Luo, D. Marlow, K. Mei, I. Ojalvo, J. Olsen, C. Palmer, P. Piroué, J. Salfeld-Nebgen, D. Stickland, C. Tully, Z. Wang

Princeton University, Princeton, USA

S. Malik, S. Norberg

University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, USA

A. Barker, V.E. Barnes, S. Das, L. Gutay, M. Jones, A.W. Jung, A. Khatiwada, B. Mahakud, D.H. Miller, G. Negro, N. Neumeister, C.C. Peng, S. Piperov, H. Qiu, J.F. Schulte, J. Sun, F. Wang, R. Xiao, W. Xie

Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA

T. Cheng, J. Dolen, N. Parashar

Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, USA

Z. Chen, K.M. Ecklund, S. Freed, F.J.M. Geurts, M. Kilpatrick, Arun Kumar, W. Li, B.P. Padley, J. Roberts, J. Rorie, W. Shi, A.G. Stahl Leiton, Z. Tu, A. Zhang

Rice University, Houston, USA

A. Bodek, P. de Barbaro, R. Demina, Y.t. Duh, J.L. Dulemba, C. Fallon, T. Ferbel, M. Galanti, A. Garcia-Bellido, J. Han, O. Hindrichs, A. Khukhunaishvili, E. Ranken, P. Tan, R. Taus

University of Rochester, Rochester, USA

B. Chiarito, J.P. Chou, Y. Gershtein, E. Halkiadakis, A. Hart, M. Heindl, E. Hughes, S. Kaplan, S. Kyriacou, I. Laflotte, A. Lath, R. Montalvo, K. Nash, M. Osherson, H. Saka, S. Salur, S. Schnetzer, D. Sheffield, S. Somalwar, R. Stone, S. Thomas, P. Thomassen

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, USA

H. Acharya, A.G. Delannoy, J. Heideman, G. Riley, S. Spanier

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA

O. Bouhali⁷⁵, A. Celik, M. Dalchenko, M. De Mattia, A. Delgado, S. Dildick, R. Eusebi, J. Gilmore, T. Huang, T. Kamon⁷⁶, S. Luo, D. Marley, R. Mueller, D. Overton, L. Perniè, D. Rathjens, A. Safonov

Texas A&M University, College Station, USA

N. Akchurin, J. Damgov, F. De Guio, P.R. Duderø, S. Kunori, K. Lamichhane, S.W. Lee, T. Mengke, S. Muthumuni, T. Peltola, S. Undleeb, I. Volobouev, Z. Wang, A. Whitbeck

Texas Tech University, Lubbock, USA

S. Greene, A. Gurrola, R. Janjam, W. Johns, C. Maguire, A. Melo, H. Ni, K. Padeken, F. Romeo, P. Sheldon, S. Tuo, J. Velkovska, M. Verweij, Q. Xu

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA

M.W. Arenton, P. Barria, B. Cox, G. Cummings, R. Hirsosky, M. Joyce, A. Ledovskoy, H. Li, C. Neu, Y. Wang, E. Wolfe, F. Xia

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA

R. Harr, P.E. Karchin, N. Poudyal, J. Sturdy, P. Thapa, S. Zaleski

Wayne State University, Detroit, USA

J. Buchanan, C. Caillol, D. Carlsmith, S. Dasu, I. De Bruyn, L. Dodd, B. Gomber⁷⁷, M. Grothe, M. Herndon, A. Hervé, U. Hussain, P. Klabbbers, A. Lanaro, K. Long, R. Loveless, T. Ruggles, A. Savin, V. Sharma, W.H. Smith, N. Woods

University of Wisconsin – Madison, Madison, WI, USA

[†] Deceased.

¹ Also at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria.

² Also at Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia.

³ Also at IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.

⁴ Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil.

⁵ Also at Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil.

⁶ Also at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium.

⁷ Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.

⁸ Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics named by A.I. Alikhanov of NRC 'Kurchatov Institute', Moscow, Russia.

⁹ Also at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia.

¹⁰ Also at Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt.

¹¹ Also at Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt.

¹² Now at Zewail City of Science and Technology, Zewail, Egypt.

¹³ Also at Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt.

¹⁴ Now at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt.

¹⁵ Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA.

¹⁶ Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France.

¹⁷ Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland.

¹⁸ Also at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany.

¹⁹ Also at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany.

²⁰ Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany.

²¹ Also at Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary.

²² Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary.

²³ Also at Indian Institute of Technology Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India.

²⁴ Also at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India.

²⁵ Also at Shoolini University, Solan, India.

²⁶ Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India.

²⁷ Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran.

²⁸ Also at Plasma Physics Research Center, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

²⁹ Also at Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Bologna, Italy.

³⁰ Also at Centro Siciliano di Fisica Nucleare e di Struttura della Materia, Catania, Italy.

³¹ Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy.

³² Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell'INFN, Pisa, Italy.

³³ Also at Kyung Hee University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Republic of Korea.

³⁴ Also at Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia.

³⁵ Also at International Islamic University of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

³⁶ Also at Malaysian Nuclear Agency, MOSTI, Kajang, Malaysia.

³⁷ Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico City, Mexico.

³⁸ Also at Warsaw University of Technology, Institute of Electronic Systems, Warsaw, Poland.

³⁹ Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia.

⁴⁰ Now at National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia.

⁴¹ Also at St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia.

⁴² Also at University of Florida, Gainesville, USA.

⁴³ Also at P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia.

⁴⁴ Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA.

⁴⁵ Also at Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia.

⁴⁶ Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia.

⁴⁷ Also at University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia.

- ⁴⁸ Also at INFN Sezione di Pavia ^a, Università di Pavia ^b, Pavia, Italy.
- ⁴⁹ Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece.
- ⁵⁰ Also at Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland.
- ⁵¹ Also at Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics (SMI), Vienna, Austria.
- ⁵² Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey.
- ⁵³ Also at Sirtak University, SIRNAK, Turkey.
- ⁵⁴ Also at Beykent University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁵⁵ Also at Istanbul Aydin University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁵⁶ Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey.
- ⁵⁷ Also at Piri Reis University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁵⁸ Also at Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey.
- ⁵⁹ Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁶⁰ Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey.
- ⁶¹ Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁶² Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey.
- ⁶³ Also at Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁶⁴ Also at Istanbul Bilgi University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁶⁵ Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey.
- ⁶⁶ Also at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom.
- ⁶⁷ Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom.
- ⁶⁸ Also at Institute for Particle Physics Phenomenology Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom.
- ⁶⁹ Also at Monash University, Faculty of Science, Clayton, Australia.
- ⁷⁰ Also at Bethel University, St. Paul, USA.
- ⁷¹ Also at Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Karaman, Turkey.
- ⁷² Also at Bingol University, Bingol, Turkey.
- ⁷³ Also at Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey.
- ⁷⁴ Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁷⁵ Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar.
- ⁷⁶ Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Republic of Korea.
- ⁷⁷ Also at University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India.