

Comment on 'Diagnosis and treatment of basal cell carcinoma: European consensus-based interdisciplinary guidelines'

```
Ketty Peris <sup>a,b,*,1</sup>, Maria C. Fargnoli <sup>c,1</sup>, Claus Garbe <sup>d</sup>, Roland Kaufmann <sup>e</sup>, Lars Bastholt <sup>f</sup>, Nicole B. Seguin <sup>g</sup>, Veronique Bataille <sup>h</sup>, Veronique del Marmol <sup>i</sup>, Reinhard Dummer <sup>j</sup>, Catherine A. Harwood <sup>k</sup>, Axel Hauschild <sup>1</sup>, Christoph Höller <sup>m</sup>, Merete Haedersdal <sup>n</sup>, Josep Malvehy <sup>o</sup>, Mark R. Middleton <sup>p</sup>, Colin A. Morton <sup>q</sup>, Eduardo Nagore <sup>r</sup>, Alexander J. Stratigos <sup>s</sup>, Rolf-Markus Szeimies <sup>t</sup>, Luca Tagliaferri <sup>u</sup>, Myrto Trakatelli <sup>v</sup>, Iris Zalaudek <sup>w</sup>, Alexander Eggermont <sup>x</sup>, Jean J. Grob <sup>y</sup> On behalf of the European Dermatology Forum (EDF), the European Association of Dermato-Oncology (EADO) and the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC)
```

^a Institute of Dermatology, Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, IRCCS, Rome, Italy

^b Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli, IRCCS, Rome, Italy

^c Department of Dermatology, University of L'Aquila, L'Aquila, Italy

d Centre for Dermatooncology, Department of Dermatology, Eberhard-Karls University, Tuebingen, Germany

^e Department of Dermatology, Venereology and Allergology, University Hospital Frankfurt, Germany

f Department of Oncology, Odense University Hospital, Denmark

^g Dermatology Department, Saint-Louis Hospital, Paris, France

h Twin Research and Genetic Epidemiology Unit, School of Basic & Medical Biosciences, King's College London, London, SF17FH 11K

ⁱ Department of Dermatology, Erasme Hospital, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium

^j Department of Dermatology, University Hospital Zurich and University Zurich, Switzerland

k Centre for Cell Biology and Cutaneous Research, Blizard Institute, Barts and the London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, London, United Kingdom

¹Department of Dermatology, University of Kiel, Kiel, Germany

^m Department of Dermatology, Medical University of Vienna, Austria

ⁿ Department of Dermatology, University of Copenhagen, Bispebjerg Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark

O Department of Dermatology, Hospital Clínic de Barcelona (Melanoma Unit), University of Barcelona, IDIBAPS, CIBERER, Barcelona, Spain

^{*} Corresponding author: Institute of Dermatology - Catholic University Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli – IRCCS Rome, Largo Agostino Gemelli, 8 00168, Rome, Italy.

E-mail address: ketty.peris@unicatt.it (K. Peris).

¹ Contributed equally.

Accepted 19 February 2020

To the Editor

We thank van Delft et al.[1] for their letter to the Editor related to our published European consensus-based interdisciplinary guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of basal cell carcinoma (BCC) [2]. They comment on the extensive safety margins for conventional (2D) surgical excision of high-risk BCCs and the proposed frequent follow-up of patients with a history of BCC.

We agree that microscopically controlled surgery (3D) provides tumor removal sparing normal tissue, thus avoiding unnecessary morbidity. In the European BCC guidelines, we stated that microscopically controlled surgery (3D) shall be offered in high-risk BCC, in recurrent BCC and in BCC in critical anatomical sites, with a grade of recommendation A and strength of consensus of 100%. However, this surgical technique is not routinely performed in all European countries, and therefore we advised a broad safety margin ranging from 5 to 15 mm. Since we published the 'European' guidelines, we took into account the availability of surgical modalities and the diversity of access to care in the different European countries. A possible concern of microscopically controlled surgery (3D) is the presence of skip areas in morpheaform BCC, which might be overcome with the use of broad safety margins. In addition, disadvantages of microscopically controlled surgery (3D) include the need of special equipment and training, length of procedure and high costs, which currently limit a wide availability in Europe. Furthermore, van Delft et al. [1] suggest that when expensive targeted therapies become more available in Europe, the implementation of a simple surgical/histopathology technique should not be difficult, and this would improve BCC care enormously. In this regard, we would like to emphasise that several countries in Europe still have significantly restricted access to innovative treatments for advanced melanoma and non-melanoma skin cancers because of drug authorization and reimbursement [3] and neither microscopically controlled surgery (3D) nor novel treatments can be commonly used.

