Building Simulation Applications BSA 2019

4th IBPSA-Italy Conference Bozen-Bolzano, 19th-21st June 2019

Edited by

Giovanni Pernigotto, Francesco Patuzzi, Alessandro Prada, Vincenzo Corrado, Andrea Gasparella

bu,press

bozen bolzano university press



Freie Universität Bozen Libera Università di Bolzano Università Liedia de Bulsan

Building Simulation Applications BSA 2019

4th IBPSA-Italy Conference Bozen-Bolzano, 19th –21st June 2019

Edited by Giovanni Pernigotto, Francesco Patuzzi, Alessandro Prada, Vincenzo Corrado, Andrea Gasparella



Scientific committee

Ian Beausoleil-Morrison, Carleton University, Canada
Jan L.M. Hensen, Technische Universiteit Eindhoven, The Netherlands
Gregor P. Henze, University of Colorado Boulder, USA
Ardeshir Mahdavi, Technische Universität Wien, Austria
Athanasios Tzempelikos, Purdue University, USA
Reinhard Radermacher, University of Maryland, USA
Francesco Asdrubali, Università degli Studi Roma Tre, Italy
Paolo Baggio, Università degli Studi di Trento, Italy
Maurizio Cellura, Università degli Studi di Palermo, Italy
Cristina Cornaro, Università degli Studi di Tor Vergata, Italy
Vincenzo Corrado, Politecnico di Torino, Italy
Andrea Gasparella, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy
Livio Mazzarella, Politecnico di Milano, Italy
Adolfo Palombo, Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Italy

Students Tutoring Scientific Committee

Fabian Ochs, Universität Innsbruck, Austria Matthias Schuss, Technische Universität Wien, Austria Alessia Arteconi, Università Politecnica delle Marche, Italy Ilaria Ballarini, Politecnico di Torino, Italy Annamaria Buonomano, Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Italy Marco Caniato, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy Francesca Cappelletti, Università IUAV di Venezia, Italy Gianpiero Evola, Università degli Studi di Catania, Italy Francesco Patuzzi, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy Giovanni Pernigotto, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy Anna Laura Pisello, Università degli Studi di Perugia, Italy Alessandro Prada, Università degli Studi di Trento, Italy

Organizing committee

Paolo Baggio, Università degli Studi di Trento, Italy Marco Baratieri, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy Marco Caniato, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy Francesca Cappelletti, Università IUAV di Venezia, Italy Vincenzo Corrado, Politecnico di Torino, Italy Andrea Gasparella, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy Norbert Klammsteiner, Energytech G.m.b.H./S.r.I -Bozen, Italy Fabian Ochs, Universität Innsbruck, Austria Francesco Patuzzi, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy Giovanni Pernigotto, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy Alessandro Prada, Università degli Studi di Trento, Italy Fabio Viero, Manens – Tifs, Italy



This work—excluding the cover and the quotations—is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

Cover design: DOC.bz

© 2020 by Bozen-Bolzano University Press Free University of Bozen-Bolzano All rights reserved 1st edition www.unibz.it/universitypress

