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## General remarks

## Instrumentation

Preparative Thin Layer Chromatography (preparative TLC) were performed on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ pre-made glass backed plate prepared as follows: for fifteen $20 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ plates 440 g of silica gel $60 \mathrm{PF}_{254}$ (Merck 107747) was shaken with 880 ml of phosphate buffer solution to obtain a free-flowing slurry. Using a CAMAG 21602 automatic preparative spreader, the glass plates were covered with an even coating of adsorbent ( 1.5 mm ). Just after coating, the plates are put down in a non-ventilated closed hood for 20 h . The dried plates are activated $\left(140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~h}\right)$ prior use. Melting points (M.p.) were measured on a Büchi Melting Point B-545 in open capillary tubes and have not been corrected. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ spectra were obtained on a 270 MHz NMR (Jeol JNM EX-270) or 400 MHz (Jeol $J N M$ ECX-400) or 500 MHz (Jeol Resonance ECZ500R) at rt otherwise stated. Chemical shifts were reported in ppm according to tetramethylsilane using the solvent residual signal as an internal reference $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \delta_{\mathrm{H}}=7.26 \mathrm{ppm}, \delta_{\mathrm{C}}=77.16 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}: \delta_{\mathrm{H}}=1.94, \mathrm{ppm}, \delta_{\mathrm{C}}=\right.$ $1.32 \mathrm{ppm} ;$ DMSO- $d_{6}: \delta_{\mathrm{H}}=2.50 \mathrm{ppm}, \delta_{\mathrm{C}}=39.52$; toluene- $\left.d_{8}: \delta_{\mathrm{H}}=2.08 \mathrm{ppm}, \delta_{\mathrm{C}}=20.43\right)$. Coupling constants ( $J$ ) were given in Hz. Resonance multiplicity was described as $s$ (singlet), $d$ (doublet), $t$ (triplet), $d d$ (doublet of doublets), $d t$ (doublet of triplets), $t d$ (triplet of doublets), $q$ (quartet), $m$ (multiplet) and broad (broad signal). Carbon spectra were acquired with a complete decoupling for the proton. Infrared spectra (IR) were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum II FT-IR System with Specac Silver Gate Evolution single-reflection ATR mounted with a diamond mono-crystal. High-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) measurements were generally performed by the "Fédération de Recherche" ICOA/CBM (FR2708) platform of Orléans in France. High-resolution ESI mass spectra (HRMS) were performed on a Bruker maXis $Q$-TOF in the positive ion mode. The analytes were dissolved in a suitable solvent at a concentration of $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ and diluted 200 times in methanol ( $\approx 5 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). The diluted solutions $(1 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ were delivered to the ESI source by a Dionex Ultimate 3000 RSLC chain used in FIA (Flow Injection Analysis) mode at a flow rate of $200 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ with a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+0.1 \%$ of $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}(65 / 35)$. ESI conditions were as follows: capillary voltage was set at 4.5 kV ; dry nitrogen was used as nebulizing gas at 0.6 bars and as drying gas set at $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $7.0 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$. ESI-MS spectra were recorded at 1 Hz in the range of $50-3000 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$. Calibration was performed with ESI-TOF Tuning mix from Agilent and corrected using lock masses at $m / z 299.294457$ (methyl stearate) and 1221.990638 (HP-1221). Data were processed using Bruker DataAnalysis 4.1 software. Liquid chromatography mass
spectrometry (LC-HRMS) measurements were conducted on an Agilent 6210 series TOF mass spectrometer equipped with ESI and APCI ionization sources and a Time Of Flight (TOF) detector, operating in positive mode. The analyte solutions were delivered to the ESI or APCI source by an Agilent 1200 series LC system at a flow rate of $0.25 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Typical elution gradient start from $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(90 \%)$ to $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(100 \%)$ for 20 minutes. ESI mode: Typical ESI conditions were capillary voltage 2.0 kV ; cone voltage 65 V ; source temperature $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; desolvation temperature $250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; drying gas $5 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$, nebulizer 60 psig . APCI: Typical APCI conditions were, capillary voltage 2.0 kV ; cone voltage 65 V ; source temperature $250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; desolvation temperature $350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; drying gas $5 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$; nebuliser 60 psig . Dry nitrogen was used as the ESI and APCI gas. Data were processed using Mass Hunter software. High-resolution
Electron Ionization mass spectrometry (EI-HRMS) measurements were performed by the "Groupe de Recherche en Spectrométrie de Masse" GRSM/ZMa of Mons in Belgium. Highresolution electron ionization mass spectra (EI-HRMS) were performed on a Waters Autospec 6F. UV/Vis absorption spectra were recorded with a Varian Cary 5000 UV-Vis-NIR spectrophotometer, using quartz cells with path length of 1.0 cm . All photophysical experiments were carried out in air-equilibrated solutions at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ otherwise stated. Light irradiation experiments were performed with monochromatic light produced with a LOT Oriel equipment, consisting of a 1000 W Xe lamp (LSB551 ozone free) connected via an axial optical path to a computer controlled monochromator MSH-300. The $180^{\circ}$ optical path was composed of a condenser lens, which collimated the light emitted from the source, and a focusing lens aligned to the monochromator entrance slit. The entrance and the exit slits of the monochromator were adjusted in order to have a 20 nm bandwidth output light. The sample was placed in the collimated beam of the monochromatic light. X-ray measurements were performed on a Gemini Ultra $R$ system (4-circle kappa platform, Ruby CCD detector) using Mo K $\alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ ) or $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=1.54178 \AA)$ at Université de Namur (UNamur) in Belgium. After mounting and centering of the single crystal on the diffractometer, cell parameters were estimated from a pre-experiment run and full data sets collected at room temperature. Structures were solved by direct methods with SHELXS-86 program and then refined on $F^{2}$ using SHELXL-97 software. ${ }^{[1]}$ Non-hydrogen atoms were anisotropically refined.

## Materials and general methods

Synthesis. Chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, Acros Organics, TCI and ABCR and used as received. Solvents were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, except for deuterated
solvents from Eurisotop, and anhydrous DMF from Acros Organics. Anhydrous THF and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were distilled from $\mathrm{Na} /$ benzophenone and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ respectively. Toluene- $d_{8}$ for NMR studies was dried over molecular sieves. Low temperature baths from $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were prepared with crushed ice and solid NaCl , those at $-84^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with AcOEt/ liquid $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Anhydrous conditions were achieved by flaming the glassware (Schlenk tubes or two neck round bottom flasks) with a heat gun under vacuum and then purging them with Argon. The dry and inert atmosphere was maintained using Argon-filled balloons equipped with a syringe and needle that was used to penetrate the silicon stoppers used to close the flasks' necks. Additions of liquid reagents were performed using dried plastic or glass syringes.

