Towards feasible and scalable solvent-free enzymatic polycondensations: integrating robust biocatalysts with thin film reactions†

Alessandro Pellis,‡[a] Livia Corici,[b] Loris Sinigoi,[a,b] Nicola D'Amelio,§[c] Diana Fattor,[b] Valerio Ferrario,[a] Cynthia Ebert[a] and Lucia Gardossi*[a]

There is an enormous potential for synthesizing novel bio-based functionalized polyesters under environmentally benign conditions by exploiting the catalytic efficiency and selectivity of enzymes. Despite the wide number of studies addressing *in vitro* enzymatic polycondensation, insufficient progress has been documented in the last two decades towards the preparative and industrial application of this methodology. The present study analyses bottlenecks hampering the practical applicability of enzymatic polycondensation that have been most often neglected in the past, with a specific focus on solvent-free processes. Data here presented elucidate how classical approaches for enzyme immobilization combined with batch reactor configuration translate into insufficient mass transfer as well as limited recyclability of the biocatalyst. In order to overcome such bottlenecks, the present study proposes thin-film processes employing robust covalently immobilized lipases. The strategy was validated experimentally by carrying out the solvent-free polycondensation of esters of adipic and itaconic acids. The results open new perspectives for enlarging the applicability of biocatalysts in other viscous and solvent-free syntheses.

,Accepted 7th January 2015

Introduction

In vitro enzymatic catalysed polymer synthesis has been extensively investigated in the last few decades and lipases, in particular, demonstrated their efficiency in catalysing polycondensation and ring opening polymerization. The general concept of enzymatic catalysed condensation of polyols and diacids had already been studied in the 90s and then transferred on the industrial scale by Baxenden Chemicals (UK) for the production, later dismissed, of highly regular structures of polymers used in coating and adhesive applications.[1,2] Indeed, enzyme selectivity minimizes branching and enables the synthesis of functionalized polyesters characterized also by low polydispersity.[3–7]

An array of biobased and renewable monomers have also been employed for enzymatic polyester synthesis on the laboratory scale.[8–11] The most important examples of bio-based polyols include: ethylene glycol, 1,2-propanediol, 1,4-butanediol, 1,6-hexanediol and glycerol. Bio-based dicarboxylic acids include succinic acid, itaconic acid and adipic acid. All the considered monomers can be industrially produced from renewable feedstock through fermentation or other technologies.[12] It must also be underlined that enzymatically synthesized polyesters are fully biodegradable.

Due to their remarkable catalytic efficiency, enzymes are attractive and sustainable alternatives to toxic catalysts used in polycondensation,[13] such as metal catalysts and tin in particular.[14] For instance, lipase B from *Candida antarctica* (CaLB) works efficiently in solvent-free systems and at temperatures below 90 °C,[15–17] which are compatible with the polycondensation of unsaturated di-acids that generally suffer from isomerization or cross linking under the harsh reaction conditions required by conventional chemical processes (*i.e.* temperatures >150 °C).[18] Conversely, polyesters bearing reactive functional groups are obtainable and they are prone to further chemical modification or molecular weight enhancement by combining chemical or thermal polymerization.[17,18]

Despite the wide array of enzymatic polyester synthesis described in the scientific literature, this wealth of knowledge

[a]*Laboratory of Applied and Computational Biocatalysis, Department of Scienze Chimiche e Farmaceutiche, Università degli Studi di Trieste, Piazzale Europa 1, 34127 Trieste, TS, Italy. E-mail: gardossi@units.it; Tel: +39 040 558 3110*
[b]*SPRIN s.p.a. c/o BIC Incubatori FVG, Via Flavia 23/1, 34148 Trieste, TS, Italy*
[c]*Bracco Imaging SpA-CRB Trieste, AREA Science Park, Building Q, SS 14, Km 163.5, 34012 Basovizza, Italy*

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Microscopy analyses, ^{1}H, ^{13}C, 2D-^{1}H-TOCSY-^{13}C-HSQC NMR analyses, HPLC-DAD chromatograms, ESI-MS spectra, HPLC-DAD gradient. See DOI: 10.1039/c4gc02289k

‡ Current address: University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna, Department for Agrobiotechnology, IFA-Tulln, Institute for Environmental Biotechnology, Konrad Lorenz Strasse 20, A-3430 Tulln an der Donau, Austria.
§ Current address: Department of Structural and Molecular Biology, University College London, Kathleen Lonsdale Building, Gower Place, WC1E 6BT, London, UK.

and catalytic potential has not been exploited on the industrial scale yet. A recent review of the topic[19] identified biocatalyst efficiency and recyclability among the major problems hampering the implementation of enzymatic polycondensation. Indeed, immobilization of the biocatalyst is mandatory in these synthetic processes: firstly to avoid protein contamination and secondly to allow recycling the expensive enzyme. The latter factor severely affects the economic viability of the process, especially in the case of solvent-free polycondensations where the viscosity of the reaction systems calls for vigorous mixing that can cause mechanical damage to the biocatalyst.[24] Temperatures ranging from 60 °C to 90 °C are also applied to reduce viscosity and improve mass transfer, inducing further stress on the biocatalyst.

The present study analyses the feasibility of solvent-free polycondensation and tries to overcome the major bottlenecks that have caused, so far, the confinement of enzymatic polycondensation on the laboratory scale. For the first time, to the best of our knowledge, the problem of contamination of the product, caused by enzyme leaching, is clearly addressed and commented on in relation to the reaction rate and polyester elongation. New alternative solutions are here presented that combine the robustness of a covalently immobilized lipase with thin-film processes. Therefore, the study intends to overcome the inadequacy of batch reactions associated with mechanical mixing and to offer a new paradigm for the integrated design of solvent-free enzymatic polycondensation and, more in general, biotransformations involving viscous systems.