ISSN 2531-6702 ISBN 978-88-6046-176-6

Table of Contents

Preface	.VII
The Impact of Occupancy-Related Input Data Uncertainty on the Distribution of Building Simulation Results Christiane Berger, Elisa Primo, Dawid Wolosiuk, Vincenzo Corrado, Ardeshir Mahdavi	1
New Tools for the Hygrothermal Assessment of Building Components: A Comparison of Different Methodologies Carlotta Dolzani, Martina Demattio, Marco Larcher, Ulrich Klammsteiner, Ulrich Santa	7
Evaluation of Energy Flexibility From Residential District Cooling Alice Mugnini, Fabio Polonara, Alessia Arteconi	
Dynamic Characterization of Thermal Bridges in Historic Balconies in Palermo Roberta Zarcone, Maurizio Brocato	23
Multi-Stage Multi-Level Calibration of a School Building Energy Model Ilaria Pittana, Alessandro Prada, Francesca Cappelletti, Andrea Gasparella	29
Dynamic Modelling and Control System Optimization of a Reversible Air-to-Water Heat Pump with Heat Recovery for Domestic Hot Water Production Matteo Dongellini, Luigi Belmonte, Gian Luca Morini	37
Numerical Evaluation of Moisture Buffering Capacity of Different Inner Casing Enrico Baschieri, Anne Friederike Goy	47
A CitySim Urban Energy Simulation for the Development of Retrofit Scenarios for a Neighborhood in Bolzano, Italy Fahad Haneef, Federico Battini, Giovanni Pernigotto, Andrea Gasparella	59
Wind and Urban Spaces. Evaluation of a CFD Parametric Framework for Early-Stage Design Viola Maffessanti	67
Analysis of Two Shading Systems in a Glazed-Wall Physiotherapy Center in Bolzano, Italy Luca Zaniboni, Giovanni Pernigotto, Andrea Gasparella	77
Assessing Solar Radiation in the Urban Area of Bolzano, Italy, by Means of SEBE Simulations Gianluca Pappaccogli, Giovanni Pernigotto, Alessandro Prada, Andrea Gasparella	85
Numerical and Experimental Study on the Impact of Humidity on the Thermal Behavior of Insulated Timber Walls Maja Danovska, Michele Libralato, Giovanni Pernigotto, Alessandra De Angelis, Onorio Saro, Paolo Baggio, Andrea Gasparella	91
Sensitivity Analysis of SEBE Model Using Different Meteorological Input: A Case Study in Bolzano, Italy Gianluca Pappaccogli, Giovanni Pernigotto, Alessandro Prada, Andrea Gasparella	101
Numerical and Experimental Characterization of the Thermal Behavior of Complex Fenestrations Systems Under Dynamic Conditions Ingrid Demanega, Giuseppe De Michele, Martin Hauer, Stefano Avesani, Giovanni Pernigotto, Andrea Gasparella	109
Modelling the Sound Insulation of Mass Timber Floors Using the Finite Transfer Matrix Method Federica Morandi, Marco Caniato, Olivier Robin, Luca Barbaresi, Andrea Gasparella, Patrice Masson, Noureddine Atalla	
Safety at Chimney-Roof Penetration: A Numerical Investigation Manuela Neri, Leppanen Perttu, Mika Alanen, Davide Luscietti, Mariagrazia Pilotelli	123
Building Energy Models with Morphological Urban-Scale Parameters: A Case Study in Turin Ilaria Ballarini, Andrea Costantino, Domenico Dirutigliano, Roberto Boghetti, Fabio Fantozzi, Jérôme Kämpf, Guglielmina Mutani, Giacomo Salvadori, Valeria Todeschi	131
Use of the ISO 12354 Standard for the Prediction of the Sound Insulation of Timber Buildings: Application to Three Case Studies	141