Regarding their comment on the suggested followup schedule for patients with a history of BCC, we described two groups of patients who would benefit of a more rigorous follow-up every 6-12 months including patients who are at high risk recurrence and patients with a history of multiple BCCs. In addition, we specified that in case of difficultto-treat BCC or metastatic BCC, follow-up should be practiced by a multidisciplinary team at a frequency dictated for each individual. Therefore, in our conclusion, the general follow-up recommendation of every 3, 6 or 12 months according to the risk categories wished to include all these clinical situations. Similar follow-up schedules for multiple BCCs, BCCs with high recurrence risk and advanced BCC were indeed recommended in the S2K German guidelines [4]. On the other hand, we agree that a less intensive follow-up can be performed in patients with low-risk BCC and have indicated this in our guidelines. In line with their suggestions, in our guidelines, we emphasised the importance of counseling patients about sun protection measures and about self-monitoring for possible local recurrence and new skin cancers. The surgical margins we proposed indeed represent only an expert consensus because there is a lack of randomised trials in this context. Whilst we agree with van Delft et al. [1] that both 'more nuanced' yearly follow-up schedule may be appropriate and efforts to optimise treatment may make extensive follow-up schemes redundant, longterm randomised clinical trials will be required to establish the ideal follow-up schedule. We would like to thank the authors for their valuable comments, which will be considered at the next update of this BCC guideline.

Conflict of interest statement

K.P. reports receiving grants and personal fees from Almirall and Abbvie, during the conduct of the study, and personal fees for Advisory Board Meeting from Biogen, Lilly, Celgene, Galderma, LEO Pharma, Novartis, Pierre Fabre, Sanofi, Sandoz, Sun Pharma

P Department of Oncology, University of Oxford, Old Road Campus, Oxford, OX39DU, UK

^q Stirling Community Hospital, Stirling, UK

^r Department of Dermatology, Instituto Valenciano de Oncologia, Valencia, Spain

⁸ IstDepartment of Dermatology-Venereology, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, School of Medicine, Andreas Sygros Hospital, Athens, Greece

^t Clinic for Dermatology and Allergology, Klinikum Vest GmbH Teaching Hospital, Recklinghausen, Germany

^u Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS, UOC di Radioterapia, Dipartimento di Scienze Radiologiche, Radioterapiche ed Ematologiche, Rome, Italy

^v Second Department of Dermatology, Aristotle University Medical School, Papageorgiou General Hospital, Thessaloniki, Greece

w Dermatology Clinic, University of Trieste, Trieste, Italy

x Cancer Institute, GustaveRoussy Cancer Campus, Grand Paris, 94805, Villejuif, France