Determination of the binding stoichiometry by Job's plot analysis. The binding stoichiometry of the HOST-GUEST complexes was determined as it follows. Two stock solutions were prepared, solution A containing 3.0 mM of HOST in toluene- $d_{8}\left([\mathrm{H}]_{0}\right)$ and solution B containing the same concentration of DAP in toluene- $d_{8}\left([\mathrm{G}]_{0}\right)$. Then, nine NMR tubes were filled with solutions A and B in the following volume ratios $\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{B}$ (maximum volume $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ): 50:450, 100:400, 150:350, 250:250, 300:200, 350:150, 400:100, 450:50 and 500:0 $\mu \mathrm{L} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ were recorded on a 500 MHz NMR (Jeol Resonance ECZ500R) at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, for each mixture and then the complex concentration ([HG]) defined by Equation 1 plotted against the HOST molar fraction $\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{H}}$.
$[\mathrm{HG}]=[\mathrm{H}]_{0} \cdot \frac{\Delta \delta}{\Delta \delta s a t}$
The observed change in chemical shift $(\Delta \delta)$ of the HOST signals is defined as the absolute difference between the chemical shift observed at a given HOST-GUEST complex concentration and the chemical shift of the free host. The difference in chemical shift at saturation is expressed by $\Delta \delta$ sat. The HOST molar fraction (is defined by Equation 2:
$x_{\mathrm{H}}=\frac{[\mathrm{H}]_{0}}{[\mathrm{H}]_{0}+[\mathrm{G}]_{0}}$
${ }^{1}$ H-NMR titrations. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectra were obtained on a 500 MHz NMR (Jeol Resonance ECZ500R) at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Titrations were performed with multiple additions of the GUEST solution (DAP, around 15 mM concentration) in 0.5 mL of the HOST solution (uracil derivatives Upr and 5AUP, around 3 mM concentration), using standard NMR tubes and keeping the samples in the dark. For the Z-5AUP titration, the HOST solution was introduced in a quartz NMR tube and the sample was irradiated for 50 min at 360 nm before the NMR analysis and the additions. HOST samples were prepared dissolving uracil derivatives in toluene- $d_{8}$, GUEST samples were prepared dissolving 2,6-diacetylamino-4[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]pyridine (DAP) in the HOST solution, except for the Z-5AUP
titration were the GUEST was dissolved in toluene- $d_{8}$. The chemical shift data of the imide protons were fitted against GUEST concentration using Dynafit software package. ${ }^{[2]}$ The formation constant for the complexes are the average values of few independent experiments with consistent results, otherwise discharged. The stoichiometry of the different complexes was established by Job's plot experiments. The dimerization constants were established by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ dilution experiments of 5 mM HOST solution in toluene- $d_{8}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, in all cases the values obtained were lower than $100 \mathrm{M}^{-1}$, small enough to neglect the interference of the dimerization process on the binding events.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR kinetic studies. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra were obtained on a 500 MHz NMR (Jeol Resonance ECZ500R) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The sample was introduced in a quartz NMR tube and irradiated at 360 nm for 40 min before the NMR analysis. The sample was kept in the NMR instrument with the probe at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for all the experiment duration. Isomers concentrations were calculated on the integrals of the peaks area corresponding to the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ imide protons or to the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ methylene protons for both isomers, depending on the resolution of those signals.

UV-Vis kinetic studies. UV/Vis absorption spectra were recorded with a Varian Cary 5000 UV-Vis-NIR spectrophotometer at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The samples solutions were introduced in quartz cells with path length of 1.0 cm and irradiated at $\lambda_{\max }$ for 40 min before starting the kinetic studies. The extinction coefficients were determined in prior experiments.

DFT calculations. DFT calculations were performed using the ab initio pseudopotential plane-wave method as implemented in the PWSCF code of the Quantum ESPRESSO distribution, ${ }^{[3]}$ using Ultrasoft pseudopotentials from the publicly available repository ${ }^{[4]}$ For the exchange-correlation term, a GGA-PBE approximation has been used. ${ }^{[5]}$ The valence electronic wave functions were expanded onto a plane wave basis set with a kinetic energy cutoff of 408 eV . The Brillouin zone integration for the gas-phase systems investigated has been limited to the $\Gamma$-point only. Since van der Waals interactions play a non-negligible role in this system, we adopted the VdW-DF functional developed by Langreth and coworkers, ${ }^{[6[7][8]}$ implemented in the QE package, which uses a very efficient FFT formulation. ${ }^{[9]}$ Ball and stick models are rendered using the XCrySDen software. ${ }^{[10]}$

## Synthetic procedures and spectral data

2,6-Diacetylamino-4-[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]pyridine and 6-chlorouracil were prepared according to literature procedure. ${ }^{[11,12]}$


Synthesis of 4-tert-butylbenzenediazonium tetrafluoroborate. ${ }^{[13]}$


A solution of tert-buthylaniline $(1.7907 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(24 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2.5547 \mathrm{~g}, 18 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $-15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Ar, followed by the dropwise addition of a solution of tert-buthylnitrite ( $90 \% \mathrm{wt}, 1.4849 \mathrm{~g}, 14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 10 min at $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, before allowing the temperature to increase to rt over 2 h 30 min . The resulting violet mixture was added dropwise to 50 mL of cold $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The precipitate was filtered under reduced pressure and washed with cold $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ to afford pure compound $\mathbf{3}$ as white powder ( 2.8348 g , $95 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BF}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$, MW: 248.04 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mol}$. M.p.: $84^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{dec}\right.$.). IR $v_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr})\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3428,3089,2968,2265(\mathrm{~N} \equiv \mathrm{~N}), 1641,1578$, $1478,1416,1369,1304,1078,844,537 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 8.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, H_{c}\right), 7.81\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, H_{b}\right), 1.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, H_{a}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 167.4$, 132.9, 129.1, 110.5, 36.9, 30.6. 19F-NMR (CDCl3, 376 MHz ) $\delta:-149.19$. All characterizations are in full agreement with the previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{[14][15]}$

## Synthesis of 5-iodo-2,4-dioxo-1-propyl-3-hydropyrimidine. (2)



To a solution of 5-iodouracil ( $1,2.400 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DMF ( 50 mL ) was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ $(0.6010 \mathrm{~g}, 4.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 15 min under Ar. After the addition of iodopropane $(0.7310 \mathrm{~g}, 4.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ the mixture was stirred for further 15 h at rt under Ar. The reaction was quenched with 10 ml of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. AcOEt ( 50 ml ) was added to the mixture and the two phases were separated. The aqueous phase was acidified to pH 5 by dropwise addition of HCl 1 M solution before the extraction with $\operatorname{AcOEt}(7 \times 20 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and solvents removed under reduced pressure. The crude was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{AcOEt} 9 / 1$ ) to afford pure compound 2 as white powder ( $0.7815 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{IN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. MW: 280.07 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mol}$. M.p.: $189^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR $v_{\max }\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3158,3029,2990,2871,1693,1644,1602,1441,1413$, 1328, 1240, 1158, 1026, 854, 755, 616, 534, 456. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 8.39$ (bs, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, H_{a}\right), 7.61\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, H_{b}\right), 3.71\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, H_{c}\right), 1.73\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, H_{d}\right), 0.97\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, H_{e}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 160.3,150.3,149.1,67.5,50.9,22.6,11.0$. LC-HRMS (ESI-


Synthesis of 5-((4-(tert-butyl)phenyl)diazenyl)-1-propylpyrimidine-2,4(3H)-dione. (4, 5AUP)


A solution of LiCl in dry THF $(0.5 \mathrm{M}, 4 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})^{[16]}$ was added to 5-iodo-2,4-dioxo-1-propyl-3-hydropyrimidine ( $2,0.5610 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting solution was cooled at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before the dropwise addition of a MeMgCl solution ( $22 \% \mathrm{wt}$ in dry THF, $0.67 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After the end of the $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ bubbling, the mixture was stirred for further 20 min at $-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A
solution of $i \mathrm{PrMgCl} \cdot \mathrm{LiCl}(1.3 \mathrm{M}$ in dry $\mathrm{THF}, 1.85 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the mixture and allowed to warm up to rt stirring over 2 h . The resulting mixture was then cooled down to $-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before the dropwise addition of a solution of 4-tert-butylbenzenediazonium tetrafluoroborate ( $\mathbf{3}, 0.5953 \mathrm{~g}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 20 mL ). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to rt stirring over 4 h 30 min and quenched with 10 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The two layers were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with AcOEt ( 3 x 10 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and solvents removed under reduced pressure. The crude was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{AcOEt} 9 / 1$ ) affording compound $\mathbf{4}$ as sticky red oil. Further precipitation from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ upon addition of toluene ( 1 mL ) afforded pure compound 4 as orange powder ( $57.8 \mathrm{mg}, 9 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. MW: $314.39 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$. M.p.: $195-197^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR $v_{\max }\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2963,1707,1668,1600$, $1579,1465(\mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{N}), 1442,1421,1364,1337,1240,1102,832,819,798,755,592,563,542$, 502. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 9.24\left(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, H_{h}\right), 8.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, H_{d}\right), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, H_{c}\right), 7.56\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, H_{b}\right), 3.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, H_{e}\right), 1.73\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, H_{f}\right), 1.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, H_{a}\right)$, $0.94\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, H_{g}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 161.0,155.6,151.5,151.1$, 137.6, 130.2, 127.3, 123.1, 51.5, 35.6, 31.4, 23.0, 11.1. LC-HRMS (ESI + ): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calc. 315.1821, found 315.1874. UV-Vis (toluene, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\lambda_{\text {max }}\left(\varepsilon, \mathrm{Lmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$ ): 360 nm (20727). Crystals obtained by slow evaporation of toluene solution, space group: $\mathrm{P} 21 / \mathrm{n}$.