Testing the BIM-Ladybug Tools Interoperability: A Daylighting Simulation Workflow Laura Pompei, Giulia Spiridigliozzi, Livio De Santoli, Cristina Cornaro, Fabio Bisegna	. 149
An Attempt to Rank Italian Historical Opera Houses Based on Numerical Simulation Giulia Fratoni, Anna Rovigatti, Massimo Garai	. 157
Energy and Exergy Analysis of a HVAC System Having a Ground Source Heat Pump as Generation Syster Paolo Valdiserri, Michael Lucchi, Marco Lorenzini	
Double-Layer Gypsum Panels: Prediction of the Sound Reduction Index Using the Transfer Matrix Method Nicola Granzotto, Edoardo A. Piana	173
Static vs Dynamic Hygrothermal Simulation for Cellulose-Based Insulation in Existing Walls: A Case Study Comparison Matteo Bilardo, Fabrizio Giorgio, Enrico Fabrizio, Francesco Prizzon	181
Design and Evaluation of Extreme Moisture Reference Years for Moisture-Related Risk Assessments Michele Libralato, Giovanni Pernigotto, Alessandro Prada, Alessandra De Angelis, Onorio Saro, Andrea Gasparella	191
Building Integrated Photovoltaic Thermal Collectors: Modelling and Experimental Investigation of Two Novel Cost-Effective Prototypes Giovanni Barone, Annamaria Buonomano, Cesare Forzano, Adolfo Palombo	201
A Psycho-Acoustical Experiment Using a Stereo Dipole for Spatial Impression of Music Signals Benedetto Nastasi, Massimiliano Manfren, Francesca Merli	. 213
On the Use of 3D Auralisation to Evaluate Room Acoustic Enhancement in Auditorium Restoration Benedetto Nastasi, Massimiliano Manfren, Francesca Merli, Vincenzo Vodola	. 223
Acoustic Comfort for Spaces Used by People with Cognitive Impairment: A Starting Point for the Application of Acoustic Event Detection and Sound Source Recognition Systems Federica Bettarello, Marco Caniato, Giuseppina Scavuzzo, Andrea Gasparella	231
Acoustics and Spatial Sound Distribution in the Theatre Comunale in Bologna, Italy Massimiliano Manfren, Benedetto Nastasi, Francesca Merli, Vincenzo Vodola	239
The Acoustic Simulation of Performing Area in the Auditorium: Some Examples in Italy Vincenzo Vodola, Benedetto Nastasi, Massimiliano Manfren	245
Acoustic Refurbishment on a Temporary Auditorium: BIM Design and Interventions Influences Marco Caniato, Federica Bettarello, Matteo Bellè, Andrea Gasparella	. 251
Control Strategies to Increase the Photovoltaic Self-Consumption for Air-Source Heat Pump Systems Maria Pinamonti, Alessandro Prada, Paolo Baggio	259
Sound Reduction Index of Clay Hollow Brick Walls Nicola Granzotto, Edoardo Alessio Piana, Antonino Di Bella	267
Prediction of the Acoustic and Thermal Performance of a Multilayer Partition Manuela Neri, Mariagrazia Pilotelli, Edoardo A. Piana, Adriano M. Lezzi	275
Implementing the Sustainable Energy (and Climate) Action Plans: Quasi-Steady State or Dynamic Modeling Approach Concettina Marino, Antonino Nucara, Giorgia Peri, Matilde Pietrafesa, Gianfranco Rizzo, Gianluca Saccianoce	283
Comparison Between the EN ISO 52016-1 Hourly Calculation Method and a Fully Detailed Dynamic Simulation Giovanna De Luca, Mamak P.Tootkaboni, Ilaria Ballarini, Vincenzo Corrado	291
On the Thermophysical Performance Optimization of Italian Schools of the 60s: A Case Study in Ostia (RM) Francesco Asdrubali, Luca Evangelisti, Lucia Fontana, Claudia Guattari, Ilaria Montella, Pietro Prestininzi, Ginevra Salerno, Chiara Tonelli, Valeria Vitale	299
On the Parasitic Heat Transfer Between Dwellings in the Case of Individual Heating: First Results by Simulation Across the EU Viola Iaria, Carlo Mazzenga, Vincenzo A. Spena	307
Analysis of the Surroundings Impact on the Building Energy Performance by Means of a BIM Analytical Model Coupled with Dynamic Simulation Alessia Maiolatesi, Alessandro Prada, Fabio Luce, Giovanna Massari, Paolo Baggio	315
Calibration of a UMI Simulation Model for a Neighborhood in Bolzano, Italy Federico Battini, Giovanni Pernigotto, Andrea Gasparella	. 323

Acoustic Comfort for Spaces Used by People with Cognitive Impairment: A Starting Point for the Application of Acoustic Event Detection and Sound Source Recognition Systems

Federica Bettarello – University of Trieste, Italy – fbettarello@units.it

Marco Caniato – Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy – marco.caniato@unibz.it

Giuseppina Scavuzzo – University of Trieste, Italy – gscavuzzo@units.it

Andrea Gasparella – Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy – andrea.gasparella@unibz.it

Abstract

The AED (Acoustic Event Detection) and SSR (Sound Source Recognition) systems are increasingly used in projects involving home automation, security, help for the visually impaired or for the elderly who want to pursue projects of independent living. The application of such technologies can also become a valid reference in the case of subjects suffering from cognitive, not just physical, deficits (Down syndrome, autism, etc.). In these cases remote assistance systems can represent a strong support both for the people who take care of them (parents, specialized personnel), and also for people with cognitive disabilities to pursue projects of independent living. Based on the study of the peculiarities that the internal spaces hosting activities for people with various cognitive deficits must have, criteria for acoustic comfort and internal design have been optimized for certain types of living, working and resting spaces. The aim of this work is to understand how the indoor sound field of dedicated rooms may affect boundary conditions for the installation of AED and SSR and how the specific interior design for special spaces may influence the speech intelligibility and clarity of these rooms.