Results and discussion

Covalent oriented immobilization of CaLB

Most examples of polycondensations reported in the scientific literature make use of a commercial formulation of immobilized lipase B from *Candida antarctica* (Novozym® 435), which has been tested both in solvent-less systems and in the presence of organic solvents.[20,22–24] The combination of viscosity and mixing translates into a considerable mechanical stress exerted on the biocatalyst: in a pioneering work, dealing with the polycondensation of adipic acid (AA) and 1,4-butanediol (BDO),[1] it was observed that during one single synthetic cycle 10% of the protein detaches from the carrier and contaminates the product. The instability of the anchoring of the enzyme on the support was not solved but just circumvented by adding fresh enzyme after each polycondensation cycle. This drawback is mainly the consequence of the weak anchoring of the lipase on the carrier since the enzyme is immobilized through physical adsorption on a methacrylic resin.[25]

In the present study, we have overcome the problem by using a preparation of CaLB (CaLB-Cov) covalently immobilized on an epoxy-functionalized methacrylic resin. The biocatalyst displays an activity (assayed in the hydrolysis of tributyrin) of 2000 U g_{dry}^{-1}, which is comparable to that expressed by Novozym® 435 (2200 U g_{dry}^{-1}). In order to immobilize covalently CaLB on the methacrylic carrier and retain the maximum enzymatic activity, the immobilization was performed in the presence of a hydrophobic liquid phase. The immobilization protocol implies the use of either a hydrophobic organic solvent (*e.g.* toluene) or the more environmentally benign rapeseed oil (see the Experimental section). As previously discussed[26] and also evidenced through molecular dynamics simulations,[27] aqueous buffers are not the optimal media for immobilizing lipases on organic resins. Hydrophobic interactions between the supports and the active site in principle may hinder the accessibility of the active site of the enzymes. In contrast, the presence of a highly hydrophobic liquid phase is expected to favor the orientation of the hydrophobic areas surrounding the active site towards the bulk solvent. This conformational behavior induces a higher percentage of proteins to anchor on the support through covalent bonds formed with the residues that are located on the opposite face as compared to the opening of the active site. Ultimately, the immobilization procedure employing hydrophobic media leads to higher immobilization yields.[25]

Preserving the integrity of the biocatalyst by working with a thin film of the reaction mixture

In order to avoid damage of the carrier described in previous studies,[20] no mechanical or magnetic mixing was applied but rather mass transfer was assured by working with a thin film of the reaction mixture, as also previously described for pilot scale processes carried out in turbo-reactors.[28] In the attempt of reproducing a thin film on a 10 g lab-scale, a rotary evaporator operated at 200 rpm was used. The application of reduced pressure (70 mbar) facilitated the removal of co-products (*e.g.* alcohols or water) during the reaction.

The recyclability of the enzyme preparations was evaluated under operational conditions by studying the polycondensation of diethyl adipate (DEA) with BDO (Fig. 1) in the presence of 1% of the biocatalyst (w/w, referred to the global amount of monomers) and by evaluating the conversion achieved at defined times during each synthetic cycle. Equal enzymatic units of the two preparations (calculated by means of a standard tributyrin hydrolysis assay) were used in the synthesis. The course of the reactions was monitored by exploiting the



Fig. 1 Enzymatic polymerization of diethyl adipate (DEA) and 1,4-butanediol (BDO) at 40 °C for 5 h at reduced pressure performed using adsorbed and covalently immobilized CaLB preparations. The reaction was employed to study the recyclability of the two enzymatic formulations.

^{1}H-NMR signal at δ = 1.26 of the methyl group of DEA (CH_3–CH_2–O) and the signal at δ = 2.33 (–CH_2–CH_2–C(O)O–), the latter assumed to be constant throughout the reaction. Conversions were evaluated at 10, 20, 40 and 300 minutes over eight recycles.

More specifically, Fig. 2 shows the conversion of DEA after 10 minutes across eight recycles and more data are available in Fig. S1 of ESI.†

The eight recycles were carried out under conditions intended to be as close as possible to industrial needs and sustainability criteria. At the end of each synthetic cycle (300 min) the fluid mixture was filtered without adding any solvent to recover the biocatalysts. Although this procedure implies that some reactant or product, as well as a free enzyme, can remain entrapped in the carrier of the biocatalyst, we concluded that the selected procedure provides a more realistic view of the feasibility of the recycling procedure. A plot reporting the weighted moving average of conversions is available in ESI (Fig. S1c†) and confirms this trend as well as the wider fluctuation of conversions observed for the reactions catalysed by the adsorbed enzymes. Most probably, this behavior is the consequence of the release of different amounts of native enzymes at various stages of the process, which translates in reaction rates being affected by both immobilized and free lipase. Consequently, the conversions are deeply affected by uncontrolled leaching and data suggest that the adsorbed CaLB undergoes a first major remarkable decrease in activity already after the first recycle.

The microscopy analysis also confirmed the integrity of CaLB-Cov upon recycling (ESI, Fig. S2†).

Notably, the use of solvents was avoided during the recycling also to avoid potential detrimental effects on the activity of the recovered biocatalysts. A number of solvents were tested and the biocatalysts were rinsed after the synthetic reactions. However, those solvents able to solubilize the reaction mixture caused a loss of hydrolytic activity >50% (see more details in ESI, Table S1†). This can be attributed to a denaturation effect but also to the promotion of enzyme leaching in the case of Novozym® 435.



Fig. 2 Evaluation of the recyclability of the two CaLB preparations over 8 cycles expressed as a percentage of the DEA monomer reacted after 10 minutes. Novozym® 435: black bars. Covalently immobilized CaLB: gray bars.

It was also verified that the amount of biocatalyst used in the process exerts a major effect on the reactivity of DEA. When the same reaction was carried out in the presence of 4% (w/w) of the biocatalyst, the observed conversions after 20 min were 71% for Novozym® 435 and 61% for CaLB-Cov, whereas using 1% of biocatalysts the conversions were 31 and 23% respectively (see also Fig. S1 in ESI† for comparison). The reaction catalysed by the adsorbed lipase led to a conversion of 87% after the first hour of reaction and 76% in the case of CaLB-Cov.

Evaluation of enzyme leaching under operational conditions

Covalently immobilized enzymatic preparations do not automatically assure that enzymes are not released in the product. The protein to be loaded on the support must not exceed the capacity of the functional groups to form covalent bonds. It has been demonstrated that when the immobilized preparations are overloaded, part of the protein is simply adsorbed on the support.[21] Therefore, a balance between the functional groups available on the surface of the polymeric supports and the amount of loaded protein should be achieved for avoiding the release of enzyme in the reaction mixture. In some cases, the producers of the carrier declare the concentration of functional groups on the support (generally in the range of 0.025 to 4.5 mmol per gram of a dry polymer). However, it is quite difficult to determine *a priori* the optimum amount of protein to be loaded, since enzymes differ in terms of number of reacting residues and their molecular size.[29] Washing steps after covalent immobilization are advisable but they do not assure the complete removal of those protein molecules loaded on the carrier *via* simple adsorption,[30] so the non-covalently bound fraction of the enzyme can contaminate the product. Starting from these considerations, the present study addressed the issue of the robustness of a covalently immobilized biocatalyst by evaluating the leakage of an active enzyme under different working conditions. Firstly, we determined the activity of the enzyme detached from CaLB-Cov and Novozym® 435 during the course of a standard hydrolytic assay (see Experimental for the complete protocol). Data in Fig. 3 clearly show that substantial residual activity can be detected in the liquid phase even after removal of the adsorbed preparation (Novozym® 435) by filtration. No significant residual activity was observed in the case of the CaLB-Cov formulation.