## Synthesis of 5-iodo-2,4-dioxo-1,3-dipropylpyrimidine. (5)



To a solution of 5-iodouracil ( $1,1.1899 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF ( 25 mL ) was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $0.6911 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 15 min under Ar. After the addition of iodopropane $(1.8152 \mathrm{~g}, 11 \mathrm{mmol})$ the mixture was stirred for further 15 h at rt under Ar. The reaction was quenched with 10 ml of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. $\mathrm{AcOEt}(50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to the mixture and the two phases were separated. The aqueous phase was acidified to pH 5 by dropwise addition of HCl 1 M solution before the extraction with AcOEt ( 7 x 20 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and solvents removed under reduced pressure. The crude was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{AcOEt} 9 / 1$ ) to afford pure compound 5 as white powder ( $0.7672 \mathrm{~g}, 48 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{IN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. MW:
$322.15 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$. M.p.: $91^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR $v_{\max }\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2967,1696,1650,1637,1612,1434,1421,1377$, $1356,1301,1240,1204,1053,926,764,752,607,548,466 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ : $7.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, H_{a}\right), 3.95\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, H_{b}\right), 3.71\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, H_{e}\right), 1.66\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, H_{c, f}\right), 0.95\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, H_{d, g}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 160.2,151.1,147.0,67.7,51.8,44.7,22.6,20.9,11.4,10.0$. HRMS (ESI+): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calc. 323.0251 , found $323.0249 ;[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calc. 345.0070 , found 345.0069.

## Synthesis of 5-((4-(tert-butyl)phenyl)diazenyl)-1,3-dipropylpyrimidine-2,4-dione. (6, 5AUPP)



A solution of 5-iodo-2,4-dioxo-1,3-dipropylpyrimidine (5, $96.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 1 mL ) was cooled at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before the dropwise addition of $i \mathrm{PrMgCl} \cdot \mathrm{LiCl}(1.3 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 0.25 $\mathrm{mL}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting mixture was stirred under Ar allowing the temperature to increase to rt over 2 h . A solution of 4-tert-butylbenzenediazonium tetrafluoroborate (3, 96.7 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 6 mL ) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture and stirred for further 4 h allowing the temperature to increase to rt . The reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the two layers separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{AcOEt}(3 \mathrm{x}$ 20 mL ), the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and solvents removed under reduced pressure. The crude was purified by preparative TLC (silica gel, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{AcOEt} 95 / 5$ ) affording pure compound 6 as red oil ( $63.4 \mathrm{mg}, 59 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. MW: $356.47 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$. IR $v_{\text {max }}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2962,2907,2875,1711,1662,1610,1499,1458(\mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{N}), 1380,1363,1334$, 1267, 1232, 1163, 1105, 844, 775, 758, 566, 498. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 7.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.H_{d}\right), 7.80\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, H_{c}\right), 7.49\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, H_{b}\right), 4.05\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, H_{h}\right), 3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.H_{e}\right), 1.77\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, H_{f, i}\right), 1.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, H_{a}\right), 1,00\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, H_{g, j}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta:$ $160.2,154.9,150.7,150.5,132.7,129.0,126.1122 .8,52.2,43.5,35.1,31.3,22.6,21.0,11.5$, 11.1. HRMS (ESI+): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calc. 357.2285 , found 357.2282. UV-Vis (toluene, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\lambda_{\max }$ ( $\left.\varepsilon, \mathrm{Lmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 364 \mathrm{~nm}(12561)$.

## Synthesis of 6-iodo-2,4-dioxo-1,3-dihydropyrimidine. ${ }^{[17]}$ (8)



To a solution of 6-chlorouracil ( $7,1.3189 \mathrm{~g}, 9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{HI}(57 \%, 24 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added NaI ( $6.745 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 24 h before filtering it under reduced pressure. The solid obtained was washed with cold $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by cold $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and finally dried under high vacuum to afford pure compound $\mathbf{8}$ as pale yellow powder ( $2.100 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{IN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. MW: $237.98 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$. M.p.: $250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 11.57\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, H_{a}\right), 11.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, H_{b}\right), 6.01\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, H_{c}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 162.97,151.12,111.71,110.62$. All characterizations are in full agreement with the previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{[17,18]}$

## Synthesis of 6-iodo-2,4-dioxo-1-propyl-3-hydropyrimidine. (9)



To a solution of 6-iodo-2,4-dioxo-1,3-dihydropyrimidine ( $\mathbf{8}, 1.3267 \mathrm{~g}, 5.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DMF $(80 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.2764 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred under Ar at rt for 15 min , before the addition of iodopropane $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was stirred for further 15 h at rt , under Ar and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was taken up in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by addition of $\mathrm{AcOEt}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The two phases were separated, the aqueous phase acidified to pH 5 by dropwise addition of HCl 1 M solution and extracted with $\operatorname{AcOEt}(7 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined organic layers are washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 10 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and solvent removed under reduced pressure. The crude was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{AcOEt} 9 / 1$ ) to afford pure compound 9 as light yellow powder ( $0.2334 \mathrm{~g}, 42 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{IN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. MW: $280.07 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$. M.p.: $168^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR $v_{\max }\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3159,3029,2955,2866,1660,1558,1429,1392$, $1348,1312,1166,1060,997,825,786,750,638,573,558,533 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $\delta: 8.48\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, H_{a}\right), 6.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, H_{b}\right), 4.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, H_{c}\right), 1.72\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, H_{d}\right), 0.98(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$\left.3 \mathrm{H}, H_{e}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 68 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 161.2,148.1,115.8,113.9,55.1,22.3,10.8$. HRMS $(\mathrm{ESI}+):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calc. 280.9782, found 280.9777; $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calc. 302.9601, found 302.9598.

Synthesis of 6-((4-(tert-butyl)phenyl)diazenyl)-2,4-dioxo-1-propyl-3hydropyrimidine. (10, 6AUP)


A solution of 6-iodo-2,4-dioxo-1-propyl-3-hydropyrimidine ( $9,42.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 3 mL ) was cooled at $-84^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred 30 min before the dropwise addition of PhMgCl ( 2.0 M in toluene, $0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was further stirred at $-84^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h before the dropwise addition of the solution of 4-tert-butylbenzenediazonium tetrafluoroborate ( $\mathbf{3}, 44.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 3 mL ). The mixture was stirred for further 4 h 30 min allowing the temperature to increase to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the two layers separated, and the aqueous phase neutralized by dropwise addition of a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{AcOEt}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and solvents removed under reduced pressure. The crude was purified by preparative TLC (cyclohexane/AcOEt 7/3) to afford pure compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ as orange powder ( $13.0 \mathrm{mg}, 28 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. MW: $314.39 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$. M.p.: $179{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR $v_{\max }\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3170,3047,2959,2871,1692,1667,1599,1441(\mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{N}), 1408$, $1364,1341,1101,1028,850,828,575,554,524 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 8.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.H_{h}\right), 7.86\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, H_{c}\right), 7.60\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, H_{b}\right), 5.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, H_{d}\right), 4.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.H_{e}\right), 1.74\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, H_{f}\right), 1.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, H_{a}\right), 0.95\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, H_{g}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta: 163.1,159.3,158.9,150.9,150.4,126.8,124.5,87.1,44.9,35.6,31.2,22.8,11.3$. EIHRMS: $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calc. 314.1743 , found 314.1754. UV-Vis (toluene, $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\lambda_{\max }\left(\varepsilon, \mathrm{Lmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 329 nm (24111). Crystals obtained by slow evaporation of toluene solution, space group: P-1.