1. Introduction

Acoustic Event Detection systems (AED) are designed to detect anomalous acoustic events and were developed to assist video surveillance systems (Pagin, 2016). They are based on the peculiarities of a sound signal that can be composed of very different time or spectral characteristics, different amplitude levels (consequently, different signal-tonoise ratio) and different duration. The detection

algorithms are based on pre-processing techniques necessary to detect potential alarm signals, such as the sensitivity of the detection algorithm (i.e. the ability to detect irregularities whose level is more or less close to the background noise) and the adaptability to sudden or slow changes in the signal (with respect to the variability of the background noise) (Dufaux, 2001; Tronchin, 2013). These systems are mainly used for "security" in outdoor environments or large environments (garages, airports, stations, etc.).

Sound Source Recognition systems (SSR) are more recent devices, which have allowed the passage from the request for help through a "button device" to the immediate sending of a distress call through the use of one's own voice or the recognition of a specific event. These technologies are becoming increasingly popular today, especially thanks to the development of home automation techniques within the Smarthome, i.e. those spaces that use a home controller to integrate the various home automation systems (Robles and Ki, 2010; Tronchin and Coli, 2015).

Sensors can provide information about a person's posture and movement or detect a fall. Smarthomes are therefore useful for measuring a person's activity or for helping people with, for example, cognitive or physical disabilities in their daily activities (Fleury et al., 2008).

There have been many projects on acoustic recognition algorithms over the years (Chang and Chang, 2013; Foster et al., 2015; Janvier et al., 2012; Lecouteux et al., 2018; Yong and Kean, 2014; Zhang et al., 2015). The systems involved use one

or more microphones, or are even embedded in robots that allow the subject to be followed very closely. As far as voice command is concerned, these systems are able to analyse and recognize many characteristics, including: intensity, timbre, rhythm, level. This makes it possible to distinguish whether the sending of an alarm may or may not be necessary.

Such systems therefore also allow non-autonomous people to maintain control of their environment and activities, their health, their well-being and their sense of dignity (Portet et al., 2011). Many of the studies presented so far see the elderly as the main stakeholders, in particular at that stage of their life when they are still able to look after themselves but need help to manage some difficult tasks or any situations of risk, danger and accident (Yoshioka et al., 2012).

The problems encountered during the implementation phases of this type of device are related to the background noise (Biagetti et al., 2011) and internal reverberation conditions of the environments (Piana et al., 2014; Yoshioka et al., 2012). It has been shown, for example, that recognition performance decreases significantly as soon as the microphone moves away from the user's mouth (e.g. when positioned on the ceiling) due to increased reverberation and the influence of background noise. The results under such conditions are optimal up to conditions of T_R=0.5 s and with the presence of speech and classical music in the background but not for the noise of technological systems or something similar (Lecouteux et al., 2011). Reverberation can be modelled as additive interference (Kinoshita et al., 2013).

The aim of this work is to understand how the indoor sound field in dedicated rooms may affect boundary conditions for the installation of AED and SSR and how the specific interior design for special spaces may influence the speech intelligibility and clarity of these rooms.

2. Materials and Methods

A case study was chosen in order to understand acoustic proprieties of buildings dedicated specifically to people with cognitive impairment. The AUDI! Project had some stakeholders; one of them was Progettoautismo FVG Onlus, an association from the Friuli Venezia Giulia region (ITA) that supports people with autistic syndrome, Pervasive Developmental Disorders or Asperger's Syndrome and their families.