^y University Department of Dermatology, Marseille, France

and Janssen, outside the submitted work. M.C.F. reports receiving personal fees from Roche and Mylan; grants and personal fees from Galderma, during the conduct of the study; grants and personal fees from Abbvie, Almirall, Leo Pharma, Novartis, Sanofi and UCB and personal fees from Janssen, Lilly, Celgene and Pierre Fabre, outside the submitted work, C.G. reports receiving grants and personal fees from Roche; personal fees from Sun Pharma, during the conduct of the study; personal fees from Amgen, MSD, Philogen and Sanofi and grants and personal fees from BMS, Novartis, NeraCare, outside the submitted work. R.K. reports receiving personal fees and clinical trial grants from Roche related to aspects of the submitted work, personal fees from Amgen, BMS, Novartis, Regeneron and Actelis, as well as clinical trial grants to his institution from Amgen, BMS, Novartis, Abbvie, Almirall, Biogen, MSD and Pfizer, outside the submitted work. L.B. reports receiving personal fees from Amgen, BMS, Novartis, Merck, Roche, Eisai, Astra Zeneca and Pfizer, outside the submitted work. N.B.-S. reports receiving grants and personal fees from Roche; personal fees from Sun Pharma; non-financial support and other support from PellePharm, during the conduct of the study, and personal fees from Pierre Fabre and LaboratoireLéo, outside the submitted work. V.B. has given a few lectures on cutaneous side-effects of targeted therapy and immunotherapy in stage 3 and 4 melanoma for Novartis and MSD. V.d.M. reports receiving fees from Sanofi, Merck, Abbvie and served as an employee in Hopital Erasme where he received funding from BMS. She also reports receiving research grant from Abbvie and Janssen. R.D. has intermittent, project focused consulting and/or advisory relationships with Novartis, Merck Sharp & Dhome (MSD), Bristol-Myers Squibb (BMS), Roche, Amgen, Takeda, Pierre Fabre, Sun Pharma and Sanofi, outside the submitted work. C.A.H. reports receiving other support from PellePharm, during the conduct of the study; personal fees from Sanofi; nonfinancial support from MEDA; grants from Leo; other support from Novartis; grants from Almirall and CERIES/Channel and other support from Galderma, outside the submitted work. A.H. reports receiving grants and personal fees from Amgen, BMS, Merck-Serono, MSD/Merck, Novartis Pharma, Philogen, Pierre Fabre, Provectus, Regeneron, Roche, Sanofi Genzyme and personal fees from OncoSec, Sun Pharma, outside the submitted work. C.H. reports receiving personal fees from Amgen, BMS, MSD, Novartis, Sanofi, Incyte, Pierre Fabre and Roche, outside the submitted work. M.H. reports receiving non-financial support from CynosureHologic, grants from Leo Pharma, grants and non-financial support from Lutronic and Novoxel, nonfinancial support from PerfAction Technologies and grants from Procter & Gamble and Sebacia, outside the submitted work. J.M. reports receiving grants and personal fees from Roche; personal fees from Sun Pharma, during the conduct of the study; personal fees and grants from Amgen, Almirall, BMS and Novartis and personal fees from MSD, outside the submitted work. Dr. Mark M. Middleton reports receiving personal fees from Amgen; grants and personal fees from Roche and GSK: grants from Astrazeneca; personal fees and other support from Novartis; other support from Millenium; personal fees, non-financial support and other support from Immunocore; personal fees and other support from BMS; other support from Vertex; personal fees and other support from Eisai; other support from Pfizer; personal fees, non-financial support and other support from Merck; personal fees and other support from Rigortec; other support from Regeneron and TCBiopharma, personal fees from BioLineRx, personal fees and other support from Array Biopharma and other support from Replimune, outside the submitted work. Dr. Colin Morton reports receiving personal fees from Biofrontera and Galderma, outside the submitted work; Dr Morton served as a board member of Euro-PDT. Dr Morton serves as national PI for a study sponsored by Biofrontera. E.N. reports receiving personal fees from Novartis, outside the submitted work. A.J.S. reports receiving personal fees and/or research support from Novartis, Roche, BMS, Merck, Abbvie, Pfizer, Sanofi, Regeneron and LEOPharma, outside the submitted work. R-.M.S. reports receiving grants, personal fees and non-financial support from Galderma International: grants and non-financial support from Biofrontera; grants, personal fees and nonfinancial support from Leo Pharma, during the conduct of the study; grants and personal fees from Almirall; grants from Dr. Wolff-Group; grants from Eli Lilly and Company; grants from Galapagos; personal fees and non-financial support from Janssen; grants and personal fees from Novartis and grants from photonamic, outside the submitted work. L.T. reports no conflict of interest. In addition, L.T. has a patent TIMER applicator pending. Dr. Myrto Georgia Trakatelli reports receiving personal fees from Genesis Pharma, Leo Pharma, Janssen Pharma and Novartis, outside the submitted work. I.Z. reports receiving grants and personal fees from Roche Oncology and Mylan, during the conduct of the study; personal fees from Sanofi and Regeneron; grants from Abbvie and personal fees from MSD, Novartis and MedaPharma, outside the submitted work. A.E. reports receiving personal fees, all outside the submitted work, from BMS, Ellipses, GSK, HalioDX, Incyte, IO Biotech, ISA pharmaceuticals, MedImmune, Merck-Serono, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Polynoma, Sellas and Sanofi, as well as equity in RiverDiagnostics, SkylineDx and Theranovir. J.J.G. reports receiving personal fees from MSD, BMS, Roche, Amgen, Pierre Fabre, Sanofi, Pfizer and Sun Pharma, outside the submitted work.

References

- [1] van Delft LCJ, van Loo E, Mosterd K, Kelleners-Smeets NWJ. Comment on "Diagnosis and treatment of basal cell carcinoma: European consensus-based interdisciplinary guidelines. Eur J Canc 2020 Jan 10. pii: S0959-8049(19)30853-30856.
- [2] Peris K, Fargnoli MC, Garbe C, Kaufmann R, Bastholt L, Seguin NB, et al. European Dermatology Forum (EDF), the European association of dermato-oncology (EADO) and the European organization for research and treatment of cancer (EORTC). Diagnosis and treatment of basal cell carcinoma: European
- consensus-based interdisciplinary guidelines. Eur J Canc 2019;118: 10-34.
- [3] Kandolf Sekulovic L, Guo J, Agarwala S, Hauschild A, McArthur G, Cinat G, et al. Access to innovative medicines for metastatic melanoma worldwide: melanoma World Society and European Association of Dermato-oncology survey in 34 countries. Eur J Canc 2018;104:201–9.
- [4] Lang BM, Balermpas P, Bauer A, Blum A, Brölsch GF, Dirschka T, et al. S2kguidelines for cutaneous basal cell carcinoma - Part 2: treatment, prevention and follow-up. J Dtsch Dermatol Ges 2019;17:214-30.