Afterward, protein leaching was also evaluated for both enzymatic formulations under polycondensation conditions. Reactions between AA and BDO were carried out at 50 °C for 20 h using the same enzymatic units of the two biocatalysts. The residual active enzyme present in the final product was estimated by titrating the butyric acid released after adding tributyrin directly in defined volumes withdrawn from the reaction mixture at different reaction times (see the Experimental



Fig. 3 Residual enzymatic activity present in the tributyrin emulsion after incubation of the immobilized enzymatic preparations (15 min at 30 °C) and filtration of the biocatalysts. Legend: black bars = covalently immobilized preparation; gray bars: Novozym® 435. Equal units of the two immobilized enzymes were used in the tests. The hydrolytic activity was determined by titrating the released butyric acid with a 0.1 M aqueous solution of NaOH.

section). Data in Fig. 4 show how enzymatic activity is detectable in the reaction mixture throughout the reaction course when the adsorbed preparation (Novozym® 435) is used.

Maximum enzymatic activity is observable in the product recovered within the first 3 hours of reaction and this suggests that the largest percentage of the enzyme is released at an early stage and then this free enzyme undergoes progressive inactivation. On the other hand, the activity of lipase released from the covalently immobilized CaLB is almost comparable to the blank experiments (Fig. 4). The data indicate that the use of adsorbed lipases in polymerization makes unfeasible any analysis of the effect of the biocatalyst on the course of the reaction because active CaLB is present in the reaction mixture both in the immobilized and free form.[31]



Fig. 4 Enzymatic units of free active enzymes present in volumes withdrawn at different reaction times during the polycondensation of AA and BDO and after removal of the immobilized biocatalyst. Legend: gray bars = adsorbed immobilized CaLB (Novozym® 435); black = covalently immobilized CaLB (CaLB-Cov); empty bars: blank.

It is reasonable to expect that enzyme leaching has a pronounced effect over reaction rates and especially on polymer elongation. Under solvent-less conditions, viscosity prevents the diffusion of the substrates, and oligomers in particular, into the pores of the carriers. Free enzyme molecules dispersed in the reaction mixture are by far more accessible as compared to the protein anchored or adsorbed onto porous resins.

Effect of enzyme leaching on polycondensation of dimethyl itaconate (DMI) and 1,4-butanediol (BDO) under thin-film conditions

Itaconic acid (IA) is a renewable monomer that can be produced by the fermentation of *Aspergillus terreus*[32] and it represents an interesting monomer due to the chemical versatility of its C═C functional group. Moreover, there is an increasing interest towards IA as a monomer for the synthesis of bio-based polyesters because it is the main candidate for replacing maleic and fumaric acids, two largely used petrol-based chemicals currently employed in the production of reticulated polymers.[33] The main drawback of the traditional chemical polymerization of IA resides in the reactivity of the vinyl group at high temperatures (>150 °C) that causes the isomerization of IA in citraconic and mesaconic acids. Moreover, radical species form with the consequent cross-linking of monomers. Therefore, the use of highly active enzymes at mild temperatures represents a route for overcoming these limitations. However, it has been shown that the CaLB catalysed polycondensation of itaconic acid derivatives suffers from slow reaction kinetics[18] caused by the poor reactivity of the acyl group, which undergoes the stabilizing resonance effect of the conjugated C═C bond.

In order to verify the applicability of the covalently immobilized enzyme to the polycondensation of different monomers, a derivative of itaconic acid, namely dimethyl itaconate (DMI), was considered. The polycondensation was carried out in thin film in a round bottomed-flask connected to a rotary evaporator operated at 80 rpm at 70 mbar, as described before. At the start of the reaction, DMI was suspended in the liquid diol (1.0 : 1.1, molar ratio). The suspension was warmed at 50 °C to achieve a fluid slurry. As the reaction proceeded, the mixture became a homogeneous transparent solution. The final product was a viscous sticky colourless liquid that was analysed by means of ESI-MS, and ^{1}H-NMR without any further purification (Fig. 5).

As shown in Fig. 6, the polyesterification of BDO and DMI catalysed by CaLB-Cov under solvent-free conditions for 72 hours proceeded very slowly and gave a mixture of oligomers between 2 and 5 units with a molecular weight in the range of 216 and 526 *m*/*z*.

The major products are represented by the ABA trimer where only the fast reacting ester groups of 2 DMI molecules acylate the diol, as also confirmed by the ^{1}H-NMR spectrum of the products (see ESI, Fig. S3†). The spectrum indicates that more than 90% of the fast-reactive ester was converted whereas only 2% of the slow reacting acyl group adjacent to



Fig. 5 Scheme of the polycondensation reaction between DMI and BDO (top) and structures of the products formed in a higher percentage (bottom).



Fig. 6 Labelled ESI-MS positive ion mass spectrum of polycondensation products of DMI (A) with BDO (B) catalysed by CaLB-Cov (72 hours).

the C═C bond reacted. This can be confirmed by comparing the ^{1}H-NMR signals of the two methoxy groups of DMI. Trimer ABA accumulates because it presents two slow-reacting acyl-groups and, conversely, $(AB)_2$ and $A(BA)_2$ are the minor products.

It must be underlined that previous studies[18] described the polycondensation of DMI and different polyols with the formation of products having an M_n ranging from 2000 to 11.900 g mol^{-1}. In that case, Novozym® 435 was employed at 90 °C for 48 hours with the application of reduced pressure only during the last 46 hours of the reaction.