The selected rooms for possible application of the acoustic sensors were: (i) four different individual therapy rooms (speech therapy, psychomotricity, etc. Fig. 1), (ii) a soft room (multisensory room for the well-being of children and adults with autism, Fig. 2), (iii) an atelier (art workshop, Fig. 3) and (iv) a bedroom in the residential apartments (Fig. 4).



Fig. 1 – Box for individual therapy



Fig. 2 – Soft room



Fig. 3 – Atelier




Fig. 4 - Bedroom

The rooms for individual therapy are of different sizes, rectangular in shape, with an entrance door and no outdoor window, with very few interior furnishings (a table and two chairs, few toys and adhesive wall), with ceiling lights and sound absorbing panels. Some therapy rooms also have glass behind them so that relatives or other staff can attend the therapy session. They are made of a prefabricated structure consisting of pre-painted sheet metal/insulating material/pre-painted sheet metal type and are located in an area of the building which is particularly acoustic insulated from external noise and noise coming from inside the other parts of the building. These spaces have to be very quiet, because this is one of the particular needs for users. Here a single operator works with a single patient and it is of paramount importance that nothing may disturb the quiet relationship between operator and patient during the therapy. For this reason, the particular need for voice recognition devices is to recognize a help request from the operator, for example, through the recognition of a keyword, said without alteration of the voice (i.e. without screaming) and without making the patient understand the meaning of this request. The soft room is located inside the day-centre, equipped with impact resistant layering on the walls (up to about 2.10 m height), resilient flooring and soft cubes arranged in the space, useful both for playing and limiting patients in particular moments of venting and crisis. As for the use in the latter conditions, in which only the patient is left in the room while the operator monitors from outside through a window, the recognition device can recognize events (screams, blows) and activate a process of help request, i.e. alert an additional operator and simultaneously trigger a process of audiovisual support inside the box.

The atelier (or art room) is a very large room, used for collective works, where inside you can find more guests and more operators. Here too, the particular need for voice recognition devices is to recognize a help request from the operator, for example, through the recognition of a keyword, said without altering the voice (i.e. without screaming) as described before.

A typical room in the residential apartments is 9 or 12 m² (hosting one or two patients) and has big outdoor windows and a private bathroom. Guests can spend the night alone, while the operator remains in a neighbouring room. The use of the acoustic recognition device becomes helpful to the operator in the next room as they can be instantly warned.

All simulations were performed using 3D acoustic simulators in order to obtain the indoor acoustic field distributions.

3. Results and Discussion

The applicability of a sound recognition device within a room is related to acoustic parameters such as reverberation time, clarity, definition and background noise. The performance of a speech recognition system in fact decreases significantly with the increase of the distance between the microphone and the source that emits the signal to be recognized, due to the increase in number of reflections and the influence of background noise.

Optimal conditions are represented by $T_R=05\div0.7$ s, clarity C₅₀>3 dB and definition D₅₀>70% (Lecouteux et al., 2011; Farina and Tronchin, 2013; Kinoshita et al., 2013).

Reverberation has a dispersive effect on the characteristic sequence of speech. The energy ratio between the direct sound part and the first reflections and late reflections is represented by parameters C_{50} and D_{50} and is highly correlated to the performance of speech recognition.

In order to understand the real applicability of the sound recognition systems within the analysed spaces, a campaign of on-site acoustic measurements and simulations with acoustic predicting software was performed. The on-site acoustic measurements were conducted with impulsive technique in accordance with standards (ISO 3382). The measurements made it possible to analyse the acoustic characteristics in terms of internal reverberation and were used for the calibration of the raytracing model. Fig. 5 shows the images of the rooms recreated for the acoustic simulation program and Fig. 6 shows the results in terms of reverberation time in octaves of frequency, which demonstrate a good agreement between the in situ and simulated results.




Fig. 5a–g – Images of the rooms recreated for the acoustic simulation program




Fig. 6a-g-Reverberation time octaves frequency comparison: in situ results VS simulated once

The results obtained show that therapy rooms number 1 and 3 have optimal acoustic conditions for the use of speech recognition devices inside the rooms, while therapy rooms 2 and 4 (larger than the previous ones) need further sound absorbing treatment. The spatial distribution of the reverberation time parameter inside therapy room n. 2 (Fig. 7) shows how the corner positions of the room do not represent the optimal points of dislocation for the devices. It is therefore better to choose more central ceiling positions, setting the sensor suspended (not adjacent to the ceiling).