## Synthesis of 2,4-dioxo-1-propyl-3-hydropyrimidine. (12, Upr)



To a solution of $\operatorname{Uracil}(\mathbf{1 1}, 0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF ( 63 mL ) were added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.6 \mathrm{~g}$, 4.5 mmol ) and iodopropane ( $0.44 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred under Ar at rt for 24 h . The reaction was quenched with a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\operatorname{AcOEt}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 2 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and solvent removed under reduced pressure. The crude was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOH} 95 / 5$ ) to afford pure compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ as white powder ( $0.3093 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. MW: $154.17 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$. M.p.: $112-115{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR $v_{\text {max }}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3039,1622,1456,1353,1247,806,759,722,546 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $\delta: 8.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, H_{a}\right), 7.15\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, H_{c}\right), 5.69\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, H_{b}\right), 3.69(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, H_{d}\right), 1.73\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, H_{e}\right), 0.97\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, H_{f}\right){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 68 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 161.7$, $150.9,144.6,102.2,50.6,22.5,11.0$. HRMS (ESI + ): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calc. 155.0815 , found 155.0818; $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calc. 177.0634, found 177.0637. Crystals obtained by slow evaporation of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solution, space group: $\mathrm{P} 21 / \mathrm{n}$. All characterizations are in full agreement with the previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{[19]}$

## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra

5-iodo-2,4-dioxo-1-propyl-3-hydropyrimidine. (2)



## 5-((4-(tert-butyl)phenyl)diazenyl)-2,4-dioxo-1-propyl-3-hydropyrimidine (4, 5AUP)



X : parts per Million : 1 H


5-iodo-2,4-dioxo-1,3-dipropylpyrimidine. (5)



## 5-((4-(tert-butyl)phenyl)diazenyl)-2,4-dioxo-1,3-dipropylpyrimidine (6, 5AUPP)




6-iodo-2,4-dioxo-1-propyl-3-hydropyrimidine. (9)



## 6-((4-(tert-butyl)phenyl)diazenyl)-2,4-dioxo-1-propyl-3-hydropyrimidine (10, 6AUP)




## Mass analysis

Mass spectra of 5AUP (4)


Mass spectra of 5AUPP (6)



## Mass spectra of 6AUP (10)



## Crystal data and structure refinement

Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for 5AUP (CCDC 1435199)


Table S2. Crystal data and structure refinement for 5AUP•DAP (CCDC1474613)


Table S3. Crystal data and structure refinement for 6AUP (CCDC1435200)


Table S4. Crystal data and structure refinement for 6AUP•DAP(CCDC1435201)


## UV-Vis kinetic studies

## Thermal $Z \rightarrow E$ isomerization of 5AUP.

The isomerization of $Z-5$ AUP to its $E$ diastereoisomer was monitored by UV-Vis analysis of a 5AUP solution ( $3.0 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ in toluene) previously irradiated. The kinetic experiment was run by taking full scans of the irradiated solution. The extinction coefficient of the E-5AUP was determined in prior experiments and is: $\varepsilon=20727 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The fitting of the experimental data, i.e. the absorbance change at $\lambda_{\max }$ over the time, (Fig. S1) was performed with Origin 8 considering a first order kinetic model and gives an observed rate constant $\mathrm{k}_{\text {est }}=$ $(2.7 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{A}=0.534$.


Figure S1. Fitting of the experimental data with a first order kinetic model.

## Thermal $Z \rightarrow E$ isomerization of 5AUPP.

The isomerization of $Z-5$ AUPP to its $E$ diastereoisomer was monitored by UV-Vis analysis of a 5AUPP solution ( $5.2 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ in toluene) previously irradiated. The kinetic experiments were run by recording the absorbance change at 364 nm , over a time span of 560 minutes. The extinction coefficient of the $E$-5AUPP was determined in prior experiments and is: $\varepsilon=$ $12561 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The fitting of the experimental data gives an observed rate constant $\mathrm{k}_{\text {est }}=$ (3.06 $\pm 0.03) \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{A}=0.377$.


Figure S2. Fitting of the experimental data with a first order kinetic model.

## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR kinetic studies

## Thermal $Z \rightarrow E$ isomerization of 5AUP.

The isomerization of $Z$-5AUP to its $E$ diastereoisomer was studied also by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of a 5AUP solution ( 3.0 mM in toluene- $d_{8}$ ) previously irradiated, by monitoring the initial rate of formation of the $E$ isomer. The analysis of the experimental data was performed according to the initial rate method, the isomerization rate is given by the slope of the straight lines reported in Figure S3; the initial concentration of Z-5AUP $\left([Z]_{0}\right)$ is instead given by the intercept of the straight line describing the consumption of $Z$-5AUP. The composition of the reaction mixture as a function of time is reported in Table S5 and Fig. S3.

Table S5. Experimental data for the $Z$ to $E$ isomerization of $Z$-5AUP monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR experiments.

| Time (s) | integral $N-\mathrm{CH}_{2} E$ | integral $N-\mathrm{CH}_{2} Z$ | $\% Z$ | $\% E$ | $[E](\mathrm{mM})$ | $[Z](\mathrm{mM})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 600 | 0.01926 | 0.26 | 93.1 | 6.9 | 0.206904 | 2.793 |
| 780 | 0.01949 | 0.26 | 93.0 | 7.0 | 0.209202 | 2.791 |
| 1200 | 0.02233 | 0.26 | 92.1 | 7.9 | 0.237276 | 2.763 |
| 1440 | 0.02533 | 0.26 | 91.1 | 8.9 | 0.266323 | 2.734 |
| 1620 | 0.02655 | 0.26 | 90.7 | 9.3 | 0.277962 | 2.722 |
| 1800 | 0.02589 | 0.26 | 90.9 | 9.1 | 0.271678 | 2.728 |
| 1980 | 0.02954 | 0.26 | 89.8 | 10.2 | 0.306072 | 2.694 |
| 2280 | 0.0298 | 0.25 | 89.3 | 10.7 | 0.319514 | 2.68 |



Figure S3. Linear fitting of the experimental data according to the initial rate method.
The results of the fitting are reported in Table S6 for both the formation of E-5AUP and the consumption of Z-5AUP.

Table S6. Fitting data for the Z-5AUP to $E-5 A U P$ isomerization.

|  | slope ( $\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) | Standard Error ( $\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) | Intercept (M) | Standard Error (M) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Z | $-7.03 \times 10^{-8}$ | $5.38 \times 10^{-9}$ | 0.00284 | $8.39 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| E | $7.03 \times 10^{-8}$ | $5.38 \times 10^{-9}$ | $1.59 \times 10^{-4}$ | $8.39 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\text {obs }}\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | $\delta \mathrm{k}\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| $2.48 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.97 \times 10^{-6}$ |  |  |  |

Considering that at the beginning of the reaction, the mixture contains $2.84 \mathrm{mM} Z-\mathbf{5 A U P}$, the observed rate constant for the $Z-E$ isomerization is: $k_{\text {est }}=(2.48 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The calculation of the uncertainty was performed by using the uncertainty propagation method.

## Thermal $Z \rightarrow E$ isomerization of 5AUP in the presence of DAP

The isomerization of $Z-5 A U P$ to its $E$ diastereoisomer in the presence of increasing amounts of DAP was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of toluene- $d_{8}$ solutions previously irradiated. The conditions explored were the following: $\boldsymbol{Z - 5 A U P}: \mathbf{D A P}=\mathbf{8 : 2}$ ([5AUP] $=2.9 \mathrm{mM}$ and $[\mathrm{DAP}]=0.73 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\boldsymbol{Z}-\mathbf{5 A U P}: \mathbf{D A P}=\mathbf{1 : 1}([5 A U P]=2.5 \mathrm{mM}$ and $[\mathrm{DAP}]=2.5 \mathrm{mM}$ ), where the nominal [5AUP] are those of the prepared solutions before irradiation, the reactions were monitored for 140 and 45 minutes respectively.