The observed huge difference in the reaction efficiency must be ascribed either to the temperature or to the different formulation of the biocatalyst. All attempts of carrying out the reaction at a temperature equal to or above 80 °C led to the formation of solid products insoluble in all solvents tested (dichloromethane, tetrahydrofuran, acetone, hexane, ethyl acetate, and toluene). This observation suggested that the vinyl groups of DMI underwent cross-linking during the reaction course. Polycondensation was attempted both at atmospheric pressure and under reduced pressure and using BDO as a diol. Actually, when we reproduced the synthesis of poly(1,4-butylene itaconate) (PBI) at 50 °C but using Novozym® 435 (the same amount of enzymatic units as in the experiment performed with CaLB-Cov) an array of oligomers having a length up to 18 units were formed (Fig. 7). Evidence of the formation of oligomers comes also from the ^{1}H-NMR spectra and the HPLC-DAD chromatogram (see ESI Fig. S4 and S5†).



Fig. 7 ESI-MS positive ion mass spectrum (100–2000 *m*/*z*) of PBI obtained from the polycondensation of DMI (A) and BDO (B) catalysed by Novozym® 435 (96 hours).

Since the two enzymatic preparations (Novozym® 435 and CaLB-Cov) are endowed with comparable activity (referred to tributyrin hydrolysis), differences in the course of reactions must stem from the accessibility of the enzymes and, more specifically, from the method of immobilization. It must also be underlined that the chemical nature of the methacrylic resins used as carriers for the two immobilized biocatalysts is quite similar. Data reported above in Fig. 4 suggest that a considerable amount of free native CaLB detaches from Novozym® 435 which determines a more homogeneous distribution of enzyme molecules in the reaction mixture and, ultimately, favorable kinetics. In contrast, the amount of lipase leached off the covalently immobilized CaLB is negligible and therefore elongation proceeds with difficulty.

Evaluating the accessibility of covalently immobilized CaLB

In order to shed light on the lower efficiency of polycondensation catalysed by CaLB-Cov we also explored the chance that the lower accessibility might be ascribed to steric occlusion of the active site of the enzyme due to the covalent bonds between the protein and the carrier. This second hypothesis was evaluated by using the oligomers (PBI) synthesized using Novozym® 435 as a substrate for an elongation reaction where CaLB-Cov was employed as the biocatalyst. The elongation was performed by employing dimethyl adipate (DMA), a diester carrying two acyl groups with the same reactivity. DMA and CaLB-Cov (10 wt%) were added to PBI and the reaction was carried out under solvent-free conditions, in thin-film at 50 °C and 70 mbar for 72 hours. It must be underlined that no residual enzymatic activity was detected in PBI used for the elongation reaction. The final product was a transparent viscous liquid, and ESI-MS spectra (Fig. 8) illustrate how the elongation reaction occurred. ^{1}H-NMR spectra demonstrate the complete acylation of the free hydroxyl groups in the starting oligomers, as indicated by the absence of the signal at 3.5 ppm corresponding to –CH_2–CH_2–OH (see ESI, Fig. S6†).



Fig. 8 ESI-MS positive ion mass spectrum (100–2000 *m*/*z*) of the reaction between PBI and DMA (C) catalysed by CaLB-Cov. Reaction time: 72 hours.

Further evidence of chain elongation comes from an HPLC-DAD chromatogram reported in ESI (Fig. S7†).

Therefore, experimental data indicate that even long oligomers can access the catalytic site of the covalently immobilized enzymes. As expected, DMA, which has two acyl functionalities with similar reactivity, leads to a faster reaction and products with higher molecular weights.

A further confirmation of the accessibility of the active site of the covalently immobilized CaLB was obtained by studying the hydrolysis of enzymatically synthesized PBI. The hydrolytic reaction was carried out in the presence of 10% CaLB-Cov at 50 °C and compared to a blank experiment without the enzyme. HPLC-DAD chromatograms (see ESI, Fig. S12†) clearly indicate that the PBI oligomers with higher molecular weights are hydrolyzed and the resulting small oligomers accumulate during the course of the reaction.

In conclusion, while the covalent immobilization of the enzyme is necessary for assuring recycling and avoiding contamination, at the same time the low reactivity of DMI requires a homogeneously dispersed enzyme to promote adequate reaction kinetics.

Retrospective analysis of the feasibility of thermodynamically driven polyesterification

As demonstrated above, enzyme leaching not only determines product contamination but also makes any quantitative analysis of the effect of adsorbed immobilized biocatalysts on the course of the polymerization process unfeasible, because the fraction of the free active enzyme present in the mixture (which is also the most accessible) cannot be accounted separately. This observation enables us to shed new light on some data previously reported in patent WO 94/12652,[2] which describes the polycondensation of AA and BDO catalysed by Novozym® 435 in a two-step process. The inventors reported a first oligomerization step followed by the removal of the biocatalyst. Afterward, the reaction continued under heating and reduced pressure with an increase of in M_n (polymerization at 60 °C for 24 h, 10 ± 3 mbar). It is noteworthy that the option of removing the biocatalyst after the synthesis of oligomers would be very attracting since, as the reaction proceeds, the viscosity increases and the recovery of the biocatalyst becomes difficult. However, a later study of the same polycondensation[1] catalysed by a covalently immobilized preparation of CaLB (Chirazyme®) reported no increase in M_n during the second polymerization step, and this was taken as the proof that in the first case the polycondensation was simply ascribable to the free enzyme detached from the carrier during the first synthetic step. Unfortunately, Chirazyme is not commercially available any longer, so that in order to confirm that polyester elongation occurs exclusively in the presence of a biocatalyst we made use of the CaLB-Cov formulation.

The two-step synthesis of poly(1,4-butylene adipate) (PBA) was carried out as illustrated in Fig. 9.

In the first step, oligomerization was performed in a syringe for 20 h at environmental pressure and 50 °C in the presence of a biocatalyst. No vacuum was applied to this first synthetic step in order to simulate the conditions reported in the previous studies.[1,2] A blood rotator was employed as a mixing system to prevent mechanical damage to the biocatalyst. After filtration of the liquid viscous product and removal of the biocatalyst, the second step was started by increasing the temperature to 80 °C. No mechanical or magnetic mixing was applied but the reaction was carried out in a round-bottomed flask connected to a rotary evaporator operated at 80 rpm under reduced pressure (70 mbar) to facilitate the removal of water formed throughout the polycondensation. Generally speaking, the polycondensation of alcohols with carboxylic acids does not have a very high equilibrium constant (typically $K_C < 10$, for an uncatalysed reaction), so that water must be removed from the reaction mixture in order to obtain a reasonable degree of polymerization.[34] On the other hand, it has been reported that an increase in the alcohol concentration results in a decrease in the reaction rate.[35]

The reaction was monitored by collecting ^{1}H-NMR spectra of the crude product (see ESI, Fig. S9 and S10†). The signals of the polymerization products were assigned by 2D-^{1}H-TOCSY-^{13}C-HSQC (see ESI, Fig. S11†). Afterwards, the conversion



Fig. 9 Step 1: oligomerization between AA and BDO at 50 °C for 20 h in the presence of CaLB-Cov. Step 2: elongation after removal of the biocatalyst carried out at 80 °C under reduced pressure.

Table 1 ^{1}H-NMR data used for monitoring the two-step polycondensation of AA and BDO. Step 2 was carried out after removal of the biocatalyst

Catalyst employed	Reaction conditions	Time	Ratio δ 4.08/3.53
Step 1 CaLB-Cov	50 °C	20 h	1.60
Step 2 no	80 °C, 70 mbar	24 h	1.88
Step 2 no	80 °C, 70 mbar	48 h	3.17
Step 2 no	80 °C, 70 mbar	72 h	4.32

was monitored by calculating the ratio between ^{1}H signals at δ 3.53 (t, 2H, –CH_2–CH_2–OH) and at δ 4.08 (t, 2H, –CH_2OC(O)).

Data in Table 1 indicate that during Step 2, despite the absence of the biocatalyst, the polycondensation proceeds, although very slowly. The increase in the ratio between the signal at δ 4.08 (t, 2H, –CH_2OC(O)), which corresponds to ester formation, and the signal at δ 3.53 (t, 2H, –CH_2–CH_2–OH), which corresponds to free 1,4-butanediol, demonstrates that esterification occurs. We can presume that this phenomenon was not observed and reported in the previous study[1] because after 20 h the progress of the reaction is negligible, as demonstrated by the ratio of ^{1}H-NMR signals (1.60 *vs.* 1.88). Moreover, it must be underlined that the polymerization previously reported was carried out at 60 °C whereas we decided to boost the reaction rate by increasing the temperature to 80 °C.

Models describing the increase of the reaction order as esterification proceeds have been already reported in the literature, demonstrating that by increasing the M_n the reaction can proceed at lower temperatures.[36] It must be noted that the chemical polyesterification of AA and BDO in a solvent-less system generally requires high temperatures (140–160 °C) since the acid needs to be melted to create a homogeneous phase with the diol during the process. Therefore, at the beginning of the enzymatic step 1 (T = 50 °C) the solid AA is only partially solubilized in the liquid BDO, whereas after the first oligomerization step the product appears as a viscous uniform solution and under these conditions the reaction is favored.

Indeed, the fact that polyesterification proceeds even in the absence of a biocatalyst is not surprising. The kinetics of self-catalysed polyesterification reactions of AA and diols have been studied extensively[37] and the mechanisms of polyesterification reactions were illustrated already in 1939.[38] The study concluded that self-catalysed polyesterifications follow third-order kinetics with a second-order dependence on the carboxyl group concentration and a first-order dependence on the hydroxyl group concentration. Later studies[39] demonstrated that hydrogen ions dissociate from the diacid molecules but continue to coordinate weakly to the diacid molecules, suggesting that self-catalysed polyesterification reactions are promoted by the presence of such hydrogen ions. A detailed kinetic and thermodynamic study of acid catalysed polyesterification is out of the purpose of this research but experimental data indicate that, once a mixture of oligomers is formed, carboxylic acid present in the mixture can provide the acid catalyst necessary for polyesterification.

Experimental

Chemicals and reagents

Commercial rapeseed oil was used for the immobilization of CalB without any pre-treatment or purification. Dimethyl itaconate (99%), 1,4-butanediol (99%), dichloromethane (≥99.9%, GC grade), deuterated chloroform ($CDCl_3$) (99.8 D-atoms, 0.03% v/v of TMS), tributyrin (98%) and ethyl acetate (≥99.5%) were purchased by Sigma-Aldrich. Acetonitrile (≥99.5%) was purchased from Riedel-de-Haën. *n*-Heptane (98.9%) and all the other solvents and chemicals were purchased from AnalR Normapur. All reagents, except for rapeseed oil, were of analytical grade and were used as received without further purification if not otherwise specified.

Enzymatic preparations

Novozym® 435 is a commercial formulation of lipase B from *Candida antarctica* (CaLB), adsorbed on a macroporous methacrylic resin. The biocatalyst was kindly donated by Novozymes (DK). The activity, assayed in the hydrolysis of tributyrin, resulted to be 2200 U g_{dry}^{-1}. It has been demonstrated that most of the enzyme molecules of Novozym® 435 are localized in a shell of the bead with a thickness of ~100 μm.[24]

The covalent immobilization of CaLB was carried out according to the following protocol: 2 g of methacrylamide beads functionalized with epoxide groups (Relizyme® EC-EP by Resindion S.r.l., Milan-Italy) characterized by particle diameter of 200–500 μm and average pore diameter of 40–60 nm were washed and dehydrated with acetone (3 × 4 mL) on a Buchner filter connected to a vacuum pump. 1 g of the washed and dehydrated resin was put in a 20 mL vial and 12 mL of the hydrophobic liquid phase (either toluene or rape-seed oil) was added. A volume of a commercial solution of Lipozyme® CaLB L (Novozymes) corresponding to about 15 000 U (TBU) was adjusted to pH 8.0 using a 1.0 M NaOH solution. The enzyme solution was then added to the organic phase and the system was stirred continuously (mechanical stirring) for 48 hours at a temperature of 25 °C. Afterwards, the immobilized enzyme was filtered on a Buchner filter and washed with acetone (3 × 2 mL) and the excess of acetone was removed under reduced pressure. The synthetic activity of the two preparations resulted to be 43 000 U g_{dry}^{-1} (using toluene) and 48 000 U g_{dry}^{-1} (using rapeseed oil) calculated as described below. The hydrolytic activity (hydrolysis of tributyrin) of the formulation immobilized in toluene resulted to be 2000 U per gram of dry preparation. For CalB immobilized in rapeseed oil the hydrolytic activity was not assayed due to the interference of residual triglycerides adsorbed on the carrier. For this reason, only CalB immobilized in toluene was employed in the polycondensation reactions. Water content in both preparations was <5% (w/w). Residual water content in the final immobilized preparations was determined on aluminum plates. A known amount of the biocatalyst was dried at 110 °C for 6 h. Water content is defined as the % of weight loss after drying.