The spatial analysis by means of acoustic simulation of parameters C_{50} and D_{50} (Fig.s 8 and 9) also shows that the optimal spots for the acoustic recognition devices are a location as near as possible to the subject emitting the keywords. This means that two possibilities are imaginable: (i) defining the doctor-patient position inside the room and then

fixing the recognition device at a single point, or (ii) installing several devices spatially covering most movements of the doctor and patient during therapy.




Fig. 7 – Simulated RT for therapy room n. 2: spatial distribution at 1000 Hz (graduation range from dark blue = 0.8 s to red = 0.9 s)




Fig. 8 – Simulated C_{50} for therapy room n. 2: spatial distribution at 1000 Hz (graduation range from dark blue = 7.5 to red = 14)




Fig. 9 – Simulated D_{50} for therapy room n. 2: spatial distribution at 1000 Hz (graduation range from dark blue = 0.85 to red = 1)

The same results obtained for therapy room n. 2 and 4 can be applied to the atelier: the larger dimensions of the room certainly require the application of several devices in order to reach and cover all the indoor space.

The soft room has low values of reverberation time (Fig. 10) because of the "soft" furniture intrinsically composed by sound-absorbing materials like polyurethane foams. Spatial distribution of Clarity and Definition (Fig. 11) are quiet good and it can be seen that a single sound suspended recognition device placed centrally on the ceiling can be sufficient for this type of room, and with the presence of one single user inside at a time.




Fig. 10 – Simulated RT for soft room: spatial distribution at 1000 Hz (graduation range from dark blue = 0.3 s to red = 0.4 s)




Fig. 11 – Simulated D_{50} for therapy room n. 2: spatial distribution at 1000 Hz (graduation range from dark blue = 0.9 to red = 1)

For the bedroom, the reverberation, clarity and definition are optimal for the application of sound recognition devices; at least one must be provided inside the bathroom and one should be provided inside the room. Positions of angle and proximity to the ceiling should be avoided. Obviously, however, the dimensions and the furnishings, optimized for the typical user, make the positioning of this device more "selective". For example, the presence of a wall fully equipped with windows, the location of the cabinets, the position of the bed and the presence of desks should be known in advance in order to choose the correct positioning of the devices.

4. Implementation

The procedural guidelines (Designing guidelines, 2008) for the design of spaces for people with cognitive disabilities such as autism, provide already optimal conditions for the introduction of assistive systems such as acoustic recognition devices.


More important is the need to have simple and relaxing spaces: linear and well-proportioned geometries are positively evaluated, which guarantee good sound reverberation and good acoustics, without sources of noise that may cause distraction or discomfort (it is better to choose radiant heating systems on the ceiling or floor and natural or forced ventilation systems with acoustic attenuators).

People with autism are extremely sensitive to stimuli and because of the difficulty of filtering foreground and background information are often able to perceive details that normal people may not notice. The fundamental concept of "simplicity" for living spaces leads to a reduction to a minimum of the furnishing accessories in the rooms (Balisha, 2017; De Giovanni, 2015; Schrank and Ekici, 2017) and, above all, to the avoidance of the insertion of suspended soundproofing elements (buffers, curtains, etc.).


Rooms with long reverberation times, with acoustically highly reflective surfaces (i.e. those with large volumes and hard surfaces), are particularly unsuitable for many types of children's needs: some children with autism, for example, will find the room distressing. Children with hearing problems may also find the noise painful because it is amplified by their hearing aids.

All this means that the internal acoustic qualities of the room should be provided by the finishes of the walls, floors and ceilings. Moquette, for example, is soft and sound-absorbing, but difficult to clean. It is better to choose a linoleum flooring, perhaps choosing models with certified sound absorption coefficient values measured in accordance with the standard ISO 354. The walls can be covered with micro-perforated sound-absorbing panels, taking care not to alter the continuity of the wall itself. It is necessary to avoid creating elements that capture the eye and attention. Alternatively, furnishing accessories with sound absorbing elements on certain strategic points can be considered (the floor above the wardrobes or the lower part of the desk table, for example).