Conditions: $\boldsymbol{Z}$-5AUP:DAP $=\mathbf{8 : 2}([5 A U P]=2.9 \mathrm{mM}$ and $[D A P]=0.73 \mathrm{mM})$.
The experimental data are reported in Table S7, the analysis was restricted to the first instants of the reaction according to the initial rate method and represented graphically in Figure S4 while the results of the fitting are reported in Table S8.

Table S7. Experimental NMR data for the isomerization of $Z-5 A U P$ to $E-5 A U P$ in the presence of DAP, $\boldsymbol{Z}$-5AUP: DAP $=8: 2$

| Time (s) | integral $N-\mathrm{CH}_{2} Z$ | integral $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} E$ | $\% E$ | $\% Z$ | $[E](\mathrm{mM})$ | $[Z](\mathrm{mM})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 600 | 8.59 | 0.89 | 9.4 | 90.6 | 0.272257 | 2.628 |
| 900 | 8.49 | 0.97 | 10.3 | 89.7 | 0.297357 | 2.603 |
| 1500 | 8.08 | 1.1 | 12.0 | 88.0 | 0.347495 | 2.553 |
| 1860 | 7.96 | 1.12 | 12.3 | 87.7 | 0.357709 | 2.542 |
| 2340 | 7.78 | 1.32 | 14.5 | 85.5 | 0.420659 | 2.479 |
| 2940 | 6.75 | 1.21 | 15.2 | 84.8 | 0.440829 | 2.459 |
| 4560 | 6.37 | 1.59 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 0.579271 | 2.321 |
| 5340 | 6.03 | 1.75 | 22.5 | 77.5 | 0.652314 | 2.248 |
| 6540 | 5.55 | 1.72 | 23.7 | 76.3 | 0.686107 | 2.214 |
| 8340 | 5.39 | 1.98 | 26.9 | 73.1 | 0.779104 | 2.121 |
| 12360 | 4.55 | 2.46 | 35.1 | 64.9 | 1.017689 | 1.882 |
| 13140 | 4.58 | 2.51 | 35.4 | 64.6 | 1.026657 | 1.873 |



Figure S4. Linear fitting of the experimental data according to the initial rate method.

Table S8. Fitting data for the $Z-5 A U P$ to $E-5 A U P$ isomerization in the following conditions: $(\boldsymbol{Z}-5 A \mathbf{A P}: \mathbf{D A P}=\mathbf{8 : 2})$.

|  | slope ( $\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) | Standard Error ( $\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) | Intercept (M) | Standard Error (M) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Z$ | $-6.81 \times 10^{-8}$ | $3.00 \times 10^{-9}$ | 0.00265 | $1.28 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $E$ | $6.81 \times 10^{-8}$ | $3.00 \times 10^{-9}$ | $2.46 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.28 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\text {obs }}\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | $\delta \mathrm{k}\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| $2.57 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.26 \times 10^{-6}$ |  |  |  |

Considering that at the beginning of the reaction the concentration of $Z$-5AUP is 2.65 mM (see intercept in Table S8), and using the slopes reported in Table S8, the observed rate constant for the $Z$ to $E$ isomerization results: $k_{o b s}=(2.57 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, the uncertainty was calculated as in the preceding cases.

Conditions: $\boldsymbol{Z}$-5AUP:DAP $=\mathbf{1 : 1}([5 A U P]=2.5 \mathrm{mM}$ and $[D A P]=2.5 \mathrm{mM})$.

In this case the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ protons of the $Z$ and $E$ form were not distinguishable, therefore all values reported were calculated taking in consideration the integral of the NH proton.
The experimental data are reported in Table S 9 , the analysis was restricted to the first instants of the reaction as before and represented graphically in Figure S 5 while the results of the fitting are reported in Table S10.

Table S9. Experimental NMR data for the isomerization of $Z$-5AUP to $E-5$ AUP in the presence of DAP, $\boldsymbol{Z}$-5AUP:DAP $=1: 1$.

| Time (s) | integral N-H Z | integral N-H $E$ | $\% E$ | $\% Z$ | $[E](\mathrm{mM})$ | $[Z](\mathrm{mM})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 420 | 0.96 | 0.18 | 15.8 | 84.2 | 0.394737 | 2.105263 |
| 840 | 0.95 | 0.25 | 20.8 | 79.2 | 0.520833 | 1.979167 |
| 960 | 0.9 | 0.26 | 22.4 | 77.6 | 0.560345 | 1.939655 |
| 1260 | 0.87 | 0.27 | 23.7 | 76.3 | 0.592105 | 1.907895 |
| 1380 | 0.85 | 0.31 | 26.7 | 73.3 | 0.668103 | 1.831897 |
| 1560 | 0.8 | 0.33 | 29.2 | 70.8 | 0.730088 | 1.769912 |
| 1740 | 0.77 | 0.34 | 30.6 | 69.4 | 0.765766 | 1.734234 |
| 2220 | 0.74 | 0.35 | 32.1 | 67.9 | 0.802752 | 1.697248 |
| 2640 | 0.69 | 0.42 | 37.8 | 62.2 | 0.945946 | 1.554054 |



Figure S5. Linear fitting of the experimental data according to the initial rate method.
Table S10. Fitting data for the $Z-5 A U P$ to $E-5 A U P$ isomerization in the following conditions: $(\boldsymbol{Z}-\mathbf{5 A U P}: \mathbf{D A P}=\mathbf{1 : 1})$.

|  | slope ( $\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) | Standard Error ( $\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) | Intercept (M) | Standard Error (M) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $z$ | $-2.38 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.56 \times 10^{-8}$ | 0.00218 | $2.48 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| E | $2.38 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.56 \times 10^{-8}$ | $3.21 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.48 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\text {obs }}\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | $\delta \mathrm{k}\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| $1.09 \times 10^{-4}$ | $8.41 \times 10^{-6}$ |  |  |  |

Considering that at the beginning of the reaction, the actual concentration of Z-5AUP is 2.18 mM and using the slopes reported in Table S10, the observed rate constant results $k_{\text {obs }}=(1.09$ $\pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

The experimental results for the thermal isomerization in the absence of DAP, and in presence of variable DAP concentration ( $Z$-5AUP: DAP $=1: 1$ and $Z-\mathbf{5 A U P}: \mathbf{D A P}=8: 2$ ), are plotted together in the graph of Figure S6.


Figure S6. Rates of formation of $E-\mathbf{5 A U P}$ in the absence of DAP, (squares), in the presence of 0.73 mM DAP (circles) corresponding to a $Z$-5AUP: DAP $=8: 2$ ratio, and 2.5 mM DAP corresponding to $Z-\mathbf{5 A U P}: \mathbf{D A P}=1: 1$ (triangles).

From inspection of Figure S 6 it is clear how the residual amount of $E$-5AUP present since the beginning of the reaction (see intercept of the fitting lines), increases at increasing concentrations of DAP. The isomerization rate also increase with the increasing of the concentration of DAP; the values of the rate constants for the three conditions are summarized in Table S11.

Table S11. Rate constants for the Z-5AUP to E-5AUP isomerization in the presence of increasing concentrations of DAP.

|  | $[5 A U P](M)$ | $[D A P](M)$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\text {obs }}\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | $\delta \mathrm{k}_{\text {obs }}\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| no DAP | $3.0 \times 10^{-3}$ | - | $2.48 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.97 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 5AUP: DAP $=8: 2$ | $2.9 \times 10^{-3}$ | $0.73 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.57 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.26 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 5AUP: DAP $=1: 1$ | $2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.09 \times 10^{-4}$ | $0.08 \times 10^{-4}$ |

## Thermal $Z \rightarrow E$ isomerization of 5AUP in the presence of DAP excess

The isomerization of $Z$-5AUP was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR also in the presence of DAP excess, the experiments were run in the conditions summarized in Table S12. At variance with the previous case, the concentration of DAP was maintained constant at about 10 mM while 5AUP was used at the nominal concentrations (before irradiation) [5AUP] equal to 1.0 $\mathrm{mM}, 2.2 \mathrm{mM}$ and 3.2 mM , see entries Ex1, Ex2 and Ex3 in Table S12 respectively.