Synthetic activity of lipases

The synthesis of propyl laurate was carried out at 55 °C with orbital shaking (250 rpm) in a 20 mL vial using equimolar amounts of lauric acid and 1-propanol (1.2 g and 0.36 g respectively). An amount equal to 30–40 mg of the immobilized enzyme was added to the substrates and the formation of the ester was monitored by HPLC in the first 15% of conversion (RP-HPLC, C-18 column, mobile phase 100% AcN 0.05% TFA, flow 1 mL min^{-1}, UV-VIS detector, 210 nm). 1 enzymatic unit is expressed as the amount of enzyme able to catalyse the formation of 1 μmol of propyl laurate per min at 55 °C.

Assay of hydrolytic activity of lipases

The activity of enzymatic preparations was assayed by following the tributyrin hydrolysis and by titrating, with 0.1 M sodium hydroxide, the butyric acid that is released during the hydrolysis. An emulsion composed of 1.5 mL tributyrin, 5.1 mL gum arabic emulsifier (0.6% w v^{-1}) and 23.4 mL water was prepared in order to obtain a final molarity of tributyrin of 0.17 M. Successively, 2 mL of K-phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.0) were added to 30 mL of tributyrin emulsion and the mixture was incubated in a thermostated vessel at 30 °C, equipped with a mechanical stirrer. After pH stabilization, 50 mg of the biocatalyst was added. The consumption of 0.1 M sodium hydroxide was monitored for 15–20 min. One unit of activity was defined as the amount of immobilized enzyme required to produce 1 μmol of butyric acid per min at 30 °C.

HPLC analysis

The polymerization products were analysed by HPLC-DAD using a Phenomenex Gemini-NX C18 5 μm (4.6 mm ID × 250 mm L) column and a Phenomenex Menex IB-Sil C8 5 μm (4.6 mm ID × 30 mm L) pre-column connected to a Gilson HPLC system equipped with a diode array detector Agilent 1100 Series and an autosampler. The elution of the compounds has been done isocratically using a mixture of ultrapure water (0.05% trifluoroacetic acid) and AcN (0.05% trifluoroacetic acid) with a flow rate of 1 mL min^{-1} and a sample injection volume of 10 μL. The eluting components were detected at 210 and 230 nm. Different gradient concentrations of acetonitrile and ultrapure water were used and the details are reported in the ESI.†

^{1}H-NMR spectroscopy

^{1}H, ^{13}C, and 2D-^{1}H-TOCSY-^{13}C-HSQC (Total Correlation Spectroscopy, Heteronuclear Single Quantum Coherence Spectroscopy) NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance III Ultra Shield Plus 600 MHz spectrometer operating at 600.17 MHz. The used solvent was $CDCl_3$.

^{1}H-NMR spectra related to the polycondensation of DMI were recorded on a Varian® Gemini 200 MHz spectrometer operating at 200 MHz. The used solvent was $CDCl_3$.

Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS)

The crude reaction mixtures were analysed on Esquire 4000 (Bruker) electrospray positive ionization by generating the ions in an acidic environment. Around 10 mg of the sample was dissolved in 1 mL methanol containing 0.1% v/v formic acid. The generated ions were positively charged with m/z; the ratio falls in the range of 200–2000. The subsequent process of deconvolution allows the reconstruction of the mass peaks of the chemical species derived from an analysis of the peaks generated.

Recyclability of CaLB-Cov: polycondensation between diethyl adipate and 1,4-butanediol

The recyclability study was carried out on a scale of 9.6 mL (9.7 g of monomers) according to the following procedure: DEA (6472 mg, 32 mmol, 6.4 mL) and BDO (3244 mg, 36 mmol, 3.2 mL; monomer molar ratio 8 : 9) were mixed in a 50 mL round-bottomed flask. The two monomers are liquid and completely miscible. The addition of equal amounts of enzymatic units of the two biocatalysts (110 mg of CaLB-Cov and 100 mg of Novozym® 435, corresponding roughly to 1% in weight referred to as the global amount of monomers) started the reaction, which ran for 5 h at 40 °C under reduced pressure (70 mbar) in a flask connected to the rotary evaporator.

The conversion of diethyl adipate was monitored at 10, 20, 40 and 300 minutes by withdrawing volumes (about 50 μL) of the fluid crude reaction mixture that were dissolved in chloroform-d_1 and analysed by ^{1}H-NMR. The ratio between the signal at δ 1.26 attributed to the methyl group of ethyl adipate (CH_3–CH_2–O) and the signal at δ 2.33 (–CH_2–CH_2–C(O)O–) was exploited to estimate the conversion (see ESI† for full ^{1}H-NMR assignment and recycle details).

At the end of each synthetic cycle (300 min) the conversion of DEA was evaluated in the range of 76–82%. The products and the unreacted monomers were sufficiently fluid to be filtered under reduced pressure without any addition of the solvent. The immobilized biocatalyst (beads diameter 200–500 μm) was fully recovered at the end of the reaction by means of a sintered glass filter (porosity 40–100 μm), equipped with cellulose filters. The biocatalyst was not rinsed in order to prevent the detrimental effects that were observed upon solvent treatments (see ESI, Table S1†). The recovered biocatalyst was employed for the following synthetic cycle under the conditions described above by adding the same amount of fresh monomers. It was also verified that no reaction occurred in the absence of an enzyme.

Evaluation of free enzyme released from the immobilized biocatalysts during a hydrolytic assay

In order to estimate the enzyme leaching, 50 mg of biocatalysts was incubated for 15 min at 30 °C under stirring in an emulsion composed as described above (assay of hydrolytic activity of lipases). The enzymatic preparations were then removed from the media by filtration and the residual activity present

in the emulsion was titrated by adding tributyrin as described above.

Assay of the free active enzyme released in the product during polycondensation

Reactions between AA and BDO were carried out at 50 °C for 20 h using the same enzymatic units of the two biocatalysts. The active enzyme present in the final product (protein contamination caused by enzyme leaching from the support) was estimated on defined volumes of the reaction mixtures withdrawn at 1.5, 2.5, 3.5 and 20 h. The activity was assayed by following tributyrin hydrolysis and by titrating with 0.1 M sodium hydroxide the released butyric acid. An emulsion composed of 1.5 mL tributyrin, 5.1 mL gum arabic emulsifier (0.6% w/v) and 23.4 mL water was prepared in order to obtain a final molarity of tributyrin of 0.17 M. Successively 2 mL of Kpi buffer (0.01 M, pH 7.0) was added to 30 mL of tributyrin emulsion and the mixture was incubated in a thermostated vessel at 30 °C, equipped with a mechanical stirrer. After pH stabilization, 100 μL of the reaction mixture (oligomers) was added. The consumption of 0.1 M sodium hydroxide was monitored for at least 30 min to evaluate the residual active enzyme present in a poly(1,4-butylene adipate) oligomer.