Fig. 12 shows some examples of the comparison results of the obtained acoustic simulations, starting from the studied rooms without furniture and sound absorbing elements, and then gradually implementing the necessary sound absorbing units in order to optimize the internal parameters of reverberation, definition and clarity. The procedure makes it possible to define in advance the installation positions of the necessary sound recognition devices, depending on the use that is made of the room and the operating needs required from time to time.



a) Without sound absorbing materials (graduation range: from dark purple 1.39 s - to red 1.44s)



b) With optimized sound absorbing materials

Fig. 12 – Comparison of simulated RT for therapy room n. 1: spatial distribution at 1000 Hz (graduation range: from dark purple $0.66\ s-to\ red\ 0.69\ s)$

Specifically, for room therapy n. 1, the sound absorption measurements were performed using only sound absorbing panels on the ceiling in order not to alter the simple and clean look of the room and without the insertion of elements of possible distraction for the patient. Considering the possible positions in the space of the therapist and patient, the optimal positions for the sound recognition devices are along the longest sides of the room, at a height of about two meters from the ground.

For the bedroom, the sound absorption measurements were performed both through the insertion of sound absorbing panels on the ceiling/high part of the side walls and through the use of interior furnishings (Fig. 13). Here, considering the presence of large glass surfaces (for guests it is in fact better to choose as many sources of natural light as possible) and the need to insert furniture at full height (in order not to create discontinuity in the geometries, which can disturb the attention of the guest), it becomes advisable for the positioning of recognition devices to have a free wall on which to install one or two elements (depending on the size of the room) without obstacles that limit the scope of the operation (Fig. 14).




Fig. 13 - Example of optimized internal design for bedroom




Fig. 14 – Example of optimized positions of recognition devices for bedroom (the room's internal colors represent the spatial distribution of the reverberation time parameter at 1000 Hz)

5. Conclusion

In this study, the results of the three-dimensional acoustic simulation of the spaces used by people with cognitive disabilities are presented, in order to understand the effective applicability of acoustic systems for remote voice monitoring and control. It has been shown that interior design is very influential on acoustic fields and for this reason it may or may not allow the installation of AED and SSR technologies.

The inclusion of acoustic sensors, especially if combined with additional intelligent sensors monitoring and adjusting the environmental conditions (e.g. temperature, humidity, and acoustics) will allow a life as independent and autonomous as possible to people with cognitive disabilities, ensuring privacy and security. This technology will also make it possible to remotely deduce the state of people through centralized architectures by collecting data from a set of sensors deployed in their living environment.

Acknowledgement

The research was founded by University of Trieste in the framework of the ESF POR 2014-2020, Axis 3 of the Autonomous Region of Friuli Venezia Giulia, and ASTER system engineering smart technologies. The project is included in the Unit "HEaD Higher Education and Development" (CUP. FP1619892003). This research was also founded by EFRE 2014-2010 1095 E21@NOI CUP D56C18000180009.

Nomenclature

Symbols

AED acoustic event detection SSR sound source recognition TR Reverberation time [s]

 C_{50} Clarity (dB) D_{50} Definition [%]

References

AA.VV. 2008. Designing for disabled children and children with special educational needs Guidance for mainstream and special schools, BUILDING BULLETIN 102, England.

Balisha, U. 2017. *Autism friendly design*. Didapress Dipartimento di Architettura, Florence University.

Biagetti, G., P. Crippa, L. Falaschetti, S. Orcioni, C. Turchetti. 2018. Speaker Identification in Noisy Conditions Using Short Sequences of Speech Frames Springer.

Chang, C., Y. Chang Y. 2013, "Application of Abnormal Sound Recognition System."

Proceedings of 9th International Conference on Information, Communications & Signal Processing (ICICS).

De Giovanni, G. 2015. Architecture for authism. Esempi Di Architettura Vol. 2, N. 2 ed. Edizioni Scientifiche.