Table S12. Conditions for the Z-5AUP to E-5AUP isomerization experiments at varying concentrations of Z-5AUP and in the presence of a DAP excess.

|  | $[5 A \cup P](\mathrm{mM})$ | $[\mathrm{DAP}]_{0}(\mathrm{mM})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ex1 | 1.00 | 9.40 |
| Ex2 | 2.2 | 9.6 |
| Ex3 | 3.2 | 10.2 |

The concentration of $\boldsymbol{E}$-5AUP measured in the first 50 minutes of reaction are reported in Table S13 and displayed graphically in Figure S7. These data were used for assessing the initial reaction rate in the different conditions and for the calculation of the pertinent rate constants.

Table S13. Experimental data for the $Z-5 A U P$ to $E-5 A U P$ isomerization experiments at varying concentrations of $Z-5 A U P$ in the presence of a DAP excess.

| Ex1 [5AUP] = 1.0 mM |  | Ex2 [5AUP] $=2.2 \mathrm{mM}$ |  | Ex3 [5AUP] = 3.2 mM |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time $(\mathrm{s})$ | $[E-5 A U P](\mathrm{mM})$ | Time $(\mathrm{s})$ | $[E-5 A U P](\mathrm{mM})$ | Time $(\mathrm{s})$ | $[E-5 A U P](\mathrm{mM})$ |
| 660 | 0.277 | 780 | 0.628571 | 600 | 1.434978 |
| 1080 | 0.308 | 1380 | 0.750853 | 900 | 1.624365 |
| 1680 | 0.342 | 1980 | 0.827068 | 1500 | 1.797753 |
| 2340 | 0.392 | 2580 | 0.90535 | 2100 | 1.849711 |
| 2880 | 0.411 | 3180 | 0.940171 | 2700 | 2.038217 |



Figure S7. Linear fitting of the experimental data according to the initial rate method.
The observed rate constants are reported in Table S14, together with the actual initial concentration of Z-5AUP estimated from the intercept of the straight line describing the consumption of $Z$-5AUP. The uncertainties of the rate constants were calculated according to the uncertainty propagation method.

Table S14. Observed rate constants for the $Z-5 A U P$ to $E-5 A U P$ isomerization experiments at varying initial concentrations of $Z$-5AUP in the presence of a DAP excess.

|  | $[Z-5 A U P](M)$ | $[D A P](M)$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\text {obs }}\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | $\delta \mathrm{k}_{\text {obs }}\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ex1 | $7.6 \times 10^{-4}$ | $9.4 \times 10^{-3}$ | $8.1 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| Ex2 | $1.6 \times 10^{-3}$ | $9.6 \times 10^{-3}$ | $7.9 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| Ex3 | $1.8 \times 10^{-3}$ | $10.2 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.4 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3 \times 10^{-5}$ |

The observed rate constants determined in the three cases are the same within the experimental uncertainty with an average $k_{\text {iso }} \approx(1.0 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. By comparing this value with the rate constant for the thermal isomerization of $Z-5 A U P$ in the absence of DAP obtained by NMR, $k_{\text {est }}=2.5 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, the acceleration of the $Z-E$ isomerization within the complex can be calculated as $k_{\text {iso }} / k_{\text {est }}=4$.

## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR complexation studies

## Determination of complex stoichiometry.

The $1: 1$ binding stoichiometry of the E-5AUP•DAP and Upr•DAP complexes was confirmed by Job's plot analysis.

Table S15. Experimental data for the $\boldsymbol{E - 5 A U P}$-DAP Job's plot, obtained with non irradiated stock solutions of 5AUP (3.0 mM in toluene- $d_{8}$ ) and of DAP ( 3.0 mM in toluene- $d_{8}$ ).

| $V E-5 A U P(\mathrm{~mL})$ | $[E-5 A \cup P]_{0}(\mathrm{mM})$ | V DAP <br> $(\mathrm{mL})$ | $[D A P]_{0}$ <br> $(\mathrm{mM})$ | $x_{E-5 A U P}$ | $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ | [E-5AUP•DAP] (mM) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.05 | 0.30 | 0.45 | 2.7 | 0.10 | 11.776 | 0.30217 |
| 0.10 | 0.60 | 0.40 | 2.4 | 0.20 | 11.649 | 0.58537 |
| 0.15 | 0.91 | 0.35 | 2.1 | 0.30 | 11.469 | 0.8377 |
| 0.25 | 1.5 | 0.25 | 1.5 | 0.50 | 10.636 | 1.08495 |
| 0.30 | 1.8 | 0.20 | 1.2 | 0.60 | 9.968 | 1.00246 |
| 0.35 | 2.1 | 0.15 | 0.91 | 0.71 | 9.239 | 0.78823 |
| 0.40 | 2.4 | 0.10 | 0.61 | 0.81 | 8.629 | 0.5362 |
| 0.45 | 2.7 | 0.05 | 0.30 | 0.91 | 8.127 | 0.26563 |
| 0.50 | 3.0 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 7.732 | 0 |



Figure S8. Job's plot for the $\boldsymbol{E}$-5AUP•DAP complex.
Table S16. Experimental data for the Upr•DAP Job's plot, obtained with stock solutions of Upr ( 3.0 mM in toluene- $d_{8}$ ) and of DAP ( 3.0 mM in toluene- $d_{8}$ ).

| V Upr (mL) | $[\text { Upr }]_{0}(\mathrm{mM})$ | V DAP $(\mathrm{mL})$ | $[\mathrm{DAP}]_{0}(\mathrm{mM})$ | $x_{\text {Upr }}$ | $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ | [Upr•DAP] (mM) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.05 | 0.30 | 0.45 | 2.7 | 0.10 | 11.830 | 0.30 |
| 0.10 | 0.61 | 0.40 | 2.4 | 0.20 | 11.733 | 0.59 |
| 0.15 | 0.91 | 0.35 | 2.1 | 0.30 | 11.599 | 0.86 |
| 0.25 | 1.5 | 0.25 | 1.5 | 0.51 | 10.749 | 1.1 |
| 0.30 | 1.8 | 0.20 | 1.2 | 0.61 | 9.799 | 0.90 |
| 0.35 | 2.1 | 0.15 | 0.91 | 0.71 | 9.171 | 0.71 |
| 0.40 | 2.4 | 0.10 | 0.61 | 0.81 | 8.590 | 0.46 |
| 0.45 | 2.7 | 0.05 | 0.30 | 0.91 | 8.153 | 0.21 |
| 0.50 | 3.0 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 7.849 | 0 |



Figure S9. Job's plot for the Upr•DAP complex.

## Dimerization of $E-5 A U P$.

The dimerization equilibrium of $E$-5AUP was investigated in toluene- $d_{8}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a dilution experiment in which the concentration of 5AUP was varied in the interval 0.00076 0.0075 M . The dependence of the chemical shift of the imide proton from the concentration of 5AUP is shown in Figure S10 together with the fitting curves obtained with Dynafit.


Figure S10. Fitting of the dimerization data with all the parameters free to be optimized (fit_1) and fixing the $\delta$ of the dimer at 12 ppm (fit_2).

The fitting of the dimerization experiment is problematic because in the range of concentration explored the dependence of the chemical shift from the concentration of 5-AUP is almost linear. Fitting of the data optimizing all the three parameters of the dimerization equation ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{dim}}, \delta_{0}$, and $\delta_{\max }$ which are the dimerization constant and the chemical shift of the imide proton of monomer and dimer, respectively) gives an apparently good fit with a low value of dimerization constant $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\text {dim }}=6 \pm 5.9 \mathrm{M}^{-1}\right)$ but an unacceptable estimation of the chemical shit of the dimer ( $\delta_{\max }=30 \pm 20 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and a very large uncertainty on both parameters (blue curve in Figure S10). More reliable results are obtained by keeping fixed the $\delta_{\text {max }}$ at a value of 12 ppm , which is about the maximum chemical shift value of the imide proton in the complex with DAP, and optimizing the remaining two parameters (red curve in Figure S 10 ). With this assumption the fitting gives $\mathrm{K}_{\text {dim }}=55 \pm 5 \mathrm{M}^{-1}$ and $\delta_{0}=7.38 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{ppm}$. These data are clearly affected by some uncertainty and the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{dim}}$ is probably overestimated, but due to the linear trend of the experimental points and to the fitting results we can safely assume that in any case the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{dim}}$ is lower than $100 \mathrm{M}^{-1}$. Based on this low value we consider that the dimerization equilibrium does not influence the formation of the complex.

## Dimerization of Upr.

The dimerization equilibrium of Upr was investigated in toluene- $d_{8}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a dilution experiment in which the concentration of Upr was varied in the interval $0.0005-0.005 \mathrm{M}$. The problems for the fitting are the same as above (Fig. S11). Forcing the final chemical shift of the imide proton in the dimer $\left(\delta_{\max }\right)$ to 12 ppm a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{dim}}=65 \mathrm{M}^{-1}(\mathrm{sd}=5.8)$ and a $\delta_{0}=7.25$ $\mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{sd}=0.043)$ are obtained.


Figure S11. Fitting of the dilution experiment fixing the $\delta$ of the dimer at 12 ppm .

## Titration of E-5AUP.

Three independent titration experiments have been made in toluene- $d_{8}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, without sample irradiation. (Table S17)

Table S17. Conditions employed in three different titration experiments of $E$-5AUP complexation upon DAP addition.

|  | [5AUP] (mM) | [DAP] range $(\mathrm{mM})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E1 | 3.022 | $0-8.8$ |
| E2 | 3.002 | $0-8.4$ |
| E3 | 2.9 | $0-8.5$ |

The first titration (E3) shows some random broad peaks. This problem is resolved in the following titrations (E1 and E2) simply by waiting few minutes before to record the spectra after the addition of the guest. This is probably due to some aggregation of the guest.

The chemical shift data of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ imide proton of 5AUP were fitted against the guest concentration with Dynafit software packages using a 1:1 binding model. The solutions were prepared at the nominal concentration reported in Table S17 and during the fitting the concentration was optimized as a parameter. In this way, better fitting were obtained. The difference between the nominal and the calculated concentration of 5AUP vary in the three experiments and in any case is below $14 \%$. The fitting of the three titrations are reported in Figure S12.


Figure S12. Fitting of the experimental data obtained from the titrations of $E-5 A U P$ with DAP.
The results of the fittings are reported in Table S 18.

Table S18. Fitting data for the three titration experiments.

|  | $K_{\left(M^{-1}\right)}$ | $s d$ | $\delta_{\max }$ | sd | $[5 A U P](M)$ | sd | $\mathrm{K}_{\text {mean }}(M)$ | Sd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E 1 | 7540 | 840 | 12.165 | 0.024 | 0.003363 | $3.60 \mathrm{E}-05$ |  |  |
| E2 | 5630 | 540 | 12.184 | 0.026 | 0.003528 | $3.20 \mathrm{E}-05$ |  |  |
| E3 | 6960 | 480 | 12.2 | 0.016 | 0.002955 | $2.70 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $\mathbf{6 7 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 0}$ |

The mean value of the association constant is $K_{E}=6710 \pm 800 \mathrm{M}^{-1}$.

## Titration of Z-5AUP.

Three independent titration experiments have been made in toluene- $d_{8}$ at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, in the conditions reported in Table S19, where the nominal [5AUP] are those of the prepared solutions before irradiation.

Table S19. Conditions employed in three different titration experiments of Z-5AUP complexation upon DAP addition.

|  | [5AUP] (M) | [DAP] range (M) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Z1}$ | 0.00305 | $0-0.0084$ |
| $\mathrm{Z2}$ | 0.00378 | $0-0.0069$ |
| $\mathrm{Z3}$ | 0.00407 | $0-0.00696$ |

The analysis of these titrations is more complex because during the experiment Z-5AUP isomerizes to E-5AUP which competes for DAP. However, from the integration of the NMR peaks the concentration of $Z$ - and $E-5 A U P$ at any moment of the titration experiment are known. The titration data were therefore fitted with Dynafit, that accepts more than one independent variable, using the following model:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Z}+\mathrm{DAP}<==>\mathrm{Z} \text { DAP } \quad: \mathrm{Kc} \text { association } \\
& \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{DAP}<==>\text { EDAP }: \mathrm{Kt} \text { association }
\end{aligned}
$$

The model takes in account the two equilibria $Z / E+$ DAP and uses as independent variables the concentration of DAP, and the concentrations of $Z$ and $E-5 A U P$ obtained from the
integration of the NMR spectra. The model fits the chemical shift data of the imide proton of the $Z$-5AUP and has 4 parameters: the two association constants and the initial and final chemical shift of the imide proton in the Z-5AUP•DAP complex. The $K_{E}$ is kept constant at the value of $6710 \mathrm{M}^{-1}$, determined in the titration of E-5AUP with DAP (see above), as well as the initial value of the chemical shift at the experimental observed one. Therefore, the output is the $\mathrm{K}_{Z}$ and the value of the chemical shift in the Z-5AUP•DAP complex. The fittings are reported in Figure S13 and the data in Table S20.


Figure S13. Fitting of the experimental data obtained from the titrations of $Z$-5AUP with DAP.
The fitting results are well consistent and the mean value of the association constant is $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Z}}=$ $4040 \pm 355 \mathrm{M}^{-1}$. (Table S20)

Table S20. Fitting data for the three titration experiments.

|  | $\mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1}\right)$ | Sd | $\delta_{\max }$ | sd | $\mathrm{K}_{\text {mean }}\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1}\right)$ | sd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Z 1 | 3960 | 330 | 11.914 | 0.043 |  |  |
| Z 2 | 3650 | 350 | 11.986 | 0.063 |  |  |
| Z 3 | 4510 | 280 | 11.895 | 0.066 | 4040 | 355 |

## Titration of Upr.

Two independent titration experiments have been made in toluene- $d_{8}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (Table S21)
Table S21. Conditions employed in two different titration experiments of Upr complexation upon DAP addition.

|  | [Upr] (M) | [DAP] range (M) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UPR1 | 0.00334 | $0-0.009$ |
| UPR2 | 0.00308 | $0-0.009$ |

Also in this case better fitting are obtained optimizing the concentration of Upr as a parameter, (Figure S14) with differences between the nominal and the calculated concentration below $15 \%$.


Figure S14. Fitting of the titration experiments UPR1 and UPR2.
The data obtained from the fittings are summarized in Table S22.

Table S22: Fitting data for the two titration experiments.

|  | $\mathrm{K}^{\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1}\right)}$ | sd | $\delta_{\max }$ | sd | $[\mathrm{Upr}](\mathrm{M})$ | Sd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UPR1 | 15200 | 2700 | 11.993 | 0.025 | 0.003997 | $3.20 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| UPR2 | 12730 | 850 | 12.036 | 0.011 | 0.002716 | $1.60 \mathrm{E}-05$ |

The mean value of the association constant is $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{E}}=13965 \pm 1235 \mathrm{M}^{-1}$.

## DFT calculation of gas-phase models.

Our theoretical calculations are based on DFT using PWSCF code of the Quantum ESPRESSO distribution. ${ }^{[3]}$ The Van der Waals density functional (VdW-DF) ${ }^{[6][7][8]}$ was used in conjunction with a GGA-PBE functional ${ }^{[5]}$ to describe the system. The optimization of the gas-phase models for the isolated DAP, cis-5AUP, trans-5AUP molecules and for the cis- and trans-5AUP•DAP molecular complexes confirms the higher stability of the trans isomers relative to their cis counterparts (by 0.65 eV for isolated 5 AUP , and 0.61 eV for $5 \mathrm{AUP} \cdot \mathrm{DAP}$ ). The fully relaxed optimized structures for $\boldsymbol{Z}$-5UAP-DAP is shown in Fig 15, the relaxed coordinates for the $\boldsymbol{Z}$-5AUP and $\boldsymbol{Z}$-5UAP•DAP structures are also provided below in xyz format in the tables below.


Figure 15. Fully relaxed computed structure for $Z-5 U A P \cdot D A P$, shown from two different angles.

Z-5AUP (45 atoms, A units):

| C | 10.195227 | 11.845826 | 11.268832 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 10.547063 | 13.264862 | 11.224607 |
| C | 8.126406 | 13.779071 | 10.974013 |
| C | 8.875200 | 11.493177 | 11.158136 |
| H | 8.546007 | 10.459404 | 11.195879 |
| C | 6.460382 | 11.948614 | 10.797672 |
| H | 6.517720 | 10.949809 | 10.344920 |
| H | 5.999752 | 12.628442 | 10.068101 |
| C | 5.635331 | 11.915107 | 12.094325 |
| H | 5.607506 | 12.927651 | 12.526072 |
| H | 6.139242 | 11.260294 | 12.824842 |
| C | 4.205553 | 11.410225 | 11.831030 |
| H | 3.674828 | 12.070628 | 11.128767 |
| H | 3.626061 | 11.376792 | 12.763010 |
| H | 4.211288 | 10.395887 | 11.402361 |
| C | 10.467832 | 9.299510 | 10.143195 |


| C | 9.694864 | 8.182258 | 10.475169 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 9.851420 | 7.692018 | 11.436947 |
| C | 8.683113 | 7.755710 | 9.611144 |
| H | 8.066026 | 6.917227 | 9.927867 |
| C | 8.449074 | 8.387302 | 8.374378 |
| C | 9.324891 | 9.433977 | 8.015814 |
| H | 9.225376 | 9.927824 | 7.050557 |
| C | 10.318712 | 9.892655 | 8.881795 |
| H | 10.954827 | 10.732315 | 8.595625 |
| C | 7.234036 | 8.009340 | 7.492432 |
| C | 6.829550 | 6.521229 | 7.674577 |
| H | 6.469928 | 6.307629 | 8.690238 |
| H | 7.673639 | 5.850109 | 7.458936 |
| H | 6.010897 | 6.274069 | 6.984094 |
| C | 6.034991 | 8.910320 | 7.926234 |
| H | 6.273572 | 9.976233 | 7.789219 |
| H | 5.785260 | 8.745698 | 8.985740 |
| H | 5.141900 | 8.679622 | 7.325742 |
| C | 7.517518 | 8.246933 | 5.984413 |
| H | 7.667744 | 9.309435 | 5.750118 |
| H | 6.659732 | 7.902993 | 5.389230 |
| H | 8.407425 | 7.691053 | 5.655018 |
| N | 11.391446 | 9.793226 | 11.132188 |
| N | 11.280582 | 10.964625 | 11.593946 |
| N | 9.452437 | 14.130361 | 11.092548 |
| H | 9.653254 | 15.155994 | 11.053740 |
| N | 7.861599 | 12.405525 | 10.997447 |
| 0 | 11.698163 | 13.721257 | 11.269647 |
| 0 | 7.223972 | 14.617963 | 10.845340 |

$\boldsymbol{Z}$-5UAP•DAP (84 atoms, A units):

| C | 10.195227 | 11.845826 | 11.268832 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 10.547063 | 13.264862 | 11.224607 |


| C | 8.126406 | 13.779071 | 10.974013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 8.875200 | 11.493177 | 11.158136 |
| H | 8.546007 | 10.459404 | 11.195879 |
| C | 6.460382 | 11.948614 | 10.797672 |
| H | 6.517720 | 10.949809 | 10.344920 |
| H | 5.999752 | 12.628442 | 10.068101 |
| C | 5.635331 | 11.915107 | 12.094325 |
| H | 5.607506 | 12.927651 | 12.526072 |
| H | 6.139242 | 11.260294 | 12.824842 |
| C | 4.205553 | 11.410225 | 11.831030 |
| H | 3.674828 | 12.070628 | 11.128767 |
| H | 3.626061 | 11.376792 | 12.763010 |
| H | 4.211288 | 10.395887 | 11.402361 |
| C | 10.467832 | 9.299510 | 10.143195 |
| C | 9.694864 | 8.182258 | 10.475169 |
| H | 9.851420 | 7.692018 | 11.436947 |
| C | 8.683113 | 7.755710 | 9.611144 |
| H | 8.066026 | 6.917227 | 9.927867 |
| C | 8.449074 | 8.387302 | 8.374378 |
| C | 9.324891 | 9.433977 | 8.015814 |
| H | 9.225376 | 9.927824 | 7.050557 |


| C | 10.318712 | 9.892655 | 8.881795 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 10.954827 | 10.732315 | 8.595625 |
| C | 7.234036 | 8.009340 | 7.492432 |
| C | 6.829550 | 6.521229 | 7.674577 |
| H | 6.469928 | 6.307629 | 8.690238 |
| H | 7.673639 | 5.850109 | 7.458936 |
| H | 6.010897 | 6.274069 | 6.984094 |
| C | 6.034991 | 8.910320 | 7.926234 |
| H | 6.273572 | 9.976233 | 7.789219 |
| H | 5.785260 | 8.745698 | 8.985740 |
| H | 5.141900 | 8.679622 | 7.325742 |
| C | 7.517518 | 8.246933 | 5.984413 |
| H | 7.667744 | 9.309435 | 5.750118 |
| H | 6.659732 | 7.902993 | 5.389230 |
| H | 8.407425 | 7.691053 | 5.655018 |
| N | 11.391446 | 9.793226 | 11.132188 |
| N | 11.280582 | 10.964625 | 11.593946 |
| N | 9.452437 | 14.130361 | 11.092548 |
| H | 9.653254 | 15.155994 | 11.053740 |
| N | 7.861599 | 12.405525 | 10.997447 |
| 0 | 11.698163 | 13.721257 | 11.269647 |


| 0 | 7.223972 | 14.617963 | 10.845340 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 11.253690 | 17.608170 | 11.312216 |
| C | 11.536444 | 18.966494 | 11.513745 |
| H | 12.543138 | 19.293780 | 11.737787 |
| C | 10.478107 | 19.883535 | 11.420309 |
| C | 9.186008 | 19.430986 | 11.108628 |
| H | 8.356554 | 20.119917 | 11.016590 |
| C | 9.001632 | 18.054336 | 10.919269 |
| C | 6.583785 | 18.163570 | 10.260512 |
| C | 5.377688 | 17.281218 | 9.946116 |
| H | 4.617923 | 17.450448 | 10.724224 |
| H | 5.601175 | 16.209544 | 9.890537 |
| H | 4.949367 | 17.624553 | 8.994345 |
| C | 13.608766 | 16.773843 | 11.579729 |
| C | 14.397791 | 15.471515 | 11.666815 |
| H | 15.446344 | 15.692038 | 11.438601 |
| H | 14.015063 | 14.689468 | 10.998968 |
| H | 14.337917 | 15.082735 | 12.696499 |
| C | 10.727161 | 21.266449 | 11.656306 |
| C | 10.974552 | 22.443532 | 11.885602 |
| C | 12.906689 | 24.649653 | 11.191346 |


| H | 13.762087 | 23.985129 | 11.385159 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 13.229522 | 25.685168 | 11.381776 |
| H | 12.650606 | 24.563756 | 10.124839 |
| C | 9.950921 | 25.297733 | 11.934186 |
| H | 9.658880 | 25.247963 | 10.874595 |
| H | 10.179222 | 26.347797 | 12.175116 |
| H | 9.081644 | 24.992624 | 12.535820 |
| C | 11.911028 | 24.242557 | 14.109826 |
| H | 11.060912 | 23.959541 | 14.748374 |
| H | 12.234709 | 25.253712 | 14.402473 |
| H | 12.736384 | 23.546399 | 14.321516 |
| N | 7.750002 | 17.493611 | 10.601554 |
| H | 7.697453 | 16.471780 | 10.627735 |
| N | 10.006819 | 17.148809 | 11.031478 |
| N | 12.239781 | 16.611481 | 11.389000 |
| H | 11.917760 | 15.643448 | 11.284543 |
| 0 | 6.499777 | 19.393784 | 10.209704 |
| 0 | 14.152171 | 17.876746 | 11.694938 |
| Si | 11.43554 | 124.18338 | 12.28128 |
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