In order to exclude the interference of poly(1,4-butylene adipate) during titration, hydrolysis tests have been performed using a chemically synthesized poly(1,4-butylene adipate) which has been considered as a blank preparation. Blank data showed that the polyester does not interfere with the assay, since no enzymatic activity was detected during these experiments.

Enzymatic synthesis of PBI: polycondensation of dimethyl itaconate and 1,4-butanediol

Dimethyl itaconate (35 mmol), BDO (38.5 mmol) and the biocatalyst CalB-cov (10% w/w with respect to the total amount of monomers) were mixed in a 250 mL reaction flask and the reaction proceeded connected with a rotary evaporator under reduced pressure (70 mbar) at 50 °C. The molar ratio of diester and polyol used was 1.0 : 1.1. During the polymerization process the biphasic system becomes a monophasic homogeneous transparent solution. The final product was a viscous sticky colorless liquid, which was solubilized in DCM. After solvent evaporation, the crude product was analysed by HPLC-DAD, ESI-MS and ^{1}H-NMR without any further purification. It was also verified that no reaction occurred in the absence of an enzyme.

Enzymatic synthesis of poly(1,4-butylene itaconate-*co*-adipate) (PBIA)

An equimolar amount of DMA (referred to IA) was added to PBI synthesized as described above. The reaction was started by adding 10% w/w of CaLB-Cov. The reaction was carried out under solvent-free conditions on thin-film at 50 °C and 70 mbar for 72 hours. It must be underlined that no residual enzymatic activity was detected in PBI used for the elongation reaction. The product was a transparent viscous liquid, characterized by HPLC-DAD, ESI-MS and ^{1}H-NMR without any further purification after solubilization in dichloromethane and filtration.

Enzymatic hydrolysis of PBI

90 mg of a mixture of PBI (previously synthesized from DMI and BDO in the presence of Novozym® 435) was dissolved in 1 mL AcN, followed by the addition of 1 mL potassium phosphate buffer 0.1 M pH 7.0. The hydrolysis started at the addition of 10 wt% CaLB-Cov (9 mg). The reaction was performed at 50 °C and under atmospheric pressure for 5 hours. The control reaction without enzyme was performed under the same conditions. The product was analysed by HPLC-DAD and ESI-MS without any further purification.

Synthesis of poly(1,4-butanediol adipate): step 1

Adipic acid (9.85 g, 67 mmol) and 1,4-butanediol (6.35 g, 70 mmol) (scale 16 g, ratio 1.0 : 1.1 mol mol^{-1}) were mixed in a glass vial and homogenized under magnetic stirring in a solvent less system. The product was transferred in a plastic syringe and the addition of the immobilized enzyme (1% w/w) started the reaction that ran for 20 h at 50 °C under blood rotator mixing. The final product (oligomer) is a viscous colorless liquid, which can be recovered after the filtration of the biocatalyst. No precipitation or purification was performed. All the reactions were performed considering the same units of enzyme calculated on the basis of the tributyrin hydrolytic assay.

Synthesis of poly(1,4-butanediol adipate): step 2

The oligomer produced in step 1 was recovered after filtration and placed in a round-bottomed flask connected to a rotary evaporator under reduced pressure (70 mbar) at 80 °C for 20 h without the biocatalyst. The final product was a white waxy solid at room temperature. About 100 mg of crude product was dissolved in chloroform-d and analysed by ^{1}H and ^{13}C NMR, 2D-^{1}H-TOCSY-^{13}C-HSQC NMR.

Microscopy

The integrity of the beads after the reaction (thin film under reduced pressure and rotavapor operated at 80 rpm) was evaluated by means of a microscope METTLE FP52 (see ESI†).

Conclusions

The data reported here disclose some factors that have hampered, so far, the feasibility and economic viability of the synthesis of polyesters catalysed by CaLB. Firstly, the use of CaLB adsorbed on organic resins is inappropriate because a considerable amount of free active enzyme is released in the reaction mixture and this fraction is, actually, the most accessible to the substrates. Therefore, in such cases, information regarding the reaction kinetics or efficiency of biocatalysts should be analysed with great caution.[40] On the other hand, efficient mixing systems are essential for overcoming the viscosity of

solvent-free reactions, although conventional mechanical stirring methods in batch reactors cause severe damage of immobilized biocatalysts.

The present study proposes a new non-conventional approach for overcoming these bottlenecks. By working with thin films of reaction mixtures and robust covalently immobilized CaLB it is possible to preserve the integrity of the biocatalyst while assuring recyclability, efficient mass transfer and continuous removal of co-products under reduced pressure. The concept has been experimentally validated by synthesizing oligoesters of BDO with AA, DEA, DMA and DMI.

In the case of the slow-reacting DMI, results clearly show that elongation depends mainly on the accessibility and distribution of the enzyme in the reaction mixture. Consequently, future investigations should aim at improving the dispersion of the biocatalyst rather than at employing biocatalysts characterized by high activity condensed in small volumes.

Concerning the polyesterification of free AA, novel attention should be paid to the self-catalysed polycondensation of oligomers while tuning the thermodynamics of the reactions through water removal. In this respect, the thin-film methodology is particularly appropriate because it allows continuous operation under reduced pressure and facilitates mass and heat transfer. Therefore, in principle, the approach can be applied to most biocatalysed processes affected by viscosity.[21,41]

More specifically, the present methodology could overcome the major problems related to the production on the industrial scale of different speciality chemicals such, for instance, emollient esters for cosmetic formulations. Lipase catalysed solvent-less synthesis involving diglycerol, polyglycerol or other polyols is hampered by viscosity, enzyme leaching and difficulties in shifting the equilibrium of the reaction to achieve total conversion by the removal of water.[21,41,42] It has also been reported that fixed-bed red reactors encounter pressure drop along the reactor length and stirred tank reactors are particularly unsuitable because they cause the disintegration of the enzyme carrier by strong shear forces. The synthesis of polyglycerol and lauric acid has been described using new alternative reactors such as bubble column, where the damaging of the carrier was not as pronounced as in a stirred tank reactor. However, surface-active compounds promote leaching of a fraction of enzyme adsorbed on the carrier.[21]

In conclusion, the present study indicates an innovative strategy for enhancing the applicability of biocatalysts in different synthesis, which is not based on the simple adaptation of the biocatalyst to standard reactors[42] but rather intends to design jointly the process, the biocatalyst and the reactors according to an integrated vision.

Acknowledgements

Lucia Gardossi acknowledges COST Action CM1303 System Biocatalysis for financial support.

Valerio Ferrario is grateful to MIUR (Ministero dell'Istruzione, dell'Università e della Ricerca – Roma) and to Università degli Studi di Trieste for financial support.

This project (Livia Corici) has received funding from the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme for research, technological development and demonstration under grant agreement no. 289253 (REFINE project).

Notes and references

1 F. Binns, P. Harffey, S. M. Roberts and A. Taylor, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, 1999, 2671–2676.
2 F. Binns and A. Taylor, *International Patent WO* 94/12652, 1994.
3 M. Eriksson, A. Boyer, L. Sinigoi, M. Johansson, E. Malmström, K. Hult, S. Trey and M. Martinelle, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 2010, **48**, 5289–5297.
4 H. Uyama and S. Kobayashi, *J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym.*, 2002, **19–20**, 117–127.
5 S. Kobayashi, H. Uyama and S. Kimura, *Chem. Rev.*, 2001, **101**, 3793–3818.
6 R. A. Gross, A. Kumar and B. Kalra, *Chem. Rev.*, 2001, **101**, 2097–2124.
7 I. K. Varma, A. C. Albertsson, R. Rajkhowa and R. K. Srivastava, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2005, **30**, 949–981.
8 G. Li, D. Yao and M. Zong, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 2008, **44**, 1123–1129.
9 B. Guo, Y. Chen, Y. Lei, L. Zhang, W. Y. Zhou, A. B. M. Rabie and J. Zhao, *Biomacromolecules*, 2011, **12**, 1312–1321.
10 D. Juais, A. F. Naves, C. Li, R. A. Gross and L. H. Catalani, *Macromolecules*, 2010, **43**, 10315–10319.
11 D. I. Habeych, P. B. Juhl, J. Pleiss, D. Vanegas, G. Eggink and C. G. Boeriu, *J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym.*, 2011, **71**, 1–9.
12 S. Y. Lee, S. H. Hong, S. H. Lee and S. J. Park, *Macromol. Biosci.*, 2004, **4**, 157–164.
13 P. Ellwood, *J. Chem. Eng.*, 1967, **74**, 98.
14 J.-F. Stumbé and B. Brunchmann, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2004, **25**, 921–924.
15 S. Kobayashi, *Proc. Jpn. Acad., Ser.B*, 2010, **86**, 338–365.
16 M. Eriksson, L. Fogelström, K. Hult, E. Malmström, M. Johansson, S. Trey and M. Martinelle, *Biomacromolecules*, 2009, **10**, 3108–3113.
17 G. Odian, *Principles of Polymerization*, Wiley, 2004.
18 D. G. Barrett, T. J. Merkel, J. C. Luft and M. N. Yousaf, *Macromolecules*, 2010, **43**, 9660–9667.
19 R. A. Gross, M. Ganesh and W. Lu, *Trends Biotechnol.*, 2010, **28**, 435–443.
20 C. Korupp, R. Weberskirch, J. J. Müller, A. Liese and L. Hilterhaus, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2010, **14**, 1118–1124.
21 L. Hilterhaus, B. Minow, J. Müller, M. Berheide, H. Quitmann, M. Katzer, O. Thum, G. Antranikian, A. P. Zeng and A. Liese, *Bioprocess Biosyst. Eng.*, 2008, **31**, 163–171.
22 Y. Shen, X. Chen and R. A. Gross, *Macromolecules*, 1999, **32**, 2799–2802.

23 A. Kumar, A. S. Kulshrestha, W. Gao and R. A. Gross, *Macromolecules*, 2003, **36**, 8219–8221.

24 N. Simpson, M. Takwa, K. Hult, M. Johansson, M. Martinelle and E. Malmström, *Macromolecules*, 2008, **41**, 3613–3619.

25 B. Chen, M. E. Miller and R. A. Gross, *Langmuir*, 2007, **23**, 6467–6474.

26 V. Ferrario, C. Ebert, L. Knapic, D. Fattor, A. Basso, P. Spizzo and L. Gardossi, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2011, **353**, 2466–2480.

27 V. Ferrario, H. Veny, E. D. Angelis, L. Navarini, C. Ebert and L. Gardossi, *Biomolecules*, 2013, **3**, 514–534.

28 G. Cerea, L. Gardossi, L. Sinigoi and D. Fattor, *World Patent* WO/2013110446A1, 2013.

29 S. Cantone, V. Ferrario, L. Corici, C. Ebert, D. Fattor, P. Spizzo and L. Gardossi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 6262–6276.

30 I. Petry, A. Ganesan, A. Pitt, B. D. Moore and P. J. Halling, *Biotechnol. Bioeng.*, 2006, **95**, 984–991.

31 T. Nakaoki, Y. Mei, L.-M. Miller, A. Kumar, B. Kalra, E.-M. Miller, O. Kirk, M. Christensen and R. A. Gross, *Ind. Biotechnol.*, 2005, **1**, 126–134.

32 T. Willke and K. D. Vorlop, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2001, **56**, 289–295.

33 A. Kuenz, Y. Gallenmuller, T. Willke and K. D. Vorlop, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2012, **96**, 1209–1216.

34 A. Duda and S. Penczek, *Mechanisms of Aliphatic Polyester Formation*, Wiley-VCH, 2001, p. 378.

35 R. Bacaloglu, M. Fisch and K. Biesiada, *Polym. Eng. Sci.*, 1998, **38**, 1014–1022.

36 H. Akat and M. Balcan, *Iran. Polym. J.*, 2006, **15**, 921–928.

37 T. Salmi, E. Paatero and P. Nyholm, *Chem. Eng. Process.*, 2004, **43**, 1487–1493.

38 P. J. Flory, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1939, **61**, 3334–3340.

39 A. U. Tang and K. S. Yao, *J. Polym. Sci.*, 1959, **35**, 219–233.

40 A. S. Bhangale, K. L. Beers and R. A. Gross, *Macromolecules*, 2012, **45**, 7000–7008.

41 L. Hilterhaus, O. Thum and A. Liese, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2008, **12**, 618–625.

42 R. Nieguth, M. Eckstein, L. O. Wiemann, O. Thum and M. B. Ansorge-Schumacher, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2011, **353**, 2522–2528.