Dufaux, A. 2001. Detection and Recognition of Impulsive Sound Signals. PhD thesis, Institute of Microtechnology University of Neuchatel Switzerland.

- Farina, A., L. Tronchin. 2013. "3D Sound Characterisation in Theatres Employing Microphone Arrays." Acta Acustica United with Acustica 99(1): 118-125. doi:10.3813/AAA.918595
- Fleury, A., N. Noury, M. Vacher, H. Glasson, J.-F. Serignat. 2008. "Sound and speech detection and classification in a Health smart home."

 Proceedings of 30th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society.
- Foster, P., S. Sigtia, S. Krstulovic, J. Barker, M. D. Plumbley. 2015 "Chime home: a dataset for sound source recognition in a domestic environment." Proceeding of IEEE Workshop on Applications of Signal Processing to Audio and Acoustics, October 18-21, New Paltz, NY.
- ISO. 2003. ISO 354: Acoustics -- Measurement of sound absorption in a reverberation room.
- ISO. 2009. ISO 3382-1 Acoustics Measurement of room acoustic parameters Part 1: Performance spaces.
- Janvier, M., X. Alameda-Pineda, L. Girin, R. Horaud. 2012 "Sound-Event Recognition with a Companion Humanoid." Proceedings of 12th IEEE-RAS International Conference on Humanoid Robots.
- Kinoshita, K., M. Delcroix, T. Yoshioka, T. Nakatani, et al. 2013. "The reverb challenge: a common evaluation framework for dereverberation and recognition of reverberant speech." Proceedings of IEEE Workshop on Applications of Signal Processing to Audio and Acoustics, New Paltz, NY, October 20-23.
- Lecouteux, B., M. Vacher, F. Portet. 2011. Distant Speech Recognition for Home Automation: Preliminary Experimental Results in a Smart Home. Laboratoire d'Informatique de Grenoble, GETALP Team.
- Lecouteux, B., M. Vacher, F. Portet. 2018. "Distant speech processing for smart home: comparison of ASR approaches in scattered microphone network for voice command." *International Journal of Speech Technology*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10772-018-9520-y

- Pagin, D. 2016. Localization and recognition of sound events by means of microphone arrays. Master's thesis in Computer Engineering, University of Padua Department of Information Engineering.
- Piana, E., P. Milani, N. Granzotto. 2014. "Simple method to determine the transmission loss of gypsum panels." *Proceedings of 21st International Congress on Sound and Vibration 2014, ICSV 2014, vol. 5: 3700-3706*; Beijing; China; July 13-17 2014.
- Portet, F., M. Vacher, C. Golanski, C. Roux, B. Meillon. 2011. *Design and evaluation of a smart home voice interface for the elderly: acceptability and objection aspects.* Springer, London.
- Robles, R. J., T. Ki. 2010. "Applications, Systems and Methods in Smart Home Technology: A Review." International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology 15.
- Schrank, S., D. Ekici. 2017. *Healing Spaces, Modern Architecture, and the Body*. Routledge.
- Tronchin, L. 2013. "On the Acoustic Efficiency of Road Barriers: The Reflection Index." *International Journal of Mechanics* 7(3): 318-326.
- Tronchin, L., V. L. Coli. 2015. "Further Investigations in the Emulation of Nonlinear Systems with Volterra Series." *AES: Journal of the Audio Engineering Society* 63(9): 671-683. doi:10.17743/jaes.2015.0065
- Yong, L., C. Kean. 2014 "Automatic classification of impact sounds based on time-frequency representation." *Proceedings of The 21st International Congress on Sound and Vibration*.
- Yoshioka, T., A. Sehr, M. Delcroix, K. Kinoshita, R. Maas, T. Nakatani, W. Kellermann. 2012. "Making Machines Understand Us in Reverberant Rooms [Robustness against reverberation for automatic speech recognition]." Signal Processing Magazine, IEEE: 114-126.
- Zhang, H., I. McLoughlin, Y. Song. 2015. "Robust sound event recognition using convolutional neural networks." Proceedings of ICASSP 2015 2015 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP).